

Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months after stroke: an observational study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-003105
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Apr-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Dong, Yanhong; National University Health System, Pharmacology Slavin, Melissa; The University of New South Wales Chan, Bernard; National University Health System, Medicine Venketasubramanian, Narayanaswamy; National University Health System, Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology; National University of Singapore, Division of Neurology, University Medicine Cluster Sharma, Vijay; National University Health System, Medicine Crawford, John; The University of New South Wales Collinson, Simon; National University of Singapore, Psychology Sachdev, Perminder; University of New South Wales, School of Psychiatry chen, christopher; National University Health System, Pharmacology
Primary Subject Heading :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, REHABILITATION MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke seve	
outcome 3–6 months after stroke: an observation	al study
YanHong Dong, MAppSci; ^{1,2,3} Melissa Jane Slavin, PhD; ^{3,4} Berna	rd Poon-Lap Chan, MD; ⁵
Narayanaswamy Venketasubramanian, MD; ^{1,5,6} Vijay Kumar	Sharma, MD; ⁵ John D
Crawford, PhD; ³ Simon Lowes Collinson, PhD; ⁷ Perminder Sachde	ev, MD, PhD; ³ Christopher
Li-Hsian Chen, MD. ^{1,2}	
¹ Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacolo	gy, National University
Health System, Singapore	
² Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine,	National University of
Singapore, Singapore	
³ Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing and Dementia Collaborative Res	earch Centre, School of
Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales	, NSW 2052, Australia
⁴ Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better	Care, School of
Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales	, NSW 2052, Australia
⁵ Department of Medicine, National University Health System, Sing	apore
⁶ Neuroscience Clinic, Raffles Hospital, Singapore	
⁷ Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore, Sin	gapore
CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	
Dr C L-H Chen	
Department of Pharmacology	

National University Health System

Clinical Research Centre, MD11, Level 5, #05-9

10 Medical Drive

Singapore 117597

Phone: +65 65165885

Fax: +65 68724101

Email: phccclh@nus.edu.sg

Number of words in abstract: 254 words

Number of words in manuscripts: 1981 words

Tables: 3

Figures: 0

Short title: Neurocognitive status predicts functional outcome after stroke

Key Words: Stroke, Predictive value of tests, Cognition, NIHSS, Functional outcome

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the prognostic value of the neurocognitive status measured by screening instruments, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), obtained at the subacute stroke phase or the baseline (≤ 2 weeks), for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Tertiary stroke neurology service.

Participants: 400 patients with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) received NIHSS, MoCA and MMSE at baseline and were followed up at 3-6 months later.
Primary outcome measures: At 3-6 months following index event, functional outcome was measured by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores.

Results: Baseline NIHSS, MMSE and MoCA scores individually predicted mRS scores at 3– 6 months, with NIHSS being the strongest predictor (NIHSS: R²change=0.043, p<0.001). Moreover, baseline MMSE scores had a small but statistically significant incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months, while baseline MoCA scores did not [MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083]. However, in patients with more severe stroke at baseline (defined as NIHSS>2), both baseline MoCA and MMSE had significant and moderately large incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months [MMSE: R²changes=0.021,

p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, *p*=0.021].

Conclusion: Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently and improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later, particularly in patients with more severe stroke.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- The prognostic value of neurocognitive status measured by brief screening instruments, the MoCA and the MMSE, individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), at subacute stroke phase for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.
- We examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS for functional outcome 3–6 months after stroke.
- We also explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

Key messages

- Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently. Neurocognitive status measured by baseline MMSE scores adds a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients.
- Unexpectedly, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score >2, neurocognitive status measured by both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

Strength and limitations of this study

• The main strength of this study is that we examined the prognostic value of neurocognitive status (MoCA and MMSE) and stroke severity (NIHSS) at subacute stroke phase systematically as a singular measure and in combination for mRS scores

BMJ Open

3–6 month later in a large sample of stroke patients and in patients with differing stroke severity.

- An additional strength is the choice of 3–6 month follow-up because patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Hence, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke.
- The limitation of this study is that we did not systematically examine rehabilitation services provided for patients from subacute stroke phase to 3-6 months follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

It is important for early intervention and focused management to have instruments available during the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome 3–6 months later. Cognitive performance measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) administered at the subacute stroke phase predicted patients' functional outcomes at inpatient discharge.[1, 2] Furthermore, patients' post-stroke cognitive functioning measured by a brief neuropsychological battery 2–3 weeks following stroke predicted their functional outcomes 13 months later.[3] No study yet has investigated the utility of patients' neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome at 3–6 months. A widely used stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), administered at the subacute stroke phase is predictive of patients' functional outcomes 3–6 months later,[4] but has limited representation of cognitive function.[5] Hence, whether the NIHSS and neurocognitive status at baseline are independently predictive or have additive predictive value for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.

We therefore examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS at the subacute stroke phase for functional outcome 3–6 months later. In addition, we explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

METHODS

Subjects

The methodology of this study has been described previously.[6] Briefly, we recruited 400 consecutive patients (\geq 21 years old) with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack

BMJ Open

(TIA) (≤ 14 days) during their inpatient admission (subacute stroke phase or baseline) at the National University Health System in Singapore. Patients were excluded if they had a major physical disability or an active psychiatric disorder that would impede cognitive testing.

Standard protocol approvals and patient consent

This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was conducted in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or legally acceptable representatives.

Procedures

Patients were assessed using the NIHSS, modified Rankin Scale (mRS) (premorbid and baseline functioning), MMSE[7] and MoCA[8] at baseline. In addition, their mRS scores were collected at 3–6 months later. The NIHSS and mRS were administered by certified research personnel blinded to patients' neurocognitive status at baseline and 3-6 months follow-up. Similarly, the cognitive screening tests were administered by trained research psychologists blinded to patients' NIHSS and mRS scores. The patients' functional outcome was defined by the continuous scores of mRS.

Statistical analyses

Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the incremental contribution of baseline MMSE and MoCA compared with the baseline NIHSS in predicting functional outcomes defined by mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke. In the initial analysis, the clinically-relevant or significant covariates (i.e., age, sex, years of education, number of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid and baseline mRS) were entered in the first block. The cognitive screening variables (MMSE or MoCA) at baseline were entered in the second block and the NIHSS scores at baseline were entered in the third block (Model A1 and B1 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). Subsequently, the order of entry was altered, with baseline

NIHSS scores entered in the second block and either baseline MMSE or MoCA entered at the third block (Model A2 and B2 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). In order to explore predictive value in patients with differing stroke severity, we dichotomized the baseline NIHSS scores using a median split and repeated these analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The recruited patients were mostly Chinese (70.3%) and male (69.8%), with a mean age of 59.8 (11.6) years and a mean of 7.7 (4.3) years of formal education. Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0). The median interval between the stroke or TIA event and assessment was 2 days (range: 0–14).

At 3–6 months following the index event, patients who were lost to follow-up (n=12) were younger and their clinical condition was stabilized faster than those who completed the follow-up (age: 52.8±12.9 vs 60.0±11.5, p=0.03; interval days: 2.91±2.31 vs 2.08±0.90, p=0.01). 136 (35.1%) of patients had poor functional outcomes defined by mRS score >2 on follow-up. The population characteristics can be found in Table 1.

The Predictive Ability of the MoCA, MMSE and NIHSS

Using hierarchical regression analyses and controlling for baseline characteristics, the MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS—as singular measures—predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke (R^2 changes of 0.012, 0.007 and 0.043, with *p*-values 0.004, 0.029 and <0.001, respectively; Table 2). Baseline MMSE scores added a small but statistically significant prediction of

BMJ Open

functional outcomes in addition to baseline NIHSS scores, while baseline MoCA scores did not (MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083).

Using a median split of baseline NIHSS scores at 2, patients with NIHSS scores ≤ 2 (median =1, range 0–2) were defined as having less severe stroke and those with NIHSS score >2 (median = 5, range 3–18) were defined as having more severe stroke. As shown in Table 3, in patients with NIHSS score >2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA had a significant and considerable incremental prediction for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in addition to baseline NIHSS scores (MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021), while neither baseline neurocognitive measure nor baseline NIHSS showed an incremental prediction for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2).

DISCUSSION

Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome at early convalescent stroke phase independently. Baseline MMSE scores add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients. Unexpectedly, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score >2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

The contributions of our study are three-fold. First, our finding that neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase predicts functional outcome at 3–6 months is novel and consistent with previous studies in inpatient rehabilitation [1, 2] and at 13 months after stroke.[3] Second, MMSE scores at baseline add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes 3-6 months later. Third, both baseline MMSE

and MoCA showed a considerable incremental effect to the baseline NIHSS scores in predicting functional outcomes in patients with more severe stroke (NIHSS>2) while neither neurocognitive measure nor stroke severity score at baseline was predictive for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score \leq 2). This may be explained by the higher recovery potential of patients with more neurological deficits compared to patients with less severe deficits.

There are several strengths of our study. First, we examined the prognostic value of NIHSS and cognitive status by systematically assessing baseline values individually and in combination in predicting patients' mRS scores 3–6 month after stroke. Although previous studies that investigated the predictive power of cognition included baseline NIHSS as a control variable (along with other baseline characteristics), they did not explore the role of baseline NIHSS as a predictor itself. [1–3] Second, we chose the 3–6 month follow-up period because prognosis of functional recovery can be made reliably within 12 weeks after stroke,[9] and patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Therefore, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke. Third, we examined the predictive ability of neurocognitive status and stroke severity measures at baseline for functional outcome at 3-6 months in patients with differing stroke severity.

There are several limitations of this study. Our results may not be generalizable as the majority of patients had less severe stroke, nevertheless, a third had poor functional outcomes 3–6 months after stroke so better prognostic tools are required. We employed cognitive screening tests at baseline rather than formal neuropsychological assessments, for their brevity and utility by non-specialist personnel. We did not examine rehabilitation services systematically as this study was designed to investigate cognitive outcomes after stroke.

BMJ Open

However, all patients received standard rehabilitation according to the institutional pathway. In addition, the mRS has been criticized for its lack of specificity,[5] however, it is a summary of outcomes in functioning and has been widely used in clinical trials as a primary efficacy measure.

In conclusion, neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase is independently predictive of functioning at early convalescent stroke phase. Baseline MMSE scores can add incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity score for functional outcome 3-6 months later. Moreover, in patients with more recovery potential, both baseline MMSE and MoCA can improve baseline stroke severity score in predicting functional outcomes 3–6 months later. We have previously shown that these screening tests administered at the subacute stroke phase could also predict cognitive outcomes 3–6 months later.[6] Therefore, the predictive value and brevity of the MMSE and MoCA warrants their routine use in the subacute stroke phase in clinical service and early acute stroke trials. Future studies may establish a modified scale combining the NIHSS and items from the MMSE and MoCA to improve the predictive ability for functional outcome.

Characteristics N (%)	mF	RS 0–2	m	RS >2	Univariate Analysi
Characteristics IN (76)	(n	= 252)	(<i>n</i>	=136)	Р
Age, mean (SD)	57.9	(10.8)	63.8	(11.8)	< 0.001
Gender, female*	68	(27.0%)	52	(38.2%)	0.02
Education, <i>y</i> (mean, SD)	9.8	(4.3)	6.3	(3.7)	< 0.001
Ethnicity					0.09
Chinese	188	(74.6%)	87	(64.0%)	
Malay	40	(15.9%)	36	(26.5%)	
Indian	22	(8.7%)	12	(8.8%)	
Others	2	(0.8%)	1	(0.7 %)	
Stroke classification					0.001
SAO	114	(45.2%)	60	(44.1%)	
LAA	29	(11.5%)	30	(22.1%)	

Table 1. Population characteristics according to the functional outcome

Characteristics $N(\theta/)$	mR	AS 0-2	m	RS >2	Univariate Analysis	
Characteristics N (%)	(n=252)			=136)	Р	
СЕ	31	(12.3%)	25	(18.4%)		
UND	11	(4.4%)	7	(5.1%)		
OC	1	(0.4%)	1	(0.7%)		
TIA	66	(26.2%)	13	(9.6%)		
NIHSS (median)*	1		4		< 0.001	
Premorbid mRS (median)	0		0		<0.001	
Baseline mRS (median)*	1		3		< 0.001	
Mean interval between stroke/TIA and assessment	2.4	(2.0)	3.8	(2.5)	< 0.001	
Cognitive screening tests						
MMSE (mean, SD)	25.7	(3.3)	22.6	(4.3)	< 0.001	
MoCA (mean, SD)	22.0	(4.8)	18.1	(5.5)	< 0.001	
Number of cardiovascular risk factors (median)	2		3		< 0.001	

Characteristics $N(\theta/)$	mF	RS 0–2	n	nRS >2	Univariate Analysis
Characteristics N (%)	(<i>n</i>	= 252)	(n	n=136)	Р
Recurrent vascular events (no., %)	10	(4.0%)	8	(6.0%)	0.37

**P* <0.050 for logistic regression for binary outcome of mRS scores of 0-1 and ≥ 2 .

 mRS = modified Rankin scale; SD= Standard Deviations; SAO = small artery occlusion; LAA= large artery atherosclerosis; CE =

cardioembolism; UND = undetermined etiology; OC = other determined etiology; TIA= transient ischemic attack; NIHSS = National Institute

of Health Stroke Score; MMSE=Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA=Montreal Cognitive Assessment.



BMJ Open

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	54.10	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.47	0.012	8.48	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.51	0.038	29.00	< 0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.51	0.006	4.71	0.031
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.47	0.007	4.80	0.029
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.51	0.040	31.10	< 0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.51	0.004	3.02	0.083

Table 2. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS scores

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

-
2 3 4
3
Δ
-
5
6 7 8 9
7
1
8
9
10
10
11
12
40
13
14
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 25 26 27 28 9 30 31 23 34 35 37 38
10
10
17
18
40
19
20
21
~ 1
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
27
28
29
23
30
31
30
32
33
34
25
33
36
37
201
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
<u>4</u> 0

Table 3. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS for subsample of patients with NIHSS scores>2 at baseline

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	21.68	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.49	0.036	10.94	0.001
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.53	0.034	11.07	0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.53	0.021	6.83	0.010
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.49	0.029	8.51	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.52	0.040	12.07	0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.52	0.017	5.42	0.021

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

BMJ Open

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all participants for their involvement. They also thank the research team from NUHS Memory Aging and Cognition Centre for data collection and the NUHS Medical Publications Support Unit for its editorial support.
Contributors: Y. Dong drafted the original manuscript. Statistical analysis was conducted by Ms. Y Dong with the guidance from Dr. J Crawford. All authors contributed to one of: study design, conceptualization, analysis, interpretation of the data, drafting or revision of the

manuscript for intellectual content.

Funding: This work was supported by a Center Grant from the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/CG/NUHS/2010). Y. Dong has received research support by a NMRC fellowship training award.

Competing interests: None.

Patient consent: Obtained.

Ethics approval: National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Ethics Review Board.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

- 1 Toglia J, Fitzgerald KA, O'Dell MW, *et al.* The Mini-Mental State Examination and Montreal Cognitive Assessment in persons with mild subacute stroke: relationship to functional outcome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;**92**:792–8.
- 2 Zwecker M, Levenkrohn S, Fleisig Y, *et al.* Mini-Mental State Examination, Cognitive FIM Instrument, and the Loewenstein Occupational Therapy Cognitive Assessment: relation to functional outcome of stroke patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;**83**:342–5.
- 3 Wagle J, Farner L, Flekkøy K, *et al.* Early post-stroke cognition in stroke rehabilitation patients predicts functional outcome at 13 months. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011;**31**:379–7.
- 4 Khatri P, Conaway MR, Johnston KC; Acute Stroke Accurate Prediction Study (ASAP) Investigators. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012;**43**:560–2.
- 5 Kasner SE. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:603–12.
- 6 Dong Y, Venketasubramanian N, Chan BP, et al. Brief screening tests during acute admission in patients with mild stroke are predictive of vascular cognitive impairment 3-6 months after stroke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012;83:580–5.
- 7 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–98.
- 8 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–9.

1
2
3
2 3 4 5 6 7
4
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
40
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 22
20
21
22
23
24
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
02
33
34
35
36
00
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
43
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60

9 Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, et al. Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1995;76:406-12.

to been terier only



Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months after stroke: an observational study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-003105.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Jul-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Dong, Yanhong; National University Health System, Pharmacology Slavin, Melissa; The University of New South Wales Chan, Bernard; National University Health System, Medicine Venketasubramanian, Narayanaswamy; National University Health System, Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology; National University of Singapore, Division of Neurology, University Medicine Cluster Sharma, Vijay; National University Health System, Medicine Crawford, John; The University of New South Wales Collinson, Simon; National University of Singapore, Psychology Sachdev, Perminder; University of New South Wales, School of Psychiatry chen, christopher; National University Health System, Pharmacology
Primary Subject Heading :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, REHABILITATION MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional
outcome 3-6 months after stroke: an observational study
YanHong Dong, MAppSci; ^{1,2,3} Melissa Jane Slavin, PhD; ^{3,4} Bernard Poon-Lap Chan, MD; ⁵
Narayanaswamy Venketasubramanian, MD; ^{1,5,6} Vijay Kumar Sharma, MD; ⁵ John D
Crawford, PhD; ³ Simon Lowes Collinson, PhD; ⁷ Perminder Sachdev, MD, PhD; ³ Christopher
Li-Hsian Chen, MD. ^{1,2}
¹ Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology, National University
Health System, Singapore
² Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of
Singapore, Singapore
³ Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing and Dementia Collaborative Research Centre, School of
Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia
⁴ Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better Care, School of
Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia
⁵ Department of Medicine, National University Health System, Singapore
⁶ Neuroscience Clinic, Raffles Hospital, Singapore
⁷ Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore, Singapore
CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
Dr C L-H Chen
Department of Pharmacology

National University Health System

Clinical Research Centre, MD11, Level 5, #05-9

10 Medical Drive

Singapore 117597

Phone: +65 65165885

Fax: +65 68724101

Email: phccclh@nus.edu.sg

Number of words in abstract: 254 words

Number of words in manuscripts: 2283 words

Tables: 3

Figures: 0

Short title: Neurocognitive status predicts functional outcome after stroke

Key Words: Stroke, Predictive value of tests, Cognition, NIHSS, Functional outcome

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the prognostic value of the neurocognitive status measured by screening instruments, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), obtained at the subacute stroke phase or the baseline (≤ 2 weeks), for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Tertiary stroke neurology service.

Participants: 400 patients with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) received NIHSS, MoCA and MMSE at baseline and were followed up at 3-6 months later.
Primary outcome measures: At 3-6 months following index event, functional outcome was measured by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores.

Results: Baseline NIHSS, MMSE and MoCA scores individually predicted mRS scores at 3– 6 months, with NIHSS being the strongest predictor (NIHSS: R²change=0.043, p<0.001). Moreover, baseline MMSE scores had a small but statistically significant incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months, while baseline MoCA scores did not [MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083]. However, in patients with more severe stroke at baseline (defined as NIHSS>2), both baseline MoCA and MMSE had significant and moderately large incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months [MMSE: R²changes=0.021,

p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, *p*=0.021].

Conclusion: Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently and improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later, particularly in patients with more severe stroke.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- The prognostic value of neurocognitive status measured by brief screening instruments, the MoCA and the MMSE, individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), at subacute stroke phase for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.
- We examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS for functional outcome 3–6 months after stroke.
- We also explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

Key messages

- Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently. Neurocognitive status measured by baseline MMSE scores adds a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients.
- Additionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score ≥2, neurocognitive status measured by both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

Strength and limitations of this study

• The main strength of this study is that we examined the prognostic value of neurocognitive status (MoCA and MMSE) and stroke severity (NIHSS) at subacute

BMJ Open

2
3
4 5 6 7
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 7 18 9 21 22 32 4 26 7 8 9 30 132 33 4 56 7 8 9 20 12 23 24 26 27 8 9 30 132 33 4 56 7 8 9 30 30 33 34 35 36 37 8 9 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
20
აი იი
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
43 46
40
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60

stroke phase systematically as a singular measure and in combination for mRS scores 3–6 month later in a large sample of stroke patients and in patients with differing stroke severity.

- An additional strength is the choice of 3–6 month follow-up because patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Hence, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke.
- e did . The limitation of this study is that we did not systematically examine rehabilitation • services provided for patients from subacute stroke phase to 3-6 months follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

It is important for early intervention and focused management to have instruments available during the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome 3–6 months later. Cognitive performance measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) administered at the subacute stroke phase predicted patients' functional outcomes at inpatient discharge (1, 2). Furthermore, patients' post-stroke cognitive functioning measured by a brief neuropsychological battery 2–3 weeks following stroke predicted their functional outcomes 13 months later (3). No study yet has investigated the utility of patients' neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome at 3–6 months. A widely used stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), administered at the subacute stroke phase is predictive of patients' functional outcomes 3–6 months later (4), but has limited representation of cognitive function (5). Hence, whether the NIHSS and neurocognitive status at baseline are independently predictive or have additive predictive value for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.

We therefore examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS at the subacute stroke phase for functional outcome 3–6 months later. In addition, we explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

METHODS

Subjects

The methodology of this study has been described previously (6).[6] Briefly, we recruited 400 consecutive patients (≥ 21 years old) with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic

transient

BMJ Open

attack (TIA) (≤14 days) during their inpatient admission (subacute stroke phase or baseline) at the National University Health System in Singapore. Patients were excluded if they had a major physical disability or an active psychiatric disorder that would impede cognitive testing.

Standard protocol approvals and patient consent

This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was conducted in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or legally acceptable representatives.

Procedures

Patients were assessed using the NIHSS, modified Rankin Scale (mRS) (premorbid and baseline functioning), MMSE (7) and MoCA (8) at baseline. In addition, their mRS scores were collected at 3–6 months later. The NIHSS and mRS were administered by certified research personnel blinded to patients' neurocognitive status at baseline and 3-6 months follow-up. Similarly, the cognitive screening tests were administered by trained research psychologists blinded to patients' NIHSS and mRS scores. The patients' functional outcome was defined by the continuous scores of mRS.

Statistical analyses

Between-group differences were examined using independent-sample *t* test for quantitative variables and Pearson's χ^2 test for categorical variables. Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the incremental contribution of baseline MMSE and MoCA compared with the baseline NIHSS in predicting functional outcomes defined by mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke. In the initial analysis, the clinically-relevant or significant covariates (i.e., age, sex, years of education, number of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid and baseline mRS) were entered in the first block. The cognitive screening variables (MMSE or MoCA) at baseline were entered in the second block and the NIHSS scores at baseline

were entered in the third block (Model A1 and B1 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). Subsequently, the order of entry was altered, with baseline NIHSS scores entered in the second block and either baseline MMSE or MoCA entered at the third block (Model A2 and B2 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). In order to explore predictive value in patients with differing stroke severity, we dichotomized the baseline NIHSS scores using a median split and repeated these analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The recruited patients were mostly Chinese (70.3%) and male (69.8%), with a mean age of 59.8 (11.6) years and a mean of 7.7 (4.3) years of formal education. Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0). The median interval between the stroke or TIA event and assessment was 2 days (range: 0-14).

At 3–6 months following the index event, patients who were lost to follow-up (n=12)were younger and their clinical condition was stabilized faster than those who completed the follow-up (age: 52.8 ± 12.9 vs 60.0 ± 11.5 , p=0.03; interval days: 2.91 ± 2.31 vs 2.08 ± 0.90 , p=0.01). We defined favorable functional outcome as mRS score ≤ 1 and poor functional outcome as mRS score \geq 2. This dichotomized mRS scores for favorable and poor functional outcome is commonly used and is in keeping with the recommendation from previous analyses (9). The majority of the patients (n=252, 64.9%) had good functional outcomes (mRSscore ≤ 1) while approximately one third of the patients (*n*=136, 35.1%) had poor

BMJ Open

functional outcomes (mRS score ≥ 2). Patients with poor functional outcome were significantly older, women, of Malay ethnicity, less educated, more neurologically impaired with poorer premorbid and baseline functioning, and assessed later following cerebrovascular event. They also had more stroke classification of large artery occlusion and cardioembolic stroke, as well as higher number of cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, patients with poorer functional outcome had significantly lower scores of the MMSE and the MoCA. The population characteristics of patients with favorable and poor functional outcomes can be found in Table 1.

The Predictive Ability of the MoCA, MMSE and NIHSS

Using hierarchical regression analyses and controlling for baseline characteristics, the MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS—as singular measures—predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke (R² changes of 0.012, 0.007 and 0.043, with *p*-values 0.004, 0.029 and <0.001, respectively; Table 2). Baseline MMSE scores added a small but statistically significant prediction of functional outcomes in addition to baseline NIHSS scores, while baseline MoCA scores did not (MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083).

Using a median split of baseline NIHSS scores at 2, patients with NIHSS scores ≤ 2 (median =1, range 0–2) were defined as having less severe stroke and those with NIHSS score >2 (median = 5, range 3–18) were defined as having more severe stroke. As shown in Table 3, in patients with NIHSS score >2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA had a significant and considerable incremental prediction for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in addition to baseline NIHSS scores (MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021), while neither baseline neurocognitive measure nor baseline NIHSS showed an incremental prediction for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2).

DISCUSSION

Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome at early convalescent stroke phase independently. Baseline MMSE scores add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients. Additionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score \geq 2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

The contributions of our study are three-fold. First, our finding that neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase predicts functional outcome at 3–6 months is novel and consistent with previous studies in inpatient rehabilitation (1, 2) and at 13 months after stroke (3). Second, MMSE scores at baseline add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes 3-6 months later. Third, both baseline MMSE and MoCA showed a considerable incremental effect to the baseline NIHSS scores in predicting functional outcomes in patients with more severe stroke (NIHSS>2) while neither neurocognitive measure nor stroke severity score at baseline was predictive for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2). This may be explained by the higher recovery potential of patients with more neurological deficits compared to patients with less severe deficits.

There are several strengths of our study. First, we examined the prognostic value of NIHSS and cognitive status by systematically assessing baseline values individually and in combination in predicting patients' mRS scores 3–6 month after stroke. Although previous studies that investigated the predictive power of cognition included baseline NIHSS as a control variable (along with other baseline characteristics), they did not explore the role of

BMJ Open

baseline NIHSS as a predictor itself (1-3). Second, we chose the 3–6 month follow-up period because prognosis of functional recovery can be made reliably within 12 weeks after stroke (10), and patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Therefore, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke. Third, we examined the predictive ability of neurocognitive status and stroke severity measures at baseline for functional outcome at 3-6 months in patients with differing stroke severity.

There are several limitations of this study. First, our results may not be generalizable as the majority of patients had less severe stroke, nevertheless, a third had poor functional outcomes 3-6 months after stroke so better prognostic tools are required. Second, we employed cognitive screening tests at baseline rather than formal neuropsychological assessments, for their brevity and utility by non-specialist personnel. Third, we did not examine rehabilitation services systematically as this information was not collected. However, all patients received standard rehabilitation according to the institutional pathway. Fourth, the mRS has been criticized for its lack of specificity (5), however, it is a summary of outcomes in functioning and has been widely used in clinical trials as a primary efficacy measure. Last, we did not consider other predictive scores (e.g., PLAN score (11), iScore (12), six simple variable (13) and five simple variable scores (14)) for our models primarily due to the following reasons: 1) None of these scores include a cognitive measure.; 2) PLAN scores are developed using more severe functional outcome measure, such as mRS scores of 5 to 6 at discharge. Similarly, iScore has been used to estimate poor functional outcome defined by mRS 3 to 5.; 3) Six simple variable and five simple variable scores require Glasgow Coma Scale which we did not collect in this study. Therefore, we are unable to adopt these models to predict functional outcome in this study. However, in line with our aims, we included

significant and clinically relevant predictors as control variables (age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS) in our models.

In conclusion, 4.3% of the functional outcome after 3-6 months can be predicted early after admission by NIHSS, with its many functional and only few cognitive items. Premorbid and baseline factors alone however, explain almost half of the variance. In addition, neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase is independently predictive of functioning at early convalescent stroke phase. Baseline MMSE scores can add incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity score for functional outcome 3-6 months later. Moreover, in patients with more recovery potential, both baseline MMSE and MoCA can improve baseline stroke severity score in predicting functional outcomes 3–6 months later. We have previously shown that these screening tests administered at the subacute stroke phase could also predict cognitive outcomes 3–6 months later (6). In addition, MoCA administration has been reported to be applicable to the majority of acute stroke patients (ischemic or hemorrhagic) (82.5%), and therefore feasible to be used in acute stroke phase (15). Therefore, the predictive value and brevity of the MMSE and MoCA warrants their routine use in the subacute stroke phase in clinical service and early acute stroke trials. However, the current instruments (NIHSS in combination with MMSE or MoCA) could only predict for 51% of the functional outcome. Therefore, it would be helpful if a better prognostic tool can improve the prediction for functional outcome to 70%-80%. Future studies may establish a modified scale combining the NIHSS and items from the MMSE and MoCA to improve the predictive ability for functional outcome.

 BMJ Open

Characteristics N (%)	mRS 0–1 ($n = 252$)		$mRS \ge 2$ (n=136)		Univariate Analysis P
Gender, female	68	(27.0%)	52	(38.2%)	0.02
Education, y (mean, SD)	9.8	(4.3)	6.3	(3.7)	< 0.001
Ethnicity					0.039
Chinese	188	(74.6%)	87	(64.0%)	
Malay	40	(15.9%)	36	(26.5%)	
Indian and others	24	(9.5%)	13	(9.6%)	
Stroke classification					< 0.001
SAO	114	(45.2%)	60	(44.1%)	
LAA	29	(11.5%)	30	(22.1%)	
CE	31	(12.3%)	25	(18.4%)	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Characteristics $N(\theta_{i})$	mRS 0–1 ($n = 252$)		$mRS \ge 2$ (n=136)		Univariate Analysis P
Characteristics N (%)					
UND and OC	12	(4.8%)	8	(5.9%)	
TIA	66	(26.2%)	13	(9.6%)	
NIHSS (mean, SD)	1.46	(1.83)	5.04	(3.68)	< 0.001
Premorbid mRS (median)	0.06	(0.29)	0.54	(1.05)	< 0.001
Baseline mRS (median)	1.28	(1.20)	3.04	(1.21)	< 0.001
Mean interval (days) between stroke/TIA and	2.4		2.0	(2,5)	< 0.001
assessment	2.4	(2.0)	3.8	(2.5)	< 0.001
Cognitive screening tests					
MMSE (mean, SD)	25.7	(3.3)	22.6	(4.3)	< 0.001
MoCA (mean, SD)	22.0	(4.8)	18.1	(5.5)	< 0.001
Number of cardiovascular risk factors (median)	2		3		< 0.001
Recurrent vascular events (no., %)	10	(4.0%)	8	(6.0%)	0.37

	mRS 0-1	mRS ≥2	Univariate Analysis P
Characteristics N (%)	(n = 252)	(<i>n</i> = 136)	
mRS = modified Rankin scale; SD = Standa	rd Deviations; SAO = small artery occlusion	n; LAA= large artery atheros	clerosis; CE =
cardioembolism; UND = undetermined etiol	logy; OC = other determined etiology; TIA =	= transient ischemic attack; N	IHSS = National Institute
of Health Stroke Score; MMSE=Mini-Ment	tal State Examination; MoCA=Montreal Co	gnitive Assessment.	
	tal State Examination; MoCA=Montreal Co		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
7	
8	
9	
10	
14	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 24 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 24 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 24 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 24 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 20 \\ 31 \\ 20 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 1$	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
33	
34	
35	
36	
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
44	
46	
47	
48	
<u>1</u> 0	

Table 2. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3-6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS scores

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	54.10	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.47	0.012	8.48	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.51	0.038	29.00	< 0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.51	0.006	4.71	0.031
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.47	0.007	4.80	0.029
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.51	0.040	31.10	< 0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.51	0.004	3.02	0.083

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

BMJ Open

1	
2 3	
3	
4	
5	
5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
35	
27	
ა/ ეი	
38	
$\begin{array}{c} 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 19\\ 20\\ 22\\ 23\\ 22\\ 25\\ 27\\ 29\\ 30\\ 1\\ 32\\ 33\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 39\\ 0\\ 0\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ $	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
40	

Table 3. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS for subsample of patients with NIHSS scores>2 at baseline

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	21.68	< 0.001
Al	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.49	0.036	10.94	0.001
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.53	0.034	11.07	0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.53	0.021	6.83	0.010
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.49	0.029	8.51	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.52	0.040	12.07	0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.52	0.017	5.42	0.021

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

BMJ Open

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all participants for their involvement. They also thank the research team from NUHS Memory Aging and Cognition Centre for data collection and the NUHS Medical Publications Support Unit for its editorial support.

Contributors: Y. Dong drafted the original manuscript. Statistical analysis was conducted by Ms. Y Dong with the guidance from Dr. J Crawford. All authors contributed to one of: study design, conceptualization, analysis, interpretation of the data, drafting or revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

Funding: This work was supported by a Center Grant from the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/CG/NUHS/2010). Y. Dong has received research support by a NMRC fellowship training award.

Competing interests: None.

Patient consent: Obtained.

Ethics approval: National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Ethics Review Board.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

BMJ Open

REFERENCES

- 1 Toglia J, Fitzgerald KA, O'Dell MW, et al. The Mini-Mental State Examination and Montreal Cognitive Assessment in persons with mild subacute stroke: relationship to functional outcome. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2011;92:792–8.
- 2 Zwecker M, Levenkrohn S, Fleisig Y, *et al.* Mini-Mental State Examination, Cognitive FIM Instrument, and the Loewenstein Occupational Therapy Cognitive Assessment: relation to functional outcome of stroke patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;**83**:342–5.
- 3 Wagle J, Farner L, Flekkøy K, *et al.* Early post-stroke cognition in stroke rehabilitation patients predicts functional outcome at 13 months. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011;**31**:379–7.
- 4 Khatri P, Conaway MR, Johnston KC; Acute Stroke Accurate Prediction Study (ASAP) Investigators. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012;**43**:560–2.
- 5 Kasner SE. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:603–12.
- 6 Dong Y, Venketasubramanian N, Chan BP, *et al.* Brief screening tests during acute admission in patients with mild stroke are predictive of vascular cognitive impairment 3-6 months after stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;**83**:580–5.
- 7 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–98.
- 8 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–9.
- 9 Weisscher, N, Vermeulen M, Ross YB, *et al.* What should be defined as good outcome in stroke trials; a modified Rankin score of 0-1 or 0-2? *J Neurol* 2008;255:867-874.

- 10 Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, *et al.* Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995;**76**:406-12.
- 11 O'DonnelL MJ, Fang J, D'Uva C, et al. The PLAN Score: A bedside prediction rule for death and severe disability following acute ischemic stroke. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:1548-1556.
- 12 Saposnik G, Raptis S, Kapral MK, *et al.* The iScore predicts poor functional outcomes early after hospitalization for an acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2011;**42**:3421-3428.
- 13 Reid JM, Gubitz GJ, Dai D, et al. External validation of a six simple variable model of stroke outcome and verification in hyper-acute stoke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78:1390-1391.
- 14 Ayis SA, Coker B, Rudd AG, *et al.* Predicting independent survival after stroke: a European study for the development and validation of a standardised stroke scales and prediction models of outcome.*J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2013;**84**:288-296.
- 15 Pasi M, Salvadori E, Poggesi A, *et al.* Factors predicting the Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) applicability and performances in a stroke unit. *J Neurol* 2013;260:1518-1526.

BMJ Open

Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months after stroke: an observational study

YanHong Dong, MAppSci;^{1,2,3} Melissa Jane Slavin, PhD;^{3,4} Bernard Poon-Lap Chan, MD;⁵
 Narayanaswamy Venketasubramanian, MD;^{1,5,6} Vijay Kumar Sharma, MD;⁵ John D
 Crawford, PhD;³ Simon Lowes Collinson, PhD;⁷ Perminder Sachdev, MD, PhD;³ Christopher
 Li-Hsian Chen, MD.^{1,2}

¹Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology, National University Health System, Singapore

²Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

³Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing and Dementia Collaborative Research Centre, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia ⁴Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better Care, School of

Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia

⁵Department of Medicine, National University Health System, Singapore

⁶Neuroscience Clinic, Raffles Hospital, Singapore

⁷Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore, Singapore

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr C L-H Chen

Department of Pharmacology

National University Health System

Clinical Research Centre, MD11, Level 5, #05-9

10 Medical Drive

Singapore 117597

Phone: +65 65165885

Fax: +65 68724101

Email: phccclh@nus.edu.sg

Number of words in abstract: 254 words

Number of words in manuscripts: 22831981 words

Tables: 3

Figures: 0

Short title: Neurocognitive status predicts functional outcome after stroke

Key Words: Stroke, Predictive value of tests, Cognition, NIHSS, Functional outcome

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the prognostic value of the neurocognitive status measured by screening instruments, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), obtained at the subacute stroke phase or the baseline (≤ 2 weeks), for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Tertiary stroke neurology service.

Participants: 400 patients with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) received NIHSS, MoCA and MMSE at baseline and were followed up at 3-6 months later.
Primary outcome measures: At 3-6 months following index event, functional outcome was measured by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores.

Results: Baseline NIHSS, MMSE and MoCA scores individually predicted mRS scores at 3– 6 months, with NIHSS being the strongest predictor (NIHSS: R²change=0.043, p<0.001). Moreover, baseline MMSE scores had a small but statistically significant incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months, while baseline MoCA scores did not [MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083]. However, in patients with more severe stroke at baseline (defined as NIHSS>2), both baseline MoCA and MMSE had significant and moderately large incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months [MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021].

Conclusion: Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently and improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later, particularly in patients with more severe stroke.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- The prognostic value of neurocognitive status measured by brief screening instruments, the MoCA and the MMSE, individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), at subacute stroke phase for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.
- We examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS for functional outcome 3–6 months after stroke.
- We also explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

Key messages

- Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently. Neurocognitive status measured by baseline MMSE scores adds a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients.
- UnexpectedlyAdditionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score ≥>2, neurocognitive status measured by both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

Strength and limitations of this study

• The main strength of this study is that we examined the prognostic value of neurocognitive status (MoCA and MMSE) and stroke severity (NIHSS) at subacute

BMJ Open

stroke phase systematically as a singular measure and in combination for mRS scores 3-6 month later in a large sample of stroke patients and in patients with differing stroke severity.

- An additional strength is the choice of 3-6 month follow-up because patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Hence, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke.
- The limitation of this study is that we did not systematically examine rehabilitation services provided for patients from subacute stroke phase to 3-6 months follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

It is important for early intervention and focused management to have instruments available during the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome 3–6 months later. Cognitive performance measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) administered at the subacute stroke phase predicted patients' functional outcomes at inpatient discharge (1, 2).[1, 2] Furthermore, patients' post-stroke cognitive functioning measured by a brief neuropsychological battery 2–3 weeks following stroke predicted their functional outcomes 13 months later (3).[3] No study yet has investigated the utility of patients' neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome at 3–6 months. A widely used stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), administered at the subacute stroke phase is predictive of patients' functional outcomes 3–6 months later (4),[4] but has limited representation of cognitive function (5).[5] Hence, whether the NIHSS and neurocognitive status at baseline are independently predictive o-r have additive predictive value for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.

We therefore examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS at the subacute stroke phase for functional outcome 3–6 months later. In addition, we explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

METHODS

Subjects

The methodology of this study has been described previously <u>(6)</u>.[6] Briefly, we recruited 400 consecutive patients (\geq 21 years old) with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic

BMJ Open

attack (TIA) (≤14 days) during their inpatient admission (subacute stroke phase or baseline) at the National University Health System in Singapore. Patients were excluded if they had a major physical disability or an active psychiatric disorder that would impede cognitive testing.

Standard protocol approvals and patient consent

This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was conducted in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or legally acceptable representatives.

Procedures

Patients were assessed using the NIHSS, modified Rankin Scale (mRS) (premorbid and baseline functioning), MMSE([7]] and MoCA([8]] at baseline. In addition, their mRS scores were collected at 3–6 months later. The NIHSS and mRS were administered by certified research personnel blinded to patients' neurocognitive status at baseline and 3-6 months follow-up. Similarly, the cognitive screening tests were administered by trained research psychologists blinded to patients' NIHSS and mRS scores. The patients' functional outcome was defined by the continuous scores of mRS.

Statistical analyses

Between-group differences were examined using independent-sample *t* test for quantitative variables and Pearson's χ^2 test for categorical variables. Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the incremental contribution of baseline MMSE and MoCA compared with the baseline NIHSS in predicting functional outcomes defined by mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke. In the initial analysis, the clinically-relevant or significant covariates (i.e., age, sex, years of education, number of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid and baseline mRS) were entered in the first block. The cognitive screening variables (MMSE or MoCA) at baseline were entered in the second block and the NIHSS scores at baseline

> were entered in the third block (Model A1 and B1 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). Subsequently, the order of entry was altered, with baseline NIHSS scores entered in the second block and either baseline MMSE or MoCA entered at the third block (Model A2 and B2 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). In order to explore predictive value in patients with differing stroke severity, we dichotomized the baseline NIHSS scores using a median split and repeated these analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The recruited patients were mostly Chinese (70.3%) and male (69.8%), with a mean age of 59.8 (11.6) years and a mean of 7.7 (4.3) years of formal education. Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0). The median interval between the stroke or TIA event and assessment was 2 days (range: 0–14).

At 3–6 months following the index event, patients who were lost to follow-up (n=12) were younger and their clinical condition was stabilized faster than those who completed the follow-up (age: 52.8 ± 12.9 vs 60.0 ± 11.5 , p=0.03; interval days: 2.91 ± 2.31 vs 2.08 ± 0.90 , p=0.01). We defined favorable functional outcome as mRS score ≤ 1 and poor functional outcome as mRS score ≥ 2 . This dichotomized mRS scores for favorable and poor functional outcome is commonly used and is in keeping with the recommendation from previous analyses (9). The majority of the patients (n=252, 64.9%) had good functional outcomes (mRSscore ≤ 1) while approximately one third of the patients (n=136, 35.1%) had poor

BMJ Open

functional outcomes (mRS score \geq 2). Patients with poor functional outcome were significantly older, women, of Malay ethnicity, less educated, more neurologically impaired with poorer premorbid and baseline functioning, and assessed later following cerebrovascular event. They also had more stroke classification of large artery occlusion and cardioembolic stroke, as well as higher number of cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, patients with poorer functional outcome had significantly lower scores of the MMSE and the MoCA. The population characteristics of patients with favorable and poor functional outcomes can be found in Table 1.136 (35.1%) of patients had poor functional outcomes defined by mRS score >2 on follow-up. The population characteristics can be found in Table 1.

The Predictive Ability of the MoCA, MMSE and NIHSS

Using hierarchical regression analyses and controlling for baseline characteristics, the MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS—as singular measures—predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke (R² changes of 0.012, 0.007 and 0.043, with *p*-values 0.004, 0.029 and <0.001, respectively; Table 2). Baseline MMSE scores added a small but statistically significant prediction of functional outcomes in addition to baseline NIHSS scores, while baseline MoCA scores did not (MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083).

Using a median split of baseline NIHSS scores at 2, patients with NIHSS scores ≤ 2 (median =1, range 0–2) were defined as having less severe stroke and those with NIHSS score >2 (median = 5, range 3–18) were defined as having more severe stroke. As shown in Table 3, in patients with NIHSS score >2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA had a significant and considerable incremental prediction for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in addition to baseline NIHSS scores (MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021), while neither baseline neurocognitive measure nor baseline NIHSS showed an

incremental prediction for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2).

DISCUSSION

Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome at early convalescent stroke phase independently. Baseline MMSE scores add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients. <u>Additionally,Unexpectedly</u>, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score ≥>2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. <u>However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.</u>

The contributions of our study are three-fold. First, our finding that neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase predicts functional outcome at 3–6 months is novel and consistent with previous studies in inpatient rehabilitation $\{(1, 2)\}$ and at 13 months after stroke (3). [3] Second, MMSE scores at baseline add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes 3-6 months later. Third, both baseline MMSE and MoCA showed a considerable incremental effect to the baseline NIHSS scores in predicting functional outcomes in patients with more severe stroke (NIHSS>2) while neither neurocognitive measure nor stroke severity score at baseline was predictive for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2). This may be explained by the higher recovery potential of patients with more neurological deficits compared to patients with less severe deficits.

There are several strengths of our study. First, we examined the prognostic value of NIHSS and cognitive status by systematically assessing baseline values individually and in

BMJ Open

combination in predicting patients' mRS scores 3–6 month after stroke. Although previous studies that investigated the predictive power of cognition included baseline NIHSS as a control variable (along with other baseline characteristics), they did not explore the role of baseline NIHSS as a predictor itself (1-3). [1-3] Second, we chose the 3–6 month follow-up period because prognosis of functional recovery can be made reliably within 12 weeks after stroke (10),[9] and patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Therefore, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke. Third, we examined the predictive ability of neurocognitive status and stroke severity measures at baseline for functional outcome at 3-6 months in patients with differing stroke severity.

There are several limitations of this study. <u>First_Oo</u>ur results may not be generalizable as the majority of patients had less severe stroke, nevertheless, a third had poor functional outcomes 3–6 months after stroke so better prognostic tools are required. <u>Second</u>, <u>Ww</u>e employed cognitive screening tests at baseline rather than formal neuropsychological assessments, for their brevity and utility by non-specialist personnel. <u>Third</u>, <u>Ww</u>e did not examine rehabilitation services systematically as this_<u>-information was not collected study</u> was designed to investigate cognitive outcomes after stroke. However, all patients received standard rehabilitation according to the institutional pathway. <u>In additionFourth</u>, the mRS has been criticized for its lack of specificity <u>(5), [5]</u> however, it is a summary of outcomes in functioning and has been widely used in clinical trials as a primary efficacy measure. <u>Last</u>, we did not consider other predictive scores (e.g., PLAN score (11), iScore (12), six simple variable (13) and five simple variable scores (14)) for our models primarily due to the following reasons: 1) None of these scores include a cognitive measure.; 2) PLAN scores are developed using more severe functional outcome measure, such as mRS scores of 5 to 6 at **BMJ Open**

discharge. Similarly, iScore has been used to estimate poor functional outcome defined by mRS 3 to 5. ; 3) Six simple variable and five simple variable scores require Glasgow Coma Scale which we did not collect in this study. Therefore, we are unable to adopt these models to predict functional outcome in this study. However, in line with our aims, we included significant and clinically relevant predictors as control variables (age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS) in our models.

In conclusion, 4.3% of the functional outcome after 3-6 months can be predicted early after admission by NIHSS, with its many functional and only few cognitive items. Premorbid and baseline factors alone however, explain almost half of the variance. In addition, In conclusion, neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase is independently predictive of functioning at early convalescent stroke phase. Baseline MMSE scores can add incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity score for functional outcome 3-6 months later. Moreover, in patients with more recovery potential, both baseline MMSE and MoCA can improve baseline stroke severity score in predicting functional outcomes 3–6 months later. We have previously shown that these screening tests administered at the subacute stroke phase could also predict cognitive outcomes 3-6 months later (6). [6] In addition, MoCA administration has been reported to be applicable to the majority of acute stroke patients (ischemic or hemorrhagic) (82.5%), and therefore feasible to be used in acute stroke phase (15). Therefore, the predictive value and brevity of the MMSE and MoCA warrants their routine use in the subacute stroke phase in clinical service and early acute stroke trials. However, the current instruments (NIHSS in combination with MMSE or MoCA) could only predict for 51% of the functional outcome. Therefore, it would be helpful if a better prognostic tool can improve the prediction for functional outcome to 70%-80%.

BMJ Open

Future studies may establish a modified scale combining the NIHSS and items from the MMSE and MoCA to improve the predictive ability for functional outcome.

$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22$
3
-
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
20
20
27
28
29
30
31
22
32
33
34
35
36
37
30
30
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
<u>1</u> 0

Characteristics N (%)	m	RS 0– <u>1</u> 2	m	RS ≥≥ 2	Univariate Analysis	
		n = 252)	(<i>n</i> =136)		Р	
Age, mean (SD)	57.9	(10.8)	63.8	(11.8)	< 0.001	
Gender, female [*]	68	(27.0%)	52	(38.2%)	0.02	
Education, y (mean, SD)	9.8	(4.3)	6.3	(3.7)	< 0.001	
Ethnicity					0.0 <u>3</u> 9	
Chinese	188	(74.6%)	87	(64.0%)		
Malay	40	(15.9%)	36	(26.5%)		
Indian <u>and others</u>	2 2<u>4</u>	(<u>9.5</u> 8.7%)	1 <u>23</u>	(<u>9.6</u> 8.8%)		
Others	2	(0.8%)	4	(0.7 %)		
Stroke classification					≤0.001	
SAO	114	(45.2%)	60	(44.1%)		
LAA	29	(11.5%)	30	(22.1%)		

Table 1. Population characteristics according to the functional outcome defined by mRS scores at 3-6 months after stroke

1	
2	
3	
3 4	
5	
6	
7	
8 9 10	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 9 20	
39 40	
40 41	
41	
42 43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>4</u> 0	

Characteristics $N(0/)$	mRS	S 0– <u>1</u> 2	mR	S ≥≥ 2	Univariate Analysis	
Characteristics N (%)	(<i>n</i> =	= 252)	(<i>n</i> =	= 136)	Р	
СЕ	31	(12.3%)	25	(18.4%)		
UND and OC	1 <u>2</u> 4	(4. <u>8</u> 4%)	<u>8</u> 7	(5. <u>9</u> 4%)		
0C	4	(0.4%)	4	(0.7%)		
TIA	66	(26.2%)	13	(9.6%)		
NIHSS (median mean, SD)*	1 <u>.46</u>	(1.83)	<u>5.0</u> 4	<u>(3.68)</u>	< 0.001	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Premorbid mRS (median median)	0 <u>.06</u>	(0.29)	0 <u>.54</u>	<u>(1.05)</u>	<0.001	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Baseline mRS (<u>median</u>)*	1 <u>.28</u>	(1.20)	3 <u>.04</u>	(1.21)	< 0.001	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Mean interval (days) between stroke/TIA and assessment	2.4	(2.0)	3.8	(2.5)	< 0.001	Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt
Cognitive screening tests						
MMSE (mean, SD)	25.7	(3.3)	22.6	(4.3)	< 0.001	
MoCA (mean, SD)	22.0	(4.8)	18.1	(5.5)	< 0.001	
Number of cardiovascular risk factors (median)	2		3		<0.001	

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
5 6 7 8 9	
5 6 7 8 9	
7 8 9	
9	
9 10 11	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
10	
18	
19 20	
21	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32 33	
34	
35 36	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	
38 39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44 45	
45 46	
47	
48 ⊿q	

	mR	S 0– <u>1</u> 2	m	RS >≥ 2	Univariate Analysis	
Characteristics N (%)	(<i>n</i>	= 252)	(<i>n</i>	=136)	Р	
Recurrent vascular events (no., %)	10	(4.0%)	8	(6.0%)	0.37	
P < 0.050 for logistic regression for binary outcome of	fmRS scores of 0	$1 \text{ and } \geq 2.$				
nRS = modified Rankin scale; SD= Standard Deviatio	ons; SAO = small	artery occlusion;	LAA= large a	artery atheroscler	osis; CE =	
cardioembolism; UND = undetermined etiology; OC =	other determined	l etiology; TIA=	transient ische	emic attack; NIH	SS = National Institute	
of Health Stroke Score; MMSE=Mini-Mental State Ex	amination; MoC	A=Montreal Cogr	nitive Assessn	nent.		
					1	
					1	
For peer r	eview only - h	ttp://bmjopen.l	bmj.com/sit	e/about/guide	elines.xhtml	

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a	6	0.46	0.460	54.10	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.47	0.012	8.48	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.51	0.038	29.00	< 0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.51	0.006	4.71	0.031
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.47	0.007	4.80	0.029
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.51	0.040	31.10	< 0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.51	0.004	3.02	0.083

Table 2. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3-6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS scores

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
Q
0
9
10
11
40
12
13
14
15
10
16
17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 0
10
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
22
52
33
34
35
20
30
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
38
20
29
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
10
ДQ

Table 3. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS for subsample of patients with NIHSS scores>2 at baseline

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	21.68	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.49	0.036	10.94	0.001
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.53	0.034	11.07	0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.53	0.021	6.83	0.010
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.49	0.029	8.51	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.52	0.040	12.07	0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.52	0.017	5.42	0.021

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

BMJ Open

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all participants for their involvement. They also thank the research team from NUHS Memory Aging and Cognition Centre for data collection and the NUHS Medical Publications Support Unit for its editorial support.

Contributors: Y. Dong drafted the original manuscript. Statistical analysis was conducted by Ms. Y Dong with the guidance from Dr. J Crawford. All authors contributed to one of: study design, conceptualization, analysis, interpretation of the data, drafting or revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

Funding: This work was supported by a Center Grant from the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/CG/NUHS/2010). Y. Dong has received research support by a NMRC fellowship training award.

Competing interests: None.

Patient consent: Obtained.

Ethics approval: National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Ethics Review Board.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

- 1 Toglia J, Fitzgerald KA, O'Dell MW, *et al.* The Mini-Mental State Examination and Montreal Cognitive Assessment in persons with mild subacute stroke: relationship to functional outcome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;**92**:792–8.
- 2 Zwecker M, Levenkrohn S, Fleisig Y, et al. Mini-Mental State Examination, Cognitive FIM Instrument, and the Loewenstein Occupational Therapy Cognitive Assessment: relation to functional outcome of stroke patients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002;83:342–5.
- 3 Wagle J, Farner L, Flekkøy K, *et al.* Early post-stroke cognition in stroke rehabilitation patients predicts functional outcome at 13 months. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011;**31**:379–7.
- 4 Khatri P, Conaway MR, Johnston KC; Acute Stroke Accurate Prediction Study (ASAP) Investigators. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012;**43**:560–2.
- 5 Kasner SE. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;**5**:603–12.
- 6 Dong Y, Venketasubramanian N, Chan BP, et al. Brief screening tests during acute admission in patients with mild stroke are predictive of vascular cognitive impairment 3-6 months after stroke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012;83:580–5.
- 7 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–98.
- 8 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–9.
- 89 Weisscher, N, Vermeulen M, Ross YB, et al. What should be defined as good outcome in stroke trials; a modified Rankin score of 0-1 or 0-2? J Neurol 2008;255:867-874.

Formatted: Font: Bold

3
4
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 22 3 22 23 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2
26
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
30
30
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
53 54
55
56
57
58
59
60

<u>10</u> Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, *et al.* Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995;**76**:406-12.

- 11 O'DonnelL MJ, Fang J, D'Uva C, et al. The PLAN Score: A bedside prediction rule for death and severe disability following acute ischemic stroke. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:1548-1556.
- <u>12 Saposnik G, Raptis S, Kapral MK, et al. The iScore predicts poor functional outcomes</u> early after hospitalization for an acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2011;**42:**3421-3428.
- <u>13 Reid JM, Gubitz GJ, Dai D, et al. External validation of a six simple variable model of stroke outcome and verification in hyper-acute stoke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry</u> 2007;**78**:1390-1391.
- <u>14 Ayis SA, Coker B, Rudd AG, *et al.* Predicting independent survival after stroke: a European study for the development and validation of a standardised stroke scales and prediction models of outcome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2013;84:288-296.</u>
- 915 Pasi M, Salvadori E, Poggesi A, et al. Factors predicting the Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) applicability and performances in a stroke unit. J Neurol 2013;260:1518-1526.



Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months after mild stroke and transient ischemic attack: an observational study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-003105.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	02-Aug-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Dong, Yanhong; National University Health System, Pharmacology Slavin, Melissa; The University of New South Wales Chan, Bernard; National University Health System, Medicine Venketasubramanian, Narayanaswamy; National University Health System, Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology; National University of Singapore, Division of Neurology, University Medicine Cluster Sharma, Vijay; National University Health System, Medicine Crawford, John; The University of New South Wales Collinson, Simon; National University of Singapore, Psychology Sachdev, Perminder; University of New South Wales, School of Psychiatry chen, christopher; National University Health System, Pharmacology
Primary Subject Heading :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, GERIATRIC MEDICINE, REHABILITATION MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months after mild stroke and transient ischemic attack: an observational study

YanHong Dong, MAppSci;^{1,2,3} Melissa Jane Slavin, PhD;^{3,4} Bernard Poon-Lap Chan, MD;⁵

Narayanaswamy Venketasubramanian, MD;^{1,5,6} Vijay Kumar Sharma, MD;⁵ John D Crawford, PhD;³ Simon Lowes Collinson, PhD;⁷ Perminder Sachdev, MD, PhD;³ Christopher

Li-Hsian Chen, MD.^{1,2}

¹Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology, National University Health System, Singapore

²Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

³Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing and Dementia Collaborative Research Centre, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia ⁴Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better Care, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia ⁵Department of Medicine, National University Health System, Singapore

⁶Neuroscience Clinic, Raffles Hospital, Singapore

⁷Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore, Singapore

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr C L-H Chen

Department of Pharmacology

National University Health System

Clinical Research Centre, MD11, Level 5, #05-9

10 Medical Drive

Singapore 117597

Phone: +65 65165885

Fax: +65 68724101

Email: phccclh@nus.edu.sg

Number of words in abstract: 283 words

Number of words in manuscripts: 2378 words

Tables: 3

Figures: 0

Short title: Neurocognitive status predicts functional outcome after stroke

Key Words: Stroke, Predictive value of tests, Cognition, NIHSS, Functional outcome

BMJ Open

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the prognostic value of the neurocognitive status measured by screening instruments, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), obtained at the subacute stroke phase or the baseline (≤ 2 weeks), for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Tertiary stroke neurology service.

Participants: 400 patients with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) received NIHSS, MoCA and MMSE at baseline and were followed up at 3-6 months later.
Primary outcome measures: At 3-6 months following index event, functional outcome was measured by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores.

Results: Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0), while a minority of patients had TIA (20.3%). Baseline NIHSS, MMSE and MoCA scores individually predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months, with NIHSS being the strongest predictor (NIHSS: R²change=0.043, p<0.001). Moreover, baseline MMSE scores had a small but statistically significant incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months, while baseline MOCA scores did not [MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083]. However, in patients with more severe stroke at baseline (defined as NIHSS>2), both baseline MoCA and MMSE had significant and moderately large incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months [MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021].

Conclusion: Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently and improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later, particularly in patients with more severe stroke.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- The prognostic value of neurocognitive status measured by brief screening instruments, the MoCA and the MMSE, individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), at subacute stroke phase for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.
- We examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS for functional outcome 3–6 months after mild stroke and transient ischemic attack.
- We also explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

Key messages

- Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently. Neurocognitive status measured by baseline MMSE scores adds a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients.
- Additionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score ≥2, neurocognitive status measured by both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

BMJ Open

Strength and limitations of this study

- The main strength of this study is that we examined the prognostic value of neurocognitive status (MoCA and MMSE) and stroke severity (NIHSS) at subacute stroke phase systematically as a singular measure and in combination for mRS scores 3–6 month later in a large sample of stroke patients and in patients with differing stroke severity.
- An additional strength is the choice of 3–6 month follow-up because patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Hence, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke.
- The limitation of this study is that we did not systematically examine rehabilitation services provided for patients from subacute stroke phase to 3-6 months follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

It is important for early intervention and focused management to have instruments available during the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome 3–6 months later. Cognitive performance measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) administered at the subacute stroke phase predicted patients' functional outcomes at inpatient discharge (1, 2). Furthermore, patients' post-stroke cognitive functioning measured by a brief neuropsychological battery 2–3 weeks following stroke predicted their functional outcomes 13 months later (3). No study yet has investigated the utility of patients' neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome at 3–6 months. A widely used stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), administered at the subacute stroke phase is predictive of patients' functional outcomes 3–6 months later (4), but has limited representation of cognitive function (5). Hence, whether the NIHSS and neurocognitive status at baseline are independently predictive or have additive predictive value for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.

We therefore examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS at the subacute stroke phase for functional outcome 3–6 months later. In addition, we explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

METHODS

Subjects

The methodology of this study has been described previously (6).[6] Briefly, we recruited 400 consecutive patients (≥ 21 years old) with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic

been s old)

BMJ Open

attack (TIA) (\leq 14 days) during their inpatient admission (subacute stroke phase or baseline) at the National University Health System in Singapore. Patients were excluded if they had a major physical disability or an active psychiatric disorder that would impede cognitive testing.

Standard protocol approvals and patient consent

This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was conducted in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or legally acceptable representatives.

Procedures

Patients were assessed using the NIHSS, modified Rankin Scale (mRS) (premorbid and baseline functioning), MMSE (7) and MoCA (8) at baseline. In addition, their mRS scores were collected at 3–6 months later. The NIHSS and mRS were administered by certified research personnel blinded to patients' neurocognitive status at baseline and 3-6 months follow-up. Similarly, the cognitive screening tests were administered by trained research psychologists blinded to patients' NIHSS and mRS scores. The patients' functional outcome was defined by the continuous scores of mRS.

Statistical analyses

Between-group differences were examined using independent-sample *t* test for quantitative variables and Pearson's χ^2 test for categorical variables. Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the incremental contribution of baseline MMSE and MoCA compared with the baseline NIHSS in predicting functional outcomes defined by mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke. In the initial analysis, the clinically-relevant or significant covariates (i.e., age, sex, years of education, number of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid and baseline mRS) were entered in the first block. The cognitive screening variables (MMSE or MoCA) at baseline were entered in the second block and the NIHSS scores at baseline

BMJ Open

were entered in the third block (Model A1 and B1 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). Subsequently, the order of entry was altered, with baseline NIHSS scores entered in the second block and either baseline MMSE or MoCA entered at the third block (Model A2 and B2 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). In order to explore predictive value in patients with differing stroke severity, we dichotomized the baseline NIHSS scores using a median split and repeated these analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The recruited patients were mostly Chinese (70.3%) and male (69.8%), with a mean age of 59.8 (11.6) years and a mean of 7.7 (4.3) years of formal education. Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0), while a minority of patients had TIA (20.3%). The median interval between the stroke or TIA event and assessment was 2 days (range: 0–14).

At 3–6 months following the index event, patients who were lost to follow-up (n=12)were younger and their clinical condition was stabilized faster than those who completed the follow-up (age: 52.8 ± 12.9 vs 60.0 ± 11.5 , p=0.03; interval days: 2.91 ± 2.31 vs 2.08 ± 0.90 , p=0.01). We defined favorable functional outcome as mRS score ≤ 1 and poor functional outcome as mRS score \geq 2. This dichotomized mRS scores for favorable and poor functional outcome is commonly used and is in keeping with the recommendation from previous analyses (9). The majority of the patients (n=252, 64.9%) had good functional outcomes (mRSscore ≤ 1) while approximately one third of the patients (*n*=136, 35.1%) had poor

BMJ Open

functional outcomes (mRS score ≥ 2). Patients with poor functional outcome were significantly older, women, of Malay ethnicity, less educated, more neurologically impaired with poorer premorbid and baseline functioning, and assessed later following cerebrovascular event. They also had more stroke classification of large artery occlusion and cardioembolic stroke, as well as higher number of cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, patients with poorer functional outcome had significantly lower scores of the MMSE and the MoCA. The population characteristics of patients with favorable and poor functional outcomes can be found in Table 1.

The Predictive Ability of the MoCA, MMSE and NIHSS

Using hierarchical regression analyses and controlling for baseline characteristics, the MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS—as singular measures—predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke (R² changes of 0.012, 0.007 and 0.043, with *p*-values 0.004, 0.029 and <0.001, respectively; Table 2). Baseline MMSE scores added a small but statistically significant prediction of functional outcomes in addition to baseline NIHSS scores, while baseline MoCA scores did not (MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083).

Using a median split of baseline NIHSS scores at 2, patients with NIHSS scores ≤ 2 (median =1, range 0–2) were defined as having less severe stroke and those with NIHSS score >2 (median = 5, range 3–18) were defined as having more severe stroke. As shown in Table 3, in patients with NIHSS score >2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA had a significant and considerable incremental prediction for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in addition to baseline NIHSS scores (MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021), while neither baseline neurocognitive measure nor baseline NIHSS showed an incremental prediction for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2).

DISCUSSION

Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome at early convalescent stroke phase independently. Baseline MMSE scores add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients. Additionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score \geq 2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

The contributions of our study are three-fold. First, our finding that neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase predicts functional outcome at 3–6 months is novel and consistent with previous studies in inpatient rehabilitation (1, 2) and at 13 months after stroke (3). Second, MMSE scores at baseline add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes 3-6 months later. Third, both baseline MMSE and MoCA showed a considerable incremental effect to the baseline NIHSS scores in predicting functional outcomes in patients with more severe stroke (NIHSS>2) while neither neurocognitive measure nor stroke severity score at baseline was predictive for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2). This may be explained by the higher recovery potential of patients with more neurological deficits compared to patients with less severe deficits.

There are several strengths of our study. First, we examined the prognostic value of NIHSS and cognitive status by systematically assessing baseline values individually and in combination in predicting patients' mRS scores 3–6 month after stroke. Although previous studies that investigated the predictive power of cognition included baseline NIHSS as a control variable (along with other baseline characteristics), they did not explore the role of

BMJ Open

baseline NIHSS as a predictor itself (1-3). Second, we chose the 3–6 month follow-up period because prognosis of functional recovery can be made reliably within 12 weeks after stroke (10), and patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Therefore, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke. Third, we examined the predictive ability of neurocognitive status and stroke severity measures at baseline for functional outcome at 3-6 months in patients with differing stroke severity.

There are several limitations of this study. First, our results may not be generalizable as the majority of patients had less severe stroke, nevertheless, a third had poor functional outcomes 3-6 months after stroke so better prognostic tools are required. Second, we employed cognitive screening tests at baseline rather than formal neuropsychological assessments, for their brevity and utility by non-specialist personnel. Third, we did not examine rehabilitation services systematically as this information was not collected. However, all patients received standard rehabilitation according to the institutional pathway. Fourth, the mRS has been criticized for its lack of specificity (5), however, it is a summary of outcomes in functioning and has been widely used in clinical trials as a primary efficacy measure. Last, we did not consider other predictive scores (e.g., PLAN score (11), iScore (12), six simple variable (13) and five simple variable scores (14)) for our models primarily due to the following reasons: 1) None of these scores include a cognitive measure.; 2) PLAN scores are developed using more severe functional outcome measure, such as mRS scores of 5 to 6 at discharge. Similarly, iScore has been used to estimate poor functional outcome defined by mRS 3 to 5.; 3) Six simple variable and five simple variable scores require Glasgow Coma Scale which we did not collect in this study. Therefore, we are unable to adopt these models to predict functional outcome in this study. However, in line with our aims, we included

significant and clinically relevant predictors as control variables (age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS) in our models. Our prediction models can be applied to patients with mild ischemic stroke and TIA, especially in those with NIHSS score >2. The routine cognitive screening at subacute stroke phase with either MoCA or MMSE could add incremental predictive value to the NIHSS of patients with NIHSS score >2 for functional outcomes at 3-6 months. However, this model has yet to be validated externally, therefore it may not be generalizable to other stroke population.

In conclusion, 4.3% of the functional outcome after 3-6 months can be predicted early after admission by NIHSS, with its many functional and only few cognitive items. Premorbid and baseline factors alone however, explain almost half of the variance. In addition, neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase is independently predictive of functioning at early convalescent stroke phase. Baseline MMSE scores can add incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity score for functional outcome 3-6 months later. Moreover, in patients with more recovery potential, both baseline MMSE and MoCA can improve baseline stroke severity score in predicting functional outcomes 3–6 months later. We have previously shown that these screening tests administered at the subacute stroke phase could also predict cognitive outcomes 3–6 months later (6). In addition, MoCA administration has been reported to be applicable to the majority of acute stroke patients (ischemic or hemorrhagic) (82.5%), and therefore feasible to be used in acute stroke phase (15). Therefore, the predictive value and brevity of the MMSE and MoCA warrants their routine use in the subacute stroke phase in clinical service and early acute stroke trials. However, the current instruments (NIHSS in combination with MMSE or MoCA) could only predict for 51% of the functional outcome. Therefore, it would be helpful if a better prognostic tool can improve the prediction

Characteristics $N(9/)$	mI	RS 0–1	n	$nRS \ge 2$	Univariate Analysis
Characteristics N (%)	(n	(n = 252)		e = 136)	Р
Age, mean (SD)	57.9	(10.8)	63.8	(11.8)	< 0.001
Gender, female	68	(27.0%)	52	(38.2%)	0.02
Education, y (mean, SD)	9.8	(4.3)	6.3	(3.7)	< 0.001
Ethnicity					0.039
Chinese	188	(74.6%)	87	(64.0%)	
Malay	40	(15.9%)	36	(26.5%)	
Indian and others	24	(9.5%)	13	(9.6%)	
Stroke classification					< 0.001
SAO	114	(45.2%)	60	(44.1%)	
LAA	29	(11.5%)	30	(22.1%)	
CE	31	(12.3%)	25	(18.4%)	

Characteristics $N(0/)$	mRS 0–1 $(n = 252)$		$mRS \ge 2$ $(n = 136)$		Univariate Analysis P
Characteristics N (%)					
UND and OC	12	(4.8%)	8	(5.9%)	
TIA	66	(26.2%)	13	(9.6%)	
NIHSS (mean, SD)	1.46	(1.83)	5.04	(3.68)	< 0.001
Premorbid mRS (median)	0.06	(0.29)	0.54	(1.05)	< 0.001
Baseline mRS (median)	1.28	(1.20)	3.04	(1.21)	< 0.001
Mean interval (days) between stroke/TIA and	2.1		2.0	(2,5)	< 0.001
assessment	2.4	(2.0)	3.8	(2.5)	< 0.001
Cognitive screening tests					
MMSE (mean, SD)	25.7	(3.3)	22.6	(4.3)	< 0.001
MoCA (mean, SD)	22.0	(4.8)	18.1	(5.5)	< 0.001
Number of cardiovascular risk factors (median)	2		3		< 0.001
Recurrent vascular events (no., %)	10	(4.0%)	8	(6.0%)	0.37

Characteristics N (0/)	mRS 0–1	$mRS \ge 2$	Univariate Analysis
Characteristics N (%)	(n = 252)	(<i>n</i> = 136)	Р

mRS = modified Rankin scale; SD= Standard Deviations; SAO = small artery occlusion; LAA= large artery atherosclerosis; CE =

cardioembolism; UND = undetermined etiology; OC = other determined etiology; TIA= transient ischemic attack; NIHSS = National Institute

of Health Stroke Score; MMSE=Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA=Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

BMJ Open

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	54.10	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.47	0.012	8.48	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.51	0.038	29.00	< 0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.51	0.006	4.71	0.031
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.47	0.007	4.80	0.029
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.51	0.040	31.10	< 0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.51	0.004	3.02	0.083

Table 2. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS scores

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 $	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
20	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
23 20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
20	
30	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
41	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>4</u> 0	

Table 3. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS for subsample of patients with NIHSS scores>2 at baseline

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	21.68	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.49	0.036	10.94	0.001
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.53	0.034	11.07	0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.53	0.021	6.83	0.010
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.49	0.029	8.51	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.52	0.040	12.07	0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.52	0.017	5.42	0.021

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

BMJ Open

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all participants for their involvement. They also thank the research team from NUHS Memory Aging and Cognition Centre for data collection and the NUHS Medical Publications Support Unit for its editorial support.
Contributors: Y. Dong drafted the original manuscript. Statistical analysis was conducted by Ms. Y Dong with the guidance from Dr. J Crawford. All authors contributed to one of: study design, conceptualization, analysis, interpretation of the data, drafting or revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

Funding: This work was supported by a Center Grant from the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/CG/NUHS/2010). Y. Dong has received research support by a NMRC fellowship training award.

Competing interests: None.

Patient consent: Obtained.

Ethics approval: National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Ethics Review Board.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

- 1 Toglia J, Fitzgerald KA, O'Dell MW, *et al.* The Mini-Mental State Examination and Montreal Cognitive Assessment in persons with mild subacute stroke: relationship to functional outcome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;**92**:792–8.
- 2 Zwecker M, Levenkrohn S, Fleisig Y, *et al.* Mini-Mental State Examination, Cognitive FIM Instrument, and the Loewenstein Occupational Therapy Cognitive Assessment: relation to functional outcome of stroke patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;**83**:342–5.
- 3 Wagle J, Farner L, Flekkøy K, *et al.* Early post-stroke cognition in stroke rehabilitation patients predicts functional outcome at 13 months. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011;**31**:379–7.
- 4 Khatri P, Conaway MR, Johnston KC; Acute Stroke Accurate Prediction Study (ASAP) Investigators. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012;**43**:560–2.
- 5 Kasner SE. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:603–12.
- 6 Dong Y, Venketasubramanian N, Chan BP, *et al.* Brief screening tests during acute admission in patients with mild stroke are predictive of vascular cognitive impairment 3-6 months after stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;**83**:580–5.
- 7 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–98.
- 8 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–9.
- 9 Weisscher, N, Vermeulen M, Ross YB, *et al.* What should be defined as good outcome in stroke trials; a modified Rankin score of 0-1 or 0-2? *J Neurol* 2008;255:867-874.

BMJ Open

2
3
4 5 6
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
10
10
17
18
19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 0
20
21
22
23
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50 51
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 10 Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, *et al.* Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995;**76**:406-12.
- 11 O'DonnelL MJ, Fang J, D'Uva C, et al. The PLAN Score: A bedside prediction rule for death and severe disability following acute ischemic stroke. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:1548-1556.
- 12 Saposnik G, Raptis S, Kapral MK, *et al.* The iScore predicts poor functional outcomes early after hospitalization for an acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2011;**42**:3421-3428.
- 13 Reid JM, Gubitz GJ, Dai D, et al. External validation of a six simple variable model of stroke outcome and verification in hyper-acute stoke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78:1390-1391.
- 14 Ayis SA, Coker B, Rudd AG, *et al.* Predicting independent survival after stroke: a European study for the development and validation of a standardised stroke scales and prediction models of outcome.*J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2013;**84**:288-296.
- 15 Pasi M, Salvadori E, Poggesi A, *et al.* Factors predicting the Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) applicability and performances in a stroke unit. *J Neurol* 2013;260:1518-1526.

Cognitive screening improves the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months after <u>mild</u> stroke <u>and transient ischemic attack</u>: an observational study

YanHong Dong, MAppSci;^{1,2,3} Melissa Jane Slavin, PhD;^{3,4} Bernard Poon-Lap Chan, MD;⁵

Narayanaswamy Venketasubramanian, MD;^{1,5,6} Vijay Kumar Sharma, MD;⁵ John D Crawford, PhD;³ Simon Lowes Collinson, PhD;⁷ Perminder Sachdev, MD, PhD;³ Christopher

Li-Hsian Chen, MD.^{1,2}

¹Memory Aging and Cognition Centre, Department of Pharmacology, National University Health System, Singapore

²Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

³Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing and Dementia Collaborative Research Centre, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia ⁴Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better Care, School of Psychiatry, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia

⁵Department of Medicine, National University Health System, Singapore

⁶Neuroscience Clinic, Raffles Hospital, Singapore

⁷Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore, Singapore

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr C L-H Chen

Department of Pharmacology

National University Health System

ა ⊿
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
10
12 13
13
14
13 14 15 16 17
16
17
18
19
20
21
∠ I 20
22
20 21 22 23 24 25 26
24
25
23 26 27 28
27
28
·)u
20
30
31
31 32
33
34 35 36 37 38 39 40
35
36
37
20
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
40 47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55 56
00
57
58
59
60

Clinical Research Centre, MD11, Level 5, #05-9

10 Medical Drive

Singapore 117597

Phone: +65 65165885

Fax: +65 68724101

Email: phccclh@nus.edu.sg

Number of words in abstract: 28354 words

Number of words in manuscripts: 2378283 words

Tables: 3

Figures: 0

Short title: Neurocognitive status predicts functional outcome after stroke

Key Words: Stroke, Predictive value of tests, Cognition, NIHSS, Functional outcome

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the prognostic value of the neurocognitive status measured by screening instruments, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), obtained at the subacute stroke phase or the baseline (≤ 2 weeks), for functional outcome 3–6 months later.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Tertiary stroke neurology service.

Participants: 400 patients with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) received NIHSS, MoCA and MMSE at baseline and were followed up at 3-6 months later.
Primary outcome measures: At 3-6 months following index event, functional outcome was measured by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores.

Results: Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median

NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0), while a minority of patients had

TIA (20.3%). Baseline NIHSS, MMSE and MoCA scores individually predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months, with NIHSS being the strongest predictor (NIHSS: R²change=0.043, p<0.001). Moreover, baseline MMSE scores had a small but statistically significant incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months, while baseline MoCA scores did not [MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083]. However, in patients with more severe stroke at baseline (defined as NIHSS>2), both baseline MoCA and MMSE had significant and moderately large incremental predictive value to the baseline NIHSS for mRS scores at 3-6 months [MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021].

BMJ Open

Conclusion: Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently and improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later, particularly in patients with more severe stroke.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- The prognostic value of neurocognitive status measured by brief screening instruments, the MoCA and the MMSE, individually and in combination with the stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), at subacute stroke phase for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.
- We examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS for functional outcome 3–6 months after <u>mild</u> stroke <u>and</u> <u>transient ischemic attack</u>.
- We also explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

Key messages

- Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome independently. Neurocognitive status measured by baseline MMSE scores adds a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients.
- Additionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score ≥2, neurocognitive status measured by both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

Strength and limitations of this study

- The main strength of this study is that we examined the prognostic value of neurocognitive status (MoCA and MMSE) and stroke severity (NIHSS) at subacute stroke phase systematically as a singular measure and in combination for mRS scores 3–6 month later in a large sample of stroke patients and in patients with differing stroke severity.
- An additional strength is the choice of 3–6 month follow-up because patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Hence, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke.
- The limitation of this study is that we did not systematically examine rehabilitation services provided for patients from subacute stroke phase to 3-6 months follow-up.

BMJ Open

INTRODUCTION

It is important for early intervention and focused management to have instruments available during the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome 3–6 months later. Cognitive performance measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) administered at the subacute stroke phase predicted patients' functional outcomes at inpatient discharge (1, 2). Furthermore, patients' post-stroke cognitive functioning measured by a brief neuropsychological battery 2–3 weeks following stroke predicted their functional outcomes 13 months later (3). No study yet has investigated the utility of patients' neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase for predicting functional outcome at 3–6 months. A widely used stroke severity scale, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), administered at the subacute stroke phase is predictive of patients' functional outcomes 3–6 months later (4), but has limited representation of cognitive function (5). Hence, whether the NIHSS and neurocognitive status at baseline are independently predictive or have additive predictive value for functional outcomes at 3–6 months is unknown.

We therefore examined the predictive ability of MMSE and MoCA individually and in combination with the NIHSS at the subacute stroke phase for functional outcome 3–6 months later. In addition, we explored the predictive ability of these measures in patients with differing stroke severity.

METHODS

Subjects

The methodology of this study has been described previously (6).[6] Briefly, we recruited 400 consecutive patients (≥ 21 years old) with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic

attack (TIA) (≤14 days) during their inpatient admission (subacute stroke phase or baseline) at the National University Health System in Singapore. Patients were excluded if they had a major physical disability or an active psychiatric disorder that would impede cognitive testing.

Standard protocol approvals and patient consent

This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was conducted in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or legally acceptable representatives.

Procedures

Patients were assessed using the NIHSS, modified Rankin Scale (mRS) (premorbid and baseline functioning), MMSE (7) and MoCA (8) at baseline. In addition, their mRS scores were collected at 3–6 months later. The NIHSS and mRS were administered by certified research personnel blinded to patients' neurocognitive status at baseline and 3-6 months follow-up. Similarly, the cognitive screening tests were administered by trained research psychologists blinded to patients' NIHSS and mRS scores. The patients' functional outcome was defined by the continuous scores of mRS.

Statistical analyses

Between-group differences were examined using independent-sample *t* test for quantitative variables and Pearson's χ^2 test for categorical variables. Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the incremental contribution of baseline MMSE and MoCA compared with the baseline NIHSS in predicting functional outcomes defined by mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke. In the initial analysis, the clinically-relevant or significant covariates (i.e., age, sex, years of education, number of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid and baseline mRS) were entered in the first block. The cognitive screening variables (MMSE or MoCA) at baseline were entered in the second block and the NIHSS scores at baseline

BMJ Open

were entered in the third block (Model A1 and B1 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). Subsequently, the order of entry was altered, with baseline NIHSS scores entered in the second block and either baseline MMSE or MoCA entered at the third block (Model A2 and B2 for MMSE and MoCA, respectively). In order to explore predictive value in patients with differing stroke severity, we dichotomized the baseline NIHSS scores using a median split and repeated these analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The recruited patients were mostly Chinese (70.3%) and male (69.8%), with a mean age of 59.8 (11.6) years and a mean of 7.7 (4.3) years of formal education. Most patients (79.8%) had a mild ischemic stroke and less disability (median NIHSS =2, median mRS =2, median premorbid mRS =0), while a minority of patients had TIA (20.3%). The median interval between the stroke or TIA event and assessment was 2 days (range: 0–14).

At 3–6 months following the index event, patients who were lost to follow-up (n=12) were younger and their clinical condition was stabilized faster than those who completed the follow-up (age: $52.8\pm12.9 \text{ vs } 60.0\pm11.5$, p=0.03; interval days: $2.91\pm2.31 \text{ vs } 2.08\pm0.90$, p=0.01). We defined favorable functional outcome as mRS score ≤ 1 and poor functional outcome as mRS score ≥ 2 . This dichotomized mRS scores for favorable and poor functional outcome is commonly used and is in keeping with the recommendation from previous analyses (9). The majority of the patients (n=252, 64.9%) had good functional outcomes (mRSscore ≤ 1) while approximately one third of the patients (n=136, 35.1%) had poor

functional outcomes (mRS score ≥ 2). Patients with poor functional outcome were significantly older, women, of Malay ethnicity, less educated, more neurologically impaired with poorer premorbid and baseline functioning, and assessed later following cerebrovascular event. They also had more stroke classification of large artery occlusion and cardioembolic stroke, as well as higher number of cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, patients with poorer functional outcome had significantly lower scores of the MMSE and the MoCA. The population characteristics of patients with favorable and poor functional outcomes can be found in Table 1.

The Predictive Ability of the MoCA, MMSE and NIHSS

Using hierarchical regression analyses and controlling for baseline characteristics, the MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS—as singular measures—predicted mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke (R² changes of 0.012, 0.007 and 0.043, with *p*-values 0.004, 0.029 and <0.001, respectively; Table 2). Baseline MMSE scores added a small but statistically significant prediction of functional outcomes in addition to baseline NIHSS scores, while baseline MoCA scores did not (MMSE: R²changes=0.006, p=0.03; MoCA: R²changes= 0.004, p=0.083).

Using a median split of baseline NIHSS scores at 2, patients with NIHSS scores ≤ 2 (median =1, range 0–2) were defined as having less severe stroke and those with NIHSS score >2 (median = 5, range 3–18) were defined as having more severe stroke. As shown in Table 3, in patients with NIHSS score >2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA had a significant and considerable incremental prediction for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in addition to baseline NIHSS scores (MMSE: R²changes=0.021, p=0.010; MoCA: R²changes=0.017, p=0.021), while neither baseline neurocognitive measure nor baseline NIHSS showed an incremental prediction for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2).

BMJ Open

DISCUSSION

Cognitive screening at the subacute stroke phase can predict functional outcome at early convalescent stroke phase independently. Baseline MMSE scores add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes at 3-6 months in stroke patients. Additionally, in patients with more severe stroke defined by baseline NIHSS score \geq 2, both baseline MMSE and MoCA improve the predictive value of stroke severity scores for functional outcome 3–6 months later. However, the incremental predictive value of the MMSE and MoCA is relatively smaller than the NIHSS.

The contributions of our study are three-fold. First, our finding that neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase predicts functional outcome at 3–6 months is novel and consistent with previous studies in inpatient rehabilitation (1, 2) and at 13 months after stroke (3). Second, MMSE scores at baseline add a small incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity scores for functional outcomes 3-6 months later. Third, both baseline MMSE and MoCA showed a considerable incremental effect to the baseline NIHSS scores in predicting functional outcomes in patients with more severe stroke (NIHSS>2) while neither neurocognitive measure nor stroke severity score at baseline was predictive for functional outcome in patients with less severe stroke (NIHSS score ≤ 2). This may be explained by the higher recovery potential of patients with more neurological deficits compared to patients with less severe deficits.

There are several strengths of our study. First, we examined the prognostic value of NIHSS and cognitive status by systematically assessing baseline values individually and in combination in predicting patients' mRS scores 3–6 month after stroke. Although previous studies that investigated the predictive power of cognition included baseline NIHSS as a control variable (along with other baseline characteristics), they did not explore the role of

baseline NIHSS as a predictor itself (1-3). Second, we chose the 3–6 month follow-up period because prognosis of functional recovery can be made reliably within 12 weeks after stroke (10), and patients were more likely to resume their daily activities and usual roles within this time frame. Therefore, the findings established in this study can guide early intervention from baseline to 3-6 months after stroke. Third, we examined the predictive ability of neurocognitive status and stroke severity measures at baseline for functional outcome at 3-6 months in patients with differing stroke severity.

There are several limitations of this study. First, our results may not be generalizable as the majority of patients had less severe stroke, nevertheless, a third had poor functional outcomes 3-6 months after stroke so better prognostic tools are required. Second, we employed cognitive screening tests at baseline rather than formal neuropsychological assessments, for their brevity and utility by non-specialist personnel. Third, we did not examine rehabilitation services systematically as this information was not collected-. However, all patients received standard rehabilitation according to the institutional pathway. Fourth, the mRS has been criticized for its lack of specificity (5), however, it is a summary of outcomes in functioning and has been widely used in clinical trials as a primary efficacy measure. Last, we did not consider other predictive scores (e.g., PLAN score (11), iScore (12), six simple variable (13) and five simple variable scores (14)) for our models primarily due to the following reasons: 1) None of these scores include a cognitive measure.; 2) PLAN scores are developed using more severe functional outcome measure, such as mRS scores of 5 to 6 at discharge. Similarly, iScore has been used to estimate poor functional outcome defined by mRS 3 to 5.; 3) Six simple variable and five simple variable scores require Glasgow Coma Scale which we did not collect in this study. Therefore, we are unable to adopt these models to predict functional outcome in this study. However, in line with our aims, we included

BMJ Open

significant and clinically relevant predictors as control variables (age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS) in our models. Our prediction models can be applied to patients with mild ischemic stroke and TIA, especially in those with NIHSS score >2. The routine cognitive screening at subacute stroke phase with either MoCA or MMSE could add incremental predictive value to the NIHSS of patients with NIHSS score >2 for functional outcomes at 3-6 months. However, this model has yet to be validated externally, therefore it may not be generalizable to other stroke population.

In conclusion, 4.3% of the functional outcome after 3-6 months can be predicted early after admission by NIHSS, with its many functional and only few cognitive items. Premorbid and baseline factors alone however, explain almost half of the variance. In addition, neurocognitive status at the subacute stroke phase is independently predictive of functioning at early convalescent stroke phase. Baseline MMSE scores can add incremental prediction to baseline stroke severity score for functional outcome 3-6 months later. Moreover, in patients with more recovery potential, both baseline MMSE and MoCA can improve baseline stroke severity score in predicting functional outcomes 3–6 months later. We have previously shown that these screening tests administered at the subacute stroke phase could also predict cognitive outcomes 3–6 months later (6). In addition, MoCA administration has been reported to be applicable to the majority of acute stroke patients (ischemic or hemorrhagic) (82.5%), and therefore feasible to be used in acute stroke phase (15). Therefore, the predictive value and brevity of the MMSE and MoCA warrants their routine use in the subacute stroke phase in clinical service and early acute stroke trials. However, the current instruments (NIHSS in combination with MMSE or MoCA) could only predict for 51% of the functional outcome. Therefore, it would be helpful if a better prognostic tool can improve the prediction

<text>

Characteristics $N(0/)$	mI	RS 0–1	n	$nRS \ge 2$	Univariate Analysis	
Characteristics N (%)	(n	(n = 252)		e=136)	Р	
Age, mean (SD)	57.9	(10.8)	63.8	(11.8)	< 0.001	
Gender, female	68	(27.0%)	52	(38.2%)	0.02	
Education, y (mean, SD)	9.8	(4.3)	6.3	(3.7)	< 0.001	
Ethnicity					0.039	
Chinese	188	(74.6%)	87	(64.0%)		
Malay	40	(15.9%)	36	(26.5%)		
Indian and others	24	(9.5%)	13	(9.6%)		
Stroke classification					< 0.001	
SAO	114	(45.2%)	60	(44.1%)		
LAA	29	(11.5%)	30	(22.1%)		
CE	31	(12.3%)	25	(18.4%)		

	mR	S 0–1	mI	$RS \ge 2$	Univariate Analysi
Characteristics N (%)	(n = 252)		(<i>n</i> = 136)		Р
UND and OC	12	(4.8%)	8	(5.9%)	
TIA	66	(26.2%)	13	(9.6%)	
NIHSS (mean, SD)	1.46	(1.83)	5.04	(3.68)	< 0.001
Premorbid mRS (median)	0.06	(0.29)	0.54	(1.05)	<0.001
Baseline mRS (median)	1.28	(1.20)	3.04	(1.21)	< 0.001
Mean interval (days) between stroke/TIA and			2.0		<0.001
assessment	2.4	(2.0)	3.8	(2.5)	< 0.001
Cognitive screening tests					
MMSE (mean, SD)	25.7	(3.3)	22.6	(4.3)	< 0.001
MoCA (mean, SD)	22.0	(4.8)	18.1	(5.5)	< 0.001
Number of cardiovascular risk factors (median)	2		3		< 0.001
Recurrent vascular events (no., %)	10	(4.0%)	8	(6.0%)	0.37

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	mRS 0–1	mRS ≥2	Univariate Analysi
Characteristics N (%)	(n = 252)	(<i>n</i> = 136)	Р
mRS = modified Rankin scale; SD = Standar	d Deviations; SAO = small artery occlusion	n; LAA= large artery atheros	clerosis; CE =
cardioembolism; UND = undetermined etiole	ogy; OC = other determined etiology; TIA	= transient ischemic attack; N	NIHSS = National Institute
of Health Stroke Score; MMSE=Mini-Menta	al State Examination; MoCA=Montreal Co	gnitive Assessment.	
	al State Examination; MoCA=Montreal Co		

2	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
q	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 10 11 2 3 4 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
19	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
21	
ა4 ინ	
35	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	
37	
38	
39	
39 40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>1</u> 0	

Table 2. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3-6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS scores

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	54.10	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.47	0.012	8.48	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.51	0.038	29.00	< 0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.3 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.1 (0.031)	0.51	0.006	4.71	0.031
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.47	0.007	4.80	0.029
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.51	0.040	31.10	< 0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.31 (<0.001)	0.50	0.043	33.00	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.08 (0.083)	0.51	0.004	3.02	0.083

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 29 26 27 28 26 27 28 26 27 28 26 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 26 27 28 <td></td>	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
20	
24	
20	
20	
21	
28	
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
36 37	
38	
39	
40	
40 41	
41 42	
42 43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>1</u> 0	

Table 3. Hierarchical regression of mRS scores at 3–6 months after stroke on baseline MMSE, MoCA and NIHSS for subsample of patients with NIHSS scores>2 at baseline

Model	Block	Variables	Final β (p)	R ²	R ² change	F change	<i>p</i> -value
	1	Controls ^a		0.46	0.460	21.68	< 0.001
A1	2	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.49	0.036	10.94	0.001
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.53	0.034	11.07	0.001
A2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MMSE	-0.18 (0.010)	0.53	0.021	6.83	0.010
B1	2	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.49	0.029	8.51	0.004
	3	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.52	0.040	12.07	0.001
B2	2	Baseline NIHSS	0.22 (0.001)	0.51	0.049	15.33	< 0.001
	3	Baseline MoCA	-0.16 (0.021)	0.52	0.017	5.42	0.021

^a Block 1 variables for Block 2 and 3 variables in the models (A1, A2, B1, B2) include age, sex, education, composite of cardiovascular risk factors, premorbid mRS and baseline mRS.

MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all participants for their involvement. They also thank the research team from NUHS Memory Aging and Cognition Centre for data collection and the NUHS Medical Publications Support Unit for its editorial support.

Contributors: Y. Dong drafted the original manuscript. Statistical analysis was conducted by Ms. Y Dong with the guidance from Dr. J Crawford. All authors contributed to one of: study design, conceptualization, analysis, interpretation of the data, drafting or revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

Funding: This work was supported by a Center Grant from the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/CG/NUHS/2010). Y. Dong has received research support by a NMRC fellowship training award.

Competing interests: None.

Patient consent: Obtained.

Ethics approval: National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Ethics Review Board.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

BMJ Open

REFERENCES

- 1 Toglia J, Fitzgerald KA, O'Dell MW, et al. The Mini-Mental State Examination and Montreal Cognitive Assessment in persons with mild subacute stroke: relationship to functional outcome. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2011;92:792–8.
- 2 Zwecker M, Levenkrohn S, Fleisig Y, *et al.* Mini-Mental State Examination, Cognitive FIM Instrument, and the Loewenstein Occupational Therapy Cognitive Assessment: relation to functional outcome of stroke patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;**83**:342–5.
- 3 Wagle J, Farner L, Flekkøy K, *et al.* Early post-stroke cognition in stroke rehabilitation patients predicts functional outcome at 13 months. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011;**31**:379–7.
- 4 Khatri P, Conaway MR, Johnston KC; Acute Stroke Accurate Prediction Study (ASAP) Investigators. Ninety-day outcome rates of a prospective cohort of consecutive patients with mild ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012;**43**:560–2.
- 5 Kasner SE. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:603–12.
- 6 Dong Y, Venketasubramanian N, Chan BP, *et al.* Brief screening tests during acute admission in patients with mild stroke are predictive of vascular cognitive impairment 3-6 months after stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;**83**:580–5.
- 7 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–98.
- 8 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–9.
- 9 Weisscher, N, Vermeulen M, Ross YB, *et al.* What should be defined as good outcome in stroke trials; a modified Rankin score of 0-1 or 0-2? *J Neurol* 2008;255:867-874.

- 10 Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, *et al.* Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995;**76**:406-12.
- 11 O'DonnelL MJ, Fang J, D'Uva C, et al. The PLAN Score: A bedside prediction rule for death and severe disability following acute ischemic stroke. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:1548-1556.
- 12 Saposnik G, Raptis S, Kapral MK, *et al.* The iScore predicts poor functional outcomes early after hospitalization for an acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2011;**42**:3421-3428.
- 13 Reid JM, Gubitz GJ, Dai D, et al. External validation of a six simple variable model of stroke outcome and verification in hyper-acute stoke. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78:1390-1391.
- 14 Ayis SA, Coker B, Rudd AG, *et al.* Predicting independent survival after stroke: a European study for the development and validation of a standardised stroke scales and prediction models of outcome.*J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2013;**84**:288-296.
- 15 Pasi M, Salvadori E, Poggesi A, *et al.* Factors predicting the Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) applicability and performances in a stroke unit. *J Neurol* 2013;260:1518-1526.