## Appendix S2: Finite Size Scaling of Pearson's coefficient in scale-free networks

As show in Fig.2, finite-size networks built with the configuration model have a non-vanishing value of Pearson's coefficient, r. Given the fact that these networks are constructed without assuming any type of correlations, this is necessarily a finite-size effect. Let us compute r explicitly in finite-size scale-free networks, with  $P(k) \propto k^{-\gamma}$  with  $2 < \gamma < 3$ . The maximum expected degree, k in a network of size N is of the order  $N^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$  and this cut-off controls the scaling of moments  $k^m > 1$  scaling of moments  $k^m > 1$  combining the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expressions for the first three moments appearing in the definition of  $k^m > 1$  controls the expression of  $k^m > 1$  controls the ex

$$r = \frac{aN^{\frac{3-\gamma}{\gamma-1}} - bN^{2\frac{3-\gamma}{\gamma-1}}}{cN^{\frac{4-\gamma}{\gamma-1}} - dN^{2\frac{3-\gamma}{\gamma-1}}} \sim -eN^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$$
(1)

where a, b, c, d, and e are un-specified positive constants. For a scale-free network with  $\gamma = 2.5$  this reduces to  $r \sim N^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  in agreement with numerical results shown in Fig.2 (observe that, as we use a logarithmic scale, the absolute value of r rather than r itself is employed).