## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**Siderocalin outwits the coordination chemistry of vibriobactin, a siderophore of** *Vibrio cholerae*

Authors: Benjamin E. Allred<sup>1</sup>, Colin Correnti<sup>2</sup>, Matthew C. Clifton<sup>3</sup>, Roland K. Strong<sup>2</sup>, **Kenneth N. Raymond\*,1**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-1460

<sup>2</sup>Division of Basic Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington 98109, United States

\*Corresponding author. Kenneth N Raymond. Address: Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-1460. Phone: (510) 642-7219. Fax: (510) 486-5283. E-mail: raymond@socrates.berkeley.edu.



## **SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES AND SCHEMES**

Figure S1. Chemical structure of four catechol siderophores



**Scheme S1.** Synthesis of siderophore library precursors



**Figure S2.** Fluorescence quenching curves of Scn at pH 7.4. The titration data (points) are the average of three independent titrations. The lines represent the one-to-one binding model fit by DYNAFIT to the compiled (not averaged) titration data.( $I$ ) The  $K_d$  for each Fe- or aposiderophore is listed in Table 1.



**Figure S3.** pH dependence of Fe-vibriobactin speciation. The calculation was made using measured protonation constant in the program HySS.(*2*) It shows that at physiological pH (7.4) 87% of the complex is in the phenolate-oxazoline coordination mode.



**Figure S4.** Spectrophotometric titration of Fe-fluvibactin. No change in the absorbance from pH 10 to pH 6 indicates that the first protonation of Fe-fluvibactin and the resulting phenolateoxazoline coordination mode is not present at physiological pH.



### **METHODS**

**General Synthesis Procedures.** Starting materials and reagents were used as provided from commercial sources. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel (40-7 mesh). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed with aluminum backed plates of silica gel 60  $F_{254}$ . The Mass Spectrometry Facility at University of California, Berkeley, recorded the FABLR-MS and ESI-MS, while the Microanalytical Services Laboratory of the same institution performed the microanalyses. The  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  spectra were measured using the noted Bruker spectrometers at room temperature unless otherwise indicated. The solvent for each spectrum is noted, and the spectra were calibrated the appropriate solvent peak.

**Benzyl 2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoate (2)**. A white slurry of 2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acid (**1**) (5.22 g, 33.9 mmol), benzyl chloride (12.5 mL, 109 mmol), KI (18.0 g, 109 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (32.8 g, 237 mmol) in acetone (250 mL) was stirred at reflux (60 °C) for 3 days. After cooling, the acetone was evaporated and the solid was dissolved in water and extracted three times with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The organic layer was dried with  $Na_2SO_4$  before purification by flash chromatography. The silica column was packed with hexanes, and a purple side product eluted was with the same solvent. The benzyl ester (14.0 g, 97% yield by weight) was eluted with a 15-100% (v/v) gradient of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in hexanes:  $R_f$  = 0.96 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-300, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.43-7.05 (m, 18H), 5.292 (s, 2H), 5.116 (s, 2H), 5.041 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (AVQ-400, CDCl3) δ 166.37, 152.98, 148.49, 137.53, 136.70, 136.08, 128.75, 128.55, 128.39, 128.29, 128.05, 127.76, 127.03, 124.17, 123.15, 118.12, 75.78, 71.42, 67.11; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for (M+H) C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 425.1753, found 425.1750.

**2,3-Bis(benzyloxy)benzoic acid (3)**. Benzyl ester **2** was stirred at room temperature overnight in a solution of 6 g NaOH, 50 mL methanol, 20 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. After removing the solvent, the white solid was dissolved in water and acidified with concentrated HCl to precipitate bis-protected carboxylic acid **3**. Filtration and drying gave 11.9 g of material with quantitative conversion of the ester to the carboxylic acid. Characterization of the carboxylic acid matches a previous characterization of the same compound prepared by another method:(*3*) IR (neat) 3031, 2567, 1686, 1577, 1472, 1455, 1415, 1376, 1302, 1258, 1213 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-300, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 11.30 (br, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.17 (m, 12H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 5.18, (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AVQ-400, CDCl3) δ 165.48, 151.51, 147.27, 136.05, 134.85, 129.51 129.05, 129.02, 128.75, 127.99, 125.24, 124.64, 123.31, 119.13, 71.72; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for (M+Na) C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na 357.1103, found 357.1099.

**2,3-Bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl chloride (4)** was synthesized according to the procedure of Schuda et al.(4): mp = 51-54°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  (AV-300, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.57-7.09 (m, 13H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 5.10 (s, 2H).

**3-[2,3-Bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]-1,3-thiazolidine-2-thione (5)** was synthesized by using a modified procedure from Samuel et al.(*5*) Acid chloride **4** (20.7 mmol) was dissolved in THF and cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. A solution of 2-mercaptothiazoline (3.21 g, 26.9 mmol) and triethylamine (5.74 mL, 41.4 mmol) in 70 mL of THF was added over five minutes. The solution became a yellow cloudy mixture while it warmed to room temperature with stirring overnight. The reaction mixture was chromatographed on a silica gel column with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . The product was recrystallized with EtOAc/hexanes to give bright yellow crystals:  $R_f$  = 0.43 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(AV-300, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)$   $\delta$  7.42-7.29 (m, 10H), 7.10-7.05 (m, 2H), 6.98-6.95 (m, 1H), 5.12 (s, 4H), 4.33 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.84 (t,  $J = 7.4$ , 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AVQ-400, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  201.33, 168.27, 151.45,

145.85, 138.02, 136.67, 130.39, 128.81, 128.59, 128.42, 128.31, 128.26, 127.78, 124.53, 121.60, 117.52, 71.50, 55.90, 28.78.

*N***1 ,***N***<sup>7</sup> -bis[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]norspermidine (6)** was made using a modified procedure of Miyasaka et al.(*3*) Norspermidine replaced spermidine, and diamide **6** was purified by flash chromatography using 1% NH<sub>4</sub>OH/10% methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (v/v) to give a clear yellow oil. The characterization matches the previous report of the same compound that Bergeron et al. prepared using a different method:(6)  $R_f$  = 0.23 (5% (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AVQ-400, CDCl3) δ 8.09 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.69-7.64 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.32 (m, 20H), 7.13-7.01 (m, 4H), 5.15 (s, 4H), 5.07 (s, 4H), 3.32 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 2.63 (br), 2.46 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 1.57 (quin, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H); 13C NMR (AVQ-400 CDCl3) δ 165.76, 151.85, 146.84, 136.54, 128.94, 128.84, 128.42, 127.80, 127.54, 124.59, 123.26, 117.06, 71.38, 46.94, 37.52, 29.06; FABLR *m/*z calcd for  $C_{48}H_{49}N_3O_6$  763.36, found 764.5.

# *N***4 -(***N***-carbobenzyloxy-L-threonyl)-***N***<sup>1</sup> ,***N***<sup>7</sup> -bis[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]norspermidine (7).** Diamide **6** (1.09 g, 1.43 mmol) was stirred with *N*-carbobenzyloxy-L-threonine (0.40 g, 1.58 mmol) in DMF (30 mL dried over 4 Å molecular sieves) under  $N_2(g)$  and cooled to 0 °C. 2-(1*H*-7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyl uronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) was added (0.65 g, 1.72 mmol) to the solution, followed by an excess of *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) (0.60 mL, 3.44 mmol). The solution turned bright yellow. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature as the ice bath melted while stirring overnight. Water (60 mL) was added to the solution and extracted three times with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (70 mL). The organic layer was washed with 0.5 N HCl (250 mL), then dried, filtered and condensed with rotary evaporation to give a yellow oil. This was purified by flash chromatography using  $10\%$  (v/v) methanol in  $CH_2Cl_2$  to elute a yellow oil. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed residual DMF, so the oil was

dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and washed three times with 0.5 N HCl (30 mL). The organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum to yield a pale yellow solid (685 mg, 48% yield by weight). The  ${}^{1}$ H NMR spectrum matches the previously reported spectrum of the same compound prepared by a different method:(6)  $R_f$  = 0.56 (10% (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ (AVQ-400, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.05 (t, 1H), 7.94 (t, 1H), 7.64 (m, 2H) 7.48-7.25 (m, 25H) 7.15-7.07 (m, 4H), 5.64 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18-4.96 (m, 10H), 4.33 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (br, 1H), 3.94 (g,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.35-3.00 (m, 8H), 1.60-1.49 (m, 4H), 1.10 (d,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AVQ-400, CDCl3) δ 172.38, 165.79, 165.58, 156.76, 151.88, 146.95, 136.77, 136.70, 136.62, 129.02, 128.90, 128.86, 128.79, 128.67, 128.48, 129.42, 128.29, 128.14, 127.84, 124.57, 123.37, 123.18, 117.14, 76.65, 76.46, 71.45, 67.76, 67.24, 45.23, 42.93, 37.07, 36.65, 29.09, 27.47, 19.07; FABLR  $m/z$  calcd for (M+H)  $C_{60}H_{63}N_4O_{10}$  1000, found 1000; Anal. Calcd (Found) for  $C_{60}H_{62}N_4O_{10}$  • 2/3H<sub>2</sub>O • 1/6 C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (urea): C, 70.90 (70.88); H, 6.39 (6.51); N, 5.89  $(5.91)$ .

*N***4 -(L-threonyl)-***N***<sup>1</sup> ,***N***<sup>7</sup> -bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)norspermidine (8).** Ethanol was degassed by exchanging vacuum and  $N_2(g)$  on a Schlenk line. Triamide 7 (0.21 g, 0.21 mmol) was added to the solvent, followed by 5% Pd-C (0.22 g wet, 0.05 mmol). The black slurry was stirred under 1 atm  $H_2(g)$  for 30 hours. The slurry was filtered with an acid-washed fine frit, and the solvent was removed to give the deprotected product  $3(0.10 \text{ g}, 94 \text{ % yield})$  The  $\textsuperscript{1}{\rightarrow}$  H NMR spectrum matches the previously reported spectrum of the same compound prepared by a different method:(6)  $R_f$  = baseline (10% (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, spot is red on silica); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-300, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$ 7.24 (t, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.75-6.68 (m, 2H), 4.25 (d, *J1* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (quin, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.77-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.5-3.39 (m, 6H), 2.05-1.78 (m, 4H), 1.30-1.20 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AV-300, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  172.15, 171.79, 171.59, 150.69, 147.62, 147.56, 133.66,

132.52, 129.97, 119.64, 119.56, 119.03, 118.81, 117.04, 116.88, 69.36, 69.21, 57.22, 50.00, 48.30, 46.91, 44.88, 40.22, 39.00, 37.90, 31.69, 30.56, 30.22, 30.07, 28.46, 25.03, 24.13, 20.12, 14.55, 11.54.

**Ethyl 2,3-dihydroxybenzimidate (9)** was made according to the procedure of Bergeron.(*7*)

**Fluvibactin (10)** was synthesized using a procedure given by Bergeron et al.(*6*) and purified on a LH-20 column eluting with  $20\%$  (v/v) ethanol in benzene. The product was precipitated in diethyl ether to give a fine, light brown solid. Characterization of **5** matched the report of the natural product by Yamamoto et al.(*8*): IR (neat) 3331, 2936, 1633, 1590, 1539, 1456, 1321, 1259, 1236, 1168, 1146 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AVQ-400, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.25-7.10 (m, 3H), 6.97-6.83 (m, 3H), 6.76-6.60 (m, 3H) 5.26 (quin, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95-3.80 (m, 2H), 3.75-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.60-3.35 (m, 4H), 2.15-2.00 (m, 2H), 1.89 (quin, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (d, *J*  $= 6.4$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AVQ-400, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  171.95, 171.64, 171.49, 167.96, 150.45, 147.48, 146.82, 120.35, 120.02, 119.75, 118.75, 118.70, 116.78, 111.98, 79.90, 73.01, 46.87, 45.14, 38.03, 37.96, 30.40, 28.54, 20.35; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for (M+H) C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> 623, found 623; Anal. Calcd (Found) for  $C_{31}H_{34}N_4O_{10}$  • 4/5  $H_2O$ : C, 58.45 (58.46); H, 5.63 (5.67); N, 8.79 (8.72).

*N***-[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]-L-threonine (11)** was synthesized following the procedure of Peterson et al.(*9*) As a modification to the cited procedure, acid chloride **4** was prepared following the procedure of Schuda et al. $(4)$  The  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of 11 resembles the literature characterization with slight differences from using a different solvent and instrument: <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  $(AV-300 \text{ CDCl}_3)$  8.94 (d,  $J = 9.0 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 7.67 (dd,  $J<sub>I</sub> = 7.65 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J<sub>2</sub> = 2.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 7.45-7.09 (m, 12H), 5.20-5.11 (m, 4H), 4.60 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.35$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.37 (qd,  $J_1 = 6.45$  Hz,  $J_2$ 

 $=$  3 Hz, 1H), 1.11 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 3H); ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> (M+H) 436.1760, found 436.1753.

**Benzyl-Protected Fluvibactin A (12).** Carboxylic acid **11** (0.72 g, 1.6 mmol) was dissolved in THF at room temperature with *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) (0.62 mL, 3.6 mmol). The coupling agent 2-(1H-7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyl uronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) (0.68 g, 1.8 mmol) was added to the reaction solution with stirring, and a fine white precipitate formed. Diamide **6** (0.72 g, 1.6 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for 24 hours. Over time the precipitate dissolved and the solution turned light yellow. The THF was removed, and the reaction mixture was redissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (~100 mL) and washed sequentially with 0.5 N HCl, brine, and water. The water wash rested overnight to break an emulsion. The organic layer was dried with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and evaporated to give a yellow oil. The oil was purified with flash chromatography using 100% EtOAc as the eluant to give **12** (1.87 g, quantitative yield by weight):  $R_f = 0.375$  (100% EtOAc) or  $R_f = 0.625$  (10% (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-600, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.625 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.021 (dt, *J<sub>1</sub>* = 16.2 Hz, *J<sub>2</sub>* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.7-7.6 (m, 3H), 7.5-7.0 (m, 36H), 5.2-5.0 (m, 12H), 4.876 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.016 (q, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.5-3.4 (m, 1H), 3.36-3.15 (m, 7H), 1.602 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.531 (quin,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 2H), 1.122 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AV-600, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.38, 165.76, 165.54, 165.51, 151.99, 151.81, 147.18, 146.86, 146.82, 136.64, 146.58, 136.34, 136.21, 129.17, 128.91, 128.80, 128.78, 128.75, 128.73, 128.65, 128.34, 128.30, 128.01, 127.91, 127.84, 127.74, 127.71, 124.48, 124.40, 124.33, 123.25, 123.18, 123.08, 117.51, 117.09, 116.96, 76.52, 76.24, 76.11, 72.45, 71.38, 71.32, 67.91, 45.44, 43.38, 37.09, 36.83, 29.04, 27.50, 19.21, 14.31; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>73</sub>H<sub>72</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>11</sub>Na 1203.5095 (M+Na), found 1203.5126.

**19).** The benzyl protected siderophore or siderophore precursor (**12**, **15**, or **18**) was dissolved in methanol with 1 drop of concentrated HCl. The catalyst, 10 wt% Pd-C, was then added (5 mol%) per benzyl protecting group). The reaction was stirred under 1 atm  $H_2(g)$  for at least 24 hours. The  $H_2(g)$  was removed and the solution was filtered with a fine frit to give a clear to light orange solution. The methanol was removed and the oil was precipitated in cold diethyl ether. The beige solid was isolated by centrifugation and dried under high vacuum to give the reported compounds.

**General deprotection of benzyl-protected siderophores or siderophore precursor (13, 16,** 

**Fluvibactin A (13).** See general deprotection procedure above: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-600, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$ 7.349 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.2$  Hz, 1H) 7.240 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.8$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.204 (dd,  $J_1 =$ 7.8 Hz, *J2* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.948 (dd, *J1* = 7.8 Hz, *J2* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.89 (m, 2H), 6.745 (t, *J*  $= 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.697 (t,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.058 (d,  $J = 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.161 (dq,  $J<sub>I</sub> = 6.3$  Hz,  $J<sub>2</sub> =$ 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77-3.32 (m, 8H), 2.12-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.83 (m, 2H), 1.195 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AV-600, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 172.92, 172.02, 171.67, 170.54, 150.44, 149.45, 147.45, 147.35, 120.09, 120.04, 119.98, 119.84, 119.79, 119.77, 118.88, 118.76, 117.53, 116.90, 116.87, 69.06, 55.97, 47.05, 44.78, 38.11, 37.90, 29.98, 28.50, 20.33; ESI-MS *m/z* calcd for C31H36N4O11Na (M+Na) 663.2278, found 663.2265; Anal. Calcd (Found) for  $C_{31}H_{36}N_4O_{11} \cdot H_2O \cdot CH_3OH \cdot$ NaCl: C, 51.30 (51.54); H, 5.65 (5.54); N, 7.48 (7.32).

*N***1 -[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]norspermidine (14).** Boc-protected amine **21** (0.629 g, 1.15 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. TFA (5 mL) was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC using alumina plates with  $5\%$  (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The reaction was quenched after 40 min by adding 1 M NaOH until the pH was basic. The mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  three times, dried with  $Na_2SO_4$ , and filtered and evaporated. The

residue was dissolved in a small amount of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and stirred with  $K_2CO_3(s)$  to absorb and residual water and hydroxide. The slurry was filtered to give a clear solution. Evaporation gave monoamide **9** (0.45 g, 87.5% yield by weight): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-300, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.068 (m, 1H), 7.75-7.55 (m, 1H), 7.50-7.18 (m, 10H), 7.18-7.00 (m, 2H), 5.095 (s, 2H), 5.016 (s, 2H), 3.312 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.660 (t, *J* = 6.8, 2H), 2.491 (g, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 1.60-1.45 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AV-600, CDCl3) δ 165.218, 151.778, 146.688, 136.537, 136.463, 128.811, 128.699, 128.652, 128.285, 127.709, 124.454, 123.204, 116.791, 76.339, 71.260, 47.772, 47.576, 40.533, 37.901, 33.770, 29.590; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M+H) 448.2600, found 448.2593.

*N***1** *,N***<sup>4</sup> -bis(***N***-carbobenzyloxy-L-threonyl)]-***N***<sup>7</sup> -[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]norspermidine (15).** The bis-TFA salt of monoamide **14** (1.30 g, 1.92 mmol), Cbz-Thr (1.23 g, 4.87 mmol), DIEA (2.76 mL, 15.8 mmol) were stirred in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 0 °C. HATU (2.01g, 5.28 mmol) was added and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. Stirring continued for 36 hours. The solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (to 50 mL) and washed twice with 5% (w/v) citric acid in brine (50 mL) and once with brine (50 mL). The slightly cloudy organic layer was dried, filtered and evaporated. The resulting mixture was applied to a column (2 in. O.D.) layered with alumina (1.5 in.) on top of silica (5 in.) packed with  $CH_2Cl_2$  and eluted with a gradient of 0-3%  $(v/v)$  methanol in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The product-containing fractions were then applied to a silica column and eluted with 2-5% (v/v) methanol in ethyl acetate to give pure 15 (0.44 g, 23.5% yield):  $R_f$  = 0.42 (5% methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-300, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO)  $\delta$  8.35-8.15 (m, 1H), 8.05-7.80 (m, 1H), 7.60-7.10 (m, 24H), 6.925 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.30-4.90 (m, 8H), 4.766 (m, 2H), 4.50- 4.30 (m, 1H), 4.05-3.75 (m, 3H), 3.35-2.90 (m, 6H), 1.95-1.45 (m, 4H), 1.15-0.95 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (AV-300, (CD3)2SO) δ 170.263, 170.081, 165.905, 165.522, 164.616, 156.123, 151.624, 145.151, 137.121, 136.979, 136.819, 131.346, 130.997, 128.490, 128.341, 128.223, 128.016,

127.791, 127.689, 124.181, 120.731, 115.787, 75.088, 70.180, 67.090, 66.661, 65.568, 60.823, 56.241, 44.865, 42.926, 38.260, 36.736, 36.593, 36.217, 28.578, 27.263, 20.122, 19.814; ESI MS  $m/z$  calcd for (M+H)  $C_{51}H_{60}N_5O_{11}$  918.4289, found 918.4284.

 $N^1$ , $N^4$ -bis(L-threonyl)- $N^7$ -(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)norspermidine (16). See general deprotection procedure above: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-600, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  7.230 (dt, *J<sub>1</sub>* = 8.0 Hz, *J<sub>2</sub>* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.918 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.72-6.67 (m, 1H), 4.04-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.851 (quin, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.751 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.52- 3.18 (m, 7H), 2.10-1.75 (m, 4H), 1.24- 1.13 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (AV-600, CD3OD) δ 175.061, 174.346, 173.866, 171.844, 171.668, 150.931, 150.866, 147.794, 147.687, 119.583, 119.470, 119.360, 119.098, 118.862, 117.195, 117.008, 114.271, 70.573, 70.248, 69.497, 69.425, 67.040, 61.809, 61.716, 57.379, 49.999, 49.719, 47.000, 44.960, 44.830, 44.464, 37.955, 37.877, 37.792, 30.233, 30.148, 28.576; ESI MS  $m/z$  calcd for (M+H)  $C_{21}H_{36}N_5O_7$  470.2615, found 470.2609.

**Vibriobactin** (**17)** was synthesized following the procedure of Bergeron et al.(*10*) The siderophore was purified using an LH-20 column with ethyl acetate as the eluant. The product was further purified by precipitation in water and ethanol to give a fine tan powder. Characterization matched the previous reports: $(I0, I1)$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-600, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  7.21-7.08 (m, 3H), 6.96-6.86 (m, 3H), 6.73-6.62 (m, 3H), 5.30-5.19 (m, 1H), 4.90-4.75 (m, 1H), 4.425 (dd, *J1* = 14.7 Hz, *J2* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95-3.10 (m, 8H), 2.12-1.94 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.56- 1.12 (m, 6H); 13C NMR δ (AV-300, CD3OD) 173.444, 173.149, 171.924, 171.625, 171.328, 171.234, 168.522, 167.956, 150.428, 149.492, 147.484, 146.871, 146.786, 120.393, 120.022, 119.731, 118.747, 116.775, 111.971, 111.893, 111.825, 80.782, 79.848, 75.913, 73.054, 67.050, 46.858, 46.681, 44.993, 44.678, 38.030, 37.795, 30.920, 30.333, 28.391, 21.537, 20.479, 20.318,

15.589; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>11</sub> (M-H) 704.2573, found 704.2556; Anal. Calcd (Found) for  $C_{35}H_{39}N_5O_{11}$ : C, 59.57 (59.23); H, 5.57 (5.88); N, 9.92 (9.64).

**Bn-Vibriobactin A (18)**. Mono-amide **14** (0.789 g, 1.23 mmol), carboxylic acid **11** (1.29 g, 2.95 mmol), DIEA(diisopropylethyl amine) (1.67 mL, 9.59 mmol) were stirred in 40 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$ under N<sub>2</sub>(g) and cooled to 0 °C. HATU (1.21 g, 3.19 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirring for 2 days, 40 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added, and the solution was washed twice with 5% (w/v) citric acid in brine (80 mL) and once with  $H_2O$  (80 mL). The organic phase was dried, filtered, and evaporated. The resulting mixture was applied to a column (2 in. diameter) layered with alumina (1.5 in.) on top of silica (5 in.) packed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The protected siderophore was eluted with a gradient of 0-3% (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.423 g, 26.8 % yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-500, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO, 373K)  $\delta$  8.40-8.35 (m, 1H), 8.28-8.25 (m, 1H), 8.05-7.80 (br, 1H), 7.71-7.50 (br, 1H) 7.50-7.06 (m, 38H), 5.19-5.03 (m, 12H), 4.93 (m, 1H), 4.63-4.57 (m, 2H), 4.44 (m, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.05 (m, 8H), 1.95-1.50 (m, 4H), 1.09 (d,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AV-500, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO, 373K)  $\delta$  169.827, 164.535, 164.123, 151.284, 151.202, 145.737, 145.374, 136.605, 136.420, 136.243, 136.082, 128.489, 128.114, 128.039, 127.784, 127.595, 127.555, 127.314, 127.269, 127.241, 127.219, 127.059, 123.368, 123.322, 121.614, 121.455, 120.930, 116.905, 116.725, 116.251, 74.770, 70.454, 70.428, 66.863, 66.150, 36.418, 36.044, 19.349, 19.159; ESI-MS *m/z* calcd for C77H78N5O13 (M-H) 1280.5596, found 1280.5629.

**Vibriobactin A (19)**. See general deprotection procedure above:  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (AV-300 CH<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$ 7.45-7.18 (m, 3H), 7.05-6.85 (m, 3H), 6.80-6.65 (m, 3H), 5.09 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 0.7H), 5.00 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 0.3H), 4.48 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.42-4.28 (m, 1H), 3.88-3.15 (m, 12H), 2.18-1.65 (m, 4H), 1.34-1.08 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (AV-300 CH3OD) δ 173.47, 172.92, 172.76,

171.98, 171. 63, 170.81, 170.41, 150.47, 149.22, 147.46, 147.30, 120.40, 120.24, 120.13, 119.76, 118.87, 118.74, 117.96, 117.53, 116.81, 69.24, 69.02, 68.43, 61.01, 60.88, 56.08, 55.90, 46.67, 44.62, 38.10, 37.90, 29.90, 29.43, 28.45, 28.19, 20.82, 20.69, 20.35, 20.27; ESI-MS *m/z* calcd for  $C_{35}H_{42}N_5O_{13}$  (M-H) 740.2779, found 740.2765; Anal. Calcd (Found) for  $C_{35}H_{43}N_5O_{13} \cdot 2H_2O \cdot$  $\frac{1}{4}$ (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O: C, 54.30 (54.24); H, 6.27 (6.10); N, 8.79 (8.79).s

*N***1 -(***tert***-butyl carbamate)norspermidine** (**20**) was synthesized according to the procedure of Krapcho et al.(*12*)

# *N***1 -[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]-***N***<sup>7</sup> -(***tert***-butyl carbamate)norspermidine (21).** Amine **20**

 $(2.45 \text{ g}, 10.6 \text{ mmol})$  and thiazolide **5** (5.08 g, 11.7 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed and mixture was purified by flash chromatography by eluting with  $0-8\%$  (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to give 21 (3.174 g, 54% yield by mass). The product gives two spots by TLC using  $10\%$  (v/v) methanol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $R_f$  = 0.13 and  $R_f$  = 0.38) likely due to two protonation states of the product amine. Only one spot is observed on basic alumina plates: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (AV-600, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.077 (m, 1H), 7.670 (t,  $J = 10.8$ ) Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.26 (m, 10H), 7.122 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.251 (s, 2H), 5.125 (s, 2H), 3.315 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.497 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.459 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.954 (br), 1.561 (quin, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 1.499 (quin,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), 1.395 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (AV-600, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.507, 156.310, 151.867, 146.864, 136.599, 136.551, 128.902, 128.816, 128.400, 127.793, 124.588, 123.356, 117.062, 76.526, 71.422, 50.650, 47.432, 47.262, 38.932, 37.679, 29.809, 29.620, 18.578; ESI-MS  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M+H) 548.3124, found 548.3125.

**Fluorescence quenching titrations.** The fluorescence measurements were performed as previously reported with small modifications.(*13*) The excitation slits on the Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer were 20 nm and the emission slits were 5 nm. The ligand solutions (10  $\mu$ M siderophore, TBS with 5% (v/v) DMSO, pH 7.4) were prepared from 4 mM stock solutions in DMSO. Absorbance measurements of the ligand solutions were performed after every titration to confirm the ligand concentration. The protein solution was prepared as previously reported. Small amounts of ligand solution were added to the protein solution, mixed, and allowed to equilibrate for at least 4 min before measuring the fluorescence. The data was fit to a one-to-one binding model with two parameters,  $K_d$  and fluorescence response, using the program DYNAFIT. $(I)$  The  $K_d$  values are reported with the calculated standard error in parentheses.

**Spectrophotometric Titration Procedures.** All solutions were made with Milli-Q water that is degassed by boiling for one hour while bubbling  $Ar(g)$  in the water. Volumes of fluid were dispensed with glass volumetric pipets (100 mL, 50 mL, 25 mL) or adjustable volume pipets with disposable tips  $(< 1$  mL) (Eppendorf). All titrations were controlled by the LabVIEW computer programs handsome.vi (for manual titrations) or punish.vi (for automatic titrations). Absorbance values from 190-820 nm were measured with an HP 8452A Diode Array Spectrophotometer. A Brinkmann 665 Dosimat controls the addition of titrant. The temperature were maintained at 25 °C with a water bath pumping water through the jacketed spectrophotometric cell. The cell pathlength is 6.6 cm. The titration solutions were stirred with a magnetic stirrer. Solutions of HCl and KOH in 5% (v/v) DMSO were made separately using the appropriate vial of analytical concentrate (J. T. Baker) and DMSO (50 mL) mixed in a

volumetric flask (1L) with water. The HCl titrant were standardized with potassium hydrogen phthalate using phenolphthalein as the endpoint indicator. The KOH titrant was standardized with tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane using bromocresol green as the endpoint indicator. The semi-micro glass electrode (OI Analytical) was calibrated in the spectrophotometric cell with electrolyte (100 mL, 0.1 M KCl, 5% (v/v) DMSO). An aliquot of standardized HCl (2 mL) was added to the electrolyte followed by a titration with standardized KOH. The data were analyzed in a Gran plot by the computer program GLEE to give the E° and slope values needed to calibrate the electrode. A blank spectrum was recorded before adding vibriobactin to the solution. Vibriobactin was stored at -20 °C as a 50 mM stock solution in DMSO that was thawed before each titration. To prepare the titration cell electrolyte (100 mL, 0.1 M KCl,  $5\%$  (v/v) DMSO) was buffered with HEPES, MES, and CHES (10-15 mg of each). A small amount of base was added to make the starting pH above 10. Vibriobactin or fluvibactin (6-10 μL of 50 mM stock solution) was added to the stirring, temperature controlled solution. Then one equivalent of iron was added from a stock solution  $(27.1 \text{ mM } FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  in 1 M HCl), and the solution became faintly red. Standardized HCl was added to the solution in 5 μL steps as the absorbance was measured at every pH change of 0.05. The titration was stopped once the pH was below 7. The absorbance vs. pH data was baseline corrected at 800 nm and analyzed in pHab.

**Calculating the Dissociation Constant for Triscatecholate Fe-Vibriobactin.** The data from fluorescence quenching titration of Scn with Fe-vibriobactin were refit using the SOLVER tool found in Microsoft Excel. The fitting model included the equilibrium between Fe-vibriobactin and the protonated complex and a one-to-one binding of Scn to Fe-vibriobactin. The spreadsheet was set up following the example shown by Brown.(*14*)

**Absorbance Measurements.** Solutions of 20 μM Scn, 20 μM Fe-vibriobactin, and 20 μM Scn and Fe-vibriobactin were made (TBS buffered at pH 7.4 with 5% (v/v) DMSO).A **s**olution of 20  $\mu$ M Fe-vibriobactin (TBS with 5% (v/v) DMSO at pH 7) was made and the absorbance was measured at room temperature. Based on the measured  $K_d$ , more than 97% of the Fe-vibriobactin is bound by Scn in this solution.

**Structure Determination.** Prior to crystallization, purified Scn (C87S mutant) was loaded with a molar excess of Fe-fluvibactin, washed multiple times with buffer and concentrated to 10 mg/mL in a 10 kDa concentrator. Crystals were grown by vapor diffusion over reservoirs of 1.0- 1.4 M ammonium sulfate, 200 mM lithium sulfate, 50-100 mM sodium chloride and 100 mM sodium acetate (pH = 4.5) and cryopreserved in mother liquor (reservoir solution plus 15% (v/v) glycerol). Diffraction data were collected at the Advanced Light Source, beamline 5.0.1, and processed with HKL2000 software. (*15*) Initial phase information was determined by molecular replacement using a previous Scn structure as the search model (PDB ID:1L6M) in PHASER and refined using REFMAC, both part of the CCP4i program suite. (*16, 17, 18*) All model building was performed with COOT. (*19*)

**Accession Code.** Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 4K19.

# **Table 2-2: Crystallographic Statistics for Scn/Fe-Fluvibactin Complex**

# **Data collection**



Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Kuzmic, P. (1996) Program DYNAFIT for the analysis of enzyme kinetic data: application to HIV proteinase, *Anal. Biochem. 237*, 260–273.
- 2. Alderighi, L., Gans, P., Ienco, A., Peters, D., Sabatini, A., and Vacca, A. (1999) Hyperquad simulation and speciation (HySS): a utility program for the investigation of equilibria involving soluble and partially soluble species, *Coord. Chem. Rev. 184*, 311–318.
- 3. Miyasaka, T., Nagao, Y., Fujita, E., Sakurai, H., and Ishizu, K. (1987) Synthesis of parabactin analogs and formation of transition-metal complexes of parabactin and relatedcompounds, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2,* 1543–1549.
- 4. Schuda, P., Botti, C., and Venuti, M. (1984) A synthesis of the siderophore 1,3,5 tris(N,N',N-2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)aminomethylbenzene, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 16*, 119– 125.
- 5. Samuel, A. P. S., Moore, E. G., Melchior, M., Xu, J., and Raymond, K. N. (2008) Watersoluble 2-hydroxyisophthalamides for sensitization of lanthanide luminescence, *Inorg. Chem. 47*, 7535–7544.
- 6. Bergeron, R. J., Xin, M. G., Weimar, W. R., Smith, R. E., and Wiegand, J. (2001) Significance of asymmetric sites in choosing siderophores as deferration agents, *J. Med. Chem. 44*, 2469–2478.
- 7. Bergeron, R. J., McManis, J. S., Dionis, J., and Garlich, J. R. (1985) An efficient total synthesis of agrobactin and its gallium(III) chelate, *J. Org. Chem. 50*, 2780–2782.
- 8. Yamamoto, S., Okujo, N., Fujita, Y., Saito, M., Yoshida, T., and Shinoda, S. (1993) Structures of two polyamine-containing catecholate siderophores from *Vibrio fluvialis*, *J. Biochem 113*, 538–544.
- 9. Peterson, T., Falk, K. E., Leong, S. A., Klein, M. P., and Neilands, J. B. (1980) Structure and behavior of spermidine siderophores, *J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102*, 7715–7718.
- 10. Bergeron, R. J., Garlich, J. R., and McManis, J. S. (1985) Total synthesis of vibriobactin, *Tetrahedron 41*, 507–510.
- 11. Griffiths, G. L., Sigel, S. P., Payne, S. M., and Neilands, J. B. (1984) Vibriobactin, a siderophore from *Vibrio cholerae*., *J. Biol. Chem. 259*, 383 –385.
- 12. Krapcho, A. P., and Kuell, C. S. (1990) Mono-protected diamines. N-tert-butoxycarbonylα,ω-alkanediamines from α,ω-alkanediamines, *Synth. Commun. 20*, 2559.
- 13. Hoette, T. M., Abergel, R. J., Xu, J., Strong, R. K., and Raymond, K. N. (2008) The role of electrostatics in siderophore recognition by the immunoprotein siderocalin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130*, 17584–17592.
- 14. Brown, A. M. (2001) A step-by-step guide to non-linear regression analysis of experimental data using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed. 65*, 191– 200.
- 15. Otwinowski, Z. and Minor, W. (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode, *Methods Enzymol. 276*, 307-326.
- 16. McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software, *J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40*, 658-674.
- 17. Murshudov, G.N., Vagin, A.A., and Dodson, E.J. (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 53*, 240-255.
- 18. Potterton, E., Briggs, P., Turkenburg, M., and Dodson, E. (2003) A graphical user interface to the CCP4 program suite, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 59*, 1131-1137.

19. Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 60*, 2126-2132.



**Figure S5.**  ${}^{1}$ H/<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of benzyl-protected fluvibactin A (12)



**Figure S6.**  ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$  NMR spectrum of fluvibactin A (13)



**Figure S7.**  ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$  NMR Spectrum of  $N^{1}$ -[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]norspermidine (14).

**Figure S8.**  ${}^{1}$ H/<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of *N*1,*N*<sup>4</sup>-bis(*N*-carbobenzyloxy-L-threonyl)]-*N*<sup>7</sup>-[2,3bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]norspermidine (**15**).



**Figure S9.**  ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$  NMR spectrum of benzyl-protected vibriobactin A (18).





**Figure S10.**  ${}^{1}$ H/<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of vibriobactin A (19).

**Figure S11.**<sup>1</sup>H/<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum  $N^1$ -[2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoyl]- $N^7$ -(*tert*-butyl

carbamate)norspermidine (**21**)

