Supporting On-line Materials

Materials and Methods	1
Data Generation	1
Assembly	2
Segmental Duplication Landscape	2
Repetitive Elements	3
Conserved Synteny	3
Centromeric Analysis	4
Localization of satellite DNA to all equine chromosomes	4
Cytogenetic localization of ECA11 primary constriction	4
Immunofluorescence on metaphase chromosomes	5
ChIP-on-chip analysis	5
ECA11 peri-centromeric region bioinformatic analysis	6
Gene Set	6
SNP Discovery	7
Population Genetics	7
Determination of Homozygous and Heterozygous blocks	7
Diversity Breeds	7
Linkage Disequilibrium and Haplotype Sharing	8
Power for gene mapping	9
Phylogeny	9
Variation within Przewalski's horses	9
Proof of Principle Mapping	10
Hybrid Capture for Massively Parallel Sequencing	10
Coverage	. 11
SNP and indel detection in Massively Parallel Sequencing	. 11
Functional ascertainment of sequenced intervals	11
Assessment of Copy Number Variation (CNV) in sequenced intervals	11
Supporting Text	12
Features of the equine genome	12
Genes	13
Equine population genetics and haplotype structure analysis	14
Proof of principle genetic mapping	16
Supporting Figures	17
Supporting Tables	. 29
Supplemental References	51

Materials and Methods

Data Generation

The Thoroughbred horse is a breed derived from few founders and has maintained breeding records since the 18th century. The breed is considered to be the epitome of equine athleticism and is a significant economic animal. The genome of a Thoroughbred

mare was sequenced using a whole genome shotgun approach using ABI 3730 sequencers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Blood was collected and preserved in a BD Vacutainer tube with spray coated K2EDTA to a ratio of 1.8mg EDTA per ml of blood, and the genomic DNA was extracted using Qiagen Blood and Cell Culture DNA kit with 100/G Qiagen Genomic Tips. During precipitation of the DNA with isopropanol the eluate was centrifuged at 12,000g for 20 minutes, and washed with 70% ethanol at 12,000g for 10 minutes. The resulting DNA was of high molecular weight with the great majority above 38kb. The anion-exchange resin, and gravity flow of the tips combined with the high centrifugation during precipitation ensured high purity, yield and molecular weight, with the majority of DNA above 38kb. The individual selected for sequencing was selected from an inbred herd of horses maintained by The Baker Research Institute at Cornell University, Ithaca, New York. Other equine samples were contributed by horse genetics researchers from around the world (Table S1).

A BAC library was made by Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute (CHORI) from a half brother of the sequenced mare, and 314,972 BAC end sequences used in the assembly were generated by the University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany. Clone libraries (4kb, 10kb and Fosmid) were generated by the Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT. The proportions of sequence coverage contributed by the clone libraries were: 4Kb inserts 4.96× sequence coverage; 10Kb inserts 1.42×; 40Kb fosmid inserts 0.40×, resulting in a total coverage of 6.8×.

Assembly

The assembly of the horse genome used the package Arachne 2.0 (S1) and methods as previously described (S2). Ordering and orientation used combined probe sequences and positional information from the comprehensive radiation hybrid and FISH maps (S3). Remaining unplaced scaffolds greater than 1Mb in size were correctly positioned and oriented by Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization by the University of Kentucky (S4). Summary statistics for the assembly are shown in Tables S2 and S3. The genome size estimate (2.689Gb) is based on the summed gapped scaffold sizes from all scaffolds (mapped and unassigned) in addition to the unplaced reads accounting for 260Mb (adjusted for expected coverage) that were not included in contigs.

Segmental Duplication Landscape

Segmental duplications were assessed using methods described previously (S5), Overall, 0.5% of the genome is involved in intra-chromosomal segmental duplications, and 0.1% is inter-chromosomally duplicated. An additional 0.4% of the genome shows duplications in unplaced contigs: most of these are likely to be artifacts of the assembly since such contigs typically comprise repetitive data that are difficult to resolve in the assembly process. The duplicated regions are small, with the largest being ~60Kb. Chromosome 25 was the most duplicated at 1.7%, and several chromosomes had only 0.2% duplication. Segmental duplications are defined as regions of >=90% similarity, extending for at least 1Kb.

Repetitive Elements

To detect novel equine repetitive sequences, we analyzed EquCab2.0 with PALS/PILER (S6) and RepeatScout (S7). We also compared the horse genome sequences to known mammalian repeats from Repbase using CENSOR (S8), and constructed horse-specific consensus sequences. Three runs with PALS/PILER were carried out in turn for chromosomes in linear order, permuted order for chromosomes and finally permuted order for the whole genome (including unassigned contigs). Each comparison required 90% identity for alignments and a minimum alignment length of 200 bp. In all 50,206 highly redundant repeat families were detected. A similar analysis with RepeatScout identified 24,813 repeat families genome-wide with the default running parameters.

All PALS/PILER families with greater than 95% identity and 90% length overlap from all three PALS runs were clustered to generate consensus sequences. We similarly clustered RepeatScout sequences genome-wide. Final clustering yielded 28,586 clusters, which were collapsed to consensus sequences. Consensus sequences were then compared to SwissProt sequences with WUBLAST (S9) to detect and remove protein coding, or non-repeat sequences. The final consensus set included 26,860 sequences. Many of these consensus sequences are less than 200bp in length. Intact known repeats accounted for 1,608 of the consensus sequences, while unknown repeats accounted for 6,531 of the consensus sequences each present at a minimum of 3 copies in the genome. The remainder of the consensus sequences consisted of chimeric or compound repeats (Table S4). These may have a biological origin either as novel, compound repeats or as a result of preferential, sequential tandem integration of particular repeats. Chimeric repeats often consist of embedded repeats of the same class. Most of the unknown repeats were present at very low copy number. When an arbitrary threshold of 100 copies was imposed, the number of unknown consensus sequences dropped to 48. An up to date repeat library was generated with the RepeatMasker library supplemented with our versions of the known repeats (probably improved consensus sequences) and our 48 unknown common repeats. Chimeric/compound repeats and repeats present in fewer than 100 copies were omitted from this library. All non-chimeric novel sequences were uploaded to Repbase (http://www.girinst.org/).

Counts of repeats clustered by type as determined in the chromosome scaffolds are shown in Table S5 and the distribution by chromosome is shown in Table S6. The repeat composition of the horse is quite different from that observed in other eutherian mammals. In dog tRNA derived SINEs are present at > 1,000,000. The horse is comparable to human in this regard, with a tiny fraction of SINEs derived from tRNAs (0.02% Table S5). The predominant SINEs are the recent ERE1/2 and the ancestral MIRs, while the predominant LINEs are L1 and L2. Note that the number of L1/L2 elements in the horse is about half that observed in human, while the percentage of the genome contributed by L1/L2 is comparable. This may reflect a larger number of intact LINE elements and perhaps more currently active LINE elements.

Conserved Synteny

Conserved synteny between mammalian species was assessed with the methods previously described (S5) at several resolutions and using blastz alignments as input. The genome builds HSA18, Btau4.0, CanFam2.0 and mm8 were used for Human, Cow, Dog

and Mouse, respectively. The set of conserved blocks and segments are described for a resolution of 100 Kb (Table S7, Fig. S1). There are a total of 403 syntenic segments between human and horse as compared to 443 segments between human and dog, 1257 human to cow (the high number may be influenced by assembly quality) and 457 conserved segments between human to mouse. Not surprisingly, the horse has had the fewest rearrangements, which is consistent with a higher percent identity on the nucleotide level to human than even the dog (62 median percent identity versus 65 median percent identity). This is further reflected in anchors being longer (dog median: 297 while horse median at 374 bases) Anchors (at 100 Kb) also cover much more of territory: Horse anchors cover 902,880,738 (1/3 of the genome) of human bases, whereas dog anchors cover 793,321,127 bases. If one assumes that breaks fix at random then under any model (say Poisson) there is a higher probability of seeing more breaks between two species if the evolutionary distance separating the species is larger.

Centromeric Analysis

A novel evolutionary centromere was detected on ECA11. Here we present the details of the supporting analysis.

Localization of satellite DNA to all equine chromosomes

Two horse satellite sequences were isolated from a horse genomic library in lambda phage. One satellite (37 cen), consisting of 221 bp repeated units (Accession number: AY029358) is 93% identical to the horse major satellite family. The 2pI sequence, consisting of a 23 bp repeat, (Accession numbers: AY029359S1 and AY029359S2) belongs to the e4/1 family. One and two color FISH experiments were performed using the two satellites as probes. The localization of the two satellites on horse chromosomes is reported in Table S8. No satellite signal was seen on ECA11 (Fig. S2A).

Cytogenetic localization of ECA11 primary constriction

In order to localize the primary constriction of ECA11, we performed two or three color fluorescence in situ hybridization experiments on horse metaphase chromosome spreads prepared from primary fibroblasts derived from a healthy mare, with a panel of BAC clones as probe. The clones were identified with the BAC end sequences, ordered according to sequence homology with human chromosome 17 (S10), and the horse genome assembly. We first localized 6 clones, which mapped on the short arm of ECA11 (CHORI241-37D6 on p13; CHORI241-62D3, CHORI241-54B4, CHORI241-289O22, CHORI241-180K7 and CHORI241-10L5 on p12). We then identified 5 clones in the adjacent region, further away from the p arm telomere, separated from each other, on the horse genome assembly, by a distance of about 500 kb: CHORI241-262K23 mapped on p12; CHORI241-374A5, CHORI241-333G20, CHORI241-91H8 and CHORI241-21D14 were localized on the primary constriction region (Fig. S2B). These experiments were used to roughly identify the region responsible for the centromeric function, to be further investigated with ChIP-on-chip experiments.

Immunofluorescence on metaphase chromosomes

Horse metaphases were prepared according to standard methods (S11) spread on slides with a cytospin centrifuge, permeabilized at 37 °C for 15 minutes in KCM buffer (KCl 120 mM, NaCl 20 mM, Tris-HCl 10 mM, NaEDTA 0.5 mM and Triton X-100 0.1 % v/v). Incubation with the CENP-A or CENP-C primary antibodies (polyclonal antibodies against the human CENP-A and CENP-C proteins (S12), diluted 1:100 in KCM containing 1% BSA, was performed for 1 hour at RT. Slides were then washed three times for 5 minutes in KB- (Tris-HCl 10 mM, NaCl 150 mM and BSA 1%). The secondary antibody (TRITC conjugated anti-rabbit), diluted 1:100, was added and incubated for 1 hour at RT. After two washes in KB-, the slides were fixed in 4% formalin in KCM for 15 minutes at RT and then in cold methanol:acetic acid 3:1 for 15 minutes. Finally, the chromosomes were stained with Hoechst 33258 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and digital images were acquired with a Zeiss Axioplan fluorescence microscope (Zeiss,Jena, Germany) and a cooled CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA). The antibodies against human CENP-A (Fig. S3A) and CENP-C (Fig. S3B) specifically recognize all horse centromeres.

ChIP-on-chip analysis

A 2.7 Mb region between nucleotides ECA11: 25,566,599 and 28,305,611 was arrayed and analyzed by chip-on-chip.

The cross-linking agent formaldehyde was added at 1% final concentration to the culture medium of horse fibroblasts. Chromatin was extracted according to standard procedures (S13) and immuno-precipitated with rabbit polyclonal antibodies (S12) directed against human CENP-A or CENP-C centromeric proteins (S12) that have been demonstrated to recognize horse centromeres. Purified DNA fragments were amplified using the Whole Genome Amplification kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, USA). DNA fragments obtained from the immuno-precipitated material and from total genomic DNA (input) were labeled and co-hybridized to a custom high-density tiling array (NimbleGen, Reykjavík, Iceland), with an average resolution of 100 bp, containing the 2.7 Mb of the ECA11 sequence (between nucleotides 25,566,599 and 28,305,611), where the centromere was cytogenetically localized. The immuno-precipitated DNA, before and after amplification, was proven to be enriched in centromeric sequences by Real-Time PCR performed with primers (described in figure legend Fig. S4.) were designed based on the known sequences of the two major horse satellite repeats (accession numbers AY029358, AY029359S1 and AY029359S2) (Fig. S4).

Hybridization with DNA array, signal scanning, data extraction and data normalization were carried out (Nimblegen, Reykjavík, Iceland). DNA binding peaks were identified with the statistical model and methodology described at http://chipanalysis.genomecenter.ucdavis.edu/cgi-bin/tamalpais.cgi (S13). The analysis was performed using very stringent conditions (98th percentile threshold and P<0.0001). With both antibodies, the analysis, showed two clear peaks of hybridization spanning about 136 kb (nt 27,643,400-27,779,400) and 99 kb (nt 27,950,800-28,050,000), respectively, separated by a region of about 165 kb (Fig. S5A). No hybridization was observed in the sequences flanking the two peaks, nor two unrelated regions from ECA20 and ECA26 that were used as negative controls (Fig. S5).

ECA11 peri-centromeric region bioinformatic analysis

The region of analysis (nt 26,000,000-30,000,000) was divided into 10kb windows. Bases occurring in assembly gaps (EquCab2.0), conserved elements over 29 mammals (S14), gene spans (University of Santa Cruz, genome table browser), repeat elements (equine specific library – see above), and centromeric satellite sequences as identified by BLAST (S15) of the repeats (accession no. AY029358, AY029359S1 and AY029359S2), were tallied and charted as a proportion of bases within the 10kb windows (Fig. S2C). Where genes had multiple transcripts, gene spans were derived for the largest possible isoform.

Based on previous reports of a correlation between neocentromeres and the presence or transcription of LI or KERV-1 elements, we examined these regions for the presence of these elements. Bases occurring in L1 transposons over ECA11 were taken from repeat summary tables. The region analyzed for the centromere was divided into 10Kb windows. The mean proportions of bases in L1 transposons per window were calculated for the same region that was interrogated for neocentromeric analysis. By examination of the RepeatMasker output, this region was also found to be free of KERV-1 (also known as MERK-1) elements that may also be associated with centromeres (S16).

Gene Set

Evidence based methods featured in the standard Ensembl mammalian pipeline were used to generate gene predictions for the *E. caballus* assembly. Priority was given to predictions from mammals over other vertebrates and non-vertebrates and horse proteins were given the greatest priority. We compared the set of predictions to 1:1 homologous genes in human and mouse, and missing homologs in the horse annotation were recovered with exonerate (S17). We used horse and human cDNAs to add untranslated regions to protein based predictions. Gene counts were derived from Ensembl biomart for database build 52.2b.

Gene family expansion analysis made use of the Ensembl biomart database (<u>http://www.ensembl.org/biomart/</u>) build 55. First, Equus caballus genes were filtered to include only genes with gene-types of "protein coding" and "paralog eqcab IDs only" in the multi-species comparisons filter. Human ensemble gene ids were selected in the attributes filter (N=15,167) for the equine genes and the output from this filter was saved as a text file. The equivalent process was followed for bovine (N=15,958) and human genes (N=15,420). Paralog counts were compared across species using the Ensemble human gene identifier. Gene identifiers demonstrating a two-fold increase in paralog count in horse over both human and cow (N=99) were output (Table S9). The Ensembl Biomart database was used to extract functional descriptors by gene ontology (Biological process, or molecular function) for the expanded horse genes.

Transcriptomic sequencing was carried out by Illumina Genome Analyzer RNAseq protocols (Illumina, San Diego). Eight equine tissues (normal and LPS-stimulated articular cartilage, normal and LPS-stimulated synovial membrane, placental villous, testis, cerebellum, and a 34-day embryo) were studied. Sequence tags (35bp) were mapped to the equine genome sequence (EquCab2.0) with the ELAND module of Illumina's analysis pipeline. Of the total tags generated, 54% (158,787,455) had unique alignments. Nucleotide coordinates defining equine gene boundaries as indicated by RNA-seq data were compared to Ensembl predictions and supported gene predictions were tallied.

SNP Discovery

SNP discovery breeds were selected to represent the global diversity of horse populations and included Andalusian, Arabian, Akhal Teke, Icelandic Horse, Standardbred, Thoroughbred and Quarter horse breeds. SNP were discovered using the SSAHA-SNP (S18) algorithm using the methods described previously (S2). Comparison between these sequences and the assembled genome as well as SNP discovery in the assembly process itself has resulted in a high quality SNP map of 1,162,753 distinct SNP (Table S10) SNPs discovered from the mulitple breeds totaled 416,680 SNP. SNP in unassigned portions of the genome are not counted towards these totals but represent a further 312,499 SNP.

The observed rate within the Thoroughbred assembly itself is 1 SNP per 3,000 bp (Table S10). This is partly a result of the closed breeding structure of the Thoroughbred horse breed and the fact that among Thoroughbreds, a relatively inbred horse was selected for the genome project, but is also overly conservative based on the stringent quality criteria for SNP calling during the assembly process (estimated to correspond to 1 per 2000bp when corrected for the ascertainment. SNP discovery within the assembly required that both alleles be observed twice. By Poisson, the chance of having cover of at least 4 reads when the mean cover is $6.8 \times$ is ~90%. If we use binomial probabilities to account for the chance of this is 73%. In total, if the alleles are present we expect to observe them both twice about 66% of the time. This would give us an adjusted SNP rate of 1 per 2000bp which corresponds with a rate of 1 per 1050bp in the heterozygous portions of the genome.

Population Genetics

Determination of Homozygous and Heterozygous blocks

The homozygous or heterozygous state of each chromosome within the assembly was determined with the use of a Viterbi algorithm (S19) in a single Viterbi chain for each chromosome (Fig. S6). Observational probabilities were calculated separately for each 100kb window with a Poisson distribution with a mean dependent upon the state (Homozygous: expected bases/SNP =40,000; Heterozygous: expected bases/SNP=300), and the number of bases examined in the window (100,000). Transitional probabilities for a change from either homozygous to heterozygous, or vice versa, were set at 0.02. The chance of the state remaining the same was one minus this value. Homozygous and heterozygous block sizes were determined by merging adjacent windows with the same state.

Diversity Breeds

To examine the effects of population history on the genome structure, we characterized the haplotype structure within and across breeds. We genotyped 1,007 newly discovered SNPs from ten random regions of the genome in twelve populations,

including eleven breed sets (each with 24 representatives), and one across-breed set of individual representatives from 23 other breeds and equids. The breeds used in this analysis reflected global breed diversity (Table S1).

The breeds represented by 24 individuals in the study were the Arabian, Andalusian, Belgian Draft, French Trotter, Hokkaido, Hanoverian, Icelandic Horse, Norwegian Fjord, Quarter Horse, Standardbred, Thoroughbred. The mixed breed set included a donkey (*Equus africanus*) and Przewalskii horse (*Equus przewalski*) in addition to 21 individual horse-breed representatives (Belgian, Shire, Suffolk Punch, Quarter Horse, Standardbred, Thoroughbred, American Saddlebred, French Trotter, Selle Français, Trakehner, Paso Fino, Friesian, Akhal teke, Arabian, Andalusion, Lusitano, Icelandic, Norwegian Fjord, Exmoor Pony, Mongolian Horse, Misaki). SNPs for genotyping in these populations were ascertained from ten genomic regions each covering two megabases (Mb). The mixed breed set was sequenced in the first 5kb of each of the 10 regions and SNPs were ascertained. Two breeds (Misaki and Lusitano) failed sequencing resulting in 19 horse breed representatives that could be used in the 5kb haplotype sharing analysis.

Linkage Disequilibrium and Haplotype Sharing

Linkage disequilibrium was assessed by dispersing 100 SNP randomly throughout each of 10 regions. The regions chosen were (all in EqaCab2.0 coordinates): ECA 4: 44,820,715-46,820,715, ECA 5: 52,839,996 - 54,839,996, ECA 6: 11,581,414 - 13,581,414, ECA 10: 17,762,861 - 19,762,861, ECA 11: 25,111,945 - 27,111,945, ECA 14: 86,952,151 - 88,952,151, ECA 15: 18,556,343 - 20,556,343, ECA 17: 62,038,338 - 64,038,338, ECA 18: 16,040,788 - 18,040,788, ECA 29: 2,427,654 - 4,427,654

We assessed the long-range correlation between markers by r^2 , a traditional measure of LD, across the 2Mb regions (Fig. S7). An alternative method identified individuals homozygous within the first 5Kb of each region (n= 110 of 288 total on the basis of resequencing data), and examined the increasingly distant genotypes for each individual until fewer than 50% of the originally homozygous individuals maintained homozygosity. Similar methods were applied in the dog genome analysis (2).

Haplotype sharing was assessed for breeds represented by multiple individuals (24 per breed). For this analysis, genotyped SNPs with minor allele frequencies greater than 5% within the first 100Kb of each chromosomal region were phased with Fastphase (S20) into haplotypes and the observed haplotypes were tallied within and between breeds. In all 194 haplotypes were observed over the 10 loci examined for all breeds. Within breed, the average number of haplotypes observed was 5.3. The most frequent haplotype for all horses was observed in an average of 10.2 of 11 included breeds, while the second most frequent haplotype was observed in 9.1 breeds (Table S11).

We have also analyzed the degree of similarity between breeds within the 5kb resequenced regions using horses from the mixed breed set of the diversity panel (N=19). Within each segment, we tallied the number of times each haplotype was observed. More than 60% of haplotypes present at a locus are observed in more than one breed (Table S12).

Power for gene mapping

The number of SNPs required to capture horse genomic variation was tested by sampling the ten genotyped regions with 1,000 permuted maps for each of five different densities up to an equivalent of 100,000 SNPs. The maximum density of SNP present was equivalent to an array with 125,000 SNPs. SNPs not included in each map at densities lower than this were treated as hidden test SNPs. For each test SNP the maximum r^2 with any SNP included in the map was recorded for a range of breeds with different levels of LD and the average value was plotted against array density (Fig. S8).

The results of this analysis demonstrate well-supported trend lines that enable some prediction outside of the testing limits (Table S13). With a desired mean r^2 max of 0.8, the indications are that 75K SNP may be sufficient for breeds with long LD but are unlikely to fully represent variation in breeds with moderate or short LD at this stringency. At lower stringencies (e.g. $r^2_{max}=0.5$) >40,000 SNPs may be sufficient for all other than short LD breeds and across breed mapping.

Phylogeny

The phylogenetic distances among breeds were assessed by comparing the SNPs discovered in the 5Kb resequencing regions, where individual representatives from 22 breeds and 2 equids (*Equus africanus* and *Equus przewalskii*) were analyzed. The package PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) Version 3.5c (S21) including modules dnadist, kitsch and drawgram were used to assess the phylogenetic distances between individuals for SNPs with minor allele frequencies of greater than 5% over all horses. Heterozygous SNPs within individuals were coded with ambiguity codes. Bootstrapping (1000 permutations) was used to ascertain the stability of the phylogenies (Fig. S9).

Variation within Przewalski's horses

To test the hypothesis that the lack of divergence of the Przewalski's horse sample was due to sampling error or to use of an outlier individual we increased our sample size to include a wider sampling of the population. Multiple alignments of PCR traces from 8 Przewalski's horse representatives of several breeding lines was carried out with Consed (S22) for 7 regions (Table S14). Differences that were homozygous in the assayed individuals were noted as were the number of high quality bases examined. The observed divergence from the genome assembly for this group was one SNP per 550bp. This is similar to the divergence of the Przewalski representative from the re-sequencing assays (40 differences in 21,695 high quality bases or 1 SNP per 542bp), thus suggesting that the original Przewalski individual used in the breed phylogeny analysis was representative. Thus, we conclude that Przewalski's horses have similar divergence rates from domestic horses as wolves to dogs. However, unlike wolves the Przewalski's horses have few interspecific mutations that are not represented in the domestic horse population and this leads to the undifferentiated phylogenies observed at many loci.

Proof of Principle Mapping

The Leopard Complex (often referred to as Appaloosa spotting) is defined by striking patterns of white that can occur with or without oval pigmented spots (Fig. S10A). These patterns are observed in several breeds. Horses with pigmented spots in the white patterned areas are heterozygous for a mutation, which in the homozygous state confers a phenotype with few or no pigmented spots that is associated with Congenital Stationary Night Blindness (CSNB) (S23). A ~10 Mb region on ECA1 had previously been identified using linkage analysis (S24).

We performed fine-mapping of this interesting coat-color locus (Leopardcomplex) to show the utility of the SNP resource (Fig. S10B). We then performed hybrid selection followed by Illumina sequencing of associated regions. Fine mapping of the Leopard-complex entailed genotyping of 70 SNPs across the region ECA1:107,194,138-109,299,508 in 192 horses [Appaloosa: (125) including 13 with confirmed CSNB; Quarter Horse: (2); Knabstrupper (29); Noriker (36)]. Of these, only horses phenotyped as solid (control) or else in the phenotypic classes of "few-spot", "snow-cap", or night blind (case) were evaluated in the final analysis. This set comprised: Appaloosa (40/18 case/control, respectively]; Appaloosa phenotyped for Congenital stationar night blindness (CSNB) (13/14); Noriker (2/18); Knabstrupper (13/0). This strategy used the maximally differentiated phenotypes for association. Mapping that included presumed heterozygous phentoypes also resulted in the association of the same haplotype although with lower probability. Association analysis of SNPs and haplotypes was carried out with Haploview (S25) resulting in the identification of a 173Kb haplotype of association (ECA1: 108,197,355-108,370,150) containing the two most associated SNP: BIEC2-48043 ECA1: 108,248,113 Pr 6.15E⁻²³; and BIEC2 48086 ECA1:108,370,091). Two samples (Knabstrupper "few-spot" and Appaloosa "snow-cap") presumed to be homozygous for the associated allele based on SNP genotypes were chosen for hybrid capture (NimbleGen, Reykjavík, Iceland) and mutation detection with Illumina Genome Analyzer (Illumina, San Diego, CA) over the non-repetitive sequence within the interval ECA1:108,200,000-108,500,000.

The Grey coat color mutation resulting in premature hair greying was used as a positive control for copy number detection. Horses with this phenotype are prone to the development of melanoma. While, the mutation has been already described as a 4.6Kb duplication in the 6th intron of STX17 on ECA25 (S26), we were interested to see if the new sequencing technologies could readily detect the duplication by comparing a homozygote for the duplication with a homozygote for the ancestral haplotype upon which the duplication arose. An analysis of SNP and sequence coverage in the 350Kb region clearly identified the known duplication and three SNPs in disequilibrium with the duplication but none of these in conserved or transcribed regions. Comparison of this analysis with those of other regions demonstrates an absence of observable copy number variation in the Leopard complex samples.

Hybrid Capture for Massively Parallel Sequencing

Genomic DNA was fragmented and purified on QIAquick columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Fragment ends were blunted and 5'-phosphorylated, and a 3' overhang of a single adenosine was added. Illumina adapters (Illumina, San Diego, CA) were ligated to the DNA fragments, which were subsequently hybridized to NimbleGen Sequence Capture Arrays (Nimblegen, Reykjavík, Iceland), following manufacturer's recommendations. Following enrichment for selected sequences on the arrays, Illumina adapter ligated fragments were amplified with a high-fidelity polymerase and purified (QIAquick). Enrichment of samples was assessed by quantitative PCR comparison to the same samples prior to hybridization.

Coverage

The coverage varied somewhat between samples, likely as a function of the efficiency of the hybridization. The six horses included in the analysis had a mean coverage of 53.5 ± 35.4 reads per base with most of the observed variation arising from differences between horses rather than between loci. A minimum of 5-fold coverage was required to call a polymorphic base where both alleles were observed twice. The Icelandic horse had the greatest coverage with 99% of tiled bases achieving greater than five fold cover. The average horse with successful hybridization had 94% of repeat masked and tiled bases with >5× cover.

SNP and indel detection in Massively Parallel Sequencing

Genome Analyzer I single reads (each 35bp) were aligned to the EquCab2.0 using 12-mer seeding followed by a local Smith-Waterman alignment, allowing for insertions or deletions in the alignment. Output, reported all discrepancies between the reads and the reference. Focusing only on the mismatch calls in this dataset resulted in the set of potential SNPs: the minimum coverage to call the mismatch was set to 5; the minimum quality to call the mismatch was set to be the highest quality observed in the reads.

Discrepant lengths of alignment of k-mers (k=24) between reads and the reference were analyzed for the presence of insertion/deletion events. Windows of alignment moved in single base increments. The EquCab2.0 assembly base adjacent to insertion start point was recorded by breed if the alignment passed the quality criteria above.

Functional ascertainment of sequenced intervals

Intervals identified as conserved elements using SiPhy (S14) in 29 eutherian mammals were marked within the sequenced regions. Associated SNPs or indels falling within 5 bases of the marked intervals were considered to be "conserved" and therefore candidate mutations. Potential transcripts identified by Illumina Genome Analyzer RNA-SEQ of 8 equine tissues (see section on Genes) were compared to the associated polymorphic sites. Bases with overlap with RNA-SEQ transcripts were treated as if they are transcribed. The general level of transcription within the Grey target region was somewhat higher than in the Leopard region in the tissues studied thus far.

Assessment of Copy Number Variation (CNV) in sequenced intervals

For all pairs of samples the mean-normalized ratio of cumulative coverage in 1kb windows across the target region for the trait was calculated. CNVs appear in this metric as a sharp deviation from the background signal. The ratio of signals is differentiated to enhance the signal and to handle the fluctuations in coverage across different genomic regions. The standard deviation from the mean of the distribution is then calculated from the differentiated signal, and histograms were used to assess results (Fig. S11). The

cumulative coverage both forward and backward across the target region is used to alleviate instability during the initial passes of the algorithm over the data.

This analysis demonstrates that no significant copy number variants were present in the sequenced regions for horses other than the grey Lippizaner, for which the mutation is known to be a duplication event.

Supporting Text

Features of the equine genome

DNA from a single Thoroughbred mare was used in the construction of a $6.8 \times$ coverage high-quality draft assembly, with added contiguity generated by the inclusion of BAC end sequences from a related male Thoroughbred horse, from which a BAC map has been produced (S10). The assembly (designated EquCab2.0) is of high quality and contiguity with a 112 kb N50 contig size and a 46 Mb N50 scaffold size (Tables S2, S3), and with >95% of the sequence anchored to the 64 (2N) equine chromosomes.

The estimated euchromatic genome size of *Equus caballus* based on the total lengths of scaffolds is 2.47 Gb (Table S3). This is somewhat larger than the dog and smaller than the human genome (2.9 Gb) and bovine (2.9 Gb) genome (S2, S27) (S28). Segmental duplications in the assembly, determined using standard methods (5) comprise less than 1% of the equine genome, and the majority of these (with $\sim 80\%$ mapped to chromosomes) are intra-chromosomal duplications such as those seen in the dog and mouse genomes. However, the assembly has many unplaced sequence reads suggesting that the true genome size may be up to 2.7 Gb (Table S3) similar to the mouse (S29). The unassembled sequence is highly repetitive in nature. Using standard mammalian repeat libraries, 39% of the genome assembly is annotated as repetitive, however, using custom repeat libraries that include horse-specific repeats, 46% of the assembled sequence is identified as repetitive, a quantity comparable with that of the human genome. The predominant repeat classes include LINEs dominated by L1 and L2 types (Tables S5, S6) (19% of bases) and SINEs including the recent ERE1/2 and the ancestral MIRs (7% of bases). Novel equine repeats account for a large fraction of the observed consensus repeat elements, but only 48 elements are present in greater numbers (>100 copies). Chimeric repeats are an important newly identified source of repetitive elements in the horse and are difficult to classify unambiguously. Frequently, these repeats appear to stem from the random placement of new repeats within existing repeats, and the different repeat classes occur in proportion to their overall frequency in the genome.

In the horse genome an evolutionary new centromere (ENC), has been captured in an immature state. A previous study (S11) showed that, during the last 3 million years, several evolutionary new centromeres (ENCs) were generated in the genus *Equus*, by centromere repositioning (shift of centromeric position without chromosome rearrangement). In particular, it was shown that the centromere of ECA11 is an ENC. Mammalian centromeres are typically complex structures characterized by the presence of satellite tandem repeats. It is commonly thought that ENCs, following seeding of

satellite tandem repeat DNA into a single-copy DNA region, progressively acquire the characteristic extended arrays of satellite tandem repeats and that presumably these stabilize the centromeric function (S30). However, centromeres lacking satellite sequence have been described only in occasional human clinical cases, where they stabilize an acentric fragment (S31, S32) or, occasionally in normal chromosomes of a normal individual, in which the centromere was repositioned (S33-S35) as is the case in evolution. The centromere of ECA11 was the only horse centromere lacking any hybridization signal in FISH experiments performed using the two major horse satellite sequences as probes. (Fig. S2A and Table S8). The absence of satellite signals in the ECA11 centromere suggests that this ENC may not have yet "matured" to the point of being endowed with satellite DNA. The availability of the horse genome sequence enabled us to test this hypothesis. Using a panel of BAC clones as probes in FISH experiments, we cytogenetically localized the primary constriction (Fig. S2B). We then fine-mapped the centromeric function by ChIP-on-chip analysis, using antibodies against the kinetokore proteins CENP-A and CENP-C, and conclusively demonstrated that centromeric function is localized within this region (Fig. S5). The ~400kb region to which the centromere is localized (Chr11: 27,643,400-28,049,600) contains only five sequence gaps in the EquCab2.0 assembly and none is larger than 200 bp (all are spanned by paired-end reads from multiple clones). It has no protein coding sequences, normal levels of non-coding conserved elements and typical levels of interspersed repetitive sequences, but no satellite tandem repeated sequences (Fig. S2C). A recent study of a human neocentromere (S36) identified a transcriptionally active LINE L1 (L1) retrotranposon. We have not ascertained whether the L1 elements within the ECA11 ENC are transcribed, but we note that the ECA11 ENC has fewer L1s than the rest of the genome. The entire genome has ~16.3% of bases in L1 repeats; all of ECA11 has ~9.3% bases in L1, while the neocentromeric region from 26.7 to 28.1Mb has only 5% bases in L1 elements. While this genomic region resides in a large region of conserved synteny with many mammals, the only species in which a centromere is present is the horse. In conclusion, we propose that the ECA11 centromere was formed very recently during the evolution of the horse lineage and, in spite of being functional and stable in all horses, has not yet acquired all the marks typical of mammalian centromeres.

Genes

The equine gene set is, not surprisingly, similar to that of other eutherian mammals. Gene structure annotation by the ENSEMBL pipeline predicts 20,322 protein-coding genes (Ensembl build 52.2b). The number of genes orthologous to these predictions is comparable in human (16,617), mouse (17,106) and dog (16,159). The remainder comprises projected protein coding genes, novel protein-coding genes, and pseudogenes. Gene family expansion relative to other species seems to be active in olfactory and immune genes genes (Table S9) as has been seen in other non-primate mammals.

Interesting expansions are present in gene families relating to vision (particularly opsins) and keratins, which form a significant component of hair and nails (hooves). The expansion of the sulfotransferase gene family may generate disulfide bonds for the keratin cysteine amino acids, which likely lend rigidity to hooves (S37, S38). Among the expanded keratin genes are those which when mutated in humans give rise to the condition pachyonychia congenita (S39). The symptoms of this condition include

thickening of nail beds and skin on the hands and feet and it seems likely that changes in these genes may have led to the development of the hoof.

Equine population genetics and haplotype structure analysis

To facilitate trait mapping in the horse, we set out to generate the necessary resources and to characterize the haplotype structure among horse populations. The history of horse domestication differs in important ways from that of the domestic dog. First, whereas dogs went through a tight bottleneck at the time of domestication from the wolf, horses do not appear to have been subjected to a tight domestication bottleneck. It is likely that horses were first domesticated between 4,000 and 6,000 years ago (S40) either as a source of food (S41) or for transport. Mitochondrial evidence (S42) suggests the presence of many matrilines in domestic horse history; perhaps due to herds of mares being captured for food production, or else as a result of the geographical distribution of horse genetic material during periods of military conquest. Screening for polymorphisms on the horse Y chromosome has revealed a limited number of patrilines, consistent with a strong sex-bias in the domestication process (S43). Second, some horse breed registries (with exceptions such as the Thoroughbred) have fewer restrictions than dog kennel clubs regarding introgression of limited genetic stock from other breeds and many breeds have used out-crossing in their initial establishment.

We first generated a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) map of more than one million markers, comprised of >700,000 SNPs discovered by comparing the two chromosomes within the genome assembly and ~400,000 SNPs were discovered from ~100,000 whole genome shotgun reads from each of seven horse breeds. The resulting SNP map has an average density of one SNP per 2kb (Table S10). The mean SNP rate between horses of different breeds is similar to humans and dogs at 1 SNP per 1,200 bp. Within the sequenced horse 46% of the genome was determined to be homozygous using a 100kb window size (Fig. S6). This amount of homozygosity is likely somewhat higher than in the average horse, since the chosen individual came from a herd that had been inbred.

98% of SNPs were polymorphic (true SNP) within the genotyped panels. Among all horses the mean minor allele frequency for polymorphic SNPs was 0.22 (+/-0.15) in a flat distribution from zero to 0.5, suggesting that horse populations have been subject to neither massive expansion, contraction nor bottlenecks throughout their collective histories (S44).

When we assessed the likelihood that SNPs were polymorphic in a third breed based on the discovery breed used the mean polymorphism rate for SNPs from any discovery breed comparison was 69%. The breed with the highest proportion of polymorphic SNPs in any third breed (besides the discovery breed and the thoroughbred) was the Standardbred (74.3% polymorphic), while the lowest was the Akhal teke (61% polymorphic in a third breed). The low rate at which Akhal teke discovery SNPs were observed to be polymorphic in other breeds suggests that the Akhal teke breed is genetically diverged from the other eleven breeds, since these SNP seem to be Akhal teke specific. The within-breed linkage disequilibrium (LD) in the horse is moderate. It is approximately five times shorter than the within-breed LD for dog and five times longer than in a human population of European Ancestry (Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (CEPH)) population (Fig. 1B). The LD is of similar extent to that observed in the bovine (S45). While the majority of horse breeds show similar patterns of LD, the Thoroughbred appears to have LD akin to that of dog breeds (Fig. S7). This was expected because the Thoroughbred breeding population has maintained a closed breeding structure for well over a century and was derived from relatively few founders (S41). Some ancient breeds, such as the Norwegian Fjord Horse and Hokkaido, show somewhat shorter LD. Interestingly, the across breed LD in the horse is only slightly shorter (50-70 kb) than the within breed values, likely reflecting the absence of strong bottlenecks during breed formation and the requirement of many mares to maintain population size, due to the limited number of offspring per mare.

The horse's particular history is further illustrated by the frequent sharing of major haplotypes among diverse horse populations, emphasizing their common history. On average we observe five haplotypes for each breed within the first 100 kb segment of the LD region, and nineteen haplotypes across breeds. The two most common haplotypes across breeds, for a given segment, are typically present in almost all of the eleven breeds and 98% of 100 kb haplotypes with a minor allele frequency (MAF) >0.05 (of all haplotypes) are shared between at least two of the breeds studied (Fig. 1C, Tables S11, S12). By contrast, human haplotypes are short and are highly shared, while dog haplotypes are long within breeds and these breed-specific haplotypes show relatively little sharing across breeds (65% of 100kb haplotypes are shared at MAF >0.05). Ancestral canine haplotypes are short and are highly shared and these 10 kb haplotypes are always present in multiple dog breeds. Thus, equine genetic history is reflected both in across breed LD and haplotype sharing properties.

The marker density required for effective genome-wide association mapping can be estimated from the length of LD in the horse, the number of haplotypes within haplotype blocks, and the polymorphism rate. Effective tagging of haplotypes for genetic mapping purposes in the average breed will require an estimated map density of greater than 100,000 SNP (for 5 haplotypes in 18,000 blocks of 150kb when 70% of SNP are polymorphic within a given breed), and more markers will be required for effective mapping in ancient breeds as well as in those with a large effective population size. The estimate is supported by stochastic sampling over the 2 Mb regions in the breeds examined for LD (Table. S13), where with a sampling density equivalent to 100,000 polymorphic SNPs we were able to obtain mean maximum r^2 values of >0.5 for tested SNPs in all breeds as well as the across breed groups (Fig. S8).

Phylogenetic analysis of the SNPs in the re-sequenced regions shows varying relationships between breeds among the different loci tested (Fig. S9). The heterogeneity is most likely a consequence of the close ancestral relationship of the horse breeds studied even though they were sourced from geographically dispersed populations. Because the breeds are from a common global population; small differences in genotype

or local gene history caused by drift or selection, create large effects on the branching structure of the phylogeny. This creates a varying phylogeny across loci where few nodes are significantly supported at bootstrap values of > 0.90.

The Przewalski's horse, *E. przewalskii*, is considered a more ancestral equid than the domestic horse and has a different karyotype (2N=66). In agreement with recent findings (S46), we are unable to phylogenetically separate *E. przewalskii* from the domesticated horse breeds, whereas donkey (*E. africanus*) clearly has its own branch in phylogenic comparison (Fig. S9). We were concerned that the sample we had chosen first may have been an outlier to the wider *E. przewalskii* population and so evaluated the similarity of this individual horse to other Przewalski horses using 8 widely ascertained samples of *E. przewalskii* selected to represent all extant lineages. We speculate that there was either inter-mixing of *E. przewalskii* and *E. caballus* after sub-species separation or that *E. przewalskii* is recently derived from *E. caballus*.

Proof of principle genetic mapping

The Leopard Complex (often referred to as Appaloosa spotting) is defined by striking patterns of white that can occur with or without oval pigmented spots (Fig. 10A). These patterns are observed in several breeds. Horses with pigmented spots in the white patterned areas are heterozygous for a mutation which in the homozygous state that in the Appaloosa horse breed, confers a phenotype with few or no pigmented spots that is associated with Congenital Stationary Night Blindness (CSNB) (S23). A ~10 Mb region on ECA1 had previously been identified using linkage analysis (S24). We performed fine mapping on the 2 Mb region with the strongest LOD scores in the linkage analysis; it contains five known genes including the melastatin 1 (TRPM1) gene, which is a good candidate based on its expression in the eye and in melanocytes (S47). We genotyped 70 SNPs in 92 individuals with extreme phenotype (few spot or solid) from three breeds, Appaloosa (85 individuals), Noriker (20), and Knabstrupper (13), and identified a 173 kb haplotype that was strongly associated with disease across breeds (Fig. S10B). After microarray capture and targeted sequencing we found no indications of copy number variants or insertion-deletions associated with the phenotype, but found 42 associated SNP variants, of which 21 reside within the associated haplotype. Two SNPs are located within conserved elements and may be good candidates for the causal mutation. The candidate mutations for the Leopard complex will now be examined for association with phenotype in additional horses as well as for functional consequences.

Supporting Figures



Fig. S1. Conserved synteny a. Horse chromosomes are colored by corresponding Human chromsomes. **b.** Human chromosomes are colored by corresponding chromosomes from Horse, Cow, Dog and Mouse (H, C, D and M, respectively). Corresponding chromosomes for other species are colored according to the key shown. Diagonal lines indicate sequence orientation.



Fig. S2. Localization and sequence analysis of the ECA11 centromere. (a)

Hybridization of the two major horse satellite sequences on horse chromosomes; the 23 bp repeat (2p1) is labeled in red and the 221 bp repeat (37cen) is labeled in green. All centromeres are labeled with one or both satellite probes except chromosome 11 (arrows). (b) Schematic representation of the cytogenetic localization of the primary constriction within a 2.7 Mb region occurring between the BAC clones CHORI241-262K23 and CHORI241-21D14; only the pericentromeric region of chromosome 11 is shown; numbers correspond to names of informative BAC clones. (c) Bioinformatic analysis of the sequence comprising the primary constriction of ECA11, between nucleotides 26,000,000 and 30,000,000; in panel one the results of the ChIP-on-chip analysis performed with antibodies against centromeric proteins (CENP-A and CENP-C) are shown, indicating that two regions of 136 and 99kb, respectively, are bound by kinetochore proteins; there are essentially no uncaptured and few captured gaps in EcaCab2.0 of this sequence (panel two); a normal fraction of bases occur in repeat

sequences (panel three) but the region is completely devoid of satellite tandem repeats (panel four). No protein coding sequences are present in the region binding centromeric proteins as well as in the nearby regions (panel five), but there are normal levels of non-coding conserved elements based on conservation among 29 eutherian mammals (panel six).



Fig. S3. Immuno-localization of CENP-A and CENP-C on equine chromosomes Antibodies against human centromeric proteins CENP-A (left) and CENP-C (right) bind horse centromeres (red fluorescence signals).



Fig. S4. RT-PCR analysis of the ChIP-chip samples. Results are presented as the log2 ratio between the hybridization signal, obtained with the immunoprecipitated DNA using anti-CENP-A (red) or anti-CENP-C (green) antibodies and that from the input DNA sample. The X-axis shows the genomic position of each primer used or the accession number of the sequence used for the design. The first two pairs of columns represent negative controls with primers that map into non centromeric regions of chr. 11, 27009043-27009142 (forward – TTGCCCTGATAGCGAGAAAT, reverse – CTATTCCTTGGGGCTTCTCC) and 27569560-27569649 (forward - ATGCCCTGGACTGTAAAACG, reverse - ATCCTCAAAGCTGAGCCAAA). They show no significant enrichment in comparison to the last two pairs of columns which correspond to primer pairs designed on the sequence of the major horse centromeric satellite DNA: AY029359S1 (forward – TGTGAAACCACATGCAGGA, reverse – CTGCCTGTTGTCTGGTGT) and AY029359S2 (forward – TGTGAAACCACATGCAGGAT, reverse – TGTGAAACCACATGCAGGAT, reverse CTGCCTGTTGTCTGGTGTD). The lengths of all the PCR products are comparable (~100 bp).



Fig. S5. Partial view of the ChIP-on-chip analysis data on ECA11, ECA20 and ECA26 with anti-CENP-A and -CENP-C antibodies. Results are presented as the log2 ratio between the hybridization signals obtained with DNA immunoprecipitated using anti-CENP-A or CENP-C antibodies and that from the input DNA sample. The X axis shows the genomic position of each oligo on the respective regions. The data are visualized by the SignalMap software (NimbleGen Systems, Reykjavík, Iceland). Details of the microarray structure are reported at the NimbleGen site (http://www.nimblegen. com). In ECA11 the CENP-A and CENP-C domains are indicated by shaded areas. In the regions flanking the two domains, in the segment between the two domains, as well as in the non-centromeric regions of ECA20 and ECA26 (used as negative controls) no significant hybridization is detected, using very stringent conditions (98th percentile threshold, P < 0.0001).



Fig. S6. Homozygosity in the sequenced horse. Regions of heterozygosity and homozygosity in the genome assembly were determined by the application of a Viterbi algorithm at 100kb resolution using regional distribution of SNP as the method of assessment. By this method, 46% of the genome is determined to be homozygous, which is higher than has typically been observed in other mammalian genomes sequenced to date.



Fig. S7. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) variation by breed. Individual horse breeds examined have similar LD except for the Thoroughbred horse, which has unusually high LD akin to that of the average dog breed. In both species, data are based on binned means of all possible pair-wise r^2 among SNPs over all distances within assayed regions.







Fig. S9. Phylogeny of horse breeds at five random loci. (a) A phylogram among 19 horse breeds using all loci has few nodes with statistical support. The first supported node denotes separation from the donkey lineage. *E. przewalskii* does not separate from domestic horse breeds. White circles mark bootstrap values of greater than 95% from 1000 permutations, while gray circles denote those with values > 90%. (b-e) Phylograms from individual loci show that topologies among horse breeds are predominantly flat but are diverse across loci.



Fig. S10. Fine mapping of the Leopard Complex locus. (a) The mare represents the homozygous (few-spot and Congenital Stationary Night Blindness) Leopard Complex phenotype, while the foal represents the heterozygous phenotype. (b) Leopard Complex was fine mapped using 70 SNP over a 2Mb interval identifying a 173kb associated haplotype. A 300kb region was re-sequenced (red bar).



Fig. S11. CNV detection from resequencing data Z-scores for normalized coverage within 1kb windows are displayed in pair-wise comparisons of an Appaloosa (LP) versus Arabian (non-grey, non-LP, chestnut), Knabstrupper (LP) versus Arabian (non-grey, non-LP, chestnut) and Lippizaner (Grey) versus Arabian (non-grey, non-LP, chestnut). Only the Grey horse comparison reveals a deviation from the mean consistent with the presence of a copy number polymorphism.

Supporting Tables

Table S1. Sources of Samples

	Numb		
Strain	er	Use †	Contributor
Twilight -		GSDR	
Thoroughbred	1	L	Cornell University (USA)
Andalusion Horse	1	SDRL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Icelandic Horse	1	SDRL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Akhal teke	1	SDRL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Thoroughbred Horse	1	SDRL	University of Kentucky (USA)
Standardbred-Pacer	1	SDRL	University of Kentucky (USA)
Quarter Horse	1	SDRL	University of California -Davis (USA)
Arabian Horse	1	SDRL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Przewalskii Horse			San Diego Zoo's Institute for Conservation
(Equid)	1	RL	Research (USA)
	4		San Diego Zoo's Institute for Conservation
Donkey (Equid)	1	RL	Research (USA)
Paso Fino	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Exmoor Pony	1	RL	University College of Dublin (Ireland)
	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Friesian	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Belgian draft	1	RL	University of Minnesota (USA)
Norwegian Fjord	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Shire	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Suffolk Punch	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
Lusitano	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)/Kentucky Horse Park
American Saddlebred	1	RL	University of Kentucky (USA)
French Trotter	1	RL	INRA (France)
Selle Francais	1	RL	INRA (France)
Thoroughbred	24	L	University of Kentucky (USA)
Arabian	24	L	University of Kentucky (USA)
Icelandic Horse	24	L	Uppsala University (Sweden)
Hokkaido	24	L	Laboratory of Racing Chemistry (Japan)
Belgian draft	24	L	University of Minnesota (USA)
Quarter horse	24	L	University of California -Davis (USA)
French Trotter	24	L	INRA (France)
Standardbred-Pacer	24	L	University of Kentucky (USA)
			Institute of Animal Breeding and Genetics
Hanoverian	24	L	(Germany)
Norwegian Fjord	24	L	Norwegian School of Veterinary Science (Norway)
Andalusian	24	L	University of Kentucky (USA)
_	-	-	San Diego Zoo's Institute for Conservation
Przewalskii Horse	8	ĸ	Research (USA)

[†] Genome sequencing (G), SNP discovery (S), Re-sequencing (R), L=Linkage Disequilibrium and Haplotype analysis (L)

Table S2.	Genome	assembly	charact	teristics
-----------	--------	----------	---------	-----------

WGS Assembly (EquCab2.0)	
Number of sequence reads	28.8×10^{6}
Sequence redundancy (Q20 bases)	6.8×
Contig Length (kb; N50*)	112.4
Scaffold Length (Mb; N50)	46
Anchored bases in assembly (Mb)	2,336
Integration of physical mapping data	
Scaffolds anchored on chromosomes	83
Fraction of genome in anchored and oriented scaffolds (%)	95.6
Quality control	
Bases with quality score ≥ 40 (%)	98.6

*N50 is the size such that 50% of the assembly reside in contigs/scaffolds of a length at least X.

Table S3. Comparative assembly statistics

	Horse (EquCab2.0)	Dog (CanFam2.0)	Cow (BTau4.0) †
Coverage	6.8×	7.3×	7.1×
Total contig length (ungapped)	2.43Gb	2.31Gb	2.73Gb
Total scaffold length (gapped)	2.47Gb	2.33Gb	2.87Gb
N50 contig	112Kb	180Kb	49Kb
N50 scaffold	46Mb	45Mb	2Mb
Heterozygosity Rate within assembly*	$\sim 1/2000*$	~1/1700*	1/1700
Anchored	96%	98%	90%
Unplaced (Gb)	0.26	0.075	0.28

* Adjusted for the SNP discovery protocol. † ftp://ftp.hgsc.bcm.tmc.edu/pub/data/Btaurus/fasta/Btau20070913-freeze/README.Btau20070913.txt

Repeat Class	Occurrence (N=2,229 chimeras)
L1	1,054
ERV	464
LTR	439
ERE	419
MER	406
MLT	247
MIR	242
L2	211
Tigger	70
SAT_EC	44
ES22	27
Total common	3,623

 Table S4. Occurrence of common equine repeat classes within chimeric repeat sequences by frequency

Repeat_group	Count	Bases	% Genome
LINE_L1	464,621	402,200,888	16.25
DNA_All	330,947	77,908,269	3.15
LINE_L2	234,304	71,040,977	2.87
SINE_ERE1_2	296,962	70,493,153	2.85
LTR_MaLR	189,978	66,335,259	2.68
SINE_MIR	433,220	65,909,940	2.66
LTR_ERVL	97,995	45,135,591	1.82
LTR_ERV1	95,173	37,924,134	1.53
SINE_ERE3	159,847	35,928,664	1.45
tetra.penta_All	2,560,707	30,290,224	1.22
LINE_CR1	24,886	6,321,427	0.26
di_AC	455,500	5,154,925	0.21
di_AG	491,252	4,615,663	0.19
LINE_RTE	19,102	4,519,823	0.18
LTR_Other	16,778	4,205,795	0.17
di_AT	317,721	3,249,245	0.13
tri_AAT	239,773	2,478,174	0.10
tri_AAG	196,665	2,012,054	0.08
tri_AGG	182,780	1,830,576	0.07
LTR_ERVK	6,250	1,698,832	0.07
tri_AGC	118,372	1,179,278	0.05
tri_AAC	113,989	1,174,230	0.05
tri_ACC	97,191	972,959	0.04
tri_ATC	85,399	890,424	0.04
SINE_Other	6,507	647,743	0.03
SINE_tRNA	3,356	535,733	0.02
tri_ACT	29,303	284,872	0.01
tri_CCG	12,825	135,075	0.01
di_CG	6,671	60,736	0.00
tri_ACG	2,560	24,792	0.00
unclassified/chin	neric	278,805,770	11.27
TOTAL		1,223,965,225	49.45

Table S5 Equine repeat counts by repeat class

				Bases in	
		Bases in		Repeat	
		Custom		Standard	
	Bases in	Horse		mammalian	
	Chromosome	Repeat	0 / D	repeat	0/ D
Chromosome	(Ungapped)	Library	%Bases	library	%Bases
ECA01	185,838,109	85,443,209	45.98	71,898,615	38.69
ECA02	120,857,687	54,761,556	45.31	47,449,429	39.26
ECA03	119,479,920	53,081,675	44.43	45,888,260	38.41
ECA04	108,569,075	48,749,160	44.90	40,502,306	37.31
ECA05	99,680,356	45,536,391	45.68	38,379,559	38.50
ECA06	84,719,076	37,006,521	43.68	31,281,116	36.92
ECA07	98,542,428	48,034,718	48.75	39,259,912	39.84
ECA08	94,057,673	42,512,680	45.20	35,105,738	37.32
ECA09	83,561,422	38,437,092	46.00	33,651,899	40.27
ECA10	83,980,604	41,053,406	48.88	32,849,434	39.12
ECA11	61,308,211	25,904,943	42.25	21,972,481	35.84
ECA12	33,091,231	15,989,901	48.32	12,126,721	36.65
ECA13	42,578,167	20,437,762	48.00	16,648,391	39.10
ECA14	93,904,894	42,251,798	44.99	36,215,084	38.57
ECA15	91,571,448	41,521,469	45.34	35,379,096	38.64
ECA16	87,365,405	39,087,829	44.74	34,037,732	38.96
ECA17	80,757,907	35,069,315	43.43	30,304,085	37.52
ECA18	82,527,541	36,606,822	44.36	31,370,240	38.01
ECA19	59,975,221	26,235,552	43.74	22,805,764	38.03
ECA20	64,166,202	30,104,769	46.92	24,406,416	38.04
ECA21	57,723,302	24,820,341	43.00	20,791,924	36.02
ECA22	49,946,797	22,919,109	45.89	19,839,539	39.72
ECA23	55,726,280	26,693,617	47.90	21,250,236	38.13
ECA24	46,749,900	20,575,606	44.01	17,427,933	37.28
ECA25	39,536,964	17,644,928	44.63	15,011,336	37.97
ECA26	41,866,177	17,923,392	42.81	14,932,430	35.67
ECA27	39,960,074	17,947,777	44.91	24,294,988	60.80
ECA28	46,177,339	20,647,313	44.71	17,968,238	38.91
ECA29	33,672,925	15,797,024	46.91	12,659,408	37.60
ECA30	30,062,385	13,484,851	44.86	11,530,197	38.35
ECA31	24,984,650	10,103,970	40.44	8,551,188	34.23
ECA X	124,114,077	73,541,234	59.25	59,996,902	48.34

 Table S6 Equine repeat counts by chromosome

Table S7. Comparative mammalian syntemy by human

	Horse	Dog	Cow	
	(EquCab2.0)	(Canfam2.0)	(BTau4.0)†	Mouse (mm8)
Total human bases within				
syntenic blocks	2,806,996,477	2,815,185,268	2,740,814,367	2,782,678,976
Number of syntenic blocks to				
human build 36	143	204	666	267
Mean size of syntenic block				
(human bp)	19,629,346	13,799,928	4,115,337	10,422,019
N50 size of syntenic block (bp)	49,960,324	29,533,072	13,931,946	24,094,919
Min size of syntenic block				
(human bp)	76,610	42,194	21,727	40,899
Max size of syntenic block				
(human bp)	111,937,458	123,805,846	88,057,757	145,402,504
Number of syntenic segments to				
human build 36	425	443	1,257	528
Mean size of syntenic segment				
(human bp)	6,494,112	6,245,596	2,130,424	5,176,048
N50 size of syntenic segment				
(human bp)	28,586,459	20,303,665	6,659,535	14,180,003
Min size of syntenic segment				
(human bp)	20,829	33,931	15,852	23,841
Max size of syntenic segment				
(human bp)	89,351,187	49,342,126	33,132,642	59,405,417

† Differences are likely technical rather than biological

Chr	37cen	2pl	Chr	37cen	2pl
ECA1	C†	/‡	ECA18	С	С
ECA2	/	С	ECA19	С	С
ECA3	С	С	ECA20	С	С
ECA4	С	/	ECA21	С	С
ECA5	С	/	ECA22	С	С
ECA6	С	С	ECA23	С	С
ECA7	С	С	ECA24	С	С
ECA8	С	С	ECA25	С	С
ECA9	С	/	ECA26	С	С
ECA10	С	С	ECA27	С	С
ECA11	1	1	ECA28	С	С
ECA12	С	/	ECA29	С	С
ECA13	С	С	ECA30	С	С
ECA14	С	С	ECA31	С	С
ECA15	С	С	ECAX	С	/
ECA16	С	С	ECAY	С	С
ECA17	С	С			

Table S8. Results of hybridization with 37cen and 2P1 (the two major horse satellites).

† Hybridized (C) ‡ No hybridization (/)

Horse ID	Human ID	Paralogs Horse	Paralogs Human	Paralogs Cow	GO Biological Process	GO Molecular Function	Names List	Ensembl annotation (human ID)
ENSECAG00000000002	ENSG00000226430	240	29	96		ligand-dependent nuclear receptor transcription coactivator activity,hydrolase activity,	XP_001494472.1USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_H ORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 U SP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM 4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991 .1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5 YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_0 01487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_0 01487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP 6 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 0 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP3 6 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP2 0 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP3 6 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP2 0 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP3 6 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP2 0 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP3 6 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP2 0 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22 USP49 A5YBM4_HORSE XP_001494487.2 USP20 USP16 XP_001487991.1 USP44 USP45 USP33 USP42 USP36 USP22	Inactive ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 17-like protein 7
ENSECAG0 0000000647	ENSG00000 223667	234	29	110			Names not provided	T-cell receptor beta V gene segment

Table S9. Gene family expansion analysis for horse. Ensemble gene codes for genes with more than two-fold as many paralogs in horse as human and cow.

XP_001502249.2XP_001918312.1|XP_001501803.2|XP_00 1502099.2||XP_001502096.2|XP_001501866.2|XP_001501 873.2|XP_001501848.2|XP_001501813.2||XP_001502112.2 |XP_001501822.2||XP_001502058.2|XP_001501914.2|XP_ 001502086.2||XP_001501894.2|XP_001502105.2|XP_0015 01936.2|XP_001502037.2|XP_001502336.2|OR4F15|XP_0 01501838.2|XP_001502322.2|XP_001502119.2|XP_00150 2447.2|XP_001502158.2|OR4K14|XP_001502033.2|XP_00 1501993.2 OR4K13 XP 001502032.2 OR4K5 XP 0015021 37.2|XP_001918302.1|XP_001502027.2|XP_001502288.2| XP 001501905.2IXP 001502299.2IXP 001502052.2IXP 0 01501918.2[XP_001502123.2[XP_001501902.2](DR4K15[]X P_001502061.2](DR4K1](XP_001502065.2](DR4L1](XP_0015 P_001916079.1|XP_001918312.1|XP_001501803.2|XP_00 1502099.2||XP_001502096.2|XP_001501866.2|XP_001501 873.2|XP_001501848.2|XP_001501813.2||XP_001502112.2 |XP_001501822.2||XP_001502058.2|XP_001501914.2|XP 001502086.2||XP_001501894.2|XP_001502105.2|XP_0015 01936.2|XP_001502037.2|XP_001502336.2|OR4F15|XP_0 01501838.2|XP_001502322.2|XP_001502119.2|XP_00150 2447.2|XP_001502158.2|OR4K14|XP_001502033.2|XP_00 1501993.2|OR4K13|XP_001502032.2|OR4K5|XP_0015021 37.2|XP_001918302.1|XP_001502027.2|XP_001502288.2| XP_001501905.2|XP_001502299.2|XP_001502052.2|XP_0 01501918.2|XP_001502123.2|XP_001501902.2|OR4K15||X P_001502061.2|OR4K1|XP_001502065.2|OR4L1|XP_0015 02452.2|OR4K2|OR4Q3|XP_001500617.2|OR4D2|XP_001 501811.2|OR4D1||XP_001492808.2|OR4D11|OR4D6|XP_0 01502465.2[OR4D9]XP_001502457.2[OR4N5]XP_0014950 03.1]XP_001501966.2[OR4N4]OR4Q2]XP_001504950.2]X 01501838.2|XP_001502322.2|XP_001502119.2|XP_00150 2447.2|XP_001502158.2|OR4K14|XP_001502033.2|XP_00 1501993.2|OR4K13|XP_001502032.2|OR4K5|XP_0015021 37.2|XP_001918302.1|XP_001502027.2|XP_001502288.2| XP_001501905.2|XP_001502299.2|XP_001502052.2|XP_0 01501918.2|XP_001502123.2|XP_001501902.2|OR4K15||X P_001502061.2|OR4K1|XP_001502065.2|OR4L1|XP_0015 02452.2|OR4K2|OR4Q3|XP_001500617.2|OR4D2|XP_001 501811.2|OR4D1||XP_001492808.2|OR4D11|OR4D6|XP_0 01502465.2|OR4D9|XP_001502457.2|OR4N5|XP_0014950 03.1|XP_001501966.2|OR4N4|OR4Q2|XP_001504950.2|X P 001916079.11

ENSG0000177693

29

56

ENSECAG0000007679

Olfactory receptor type 4

XP_001504682.2XP_001505176.2|XP_001502381.2|XP_00 1502514.2|XP_001502347.2|XP_001502579.2|XP_001502 559.2||XP_001502230.2||XP_001502365.2|XP_001502217. 2|XP_001502352.2|XP_001502530.2|OR11H6|XP_0015025 21.2|OR11H4|XP_001501945.2|XP_001505178.2|XP_0015 02338.2|XP_001502499.2|XP_001502541.2|XP_00150254 9.2|XP_001497033.2|XP_001501802.2||XP_001491504.2|X P_001490710.2|OR6Q1|OR6N1|XP_001497273.2|XP_0015 00666.2|OR6B1|OR6A2|XP 001499903.2|XP 001500074.2 |OR6N2|XP_001500062.2||XP_001491710.2|XP_00149168 9.2|OR6P1|OR6Y1|OR6K6||OR6K2|XP_001915391.1|XP_0 9.2[OR6P1[OR6Y1[OR6K6][OR6K2][XP_001915391.1]XP_0 01915385.1]OR6K3][XP_001495672.2]OR2AT4][XP_00150 5176.2]XP_001502381.2]XP_001502514.2]XP_001502347. 2]XP_001502579.2]XP_001502559.2][XP_001502230.2][XP_001502365.2]XP_001502257.2]XP_001502352.2]XP_001 502530.2]OR11H6]XP_001502521.2]OR11H4]XP_0015019 45.2]XP_001505178.2]XP_001502338.2]XP_001502499.2] XP_001502541.2]XP_001502549.2]XP_001497033.2]XP_0 01501802.2]XP_001491504.2]XP_001490710.2]OR601[0 01501802.2||XP_001491504.2|XP_001490710.2|OR6Q1|O R6N1|XP_001497273.2|XP_001500666.2|OR6B1|OR6A2|X P_001499903.2|XP_001500074.2|OR6N2|XP_001500062.2 ||XP_001491710.2|XP_001491689.2|OR6P1|OR6Y1|OR6K 6||OR6K2|XP_001915391.1|XP_001915385.1|OR6K3||XP 001495672.2|OR2AT4||XP_001505176.2|XP_001502381.2| XP_001502514.2|XP_001502347.2|XP_001502579.2|XP_0 01502559.2||XP_001502230.2||XP_001502365.2|XP_00150 2217.2|XP_001502352.2|XP_001502530.2|OR11H6|XP_00 1502521.2|OR11H4|XP_001501945.2|XP_001505178.2|XP ____001502338.2|XP_001502499.2|XP_001502541.2|XP_001 502549.2|XP_001497033.2|XP_001501802.2||XP_0014915 04.2|XP_001490710.2|OR6Q1|OR6N1|XP_001497273.2|X 04.2|XP_001490710.2|QR6Q1|QR6N1|XP_001497273.2|X P_001500666.2|QR6B1|QR6A2|XP_001499903.2|XP_0015 00074.2|QR6N2|XP_001500062.2||XP_001491710.2|XP_00 1491689.2|QR6P1|QR6Y1|QR6K6||QR6K2|XP_001915391. 1|XP_001915385.1|QR6K3||XP_001495672.2|QR2AT4|| XP_001491303.2|QR2A5|XP_001490956.2|XP_001504269. 2|XP_001491009.2|XP_001491250.2||||QR2A25|QR2A4|X P_001497094.2|XP_001915816.1|XP_001503071.2||XP_00 1490735.2||XP_001490644.2|XP_001499876.2|XP_001501497 007 2|XP_001490902 2|XP_001489554 2|XP_001501467 2| 007.2|XP_001490902.2|XP_001489054.2|XP_001501467.2| OR2D3|XP_001490706.2|XP_001500032.2|XP_001917888 .1|XP_001500126.2|XP_001497063.2|XP_001504662.2||XP 001490479.2|XP_001490434.2|XP_001490460.2|XP_001 490333.2|XP_001499885.2||XP_001491303.2|OR2A5|XP_0 01490956.2|XP_001504269.2|XP_001491009.2|XP_00149 1250.2|||||OR2A25|OR2A4|XP_001497094.2|XP_00191581 6.1|XP_001503071.2||XP_001490735.2||XP_001490644.2| XP_001499876.2|XP_001497007.2|XP_001490902.2|XP_0 01489054.2|XP_001501467.2|OR2D3|XP_001490706.2|XP 001500032.2|XP 001917888.1|XP 001500126.2|XP 001 _001500022;2]XP_001504662.2]|XP_001490479.2]XP_0014904 34.2]XP_001490460.2]XP_001490333.2]XP_001499855.2]| XP_001491303.2]OR2A5]XP_001490956.2]XP_001504269. XP_001491303.2|QR2A5|XP_001490956.2|XP_001504269. 2|XP_001491009.2|XP_001491250.2|||||QR2A25|QR2A4|X P_001497094.2|XP_001915816.1|XP_001503071.2||XP_00 1490735.2||XP_00149064.2|XP_001499876.2|XP_001497 007.2|XP_001490902.2|XP_001489054.2|XP_001501467.2| QR2D3|XP_001490706.2|XP_001500032.2|XP_001917888 .1|XP_001500126.2|XP_001497063.2|XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001497063.2|XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_00150462.2||XP_00150462.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504662.2||XP_001504642.2||XP_001504642.2||XP_001504642.2||XP_001504642.2||XP_00150462 001490479.2|XP_001490434.2|XP_001490460.2|XP_001 490333.2|XP_001499885.2||XP_001491303.2|OR2A5||XP_0 01490956.2||XP_001504269.2||XP_001491009.2||XP_00149 1250.2|||||OR2A25|OR2A4|XP_001497094.2|XP_00191581

6.1|XP_001503071.2||XP_001490735.2||XP_001490644.2| XP_001499876.2|XP_001497007.2|XP_001490902.2|XP_0 01489054.2|XP_001501467.2|OR2D3|XP_001490706.2|XP _001500032.2|XP_001917888.1|XP_001500126.2|XP_001

497063.2|XP_001504662.2||XP_001490479.2|XP_0014904 34.2|XP_001490460.2|XP_001490333.2|XP_001499885.2||



XP_001504594.1|XP_001917443.1|XP_001496432.2|XP_0 01496861.1|KRT14|XP_001496491.1|KRT13|KRT16|KRT3 5|KRT36|KRT32|XP_001497057.1|O62661_HORSE|XP_00 1497111.2|XP_001917457.1|KRT23|KRT24|KRT20|KRT12| KRT40|KRT39|XP_001497020.1||XP_001918028.1|KRT28 KRT25|XP_001497038.1|KRT27|KRT26||XP_001917443.1| XP_001496432.2|XP_001496861.1|KRT14|XP_001496491 1|KRT13|KRT16|KRT35|KRT36|KRT32|XP_001497057.1|O 62661 HORSEXP 001497111.2XP 001917457.1KRT23 KRT24|KRT20|KRT12|KRT40|KRT39|XP_001497020.1||XP _001918028.1|KRT28|KRT25|XP_001497038.1|KRT27|KR T26||XP_001917443.1|XP_001496432.2|XP_001496861.1| KRT14|XP_001496491.1|KRT13|KRT16|KRT35|KRT36|KR T32|XP_001497057.1|O62661_HORSE|XP_001497111.2|X 132JXP_001497057.1|062661_HORSEJXP_001497111.2|X P_001917457.1|KRT23|KRT24|KRT20|KRT12|KRT40|KRT3 9|XP_001497020.1||XP_001918028.1|KRT25|XP_0 01497038.1|KRT27|KRT26||XP_001917443.1|XP_0014964 32.2|XP_001496861.1|KRT14|XP_001496491.1|KRT13|KR 22.2/AF_2001490001.1[RK114]AF_2001490491.1[RK116]RK T16[KRT35[KRT36]KRT32]XP_001497057.1[O62661_HOR SE]XP_001497111.2]XP_001917457.1[KRT23]KRT24[KRT 20[KRT12]KRT40[KRT39]XP_001497020.1][XP_001918028 .1[KRT28]KRT25]XP_001497038.1[KRT27]KRT26][XP_001 917443.1|XP_001496432.2|XP_001496861.1|KRT14|XP_0 01496491.1|KRT13|KRT16|KRT35|KRT36|KRT32|XP_0014 97057.1|O62661_HORSE|XP_001497111.2|XP_001917457 1|KRT23|KRT24|KRT20|KRT12|KRT40|KRT39|XP_001497 020.1||XP_001918028.1|KRT28|KRT25|XP_001497038.1|K RT27|KRT26|

A7LGW8_HORSE|XP_001502306.2|XP_001500795.1|XP_ 001502229.2|XP_001502157.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_0015 02080.1|XP_001500623.2|A8WDL7_HORSE]CYP2G1P|XP _001916351.1|A9UHN2_HORSE]CYP2F1|XP_001498473.2 |XP_001498439.1|||CYP2S1|CYP2J2|A8W4X2_HORSE]XP _001502906.1||XP_001502857.2||CYP2U1|CYP2R1||CYP2 W1||XP_001502306.2|XP_001500795.1|XP_001502209.2|X P_001502157.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_001502080.1|XP_001 1500623.2|A8WDL7_HORSE]CYP2G1P|XP_001916351.1| A9UHN2_HORSE]CYP2F1|XP_001498473.2|XP_00149843 9.1|||CYP2S1|CYP2J2|A8W4X2_HORSE]CP_00150229.2|X P_001502857.2|||CYP2U1|CYP2R1||CYP2W1||XP_0015 02306.2|XP_001500795.1|XP_00150229.2|XP_00150215 7.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_00150229.2|XP_00150215 7.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_00150229.2|XP_00150215 7.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_00150229.0|XP_00150215 7.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_00150229.0|XP_00150215 7.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_00150229.0|XP_00150215 7.2|XP_001502043.1|XP_00150229.0|XP_00150236.2|XP_001502 857.2||CYP21|CYP2R1||CYP2W1||XP_00150236.2|XP_001502 857.2||CYP201|CYP2R1||CYP2W1||XP_00150236.2|XP_001502157.2|XP_001502080.1|XP_00150236.2|XP_001502306.2|XP_001502080.1|XP_00150236.2|XP_001502306.2|XP_001502306.2|XP_00150236.2|A8WDL7_HOR SE|CYP2G1P|XP_001160223.2|A8WDL7_HOR SE|CYP2G1P|XP_00116351.1|A9UHN2_HORSE|CYP251 |XP_001498473.2|XP_001498439.1|||CYP2S1|CYP221|A8W42_HORSE|CYP251|XP_00150280.1|XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_00150280.1|XP_001502857.2||XP_00150280.1|XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2||XP_001502857.2|

protein binding,structural constituent of cytoskeleton,structural molecule activity

metal ion binding, Vitamin D3 25-hydroxylase activity

ENSECAG000000082	ENSG00000215550	145	33	28		
ENSECAG000000871	ENSG00000138115	120	16	54	oxid atio n redu ctio n	

ŝ

Cytochrome P450 2C8 (EC 1.14.14,1)(CYPIIC8)(P450 form 1)(P450 MP-12/MP-20)(P450 IIC2)(S-mephenytoin 4-hydroxylase)

14		sulfotransferase activity	XP_001502088.1SULT1C3 Q0NXS2_HORSE SULT1C4 SU LT1C2 SULT4A1 SULT2B1 XP_001504181.2 XP_0015041 83.1 XP_001917522.1 XP_001487921.1 XP_001488018.2 XP_001487960.2 XP_001498245.1 SULT6B1 SULT1C3 Q0 NXS2_HORSE SULT1C4 SULT1C2 SULT4A1 SULT2B1 X P_001504181.2 XP_001504183.1 XP_001917522.1 XP_00 1487921.1 XP_001488018.2 XP_001487960.2 XP_001498 245.1 SULT6B1 SULT1C3 Q0NXS2_HORSE SULT1C4 SU LT1C2 SULT4A1 SULT2B1 XP_001504181.2 XP_0015041 83.1 XP_001917522.1 XP_001487921.1 XP_001488018.2 XP_001487960.2 XP_001498245.1 SULT6B1 SULT1C3 Q0 NXS2_HORSE SULT1C4 SULT1C2 SULT4A1 SULT2B1 X P_001504181.2 XP_001504183.1 XP_001917522.1 XP_00 1487921.1 XP_001488018.2 XP_001487960.2 XP_001498 245.1 SULT6B1	Sulfotransferase 1A3/1A4 (EC 2.8.2.1)(Monoamine-sulfating phenol sulfotransferase)(Aryl sulfotransferase 1A3/1A4)(Sulfotransferase, monoamine-preferring)(M-PST)(Thermolabile phenol sulfotransferase)(TL- PST)(Placental estrogen sulfotransferase)(Catecholamine-sulfating phenol sulfotransferase)(HAST3)
16	lear ning	group II metabotropic glutamate receptor activity,calcium channel regulator activity,protein binding	XP_001492696.2XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_00 1495009.1 XP_001498083.2 XP_001916969.1 XP_001496 052.2 XP_001502552.2 XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001495009.1 XP_001498083.2 XP_001916969.1 XP_0 01496052.2 XP_001502552.2 XP_001502361.1 XP_00148 8463.1 XP_001495009.1 XP_001498083.2 XP_001916969. 1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2 XP_001502361.1 XP_ 001488463.1 XP_001495009.1 XP_001498083.2 XP_0019 16969.1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2 XP_001502361. 1.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001495009.1 XP_001498083.2 XP_0019 16969.1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2 XP_001502361. 1.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001495009.1 XP_00149809.2 XP_001 0502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_00149509.1 XP_001498 083.2 XP_001916969.1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2 XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2] XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001485009.1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2] XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001485005.2] 252.2 XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001496052.2 XP_001502552.2] XP_001502552.2]XP_001502361.1 XP_001488463.1 XP_001496052.2]XP_001502552.2]XP_0	Metabotropic glutamate receptor 6 Precursor (mGluR6)
24			XP_001502963.2 XP_001494079.2 XP_001492611.2 XP_0 01493264.2 XP_001492990.1 XP_001494033.2 XP_0019 15433.1 XP_001488407.1 XP_001493907.1 VPREB3 XP_001502963.2 XP_001494079.2 XP_001492611.2 XP_0 01493264.2 XP_001492990.1 XP_001494033.2 XP_00191 5433.1 XP_001488407.1 XP_001493907.1 VPREB3	Putative uncharacterized protein
10		protein binding	XP_001917550.1XP_001499308.1 XP_001496653.2 XP_00 1497053.2 XP_001917576.1 XP_001497072.1 MAG SIGLE C1 CD22 XP_001499308.1 XP_001496653.2 XP_00149705 3.2 XP_001917576.1 XP_001497072.1 MAG SIGLEC1 CD2 2 XP_001499308.1 XP_001496553.2 XP_001497053.2 XP_ 001917576.1 XP_001497072.1 MAG SIGLEC1 CD22]	Sialic acid- binding Ig-like lectin 16 Precursor (Siglec- 16)(Siglec-P16)

ENSECAG0000021518

ENSECAG0000018967

ENSECAG000 00005188

ENSECAG000 00021419 ENSG000021 5177

ENSG000016 1643

ENSG00000113262

63

62

54

12

13

8

ENSG00000213648

64

12

ENSECAG000000 05670	ENSG000010212 8	51	19	17		protein binding,GTPase activity,myosin V binding,	XP_001490311.2RAB40C RAB8A RAB8B RAB13 RAB15 R AB10 RAB3A RAB3B RAB3D RAB26 RAB3C RAB37 RAB2 7A RAB27B RASEF RAB44 RAB40C RAB8A RAB8B RAB1 3 RAB15 RAB10 RAB3A RAB3B RAB3D RAB26 RAB3C R AB37 RAB27A RAB27B RASEF RAB44 RAB40C RAB8A R AB8B RAB13 RAB15 RAB10 RAB3A RAB3B RAB3D RAB2 6 RAB3C RAB37 RAB27A RAB27B RASEF RAB44	Ras-related protein Rab-40A-like (Ras- like GTPase)
ENSECAG00000 11139	ENSG000008933 5	48	23	22			XP_001491130.2ZNF570 ZNF470 ZNF471 ZNF583 XP_00 1495178.1 ZNF383 ZNF566 ZNF345 ZNF461 ZNF565 ZFP 14 ZNF527 ZFP82 ZFP30 ZNF790 ZNF331 ZFP28 ZNF582 ZNF404 XP_001500066.2 ZNF570 ZNF470 ZNF471 ZNF58 3 XP_001495178.1 ZNF383 ZNF566 ZNF345 ZNF461 ZNF 565 ZFP14 ZNF527 ZFP82 ZFP30 ZNF790 ZNF331 ZFP28 ZNF582 ZNF404 XP_001500066.2	Zinc finger protein 302 (ZNF135- like)(ZNF140-like)
ENSECAG0000007 557	ENSG0000188755	45	13	5			XP_001489584.2USP6NL TBC1D10A TBC1D10B TBC1D1 0C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TBC1D10B TBC1D10C G RTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TBC1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TBC1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6 NL TBC1D10A TBC1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TB C1D10A TBC1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D1 0A TBC1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TB C1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TB C1D10B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TBC1D1 0B TBC1D10C GRTP1 USP6NL TBC1D10A TBC1D1	Putative uncharacterized protein TBC1D3P2
ENSECAG00000021048	ENSG0000165092	42	6	16		,oxidoreductase activity,3-chloroallyl aldehyde dehydrogenase activity	XP_001914883.1XP_001498666.1 ALDH2_HORSE XP_00 1500420.1 XP_001915212.1 AL1A1_HORSE XP_00149060 5.2 XP_001498666.1 ALDH2_HORSE XP_001500420.1 XP _001915212.1 AL1A1_HORSE XP_001490605.2 XP_00149 8666.1 ALDH2_HORSE XP_001500420.1 XP_001915212.1 AL1A1_HORSE XP_001490605.2 XP_001498666.1 ALDH 2_HORSE XP_001500420.1 XP_001915212.1 AL1A1_HOR SE XP_001490605.2 XP_001915212.1 AL1A1_HORSE XP_001498666.1 ALDH2_HORSE XP_001498666.1 ALDH2_HORSE XP_001490605.2 XP_001498660.1 XP_001490605.2 XP_001498660.1 XP_001490605.2 XP_001498660.1 XP_001490605.2 XP_001498660.1 XP_001498660.2 XP_001498680.2 XP_001498680.2 XP_001498680.2 XP_001498680.2 XP_001498680.2 XP_001498680.2 XP_001498800.2 XP_0014988040.2 XP_00149888040.2 XP_0014988040.2 XP_00149880	Retinal dehydrogenase 1 (RALDH 1)(RalDH1)(EC 1.2.1.36)(Aldehyde dehydrogenase family 1 member A1)(Aldehyde dehydrogenase, cytosolic)(ALHDII)(ALDH-E1
ENSECAG00 000009954	ENSG00002 31819	39	15	13		structural molecule activity, protein binding, chape rone binding,	Q65YZ3_HORSE MUC5B VWF MUC19 MUC2 MUC6 FCG BP TECTA BMPER C12orf64 KCP Q65YZ3_HORSE MUC5 B VWF MUC19 MUC2 MUC6 FCGBP TECTA BMPER C12o rf64 KCP Q65YZ3_HORSE MUC5B VWF MUC19 MUC2 M UC6 FCGBP TECTA BMPER C12orf64 KCP	Unknown
ENSECAG00000014464	ENSG0000203820	39	15	12	anti gen proc essi ng and pres enta tion of exo gen ous anti gen	IgG receptor activity.IgE binding	XP_001916290.1 Q8MI30_HORSE XP_001916314.1 XP_0 01916335.1 XP_001500974.2 FCRL6 FCRL5 FCRL4 FCRL 3 FCRLA FCRLB Q8MI30_HORSE XP_001916314.1 XP_0 01916335.1 XP_001500974.2 FCRL6 FCRL5 FCRL4 FCRL 3 FCRLA FCRLB Q8MI30_HORSE XP_001916314.1 XP_0 01916335.1 XP_001500974.2 FCRL6 FCRL5 FCRL4 FCRL 3 FCRLA FCRLB	Putative high affinity immunoglobulin gamma Fc receptor IC Precursor (IgG Fc receptor IC)(Fc-gamma RIC)(hFcgammaR1C)(FcRIC)

ENSECAG0000010859	ENSG00000226650	36	17	16		protein binding,protein kinase binding,microtubule motor activity	KIF21B KIF21A KIF27 KIF3A KIF3C KIF3B CENPE KIF17 K IF5C KIF5A KIF5B KIF15 KIF9 KIF6 KIF11 KIF7 KIF21B KIF 21A KIF27 KIF3A KIF3C KIF3B CENPE KIF17 KIF5C KIF5A KIF5B KIF15 KIF9 KIF6 KIF11 KIF7	Chromosome-associated kinesin KIF4B (Chromokinesin-B)
ENSECAG000000 12835	ENSG000014083 9	36	13	11		heparin binding,molecular_ function	XP_001498514.2CRISPLD2 PI15 CRISPLD1 R3HDML Q8 HX97_HORSE CRIS3_HORSE PI16 GLIPR1 GLIPR1L2 GL IPR1L1 Q8MHX2_HORSE CRISPLD2 PI15 CRISPLD1 R3H DML Q8HX97_HORSE CRIS3_HORSE PI16 GLIPR1 GLIP R1L2 GLIPR1L1 Q8MHX2_HORSE CRIS2_HORSE PI16 GLIP LD1 R3HDML Q8HX97_HORSE CRIS3_HORSE PI16 GLIP R1 GLIPR1L2 GLIPR1L1 Q8MHX2_HORSE	C-type lectin domain family 18 member B Precursor (Mannose receptor-like protein 1)
ENSECAG0000018815	ENSG00000225766	36	13	10	retin al met abol ic proc ess	retinal dehydrogenase activity,testosterone 17-beta-dehydrogenase (NADP+) activity,NAD or NADH binding,NADPH binding,protein binding	XP_001489425.2XP_001489532.2 DHRS2 HSD17B14 HSD 17B8 BDH2 CBR4 DECR1 HSD17B10 A2T0Y1_HORSE D CXR PECR NME4 XP_001489532.2 DHRS2 HSD17B14 HS D17B8 BDH2 CBR4 DECR1 HSD17B10 A2T0Y1_HORSE DCXR PECR NME4 XP_001489532.2 DHRS2 HSD17B14 HSD17B8 BDH2 CBR4 DECR1 HSD17B10 A2T0Y1_HORS E DCXR PECR NME4	dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR family) member 4 like 1
ENSECAG0000020129	ENSG00000150165	36	13	11		protein binding,calcium-dependent protein binding,eukaryotic cell surface binding,cytoskeletal protein binding,phospholipase A2 inhibitor activity,acetylcholine receptor activity,	XP_001500744.1ANXA6 ANXA4 ANXA11 ANXA5 B2B9 8_ HORSE ANXA3 ANXA1_HORSE XP_001917046.1 ANXA1 3 ANXA10 ANXA7 ANXA9 ANXA6 ANXA4 ANXA11 ANXA5 B2B9 8_HORSE ANXA3 ANXA1_HORSE XP_001917046.1 ANXA13 ANXA10 ANXA7 ANXA9 ANXA6 ANXA4 ANXA11 ANXA5 B2B9 8_HORSE ANXA3 ANXA1_HORSE XP_0019 17046.1 ANXA13 ANXA10 ANXA7 ANXA9	Annexin A8-like protein 1

ENSECAG0000024824	ENSG0000131355	36	8	11		XP_001497658.2 XP_001491463.2 EMR1 XP_001495406. 2 XP_001495362.2 XP_001495655.2 XP_001495255.2 XP_ 001491463.2 EMR1 XP_001495406.2 XP_001495362.2 XP_ _001495655.2 XP_001495255.2 XP_001491463.2 EMR1 X P_001495406.2 XP_001495362.2 XP_001495655.2 XP_00 1495255.2	EGF-like module-containing mucin-like hormone receptor-like 3 Precursor (EGF-like module- containing mucin-like receptor EMR3)
ENSECAG000 00008594	ENSG000018 6470	33	13	14		BTN1A1 XP_001492580.2 XP_001492552.2 XP_00191715 4.1 XP_001492171.2 BTNL2 BTNL9 MOG ERMAP BTN1A 1 XP_001492580.2 XP_001492552.2 XP_001917154.1 XP_ 001492171.2 BTNL2 BTNL9 MOG ERMAP BTN1A1 XP_0 01492580.2 XP_001492552.2 XP_001917154.1 XP_00149 2171.2 BTNL2 BTNL9 MOG ERMAP	Butyrophilin subfamily 3 member A2 Precursor
ENSECAG000000 23574	ENSG000014974 2	33	11	13	organic anion transmembrane transporter activity	XP_001495240.2 XP_001489890.2 XP_001495264.1 XP_0 01495463.1 SLC22A8 XP_001502995.1 XP_001918258.1 X P_001488889.1 XP_001495240.2 XP_001489890.2 XP_00 1495264.1 XP_001495463.1 SLC22A8 XP_001502995.1 X P_001918258.1 XP_00148889.1 XP_001495463.1 SLC22A8 X P_001502995.1 XP_001918258.1 XP_001488889.1	Solute carrier family 22 member 9 (Organic anion transporter 4)
ENSECAG0000000709	ENSG0000165246	30	14	14	protein binding, neurexin binding, hydrolase activity, acetylcholinester ase activity	XP_001488067.1NLGN3 NLGN2 NLGN1 CHLE_HORSE C EL ACHE CES2 XP_001491752.1 XP_001491576.2 XP_00 1491978.1 XP_001491160.1 CES3 CES7 Q95M80_HORSE NLGN3 NLGN2 NLGN1 CHLE_HORSE CEL ACHE CES2 XP_001491752.1 XP_001491576.2 XP_001491978.1 XP_0 01491160.1 CES3 CES7 Q95M80_HORSE	Neuroligin-4, Y-linked Precursor (Neuroligin Y)
ENSECAG000000 19932	ENSG000017191 6	30	9	7	protein binding	XP_001915607.1 LGALS12 LGALS4 LGALS8 XP_0014967 14.1 LGALS3 XP_001496731.1 XP_001494811.2 XP_0019 15089.1 XP_001915607.1 LGALS12 LGALS4 LGALS8 XP_ 001496714.1 LGALS3 XP_001496731.1 XP_001494811.2 XP_001915089.1 XP_001915607.1 LGALS12 LGALS4 LGA LS8 XP_001496714.1 LGALS3 XP_001496731.1 XP_00149 4811.2 XP_001915089.1	Galectin-9C (Galectin-9-like protein B)
ENSECAG00000 007548	ENSG00001890 52	28	9	8	hormone activity	LSHB_HORSEFSHB_HORSE TSHB_HORSE GPHB5 FSH B_HORSE TSHB_HORSE GPHB5 FSHB_HORSE TSHB_H ORSE GPHB5 FSHB_HORSE TSHB_HORSE GPHB5 FSH B_HORSE TSHB_HORSE GPHB5 FSHB_HORSE TSHB_H ORSE GPHB5 FSHB_HORSE TSHB_HORSE GPHB5	Choriogonadotropin subunit beta Precursor (CG- beta)(Chorionic gonadotrophin chain beta)
ENSECAG00000 013008	ENSG00002057 54	27	11	9	independent organic anion transmembrane transporter	XP_001498086.1Q206K0_HORSE SLCO1C1 SLCO3A1 SL CO2A1 Q30BK7_HORSE SLCO5A1 SLCO4A1 SLCO4C1 S LCO6A1 Q206K0_HORSE SLCO1C1 SLCO3A1 SLCO2A1 Q30BK7_HORSE SLCO5A1 SLCO4A1 SLCO4C1 SLCO6A 1 Q206K0_HORSE SLCO1C1 SLCO3A1 SLCO2A1 Q30BK 7_HORSE SLCO5A1 SLCO4A1 SLCO4C1 SLCO6A1	liver-specific organic anion transporter 3TM12

ENSECAG000 00022371	ENSG000021 4163	24	7	4		cytidine deaminase activity,AU-rich element binding	XP_001499921.1AICDA XP_001501883.1 XP_001916568. 1 XP_001916562.1 APOBEC2 APOBEC1 AICDA XP_0015 01883.1 XP_001916568.1 XP_001916562.1 APOBEC2 AP OBEC1 AICDA XP_001501883.1 XP_001916568.1 XP_001 916562.1 APOBEC2 APOBEC1 AICDA XP_001501883.1 X P_001916568.1 XP_001916562.1 APOBEC2 APOBEC1	Probable C->U- editing enzyme APOBEC-2 (EC 3.5.4)
ENSECAG0 0000001856	ENSG00000 183340	21	9	3		mRNA binding,DNA binding	JRKLJRK TIGD7 Q4W445_HORSE TIGD4 TIGD3 CENPB	Jerky protein homolog-like (HHMJG)
ENSECAG0000007449	ENSG0000183675	21	9	7		non-membrane spanning protein tyrosine phosphatase activity,zinc ion binding,protein binding,	XP_001494699.2PTPN4 PTPN3 PTPN1 PTPN21 PTPN2 P TPN14 PTPN9 PTPN4 PTPN3 PTPN1 PTPN21 PTPN2 PT PN14 PTPN9 PTPN4 PTPN3 PTPN1 PTPN21 PTPN2 PTP N14 PTPN9	Tyrosine-protein phosphatase non- receptor type 20 (hPTPN20a)(EC 3.1.3.48)
ENSECAG0000018 684	ENSG0000102076	21	9	7	resp ons e to stim ulus	photoreceptor activity,protein binding,G-protein coupled photoreceptor activity	OPSR_HORSEOPN1SW Q95M85_HORSE OPN3 OPN4 O PN5 RRH A6P3D5_HORSE OPN1SW Q95M85_HORSE O PN3 OPN4 OPN5 RRH A6P3D5_HORSE OPN1SW Q95M8 5_HORSE OPN3 OPN4 OPN5 RRH A6P3D5_HORSE	Red-sensitive opsin (Red cone photoreceptor pigment)
ENSECAG0 0000014654	ENSG00000 215750	20	8	4			XP_001496767.1TEKT3 TEKT1 TEKT5 TEKT2 TEKT3 TEK T1 TEKT5 TEKT2 TEKT3 TEKT1 TEKT5 TEKT2 TEKT3 TE KT1 TEKT5 TEKT2 TEKT3 TEKT1 TEKT5 TEKT2	hypothetical protein
ENSECAG0 0000000761	ENSG00000 126952	18	4	6		protein binding	XP_001914856.1 XP_001488763.2 XP_001492619.2 A4UZ 09_HORSE XP_001488763.2 XP_001492619.2 A4UZ09_H ORSE XP_001488763.2 XP_001492619.2 A4UZ09_HORS E	Nuclear RNA export factor 5 (TAP-like protein 1)(TAPL-1)
ENSECAG0000009 473	ENSG0000082175	16	3	3	gluc ocor ticoi d met abol ic proc ess	transcription regulator activity, androgen receptor activity, sequence- specific DNA binding	Q5J7Z4_HORSEXP_001501712.2 Q8MJ07_HORSE Q2MD J1_HORSE Q2YHQ1_HORSE XP_001501712.2 Q8MJ07_ HORSE Q2MDJ1_HORSE Q2YHQ1_HORSE XP_0015017 12.2 Q8MJ07_HORSE Q2MDJ1_HORSE Q2YHQ1_HORS E XP_001501712.2 Q8MJ07_HORSE Q2MDJ1_HORSE Q2 YHQ1_HORSE	Progesterone receptor (PR)(Nuclear receptor subfamily 3 group C member 3)
ENSECAG00000 000970	ENSG000001823 34	15	1	6			XP_001500502.1 XP_001504813.2 XP_001500412.2 XP_0 01500377.2 XP_001500330.2 XP_001500371.2 XP_00150 0443.2 XP_001500405.2 XP_001500357.2 XP_001500431. 2 XP_001500451.2 XP_001500523.2 XP_001500532.2	Olfactory receptor 5P3 (Olfactory receptor OR11- 94)(Olfactory receptor-like protein JCG1)

ENSECAG0 0000004825	ENSG00000 179695	15	2	7		XP_001490308.2XP_001491314.2 XP_001491394.2 XP_00 1489664.2 XP_001490884.2 XP_001489623.2 XP_001489 426.2 XP_001489736.2 XP_001491527.2 XP_001491562.2 XP_001491449.2 XP_001491476.2 XP_001491262.2 XP_0 01504765.2 XP_001489091.2 XP_001489967.2	Olfactory receptor type 6C
ENSECAG0 0000006320	ENSG00000 212747	12	4	4	protein binding,	XP_001488032.1XP_001488032.1 LDOC1 LDOC1L XP_00 1488032.1 LDOC1 LDOC1L XP_001488032.1 LDOC1 LDO C1L	Protein FAM127C (Mammalian retrotransposo n derived protein 8B)
ENSECAG0 0000021904	ENSG00000 102080	12	5	3		XP_001494371.2TMCC2 TMCC1 TMCC3 TMCC2 TMCC1 TMCC3 TMCC2 TMCC1 TMCC3	Testis-specific protein TEX28
ENSECAG0 0000011054	ENSG00000 124006	10	4	4		XP_001493930.2 XP_001495907.1 XP_001501342.1 XP_0 01493930.2 XP_001495907.1 XP_001501342.1	Obscurin-like protein 1 Precursor
ENSECAG0 0000004322	ENSG0000 189332	9	4	3		XP_001504315.1 MCART6 SLC25A21 XP_001504315.1 M CART6 SLC25A21 XP_001504315.1 MCART6 SLC25A21	Putative mitochondrial carrier protein
ENSECAG0000023 294	ENSG0000133020	8	3	3	ATP binding	XP_001917202.1XP_001504901.1 MYH1_HORSE XP_001 504901.1 MYH1_HORSE XP_001504901.1 MYH1_HORSE XP_001504901.1 MYH1_HORSE	Myosin-8 (Myosin heavy chain 8)(Myosin heavy chain, skeletal muscle, perinatal)(MyHC- perinatal)
ENSECAG0000 0007499	ENSG0000156 222	6	2	2		SLC28A1SLC28A2 XP_001916663.1 XP_001489009.2 XP_ 001489536.1	Sodium/nucleoside cotransporter 1 (Na(+)/nucleoside cotransporter 1)(
ENSECAG0000010275	ENSG0000171189	6	2	2	kainate selective glutamate receptor activity	XP_001503964.1 XP_001915295.1 XP_001503964.1 XP_0 01915295.1 XP_001503964.1 XP_001915295.1	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic kainate 1 Precursor (Glutamate receptor 5)(GluR- 5)(GluR5)(Excitatory amino acid receptor 3)(EAA3)
ENSECAG00000 021446	ENSG00001768 84	6	2	2	N-methyl-D- aspartate selective glutamate receptor activity	XP_001917018.1XP_001497085.2 XP_001917547.1 XP_00 1497085.2 XP_001917547.1 XP_001497085.2 XP_001917 547.1	Glutamate [NMDA] receptor subunit zeta-1 Precursor (N- methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit NR1)

ENSECAG0 0000006668	ENSG00000 173272	4	1	1	XP_001488058.1XP_001914845.1 XP_001914845.1	Putative uncharacteriz ed protein
ENSECAG0 0000013296	ENSG00000 198183	4	1	1	XP_001498856.1 XP_001498787.2	Secretory protein in upper respiratory tracts
ENSECAG0 0000015474	ENSG00000 163539	3	1	1	CLASP2 CLASP1	Cytoplasmic linker- associated protein 2

Breed Code	Breed	Aligned Reads	Examined Bases	Total SNP	Unique SNP
S255	Arabian	94,904	79,822,819	63,134	52,434
S256	Quarter horse	94,903	79,894,408	61,583	50,727
S257	Andalusian	95,381	82,019,434	66,723	55,658
S258	Icelandic horse	92,207	77,633,110	62,691	52,417
S259	Akhal teke	96,534	82,282,641	67,986	56,298
S260	Standardbred	92,103	78,493,569	64,578	53,509
S261	Thoroughbred	79,582	62,539,497	42,718	34,577
G836	Thoroughbred (Twilight)	6,173,763	2,367,053,447	797,330	746,073
	Multi-breed (not Twilight)				9,803
	Multi-breed (with Twilight)				51,257
	Total Unique SNP				1,162,753

Table S10. SNP Discovery Statistics

Region	Haplo- type	All breed	Andal- usian	Arabian	Belgian draft	French- Trotter	Hanoverian	Hokkaido	Icelandic	Norwegian Fjord	Quarter horse	Standardbred	Thorough- bred	Twilight	Breeds With This Haplotype
chr18	111322	0.183	0.083	0.045	0.438	0.646	0.208	0.083	0.087	0.312	0.087		0.188		10
chr18	311322	0.181	0.25	0.136	0.125	0.146	0.167	0.083	0.457	0.104	0.174	0.333	0.104		11
chr18	311324	0.173		0.045	0.167		0.125	0.708		0.188	0.109	0.125	0.208		8
chr18	111324	0.141	0.333	0.136	0.104		0.104	0.125		0.25	0.196		0.271		8
chr18	313322	0.079	0.021	0.227		0.021	0.167				0.326	0.104	0.104		7
chr15	2343	0.428	0.354	0.318	0.458	0.333	0.208	0.875	0.196	0.521	0.457	0.521	0.583		11
chr15	2323	0.213	0.167	0.636	0.271	0.167	0.062	0.104	0.5	0.312	0.217		0.021		10
chr15	2341	0.116	0.208	0.023	0.042	0.208	0.208	0.021			0.109	0.396	0.062		9
chr15	3323	0.072	0.146			0.188	0.229				0.196		0.021		5
chr14	?4	0.766	1	0.773	0.958	0.75	0.729	0.542	0.739	0.938	0.804	0.583	0.583	0.5	11
chr14	?3	0.234		0.227	0.042	0.25	0.271	0.458	0.261	0.062	0.196	0.417	0.417	0.5	10
chr29	2441	0.488	0.5	0.477	0.771	0.5	0.312	0.688	0.478	0.604	0.261	0.583	0.188		11
chr29	2431	0.225	0.271	0.159	0.021	0.417	0.146	0.292	0.326	0.104	0.217	0.229	0.333		11
chr29	2444	0.081	0.062	0.182			0.062				0.174	0.042	0.292		6
chr29	2141	0.053	0.083			0.021	0.042	0.021	0.174	0.146	0.022		0.042		8
chr10	3313	0.393	0.062	0.295	0.792	0.188	0.271	0.729	0.283	0.896	0.5	0.229	0.042		11
chr10	2313	0.335	0.188	0.432	0.042	0.583	0.417	0.021	0.261	0.083	0.391	0.312	0.896	1	11
chr10	3333	0.104	0.25	0.068	0.146		0.104	0.083	0.435			0.104	0.021		8
chr10	3233	0.099	0.458	0.205		0.167	0.042	0.083	0.022			0.083	0.021		8
chr6	4?32	0.539	0.604	0.977	0.521	0.729	0.708	0.521	0.391	0.271	0.022	0.771	0.521	0.5	11
chr6	2?12	0.225	0.354		0.458	0.25	0.083	0.479	0.109	0.271	0.087	0.229			9
chr6	4?34	0.146		0.023			0.062		0.457	0.271	0.87				5
chr6	2?14	0.074				0.021	0.104		0.043	0.188	0.022		0.479	0.5	6
chr5	323	0.525	0.417	0.409	0.354	0.708	0.604	0.812	0.413	0.438	0.522	0.646	0.375	0.5	11
chr5	143	0.275	0.354	0.455	0.292	0.25	0.396	0.062			0.435	0.25	0.625	0.5	9
chr5	123	0.114		0.114	0.333			0.062	0.217	0.458	0.043	0.042			7
chr5	321	0.051	0.062					0.042	0.37			0.062			4
chr4	1433134	0.289	0.354	0.227		0.146	0.146	0.417	0.783	0.333	0.457		0.542		9
chr4	3413134	0.092	0.208	0.227			0.062	0.333	0.065	0.042	0.087		0.083		8
chr4	1233134	0.07	0.021	0.25		0.146	0.146		0.043	0.167	0.043		0.021		8
chr4	1234312	0.063	0.042			0.292	0.062			0.021	0.022		0.312		6
chr4	1413134	0.055	0.042	0.023				0.208		0.062					4
chr4	1434312	0.055	0.021			0.292	0.104				0.022				4
chr17	12241	0.519	0.521	0.25	0.729	0.771	0.5	0.229	0.674	0.396	0.435	0.729	0.375	0.5	11
chr17	22211	0.153	0.396	0.295		0.146	0.229	0.479	0.043		0.196	0.021	0.042		9
chr17	12211	0.123		0.159	0.271	0.021	0.062	0.229	0.174	0.125	0.087	0.167	0.083		10
chr17	12442	0.086		0.182			0.042		0.087	0.396	0.043		0.271		6
chr11	212113	0.201		0.5		0.188	0.458				0.065	0.438	0.188		6
chr11	212?13	0.194	0.708						0.913	0.708					3
chr11	211133	0.113		0.205		0.104	0.125				0.087	0.396	0.25		6
chr11	212213	0.083						0.5			0.5				2

Table S11. Haplotype sharing across breeds is assessed by genotyping SNP within the first 100kb of each region. The haplotype frequency within each breed is shown.

chr11	?12113	0.081	0.958						1
chr11	211113	0.063	0.136	0.104	0.167	0	.109	0.188	5
	† Ar	alysis	uses LD sample	es (Tab	le S1).				

† Analysis uses LD samples (Table S1).

Table S12. Haplotype	e sharing across	breeds assessed	l by resequencing	the first 5kb
of each genomic region	on.			

Chromosome	Number of Common Haplotypes at locus*	Number of Unique Haplotypes	Sum of all Haplotypes that are Common Haplotypes	Total Haplotypes present	Percentage of haplotypes present that are unique
4	7	8	30	38	21.0%
5	6	22	16	38	57.9%
6	4	23	15	38	60.5%
10	9	10	28	38	26.3%
11	5	0	38	38	0.0%
14	3	30	8	38	78.9%
15	6	5	33	38	13.2%
17	6	5	33	38	13.2%
18	7	21	17	38	55.3%
29	4	17	21	38	44.7%
Sum all loci	57	141	239	380	37.1%

* Common haplotypes occur in more than one horse † Table uses re-sequencing horses from Table S1.

Table S13. SNPs needed to differentiate horse haplotypes for within-breed gene mapping (by simulation)

Desired mean r ² max	0.7	0.8	0.9	1
High LD breed	30,000	100,000	175,000	245,000
Moderate LD breed	155,000	225,000	300,000	370,000
Low LD breed	250,000	320,000	390,000	460,000

Table S14. High quality differences between Przewalski horse and EquCab2.0

Place	Type of difference	Differences	Aligned Bases
chr14_approx88.9Mb	SNP	1	523
chr5_approx54.8Mb	SNP	1	675
chr11:25106446-25106700	single base insertion in Przewalski	1	206
chr4:44817456-44818287	0	0	660
chr17:62037100-62037435	SNP	1	333
	12bp insertion (polymorphism missing in		
chr18:16037590-16037897	KB4064)	1	320
chr10:19766365-19766990	SNP	1	668
		6	3385

Supplemental References

- S1. D. B. Jaffe et al., Genome Res 13, 91 (Jan, 2003).
- S2. K. Lindblad-Toh et al., Nature 438, 803 (Dec 8, 2005).
- S3. T. Raudsepp et al., Cytogenet Genome Res 122, 28 (2008).
- S4. T. L. Lear, J. Lundquist, W. W. Zent, W. D. Fishback, Jr., A. Clark, *Cytogenet Genome Res* **120**, 117 (2008).
- S5. T. S. Mikkelsen et al., Nature 447, 167 (May 10, 2007).
- S6. R. C. Edgar, E. W. Myers, *Bioinformatics* **21** Suppl **1**, i152 (Jun, 2005).
- S7. A. L. Price, N. C. Jones, P. A. Pevzner, *Bioinformatics* 21 Suppl 1, i351 (Jun, 2005).
- S8. O. Kohany, A. J. Gentles, L. Hankus, J. Jurka, BMC Bioinformatics 7, 474 (2006).
- S9. W. Gish, in http://blast.wustl.edu. (2004).
- S10. T. Leeb et al., Genomics 87, 772 (Jun, 2006).
- S11. L. Carbone et al., Genomics 87, 777 (Jun, 2006).
- S12. S. Trazzi et al., PLoS One 4, e5832 (2009).
- S13. M. Bieda, X. Xu, M. A. Singer, R. Green, P. J. Farnham, *Genome Res* 16, 595 (May, 2006).
- S14. M. Garber et al., Bioinformatics 25, i54 (Jun 15, 2009).
- S15. S. F. Altschul et al., Nucleic Acids Res 25, 3389 (Sep 1, 1997).
- S16. D. M. Carone *et al.*, *Chromosoma* **118**, 113 (Feb, 2009).
- S17. G. S. Slater, E. Birney, BMC Bioinformatics 6 (Feb 15, 2005).
- S18. Z. Ning, A. J. Cox, J. C. Mullikin, Genome Res 11, 1725 (Oct, 2001).
- S19. A. J. Viterbi, IEEE Trans. Inform. Process 13, 260 (1967).
- S20. P. Scheet, M. Stephens, Am J Hum Genet 78, 629 (Apr, 2006).
- S21. J. Felsenstein, Syst Biol 46, 101 (Mar, 1997).
- S22. D. Gordon, C. Abajian, P. Green, Genome Res 8, 195 (Mar, 1998).
- S23. L. S. Sandmeyer, C. B. Breaux, S. Archer, B. H. Grahn, Vet Ophthalmol 10, 368 (Nov-Dec, 2007).
- S24. R. R. Bellone et al., Genetics 179, 1861 (Aug, 2008).
- S25. J. C. Barrett, B. Fry, J. Maller, M. J. Daly, *Bioinformatics* 21, 263 (Jan 15, 2005).
- S26. G. Rosengren Pielberg et al., Nat Genet 40, 1004 (Aug, 2008).
- S27. E. S. Lander et al., Nature 409, 860 (Feb 15, 2001).
- S28. C. G. Elsik et al., Science 324, 522 (Apr 24, 2009).
- S29. R. H. Waterston et al., Nature 420, 520 (Dec 5, 2002).
- S30. M. Ventura et al., Science **316**, 243 (Apr 13, 2007).
- S31. P. E. Warburton, *Chromosome Res* **12**, 617 (2004).
- S32. D. J. Amor, K. H. Choo, Am J Hum Genet 71, 695 (Oct, 2002).
- S33. O. Capozzi et al., Genome Res 19, 778 (May, 2009).
- S34. M. Ventura et al., Genome Res 14, 1696 (Sep, 2004).
- S35. D. J. Amor et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 6542 (Apr 27, 2004).
- S36. A. C. Chueh, E. L. Northrop, K. H. Brettingham-Moore, K. H. Choo, L. H. Wong, *PLoS Genet* 5, e1000354 (Jan, 2009).
- S37. A. R. Kwon, E. C. Choi, Arch Pharm Res 28, 561 (May, 2005).

- S38. Y. Y. Pang, A. Schermer, J. Yu, T. T. Sun, J Cell Sci 104 (Pt 3), 727 (Mar, 1993).
- S39. J. W. Wu et al., J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 23, 174 (Feb, 2009).
- S40. M. A. Levine, Equine Vet J Suppl, 6 (Apr, 1999).
- S41. W. Ridgeway, *The origin and influence of the Thoroughbred horse*. A. E. Shipley, Ed., Cambridge Biological Series (Cambridge University Press, London, 1905), pp. 565.
- S42. C. Vila et al., Science 291, 474 (Jan 19, 2001).
- S43. G. Lindgren et al., Nat Genet 36, 335 (Apr, 2004).
- S44. G. T. Marth, E. Czabarka, J. Murvai, S. T. Sherry, *Genetics* 166, 351 (Jan, 2004).
- S45. R. A. Gibbs et al., Science **324**, 528 (Apr 24, 2009).
- S46. A. N. Lau et al., Mol Biol Evol 26, 199 (Jan, 2009).
- S47. E. Oancea et al., Sci Signal 2, ra21 (2009).