# **Supporting Information Appendix for**

## **Efficient Water Oxidation Catalyzed by Cationic Cobalt Porphyrins:**

### **Critical Roles for the Buffer Base**

Dong Wang and John T. Groves\*

Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

#### **Materials and Methods**

All chemicals are of the highest commercially available purity and were used as received, unless noted otherwise. Water used in all experiments was distilled and deionized by a Milli-Q system from Millipore. TDMImP was synthesized according to reported procedures (1). TM4PyP and TTMAP were purchased from Frontier Scientific, Inc. Co- and Ga-porphyrins were synthesized by metalating the corresponding porphyrin free base with cobalt(II) acetate or gallium(III) chloride respectively in  $H_2O(2)$  and purified as the chloride salt by a double-precipitation method (3).

UV-vis spectra were recorded with a Hewlett-Packard 8453 diode array spectrometer at room temperature. CV, SWV and controlled potential bulk electrolysis were performed on a BAS 100B electrochemical workstation in pH buffered aqueous solutions at room temperature using a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a glassy carbon or indium tin oxide (ITO, resistance 10 Ohm per square inch, Nanocs) working electrode, and a Pt auxiliary electrode. pH was measured using an accumet AB15 pH electrode from Fisher Scientific.  $O_2$  evolution was measured by a YSI 550A Clark electrode from Fondriest Environmental. ESEM and EDX analysis were carried out on a Quanta 200 FEG ESEM. Details about fitting the buffer concentration effect on water oxidation catalysis are described below.

### **Data fitting.**

Electrocatalytic equation:

$$
i_{\text{cat}} = n\text{FAD}_{\text{cat}}^{1/2} \text{C}_{\text{cat}} k_{\text{cat}}^{1/2}; k_{\text{cat}} = k_{\text{water}} + k_b[B]
$$
  

$$
(i_{\text{cat}}/i_{\text{water}})^2 = (\text{C}_{\text{cat}}/\text{C}_{\text{cat}}^{\circ})^2 (k_{\text{cat}}/k_{\text{water}}) = (\text{C}_{\text{cat}}/\text{C}_{\text{cat}}^{\circ})^2 (1 + k_b[B]/k_{\text{water}})
$$
 (S1)  

$$
i_{\text{cat}} \text{: overall catalytic current}
$$

*i*water: current without the presence of buffer base

n: number of electrons transferred

F: Faraday constant

A: surface area of the working electrode

C<sub>cat</sub>: effective concentration of active catalyst

 $C_{cat}^{\circ}$ : total concentration of catalyst

D<sub>cat</sub>: diffusion coefficient of catalyst

*k*cat: overall rate constant of the O-O bond formation (pseudo-first-order)

*k*<sub>water</sub>: rate constant of the O-O bond formation in unbuffered solution (pseudo-first-order) *k*b: rate constant of the O-O bond formation contributed by the addition of buffer base (second order)

For an inhibition pathway that involves the following equilibria:



 $K_{eq,1}$  = [Co<sup>III</sup>-B][OH<sup>-</sup>]/[Co<sup>III</sup>-OH][B];  $K_{eq,2}$  = [Co<sup>III</sup>-B<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>O]/[Co<sup>III</sup>-B][B] So,  $[Co^{III} - B] = K_{eq,1}[Co^{III} - OH][B]/[OH]$  $[Co^{III} - B_2] = K_{eq,2}[Co^{III} - B][B]/[H_2O] = K_{eq,1}K_{eq,2}[Co^{III} - OH][B]^2/[OH^2][H_2O]$ Mass balance:  $[Co^{\text{III}}-OH] + [Co^{\text{III}}-Bl + [Co^{\text{III}}-B_2] = [Co^{\text{III}}-OH]^{\circ}$  $C_{cat}/C_{cat}^{\circ} = [Co^{III} - OH]/[Co^{III} - OH]^{\circ} = 1/(1 + K_{eq,1}[B]/[OH]) + K_{eq,1}K_{eq,2}[B]^2/[OH][H_2O])$  (S2) Combine eqs S1 and S2:

$$
(i_{cat}/i_{water})^2 = (1 + k_b[B]/k_{water})/(1 + K_{eq,1}[B]/[OH] + K_{eq,1}K_{eq,2}[B]^2/[OH][H_2O])^2
$$
 (S3)  
For an aqueous solution buffered at pH 7, [OH] = 10<sup>-7</sup> M, [H<sub>2</sub>O] = 55.6 M  
At low buffer concentration.

1 +  $K_{eq,1}[B]/[OH]$  +  $K_{eq,1}K_{eq,2}[B]^2/[OH][H_2O] \approx 1$ 

Eq S3 then becomes:  $(i_{cat}/i_{water})^2 = 1 + k_b[B]/k_{water}$ , the same as eq. 4 in the text.

Fits of concentration dependence of catalytic current for four buffers used, as shown in Figure S18, were obtained using eq. S3. Fitted parameters (*k*b/*k*water, *K*eq,1, and *K*eq,1*K*eq,2) are summarized in Table S1.



Table S1. Summary of parameters obtained from fittings according to eq. S3.



Figure S1. Optical spectra of 10 μM Co-TDMImP in the form of  $Co<sup>II</sup>(OH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 407, 527, 558 nm) (dashed) and  $Co^{III}(OH)(OH_2)$  ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 419, 540, 575 nm) (solid) at pH 7. Species not shown:  $Co^{III}(OH_2)_2$  at pH 2 ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 420, 539, 575 nm) and  $Co^{III}(OH)_2$  at pH 12 ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  = 425, 545, 579 nm). Inset: pH titration curve of Co<sup>III</sup>-TDMImP monitored at 425 nm. The two  $pK_a$  values were derived from data fitting.



Figure S2. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ESI-MS spectra of [Co<sup>II</sup>-TDMImP]<sup>4+</sup>. Precise *m/z* values are listed next to each peak.



Figure S3. CW X-band EPR spectra of frozen samples in water of 1 mM Co(acetate)<sub>2</sub> (dotted,  $g_{\text{eff}}$  = 5.56 and 3.13), 1 mM Co<sup>II</sup>-TDMImP (solid,  $g_{\text{eff}}$  = 2.30), and 1 mM Co<sup>III</sup>-TDMImP (dashed, EPR silent).  $T = 5$  K; microwave power = 0.2 mW.



Figure S4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 mM Co<sup>III</sup>-TDMImP obtained in D<sub>2</sub>O. Chemical shifts are labeled above each peak:  $\delta$  9.34 (s, porphyrin  $\beta$ -pyrrole-H, 8H), 8.12 (s, imidazolium-H, 8H), 3.67 (s, methyl-H, 24H).



Figure S5. Optical spectra recorded at room temperature of 10  $\mu$ M Co<sup>II</sup>-TDMImP in unbuffered H<sub>2</sub>O (solid) and 1 M Na-Pi buffer at pH 7 (dashed), and 10  $\mu$ M Co<sup>III</sup>-TDMImP in unbuffered  $H_2O$  (dotted) and 1 M Na-Pi buffer at pH 7 (short dashed). Inset: CVs of 1 mM Co-TDMImP at room temperature and a scan rate of 100 mV  $s^{-1}$  in pH 7 solutions containing Na-Pi buffer of 0.01 M (solid), 0.05 M (dashed), 0.1 M (dotted), and 0.2 M (short d dashed) at room temp perature.



Figure S6. Theoretical (filled squares) and measured (filled cycles)  $O<sub>2</sub>$  formation (in μmol) during controlled potential electrolysis of 0.5 mM Co-TDMImP for 1 h at 1300 mV in 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer at pH 7. Inset: plot of the  $O_2$  formation yield as a function of time.



Figure S7. Current density profile of controlled potential bulk electrolysis of buffer background (dotted); 0.5 mM Co-TDMImP solution (dashed); and clean buffer using the same ITO working electrode after the red trace was obtained (solid). Other conditions: room temperature, 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer at pH 7, applied potential 1300 mV.



Figure S8. UV-vis spectra of 0.5 mM Co<sup>III</sup>-TDMImP before (solid) and after (dashed) electrolysis at an applied potential of 1300 mV for 4 hours. Inset: plot of the absorption maximum of the two Q-bands at 540 nm (filled squares) and 575 nm (filled circles) as a function of time.



Figure S9. CVs of 1 mM Co-TDMImP at room temperature in 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer at pH 7 showing the current normalized on the basis of the square root of scan rate at a scan rate of (from top to bottom) 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, and 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S10. Linear sweep voltammetry of 1 mM Co-TDMImP in 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer at pH 7 monitored for the first 150 minutes after the solution was freshly prepared. Showing are scans taken at 5 (solid), 50 (dashed) and 150 (dotted) minutes. Inset: plot of current at 1100 mV (filled squares) and 1400 mV (filled circles) as a function of time.



Figure S11. (A) CVs of 1 mM Co-TDMImP (red) and 0.2 mM  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (black) before (dashed) and after (solid) the addition of 0.25 mM EDTA. (B) CVs of 1 mM Co-TDMImP (red) and 0.2 mM  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (black) before (dashed) and 10 minutes after (solid) the addition of 0.5 g Chelex resin. Other conditions: room temperature, 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer, pH 7, scan rate 100 mV  $s^{-1}$ .



Figure S12. ESEM images of (A) freshly polished glassy carbon electrode; (B) glassy carbon electrode after 20 CV scans in 0.2 M Na-Pi pH 7 solution containing 5 mM Co-TDMImP; and a cobalt oxide film on a glassy carbon electrode after 20 CV scans in the same buffer containing (C) 0.1 mM and (D) 1 mM  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ .



Figure S13. EDX analysis of freshly polished glassy carbon electrode (blake); glassy carbon electrode after 20 CV scans in 0.2 M Na-Pi pH 7 solution containing 5 mM Co-TDMImP (red); and a cobalt oxide film on a glassy carbon electrode after 20 CV scans in the same buffer containing 0.1 mM (green) and 1 mM  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (blue).



Figure S14. CVs of 1 mM Co-TDMImP at room temperature and a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in pH 7 Na-Pi buffer solutions at HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration of (panel A, from top to bottom) 0 M, 0.027 M, 0.042 M, 0.057 M, and (panel B, from bottom to top) 0.076 M, 0.19 M and 0.38 M. Standard deviations for these curves are in a range of  $\pm 20$   $\mu$ A and were shown in Figure 2A.



Figure S15. CVs (solid lines) and SWVs (dashed lines) of (from top to bottom) 1 mM Ga<sup>III</sup>-TTMAP, Ga<sup>III</sup>-TM4PyP and Ga<sup>III</sup>-TDMImP. Peak potentials are labeled. Other conditions: room temperature, scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer, pH 7.



Figure S16. CVs of 1 mM Co-TTMAP at room temperature and a scan rate of 100 mV  $s^{-1}$ at pH 7 in phthalate (dashed), Na-Pi (solid) and *n*-butylphosphonate (dotted) buffers. Inset: Plot of the potential measured at -50  $\mu$ A as a function of  $pK_a$  of the buffer species. The red line represents the best linear fit with a slope of -50 mV  $pK_a^{-1}$ .



Figure S17. CVs (panel A) and SWVs (panel B) of 1 mM Co-TDMImP (solid), Co-TM4PyP (dashed) and Co-TTMAP (dotted). Other conditions: room temperature, scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.2 M Na-Pi buffer, pH 7. The Co<sup>III/II</sup> potentials for Co-TDMImP and Co-TM4PyP are labeled.



Figure S18. Experimental (filled black squares) and fitted (curves) results of  $(i_{cat}/i_{water})^2$  as a function of buffer dianion concentration for (A) phthalate, (B) Na-Pi, (C) n-butylphosphonate and (D) t-butylphosphonate. Solid curves represent the best fits using eq. S3. Dashed curves represent fits assuming that there is no formation of the doubly bound species  $Co^{III}$ -B<sub>2</sub> ( $K_{eq,2}$  = 0).

- $1.$ Tjahjono DH, Akutsu T, Yoshioka N, & Inoue H (1999) Cationic porphyrins bearing diazolium rings: synthesis and their interaction with calf thymus DNA. Biochim Biophys Acta 1472(1-2):333-343.
- 2. Kachadouriana R, Johnson CA, Mina E, Spasojevic I, & Day BJ (2004) Flavin-dependent antioxidant properties of a new series of meso-N,N'-dialkyl-imidazolium substituted manganese(III) porphyrins. Biochemical Pharmacology 67(1):77-85.
- 3. Batinic-Haberle I, Benov L, Spasojevic I, & Fridovich I (1998) The ortho effect makes manganese(III) meso-tetrakis-(N-methylpyridinium-2-yl)porphyrin a powerful and potentially useful superoxide dismutase mimic. J Biol Chem 273(38):24521-24528.