

Student peer assessment in evidence-based medicine searching skills training: an experiment

Jonathan D. Eldredge, PhD, AHIP; David G. Bear, PhD; Sharon J. Wayne, MPH; Paul P. Perea, MA

APPENDIX

Anonymous student peer assessment rubric

Unique four-digit number of *colleague* assessed _____

Disease/condition topic colleague selected _____

Diagnosis question:

Activity	Correct?
Found the PubMed link	Y
Began with the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) database	Y
Selected appropriate MeSH term, based on definition	Y
Selected the most appropriate subheading	Y
Restricted to MeSH major topic	Y
Applied search strategy to PubMed	Y
Limited to human	Y
Limited to English-language	Y
Limited to appropriate article type (indicate):	Y
If appropriate, applied other limits (filters):	Y
Did <i>not</i> limit to:	
Male or female gender	Y
Anything in subjects filter	Y
Links to free full text	Y
Added to PubMed in the last (published time period)	Y
Retrieved between 5 and 60 references when all relevant limits were applied	Y
Printed out history—and labeled it so you could follow it	Y
Score (one point per "yes") = (16 possible points)	·

Suggestions for improvement:



Treatment question:

Activity	Correct?
Found the PubMed link	Y
Began with the MeSH database	Y
Selected appropriate MeSH term, based on definition	Y
Selected the most appropriate subheading by treatment type (print term):	Y
Restricted to MeSH major topic	Y
Applied search strategy to PubMed	Y
Limited to human	Y
Limited to English-language	Y
Limited to appropriate article type (indicate):	Y
If appropriate, applied other limits (filters):	Y
Did <i>not</i> limit to:	
Male or female gender	Y
Anything in subjects filter	Y
Links to free full text	Y
• Added to PubMed in the last (published time period)	Y
Retrieved between 5 and 60 references when all relevant limits were applied	Y
Printed out history—and labeled it so you could follow it	Y
Score (one point per "yes") = (16 possible points)	

Suggestions for improvement:

Prognosis question:

Activity	Correct?
Found the PubMed link	Y
Began with the MeSH database	Y
Selected appropriate MeSH term, based on definition	Y
Selected the most appropriate second MeSH term (prognosis or print term):	Y
Restricted to MeSH major topic, but only if it helped reduce number of references	Y
Did <i>not</i> restrict prognosis (or similar term) to major heading	Y
Applied search strategy to PubMed	Y
Limited to human	Y
Limited to English-language	Y
Limited to appropriate article type (indicate):	Y
If appropriate, applied other limits (filters):	Y
Did <i>not</i> limit to:	
Male or female gender	Y
Anything in subjects filter	Y





Supplemental electronic content to J Med Lib Assoc. Oct;101(4):DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.101.4.003 www.mlanet.org © Eldredge, Bear, Wayne, Perea 2013

Links to free full text	Y
Added to PubMed in the last (published time period)	Y
Retrieved between 5 and 60 references when all relevant limits were applied	Y
Printed out history—and labeled it so you could follow it	Y
Score (one point per "yes") = (17 possible points)	

Suggestions for improvement:

Overall suggestions for improvement:

Overall score (tally three tables sums) = _____

Four-digit number of student colleague *completing* this assessment _____

Return this completed student peer assessment to Paul Perea at ppperea@salud.unm.edu.

Copyright © 2012, Jon Eldredge, PhD, AHIP, University of New Mexico. Health Sciences Library and Informatics Center; 505.272.2311