# **Supporting information**

## Experimental procedures in Supporting information

### Strain construction and confirmation.

**Construction of pIJ12141**: Two 2 kb fragments PCRamplified with primer pairs act71/act73 and act90/act92 were cloned into pKC1132 using *Hin*dIII/*Bam*HI and *Bam*HI/*Eco*RI restriction sites contained in the vector or designed into the oligonucleotide primers.

**Construction of plJ12142**: Two 2 kb fragments PCRamplified with primer pairs red77/red78 and red96/red98 were cloned into pKC1132 using *HindIII/Xbal* and *Xbal/Eco*RI restriction sites contained in the vector or designed into the oligonucleotide primers.

**Construction of pIJ12143**: Two 2 kb fragments PCRamplified with primer pairs cpK69/cpk70 and cpk87/cpk88 were cloned into pKC1132 using *HindIII/Xbal* and *Xbal/Eco*RI restriction sites contained in the vector or designed into the oligonucleotide primers.

**Construction of pIJ12144**: The kanamycin resistance gene *neo* was excised from pTC192-Km with *Xbal* and cloned into the *Xbal* site of pIJ12143, with a 5'-3' orientation from cpk70 to cpk87.

**Construction of pIJ12145**: Cosmid StE63 was PCRtargeted with the *aac(3)IV-oriT* cassette from pIJ773 amplified with primers cdaRDT1 and cdaRDT2 as previously described (Gust *et al.*, 2003; Gust *et al.*, 2004) resulting in the deletion of *cdaPSI* and most of the *cdaPSII* open reading frame. Desired clones were confirmed by PCR with primers cdaTST1 and cdaTST2.

**Construction of pIJ12146**: Two 2 kb fragments PCRamplified with primer cda10/cda11 and cda47/cda49 were cloned into pKC1132 using *Hin*dIII/*Xba*I and *Xbal/Eco*RI restriction sites contained in the vector or designed into the oligonucleotide primers.

Construction of plJ12149: A 759 bp Pstl/BamHI DNA fragment containing rpsL was subcloned from cosmid StD40A in pBluescript II KS(+) digested with the same enzymes, and used for in vitro directed mutagenesis using QuikChange® Kit (Stratagene) and the oligonucleotides a43g\_c52t/a43g\_c52t\_antisense, designed with the web-based tool "QuikChange® Primer Design Program" provided by Stratagene. After identifying a mutant clone by sequencing, a 3978 bp BamHI/Notl fragment containing the region downstream of rpsL, and a 6090 bp Notl/Pstl fragment containing the region upstream of rpsL, were cloned from cosmid StD40A into the mutant clone to provide sufficient flanking homology for efficient recombination. Finally, the aac(3)IV-oriT cassette from pIJ773 was inserted into the construct with EcoRI/HindIII, yielding pIJ12149.

**Construction of pIJ12150**: A 13166 bp *Pst*I fragment containing *rpoB* was subcloned from cosmid StD40A in pBluescript II KS(+). The *aac(3)IV-oriT* cassette from pIJ773 was introduced upstream of the putative promoter of *rpoB* by PCR-targeting with primers rpoB\_RDT1 and rpoB\_RDT2 (Gust *et al.*, 2003; Gust *et al.*, 2004). Desired clones were confirmed by PCR with primers rpoB\_TST1 and rpoB\_TST2.

**Construction of pIJ12151**: A BamHI/Pstl 4825 bp DNA fragment containing *rpoB* was subcloned from cosmid StD40A in pBluescript II KS(+) and used for *in vitro* 

directed mutagenesis using QuikChange® kit (Stratagene) and the oligonucleotides c1633t/c1633t antisense, designed with the web-based tool "QuikChange® Primer Design Program" provided by Stratagene. After identifying a mutant clone by sequencing with oligonucleotides rpoBmut\_tst1 and rpoBmut\_tst2, a 3496 bp Notl/Mlul fragment containing the region upstream of rpoB was cloned from cosmid StD40A into the same sites of the mutant clone, providing sufficient homology for efficient recombination. Finally, the neo-oriT cassette from pIJ776 was inserted into the construct with EcoRI/Clal, yielding pIJ12151

Genomotyping with Affymetrix arrays. Genomic DNA was isolated from M145 and M1146 using a phenolbased method (Weaver et al., 2004) and from M145 and M1154 using a salting-out procedure (Kieser et al., 2000). Both methods gave equivalent labeling efficiency. Labeling was performed with BioPrime DNA Labelling System Kit (Invitrogen) using a modified protocol: 60 µl of 2.5X random primers were added to 300 ng of DNA dissolved in 72  $\mu$ l water, the DNA denatured at 98 °C for 10 min, followed by cooling to 4 °C for 10 min; 15  $\mu$ l 10X dNTP mix and 3  $\mu$ l Klenow (40 U/ $\mu$ l) were added and the mixture incubated at 25 °C for 18 h (all previous incubation steps were performed in a thermocycler); DNA was precipitated by adding 15 µl sodium acetate 3 M pH 5.2 and 400 µl ethanol -20 °C, followed by incubation at -80 °C for 1 h, and centrifugation at 13000 rpm at 4 °C for 1 h; the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol in water, air dried for 10 min, and re-suspended in 110 µl of water. Labeling quality control was performed using a gel-shift assay: For a positive control, 5  $\mu$ l of labeled product were mixed with 2  $\mu$ l of 2 mg/ml NeutrAvidin; for a negative control, 5  $\mu$ l of labeled product were mixed with 2 µl water; both were loaded immediately onto a 2% agarose gel in TBE buffer (Sambrook et al., 1989) and run at 99 V for 15 min. Affymetrix chip hybridization, washing and scanning was performed as described (Hesketh et al., 2007). Raw data files (CEL files) were loaded into GeneSpring 7, processed with the RMA algorithm and "per chip" normalized to the 50th percentile. M145 versus M1146 genomotyping was performed once, while M145 versus M1154 genomotyping was performed three times. Normalized intensities were displayed on a scatter-plot, with M145 and derived mutants along the X and Y axis, respectively (Fig. S1). We chose a two-fold threshold to identify differentially represented genes. Deleted genes were used as negative controls and the fragments used for homologous recombination as positive controls. All of the positive controls were within the +/- two-fold threshold, while most of the negative controls were well below the two-fold threshold. The higher than expected ratio of some of the negative controls is thought to reflect cross-hybridization with other regions of the genome. For example, while several deleted genes from the cda cluster gave higher than expected intensities, Southern blotting and hybridization with a probe comprising the deleted genes confirmed their absence, but also identified cross-hybridising DNA fragments from elsewhere in the genome (Fig. S1C). All of the probes representing non-deleted genes grouped along the diagonal, within the +/- two-fold threshold, indicating that no gene deletions other than those expected or extraneous gene amplifications had occurred during strain construction (Fig. S1A,B).

Table S1:	Oligonucleotides	used in	this stud	y.
-----------	------------------	---------	-----------	----

Name	Sequence	Genome coordinates	Notes	
act71	GGCGG <u>aAGCtt</u> AGCAGCAACTC	55138415513862		
act73	GACC <u>GgaTCC</u> CTGCTCCAGGAC	c(55159125515933)	Deletion of	
act90	ACGCT <u>GGATCC</u> CGACACCCTGA	55329195532940	gene cluster*	
act92	GCAG <u>GaAttC</u> GCCGCCGAGCAC	c(55350285535049)		
red77	CGGC <u>AAGcTt</u> CGTACGCTGGTG	64328626432883		
red78	CCG <u>tCtAGa</u> CCGGCGTTGGCGAA	c(64348566434878)	Deletion of prodiginines gene cluster*	
red96	GTCG <u>TCtAGA</u> GCGCGGACACC	64621416462161		
red98	CGTC <u>GAAttCC</u> TCGCCGTATCGC	c(64641406464162)		
cpk69	TCCCC <u>AagcTT</u> GAACAACGTG	68941646894184		
cpk70	ACCT <u>TCtAGa</u> CGGAGGACGAGA	c(68961736896194)	Deletion of cpk	
cpk87	CGTGG <u>TCtaGA</u> TCGTCGAGCC	69463896946409	gene cluster*	
cpk88	GCGAG <u>GAatTC</u> GTCGGAGCCGA	c(69483446948365)		
cdaRDT1	CACACATCTTGTTCGTTTTGCATTGTGAGGAG ACAGGTG <u>ATTCCGGGGGATCCGTCGACC</u>	35432983543336	Substitution of	
cdaRDT2	CCGGTCCTCGCGCACCACGGCCACCGCCTCC GGCACCCC <u>TGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC</u> c(35746923574730)		cdaPSI and cdaPSII with aac(3)/V by	
cdaTST1	GCTCCTACCTGCGGTTATCTTCC	35431873543209	PCR- targeting <sup>†</sup>	
cdaTST2	AACGCCGACGGCACCATGTAGTC	c(35748333574855)		
cda10	CCGCG <u>aAGCTt</u> ACGCAGCAACC	35194583519479		
cda11	CATCG <u>tCtAGA</u> TGAAGCCCCGCA	c(35214983521520)	Deletion of CDA gene	
cda47	CGACC <u>TCtAGa</u> CGGGCCAGCAC	36002793600300	cluster*	
cda49	GCACG <u>GaATTC</u> TGGCCGAGGATC	c(36022713602293)		
rpsL_RDT1	AGCGGGCGGCGATGCCGGTGTCGCCCATTTG TTTTGACC <u>ATTCCGGGGGATCCGTCGACC</u>	50886905088728		
rpsL_RDT2	CCAGGCACAAGGTATGAGCGTACCTAGCGCA TTCGCTGC <u>TGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC</u>	c(50887295088767)	Introduction of	
rpsL_TST1	CTGCTGGTCTACACGCTGAC	50884175088436	rpsL K88E and P91S mutations <sup>†</sup>	
rpsL_TST2	TTCAGCAGCACCTTGTTGAT	c(50893975089416)		
a43g_c52t	CGGCCGTGTGgAGGACCTGtCGGGTGTTCG	50891965089225		
a43g_c52t_an tisense	CGAACACCCGaCAGGTCCTcCACACGGCCG	c(50891965089225)		

Table S1 continues on the next page.

Name	Sequence	Genome coordinates	Notes
rpoB_RDT1	CGAAGAGCGATCATCCATCCGGGTGGTCGCT CTTCGGCG <u>ATTCCGGGGGATCCGTCGACC</u>	GCGATCATCCATCCGGGTGGTCGCT 50775195077557 CG <u>ATTCCGGGGATCCGTCGACC</u>	
rpoB_RDT2	08_RDT2 CGTCACTCGTGCAAGGCGACCGCCGCCGGAC CCCGGATA <u>TGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC</u>		aac(3)/V upstream of
rpoB_TST1	TGCCGAATTAGTGGAAGGAC	50770605077079	targeting <sup>†</sup>
rpoB_TST2	TCAGACATGCTCTGGTCGTC	c(50779435077962)	
rpoBmut_tst1	GATCCAGAACCAGGTCCGTA	50791695079188	
rpoBmut_tst2	GATGACGAAGCGGTCCTC	c(50796235079640)	
c1633t	CCAGAACAACCCGCTGTtGGGGGCTGAC	50793405079366	Introduction of rpoB S433L
c1633t_antise nse	c1633t_antise  GTCAGCCCCaACAGCGGGTTGTTCTGG    ci		mutation*
rpoBsq1	GGTACGGCGTCTCGATGAAG	c(50795475079566)	
Cm84F	GACATCCAGCGGTCCAAC	Not applicable	
Cm84R	CGGAGTTCCTCGAAGACG	Not applicable	Analysis of
Cm86F	ACGAGATCTGCCTGACCAAC	Not applicable	expression of chloram-
Cm86R	CCTTGATGGGCTTGGACTC	Not applicable	phenicol genes by
Cm88F	n88F GGACTTCCTGCCCCTGTC		qRT-PCR
Cm88R	AACCAGTCGTCCTTGAGGTG	Not applicable	
Sco4742F	CAGCCGTGCCATCAGTTC	51572255157242	
Sco4742R	ACTGCGTACAGCGTGGAAAC	c(51572625157281)	qRT-PCR controls
hrdBF	TCGACTACACCAAGGGCTAC	63686176368636	(Hesketh <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2009)
hrdBR	GTTGATGACCTCGACCATGT	c(63687256368744)	

Table S1: Oligonucleotides used in this study (continued).

\*Sites for restriction endonucleases are underlined. Lower case letters indicate differences from the original sequence.

<sup>†</sup>Universal sequences for cassette amplification (Gust *et al.*, 2003; 2004) are underlined. Lower case letters indicate differences from the original sequence.

<sup>‡</sup>Lower letters indicate differences from the original sequence.

Vector/Construct	Description	Reference
pAH91	Conjugative and  \$C31-integrative cosmid containing chloramphenicol gene cluster from <i>S. venezuelae</i>	Andy Hesketh, unpublished
pAH88	Conjugative and $\phi$ BT1-integrative SCBAC28G1	Andy Hesketh, unpublished
pBluescript II KS(+)	General cloning vector	Alting-Mees and Short, 1989
pCGC002	Conjugative and $\phi$ C31-integrative cosmid containing congocidine gene cluster from <i>S. ambofaciens</i>	Juguet <i>et al.</i> , 2009
pGEM-T-easy	T-cloning vector for Taq-PCR products	Promega Corp.
pIJ773	pBluescript II KS(+) containing aac(3)IV-oriT cassette	Gust <i>et al.</i> , 2003; 2004
pIJ776	pBluescript II KS(+) containing <i>neo-oriT</i> cassette	Gust <i>et al.</i> , 2003; 2004
pKC1132	Cloning vector, RP2-conjugative	Bierman <i>et al.</i> , 1992
pTC192-Km	Source of <i>neo</i> (kanamycin resistance gene)	Rodríguez-García <i>et al.</i> , 2006
StE63	Cosmid from S. coelicolor genomic library	Redenbach et al., 1996
pIJ12141	Deletion of actinorhodin gene cluster	This work
pIJ12142	Deletion of prodiginines gene cluster	This work
pIJ12143	Deletion of PKS-I cpk gene cluster	This work
pIJ12144	Replacement of PKS-I cpk gene cluster with neo	This work
pIJ12145	Replacement of cdaPSI and cdaPSII with aac(3)IV	This work
pIJ12146	Deletion of remaining CDA gene cluster	This work
pIJ12149	Replacement of wild-type rpsL with mutated rpsL	This work
pIJ12150	Introduction of aac(3)/V upstream of rpoB	This work
plJ12151	Replacement of wild-type rpoB with mutated rpoB	This work

 $\label{eq:constructs} \textbf{Table S2}: \mbox{Vectors and constructs used in this study}.$ 

Table S3:	Strains	used in	this	study	Ι.
-----------	---------	---------	------	-------	----

Strain	Genotype or Comments	Reference
<i>Escherichia coli</i> DH5alpha	$F^{-}$ $\phi$ 80 <i>lac</i> Z $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ ( <i>lac</i> ZYA- <i>arg</i> F)U169 <i>rec</i> A1 <i>end</i> A1 <i>hsd</i> R17(r <sub>k</sub> <sup>-</sup> , m <sub>k</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) <i>ph</i> oA <i>sup</i> E44 <i>thi</i> -1 <i>gyr</i> A96 <i>rel</i> A1 $\lambda^{-}$	Hanahan, 1983
<i>E. coli</i> BW25113[pIJ790]	K-12 derivative ( $\Delta$ <i>araBAD</i> , $\Delta$ <i>rhaBAD</i> ) carrying plasmid pIJ790	Gust <i>et al.</i> , 2003; 2004
<i>E. coli</i> ET12567[pUZ8002]	dam dcm hsdM hsdS hsdR cat tet, carrying plasmid pUZ8002	Gust <i>et al.</i> , 2003; 2004
<i>Micrococcus luteus</i> ATCC 4698	Bioassay indicator microorganism	ATCC
S. coelicolor M145	SCP1 <sup>-</sup> SCP2 <sup>-</sup> derivative from A3(2) [wild type]	Kieser <i>et al.,</i> 2000
S. coelicolor M1141	∆act	This work
S. coelicolor M1142	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red	This work
S. coelicolor M1143	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk::neo	This work
S. coelicolor M1144	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk	This work
S. coelicolor M1145	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cdaPSI&II::aac(3)IV	This work
S. coelicolor M1146	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cda	This work
S. coelicolor M1151	$\Delta act \Delta red \Delta cpk \Delta cda$ with $aac(3)IV$ upstream $rpoB$	This work
S. coelicolor M1152	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cda rpoB(C1298T) <sup>†</sup>	This work
S. coelicolor M1153	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cda rpoB(C1298T) <sup>†</sup> rpsL(A262G C271T) <sup>‡</sup>	This work
S. coelicolor M1154	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cda rpoB(C1298T) <sup>†</sup> rpsL(A262G) <sup>§</sup>	This work*
S. coelicolor M1155	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cda rpsL(A262G C271T) <sup>‡</sup>	This work
S. coelicolor M1156	$\Delta$ act $\Delta$ red $\Delta$ cpk $\Delta$ cda rpsL(A262G) <sup>§</sup>	This work*

\*Selected as spontaneously resistant mutant at 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  of streptomycin.

<sup>†</sup>*rpoB*(S433L) in amino acid notation

<sup>‡</sup>*rpsL*(K88E P91S) in amino acid notation

<sup>§</sup>*rpsL*(K88E) in amino acid notation

#### Results in Supporting information



**Fig. S1**. Genomotyping analysis. A Scatter plot of normalized data from the quadruple deletion mutant (QDM) M1146 (Y-axis) versus M145 (X-axis). **B** Scatter plot of normalized data from M1154 (Y-axis) versus M145 (X-axis). In green, positive controls (fragments used for homologous hybridization); in red, negative controls (deleted genes); in grey, rest of the genes; diagonal lines from top to bottom, two-fold increase in intensity, 1:1 ratio and two-fold decrease in intensity. **C** Southern blot of digested DNA from M145 and M1146 hybridized with a probe derived from some of the genes deleted from the *cda* gene cluster that gave higher than expected intensities upon genomotyping. The expected fragments are detected only in M145 and not in M1146, while several cross-hybridizing fragments are observed in both strains.



Fig. S2. Growth and differentiation. A Growth and sporulation on SFM agar medium. Plates were scanned from above (top row) and below (bottom row; the image was inverted horizontally for easier interpretation) at the indicated times. Note the delayed growth and reduction in spore pigmentation in M1152 and M1154. B Antimicrobial activity detected in M145 but not in M1154 GYM culture supernatants.

**Table S4**: Data for Figure 3 for chloramphenicol (CP) (Fig. 3A) and congocidine (CGC) (Fig. 3B) production. Strains were grown in liquid GYM medium inoculated with pre-germinated spores (chloramphenicol gene cluster) or with mycelium from a seed culture (congocidine gene cluster).

<b>Fig 24</b>		Exponential growth phase		Stationary g	Stationary growth phase		
	FIG.3A	Average	Std. Dev.	Average	Std. Dev.		
	M145	1.0767	0.0666	2.9500	0.0707		
wth	M1146	0.9933	0.0513	2.2767	0.4637		
Gro	M1152	0.8767	0.1172	1.5200	0.0458		
	M1154	1.0433	0.0306	1.2600	0.2007		
_	M145	0.0387	0.0085	1.6068	0.5586		
P/m	M1146	0.0365	0.0030	4.6783	1.8549		
rg C	M1152	1.4349	0.3466	41.2412	5.7272		
-	M1154	5.0205	1.4593	26.2375	4.5116		
D	M145	0.0359	0.0075	0.5471	0.2025		
₩Å	M1146	0.0367	0.0020	2.1439	1.0503		
Б С С С С	M1152	1.6487	0.4385	27.2231	4.5859		
<b>-</b>	M1154	4.8014	1.3125	21.1335	4.8376		
R	M145	117325.8333	68642.2372	347097.8333	32145.3100		
qPC	M1146	186474.2556	121387.0212	541392.7778	374769.5212		
dB	M1152	117831.2444	17886.4626	912278.6667	279271.6165		
4	M1154	236464.0000	52542.6905	222504.2222	125190.6784		
	M145	8537.9511	20930.4167	4357.5732	5296.4419		
4742 CR	M1146	11419.1344	18153.6444	4222.9909	1950.2305		
db	M1152	12918.5111	43531.3111	285.0669	34175.8477		
	M1154	4387.8222	13101.7189	2213.0560	3519.5415		
£	M145	5997.6789	2708.6750	12902.7733	4417.0981		
PCI	M1146	9368.1344	6863.8200	37073.1889	8630.9096		
rf2 (	M1152	37480.0333	25012.4300	82189.9333	60593.1332		
0	M1154	150824.9333	86713.3717	53790.1944	13202.7759		
œ	M145	5515.3667	2638.7566	12976.3833	2234.6696		
PCI	M1146	7523.9933	4836.7022	40799.3444	8702.7966		
nf3 (	M1152	37306.2778	19727.5478	88491.8444	71472.0926		
0	M1154	165817.4000	103186.7773	47353.8889	12366.3220		
۲	M145	7893.9733	4254.7533	20145.3333	4968.6979		
PC	M1146	14153.6789	8041.3617	60031.9000	15219.8171		
nf8 (	M1152	55394.2556	23811.3928	99400.3778	76083.8014		
ō	M1154	209497.4667	107438.6929	69342.2667	17018.3550		

Table S4 continues on the next page.

Fig.3B		8 hours		3.3 c	3.3 days		6 days	
		Average	Std. Dev.	Average	Std. Dev.	Average	Std. Dev.	
	M145	1.8633	0.1007	2.4350	0.4031	1.9367	0.2053	
wth	M1146	2.4367	0.3800	2.3733	0.3592	1.8700	0.1905	
Gro	M1152	2.6200	0.3161	2.1900	0.0854	1.9300	0.3291	
0	M1154	3.1667	0.6901	2.7200	0.3372	1.9133	0.2250	
ā Ū	M145	20.0000	7.2111	1744.6667	673.0002	1609.3333	338.5444	
CGC(Are Units/m∣	M1146	1465.0000	1062.0909	10420.3333	8567.3020	9765.0000	8459.9490	
	M1152	205.3333	38.5270	39214.0000	523.3670	38110.3333	1105.3417	
	M1154	264.6667	38.5270	52856.6667	21188.5856	52527.0000	21436.3807	
CGC (Area Units/mg DCW)	M145	10.6501	3.3488	850.0667	55.0184	834.0908	168.0351	
	M1146	604.3239	429.0248	4171.7267	2877.3079	5167.5758	4175.6145	
	M1152	80.2932	24.4773	17927.9372	861.6937	20100.9375	3225.0864	
	M1154	85.2809	16.2938	20010.9101	9014.9016	27264.0233	9473.3562	

Table S4: Data for Figure 3 (continued).



**Fig. S3.** Identification of congocidine. MS/MS analysis of a fraction of the large peak eluting at 10.5 min in the chromatogram from M1154 containing the congocidine gene cluster (Fig. 4). 431 m/z corresponds to congocidine + 1 hydrogen ion ; 453 m/z corresponds to the sodium adduct; 216 m/z corresponds to doubly charged congocidine + 2 hydrogen ions; See Juguet *et al.* (2009) for further explanation of the MS/MS data and fractionation spectra.

#### **References for Supporting information**

Alting-Mees MA, Short JM (1989) pBluescript II: gene mapping vectors. *Nucleic Acids Res* 17:9494.

Bierman, M., Logan, R., O'Brien, K., Seno, E. T., Rao, R.N., and Schoner, B.E. (1992) Plasmid cloning vectors for the conjugal transfer of DNA from *Escherichia coli* to *Streptomyces* spp. *Gene* 116:43-49.

Hanahan D (1983) Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. *J Mol Biol* 166:557-580.

Hesketh A, Koc H, Mootien S, Bibb M (2009) The role of *absC*, a novel regulatory gene for secondary metabolism, in zinc-dependent antibiotic production in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *Mol Microbiol* 74:1427-1444.

Rodríguez-García A, Santamarta I, Pérez-Redondo R, Martín JF, Liras P (2006) Characterization of a two-gene operon *epeRA* involved in multidrug resistance in *Streptomyces clavuligerus*. *Res Microbiol* 157:559-568.

Redenbach, M., Kieser, H.M., Denapaite, D., Eichner, A., Cullum, J., Kinashi, H., and Hopwood, D.A. (1996) A set of ordered cosmids and a detailed genetic and physical map for the 8 Mb *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) chromosome. *Mol Microbiol* 21:77-96.

Weaver, D., Karoonuthaisiri, N., Tsai, H.-H., Huang, C.-H., Ho, M.-L., Gai, S., *et al.* (2004) Genome plasticity in *Streptomyces*: identification of 1 Mb TIRs in the *S. coelicolor* A3(2) chromosome. *Mol Microbiol* 51:1535-1550.