Avoiding chromosome pathology when replication forks collide

Christian J. Rudolph, Amy L. Upton, Anna Stockum, Conrad A. Nieduszynski and Robert G. Lloyd

Supplementary Information

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES AND FIGURES

Table S1. Escherichia coli K-12 strains

Strain	Relevant Genotype ^a	Source ^c		
(a) General P1 donors				
CGSC7488	<i>zji-202</i> ::Tn10	CGSC ^d		
JJC256	hsdR Δ tus::kan	32		
JC19257	∆priC303::kan ∆priB202 dnaA809,820	33		
KL227	Hfr metB1 relA1 λ -	34		
RUC663	tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	Tove Atlung		
(b) AB1157 and derivatives ^b				
AB1157	rec ⁺	34		
AM2123	∆recG::apra	AB1157 \times P1.AM1655 to Apra ^r		
N3695	∆recG263::kan srgA1	35		
N3793	∆recG263::kan	36		
N4441	$\Delta recG263::kan metB1 argE^+$	N3793 × P1.KL227 to Arg ⁺		
N5494	∆tus::kan	AB1157 × P1.JJC256 to Km ^r		
WX296	oriZ-cat	17		
RCe455	oriZ-cat ∆recG::apra	WX296 × P1.AM1655 to Apra ^{r}		
(c) MG1655 and derivatives ^b				
MG1655	F ⁻ rph-1	34		
AM1581	$\Delta lacIZYA \ recB268::Tn10$	37		
AM1655	∆recG::apra	37		
AM1672	∆recD::dhfr	37		
AM1675	$\Delta recB::dhfr$	A.A. Mahdi and RGL, unpublished		
AM1775	$\Delta tus::cat$	A.A. Mahdi and RGL, unpublished		
AM2013	$\Delta priB::dhfr$	38		

AM2017	$\Delta lacIZYA \Delta priB::dhfr$	38
AM2018	ΔlacIZYA ΔrecG::apra ΔpriB::dhfr	38
AM2129	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra	38
AS1056	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat ∆oriC::kan	RCe261 × P1.RCe395
AU1053	$\Delta lacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46$	TB28 × RUC633 to Tc^r
AU1054	<i>tnaA::</i> Tn10 <i>dnaA4</i> 6	MG1655 \times P1.RUC663 to Tc ^r
AU1057	∆lacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 pAU101e	AU1053 × pAU101° to Ap ^r
AU1059	ΔlacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat pAU101°	AU1057 \times P1.N4704 to $Cm^{\rm r}$
AU1066	ΔlacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat	plasmid-free derivative of AU1059
AU1091	tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 ∆recG263::kan	AU1054 × P1.N3793 to Kan ^r
AU1218	recG ^{wt} -kan ^a	ALU and RGL, unpublished
JJ1119	ΔlacIZYA ΔrecG::apra pJJ100	39
JJ1257	$\Delta lacIZYA$ argE86::Tn10	TB28 \times P1.N4837 to Tcr Arg-
JJ1261	$\Delta lacIZYA$ metB1	JJ1257 × N4441 to Arg ⁺ Met ⁻
JJ1264	$\Delta lacIZYA \ srgA1$	JJ1261 × P1.N3695 to Met ⁺
JJ1268	$\Delta lacIZYA srgA1 \Delta recG::apra$	JJ1264 × P1.AM1655 to Apra ^{r}
JJ1359	∆lacIZYA dam1::kan ∆recG::apra tus1::dhfr	J. Zhang and RGL, unpublished
JJ1378	$\Delta lacIZYA tus1::dhfr$	TB28 \times P1-JJ1359 to Tm ^r
MGK297	attTn7::tetO240-gen zdd/e::lacO240-cat tos-kan	16
N4235	∆relA251::kan ∆spoT207::cat rpoB*35	37
N4560	recG265::cat	40
N4702	$\Delta recG263::kan recB268::Tn10$	41
N4704	rnhA::cat	15
N4837	<i>argE</i> 86::Tn10	37
N4849	rpoB*35	37
N5535	rpoB*35 priA300	37
N5933	priA300 ∆lacIZYA pAM374	37
N6071	priA300 ∆lacIZYA ∆recG::apra pAM374	N5933 × P1.AM1655 to Apra ^{r}
N6424	ΔpriC303::kan ΔpriB202 dnaA809,820 zji-202::Tn10	38
N6537	$\Delta lacIZYA \Delta recG::apra$	38
N6576	$\Delta lacIZYA \Delta recG::apra$	39
N6796	tus1::dhfr	MG1655 \times P1.JJ1359 to Tm ^r
N6859	priA300 ΔlacIZYA ΔrecG::apra	plasmid-free derivative of N6071
N6953	$\Delta xonA$::apra $\Delta xseA$::dhfr $\Delta sbcCD$::kan	15
N7957	$\Delta recG::apra \Delta tus::cat$	AM1655 \times P1.AM1775 to Cm $^{\rm r}$
N8191	ΔlacIZYA srgA1 ΔrecG::apra argE86::Tn10	JJ1268 × P1.N4837 to Tc ^r Arg ⁻
N8192	Δ lacIZYA srgA1 Δ recG::apra rpoB*35	N8191 \times P1.N4235 to Arg $^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$
N8196	Δ lacIZYA rpoB*35 Δ recG::apra Δ tus::cat Δ priB::dhfr	$RCe261 \times P1.AM2017$ to Tm^{r}
N8199	ΔlacIZYA srgA1 ΔrecG::apra rpoB*35 Δtus::cat	$N8192 \times P1.AM1775$ to $Cm^{\rm r}$
N8201	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe268 \times P1.N6424$ to Km^{r}

	∆priC303::kan	
N8205	Δ lacIZYA rpoB*35 Δ recG::apra Δ tus::cat Δ priB::dhfr	$N8196 \times P1.AU1053$ to Tc^{r}
	<i>tnaA</i> ::Tn10 <i>dnaA4</i> 6	
N8206	Δ lacIZYA srgA1 Δ recG::apra rpoB*35 Δ tus::cat	$N8199 \times P1.AU1053$ to Tc^{r}
	<i>tnaA</i> ::Tn10 <i>dnaA46</i>	
N8226	∆recG::apra	$MG1655 \times P1.AM1655$ to Apra ^r
N8227	$\Delta tus::cat$	MG1655 \times P1.AM1775 to Cm $^{\rm r}$
RCe203	tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 ∆tus::kan	AU1054 \times P1.N5494 to Km $^{\rm r}$
RCe205	tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 ∆tus::kan ∆recG::apra	$RCe203 \times P1.AM1655$ to Apra ^r
RCe260	rpoB*35 ∆tus::cat	$N4849 \times P1.AM1775$ to $Cm^{\rm r}$
RCe261	rpoB*35 ΔrecG::apra Δtus::cat	AM2129 \times P1.AM1775 to $Cm^{\rm r}$
RCe262	rpoB*35 tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	N4849 × P1.RUC663 to Tc^r
RCe263	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	AM2129 × P1.RUC663 to Tc^r
RCe267	rpoB*35 ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe260 \times P1.RUC663$ to Tc^{r}
RCe268	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe261 \times P1.RUC663$ to Tc^{r}
RCe302	rpoB*35 priA300 ∆recG::apra	$N5535 \times P1.AM1655$ to Apra ^r
RCe303	rpoB*35 tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat	$RCe262 \times P1.N4704$ to Cm^r
RCe306	rpoB*35 priA300 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat	$RCe302 \times P1.AM1775$ to Cm^r
RCe309	rpoB*35 tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat tus1::dhfr	$RCe303 \times P1.N6796$ to Tm^{r}
RCe313	rpoB*35 priA300 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat	RCe306 × P1.RUC663 to Tc^{r}
	<i>tnaA</i> ::Tn10 <i>dnaA</i> 46	
RCe331	rpoB*35 ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 recG ^{wt} -kan ^a	$RCe268 \times P1.AU1218$ to $Km^r (Apra^s)$
RCe364	rpoB*35 ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 ∆recG::apra	RCe331 \times P1.RCe205 to Apra ^r (Km ^s)
RCe381	rnhA::cat ∆oriC::kanª	this study; derived from N4704 via
		single step gene disruption ²⁷
RCe383	∆lacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat N15 lysogen	AU1066 \times N15 to N15 ^r
RCe384	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe268 \times N15$ to $N15^{r}$
	N15 lysogen	
RCe385	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	RCe268 × P1.MGK297 to Km ^{r}
	tos-kan	
RCe387	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe385 \times N15$ to $N15^{r}$
	<i>tos-kan</i> N15 lysogen	
RCe391	recG265::cat tos-kan	N4560 \times P1.MGK297 to Km ^r
RCe393	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	This study, derived from RCe268 via
	$\Delta oriC::kan^a$	single step gene disruption ²⁷
RCe395	rpoB*35 tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat tus1::dhfr	This study, derived from RCe309 via
	$\Delta oriC::kan^a$	single step gene disruption ²⁷
RCe399	recG265::cat tos-kan N15 lysogen	RCe391 \times N15 to N15 ^r
RCe401	∆lacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat tos-kan	AU1066 \times P1.MGK297 to Km ^r
RCe404	∆lacIZYA tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 rnhA::cat tos-kan	$RCe401 \times N15$ to $N15^{r}$
	N15 lysogen	

RCe405	tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 recG263::kan N15 lysogen	AU1091 \times N15 to N15 $^{\rm r}$
RCe409	recG265::cat tos-kan tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	RCe391 × P1.RUC663 to Tc^r
RCe418	recG265::cat tos-kan tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 N15 lysogen	$RCe409 \times N15$ to $N15^{r}$
RCe427	tos-kan	MG1655 \times P1.MGK297 to Km $^{\rm r}$
RCe429	<i>tos-kan</i> N15 lysogen	RCe427 × N15 to N15 ^{r}
RCe435	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe268 \times P1.AM1675$ to Tm^{r}
	recB::dhfr – transductant A	
RCe436	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe268 \times P1.AM1675$ to Tm^{r}
	recB::dhfr – transductant B	
RCe437	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe268 \times P1.AM1672$ to Tm^{r}
	recD::dhfr – transductant A	
RCe438	rpoB*35 ∆recG::apra ∆tus::cat tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46	$RCe268 \times P1.AM1672$ to Tm^{r}
	recD::dhfr – transductant B	
RCe446	tnaA::Tn10 dnaA46 ∆recG263::kan recB::dhfr	AU1091 \times P1.AM1675 to Tm^r
TB28	$\Delta lacIZYA <> frt$	42

^a The abbreviations *kan, cat, dhfr* and *apra* refer to insertions conferring resistance to kanamycin (Km^r), chloramphenicol (Cm^r), trimethoprim (Tm^r) and apramycin (Apra^r), respectively. Tn*10* confers resistance to tetracycline (Tc^r). Plasmids carry an ampicillin (Amp^r) resistance marker. Strains carrying *dnaA46* are temperature sensitive for growth. *recG^{wt}-kan* refers to the wild type *recG* sequence followed immediately by a kanamycin resistance cassette, thereby allowing replacement of mutant *recG* alleles with a wild type copy. *ΔoriC* refers to a replacement of the entire origin region (754 bp) including DnaA boxes and 13mers as well as the entire *mioC* gene by a kanamycin resistance cassette (see Fig. S1). *tos-kan* refers to the telomerase occupancy site from the bacteriophage N15 genome followed by a kanamycin resistance cassette¹⁶.

^b Only the relevant additional genotype of the derivatives is shown.

^c The term "× N15 to N15^r" refers to isolation of *E. coli* cells lysogenized with bacteriophage N15. These cells can be identified by their resistance to re-infection with N15 (see Supplementary Material and Methods). ^d Coli Genetic Stock Center, Yale University.

^e Plasmid pJJ100, pAM374, pAM375 and pAM490 have been described elsewhere^{15,37}. All three carry *lac*⁺. In addition, pJJ100 carries *recG*⁺, pAM374 carries *priA*⁺, pAM375 carries *recB*⁺ and pAM490 carries *rnhA*⁺. pAU101 is a derivative of pRC7 carrying the coding sequence for *dnaA*⁺ including its native promoter, which was PCR amplified from MG1655 using 5' and 3' primers incorporating *Apa*I sites. The PCR product was cloned into the *Apa*I site within *lacI*^q to give pAU101. The coding sequence inserted is transcribed in the same orientation as the disrupted *lacI*^q gene. pAU101 complements the temperature sensitivity of the *dnaA4*6 strain AU1054.



Supplementary Figure 1. Deletion of *oriC* in cells lacking RNase HI or RecG helicase. (a) Growth of $\Delta rnhA$ (RCe381) and a $\Delta recG \Delta tus rpoB^*35$ (AS1056) cells deleted for *oriC* on minimal and LB agar, respectively. The origin region was removed by using the single-step gene replacement method²⁷. (b) Schematic representation of the origin region before and after the disruption event. The approximate position of the 40 bp homology tails used for the disruption are shown in red (length of the primers is not to scale). Primers used for verification of the PCR products are indicated between

the appropriate primer combinations. (c) PCR verification of the *oriC::kan* deletion. All strains were tested using 4 primer combinations, thereby testing for the presence or absence of the wild type region as well as the replacement cassette as indicated in b. In wild type cells only primer combinations a/b and c/d generate a PCR product. In the deletion mutants only primer combinations a/e and d/f generate a PCR product, verifying that the wild type origin region has been exchanged for the kanamycin resistance cassette.



Supplementary Figure 2. Origin independent synthesis in cells lacking RecG helicase. (a) AB1157 $\Delta recG$ cells show over-amplification of the termination area, as shown by marker frequency analysis of the *E. coli* chromosome. The normalised number of reads (logarithmically versus stationary cultures) is plotted against the chromosomal location. Sequencing templates were isolated from AB1157 and AM2123 (AB1157 $\Delta recG$). All cultures were grown at 37°C. (b) BrdU pulse labelling of *dnaA46* and *dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ cells following DnaA inactivation by shift to 42 °C. Cultures of *dnaA46* strains of the genotypes indicated were pulse labelled with BrdU as described (Material and Methods). While

active synthesis runs out in $recG^+$ cells, ongoing synthesis is observed in the absence of RecG helicase. Synthesis is particularly strong within the termination area (fragments indicated by an arrow). A schematic *Not*I restriction pattern of the *E. coli* chromosome is shown on the left. The distance from *oriC* to each end of the fragments is indicated. Fragments clockwise and anticlockwise of *oriC* are shown in red and blue, respectively; the fragment containing *oriC* is shown in black. The strains used were AU1054 (*dnaA46*) and AU1091 (*dnaA46 \DecG*). The images have been reproduced from ⁴⁹.



Supplementary Figure 3. Effect of Tus, RNA polymerase and PriA mutations on growth and DNA synthesis of *dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ strains. (a) Spot dilution assay showing the effect of Δtus and $rpoB^*35$. The strains used were AU1054 (*dnaA46*), AU1091 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG$), RCe203 (*dnaA46* Δtus), RCe262 (*dnaA46* $rpoB^*35$), RCe263 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ $rpoB^*35$), RCe267 (*dnaA46* Δtus $rpoB^*35$) RCe205 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ Δtus) and RCe268 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ Δtus $rpoB^*35$. (b) Growth of *dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ Δtus $rpoB^*35$ cells in the absence

of origin firing is not caused by a background mutation. If the $\Delta recG$::*apra* allele is replaced with the *recG* wild type sequence linked to a kanamycin marker (*recG*^{wt}-*kan*) growth is suppressed. If $\Delta recG$ is re-introduced *via* P1*vir* transduction, the ability of cells to grow at restrictive temperature is restored. The strains used were AU1054 (*dnaA46*), RCe205 (*dnaA46* Δtus *rpoB*35*), RCe268 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ Δtus *rpoB*35*), RCe331 (*dnaA46* $recG^{wt}$ -*kan* Δtus *rpoB*35*) and RCe364 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG$ Δtus *rpoB*35*).



Supplementary Figure 4. Effect of restart protein PriA on origin-independent synthesis of cells lacking RecG. (a) Marker frequency analysis of *E. coli* $\Delta recG$ harbouring a point mutation in *priA* which inactivates the helicase activity (priA300) or a priB deletion. The normalised number of reads (logarithmically versus a stationary wild type culture) is plotted against the chromosomal location. Sequencing templates were isolated from MG1655, N6859 (∆recG priA300) and AM2018 ($\Delta recG \Delta priB$). Data for $\Delta recG$ were reproduced from Fig. 2 for comparison. (b) BrdU pulse labelling of *dnaA46* $\Delta recG \Delta tus rpoB^*35$ in the presence and absence of PriA helicase activity. The cultures were pulse labelled with BrdU essentially as described (Material and Methods). However, due to the low levels of synthesis in the *priA300* construct the pulses were

extended to 20 min (in contrast to the 10 min pulse used for *dnaA46* $\Delta recG \Delta tus rpoB^*35$ cells). A schematic *Not*I restriction pattern of the *E. coli* chromosome is shown on the left. The distance from *oriC* to each end of the fragments is indicated. Fragments clockwise and anti-clockwise of *oriC* are shown in red and blue, respectively; the fragment containing *oriC* is shown in black. The strains used were RCe268 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG \Delta tus rpoB^*35$) and RCe313 (*dnaA46* $\Delta recG \Delta tus$ *rpoB*35 priA300*). (c) Spot dilution assays for growth without DnaA. The strains used were RCe268 (*dnaA46 recG tus rpoB*35*), RCe313 (*dnaA46 recG tus rpoB*35 priA300*), N8201 (*dnaA46 recG tus rpoB*35 priC*), N8205 (*dnaA46 recG tus rpoB*35 priB*) and N8206 (*dnaA46 recG tus rpoB*35 srgA1*).



Supplementary Figure 5. Linearisation of the E. coli chromosome. (a) Schematic indicating how tos is processed by the bacteriophage N15 telomerase, TelN, and how the resulting hairpin generation prevents the collision of replication forks. Segregation of the chromosomes depends on replication of the entire hairpin. This results in the generation of a chromosomal dimer and simultaneously in the restoration of the two tos sites which are immediately cleaved and processed by TelN, resulting in the generation of two separate linear chromosomes that can be segregated¹⁶. (b) Schematic representation of the area around *dif* with and without integrated tos-kan site. The linearisation verification primers are shown in green (for primer sequences see ¹⁶) and the PCR product sizes in wild type cells and integrants are indicated. (c) PCR products generated with the linearisation verification primers for wild type cells lysogenized with phage N15 (lane 1), tos-kan cells and tos-kan cells lysogenized with phage N15 (lane 3). The shift of the PCR product size in a non-linearised strain as shown in lane 2 indicates the presence

of the tos-kan cassette. Linearisation of the chromosome (lane 3) prevents formation of a PCR product since the chromosome is cleaved between the primer binding sites. (d-g) Verification of chromosome linearisation by pulse field gel electrophoresis. A negative image is shown for clarity. If the tos site is cleaved by TelN an additional band becomes visible on the gels. The tos site is located in the 273.6 kb NotI fragment between positions 1337601 and 1611219 (c, highlighted in green) and cleavage by TelN splits it into two fragments, one of which is 251.2 kb and the other one 22.4 kb (e and f, highlighted in green). The 251.2 kb fragment moves into the quadruplet around 250 kb and thus is hidden in between other fragments (f). The smaller 22.4 kb fragment, however, becomes visible as an additional fragment at the bottom of the gel highlighted by a black rectangle (d and e). (h) Linearisation of the chromosome does not delay growth of wild type cells. The strains used were MG1655 and RCe363 (tos N15 lysogen).





Supplementary Figure 6. Effect of chromosome linearisation on DnaA-independent growth and terminus amplification. (a) Fluorograph of BrdU incroporation in cells with a linearised chromosome as well as control constructs. Strains used were RCe405 ($dnaA46 \Delta recG$ N15 lysogen), RCe409 ($dnaA46 \Delta recG tos$) and RCe418 ($dnaA46 \Delta recG tos$ N15 lysogen). The rectangles indicate the lanes used for the analysis of band intensities shown in panels b and c. (**b**–**c**) Semi-quantitative analysis of band intensities of the lanes indicated in panel a. Quantifiable bands were numbered as shown in panel a. Signal intensities of bands for each strain were measured relative to band no. 9, which is a clearly defined, non-saturated single fragment band. The set of data labelled b shows values from a second, independent experiment. The data sets show that for both controls the signal intensity of band 8, which is located in the terminus area, is higher than the signal intensity of band 7, whereas, following linearisation, the signal intensity of band 8 is either similar or reduced in comparison to band 7. Furthermore, linearisation leads to a reduction of the signal intensity of the quadruplet (band 4), especially 90 min following shift to restrictive temperature.



Supplementary Figure 7. Effect of RecB inactivation on origin-independent synthesis of cells lacking RecG. (a) Marker frequency analysis of the chromosome in exponential phase cells. The sequencing template was isolated from strain (*recB*) cultured at 37°C. The data for *recG* and *recG recB* were reproduced from Fig. 3c for comparison. (b) Cultures of the strains indicated were pulse labelled with BrdU as described (Material and Methods). While active synthesis does not run out in $\Delta recG$ cells, ongoing synthesis disappears in the absence of RecB recombinase activity. A schematic *Not*I restriction pattern of the *E. coli* chromosome is shown on the left. The distance from *oriC* to each end of the fragments is indicated. Fragments clockwise and anti-clockwise of *oriC* are shown in red and blue, respectively; the fragment containing *oriC* is shown in black. The strain used was RCe446 (*dnaA46 \DeltarecG* Δ *recB*). The image for *dnaA46 \DeltarecG* has been reproduced from ⁴⁹ (see Supplementary Figure 2b).



Supplementary Figure 8. Marker frequency analysis of the chromosome of *tus* cells in exponential *vs* stationary phase cells grown at 37 °C. For stationary phase cells, sequencing templates were isolated after prolonged incubation of cultures with vigorous aeration

until well after no further increase in cell density was detectable. The strains used were MG1655 (wild type) and N8227 (*tus*). Data for logarithmically growing tus cells were reproduced from Fig. 4a for comparison.

SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSION

Events associated with the collision of two replication forks

The idea that when two replisome complexes meet one fork might displace the nascent leading strand of the opposing fork, thereby generating a 3' flap, was stimulated by an earlier report demonstrating over-replication of an oriC plasmid when replisome complexes assembled at oriC were allowed to collide⁴³. Because formation of a 3' flap is not observed with DnaB alone⁴⁴, it was suggested that nascent leading strand displacement is a particular risk following collision between fully-fledged replisomes³, an idea supported by in vivo observations^{45,46}. DnaB would most likely collide with and dislodge the leading strand polymerase of the opposing fork to which the 3' end of the nascent leading strand is engaged (Fig. 3a). Displacement would generate a 3' ssDNA flap, which is a substrate for 3' exonucleases, RecG or PriA helicase. Given that the affinity of RecG for 3' flaps is 10-fold higher than that of PriA47 the 3' flap is likely to be degraded directly by 3' single-stranded exonucleases or converted by RecG to a 5' flap and subsequently degraded by 5' single-stranded exonucleases. We predict that in the absence of RecG or 3' ssDNA exonucleases this 3' ssDNA flap persists for longer, thereby providing a substrate that PriA could exploit to trigger assembly of a new replication fork. As this fork progresses it would generate a duplex arm with a free DNA end, which, if processed by RecBCD, may then invade the re-replicated DNA behind the fork (or the sister duplex) via RecA recombinases (Fig. 3a)^{15,3,48,49}, forming a D-loop. This D-loop is another substrate for PriA to establish yet another fork moving in the opposite direction.

Displacement of the 3' leading strands by DnaB might explain why we observed some over-replication of sequences in the terminus area in a *recG* derivative of a strain that has had its chromosome linearised by the N15 telomere generating system (Fig. 2d). This synthesis is only observed if forks coming from *oriC* reach the hairpin. In *dnaA46 recG tos* strains in which the chromosome is linearised due to N15 lysogenisation the level of BrdU incorporation in the termination area is reduced below the level of a distant control fragment if *oriC* firing is inhibited by a shift to 42°C (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 6). This strongly suggests that the synthesis observed in the terminus area is the result of the forks established at *oriC* moving through the hairpin. Translocation of DnaB through the hairpin at the chromosome end means that it would move on to what had been the leading strand template up to that point (Supplementary Fig. 5a), creating a situation similar to that proposed to occur following the collision of converging forks (Fig. 3a). Some displacement of the nascent leading strand might then trigger initiation of replication, thus accounting for the residual amplification of sequences corresponding to the normal terminus area (Fig. 2d, panel iii). However, and regardless of whether a 3' flap was displaced, translocation of DnaB through the hairpin and the continuation of replication would itself lead to some re-replication of the already replicated DNA until a Tus/*ter* site was reached.

Termination in cells with two replication origins

The amplification of sequences spanning the *terA-terB* interval in $\Delta recG$ cells containing a duplication of *oriC* (Fig. 3e) is remarkable, as the peak exceeds that at either origin, as if this region is duplicated when other regions of the chromosome are not. The same is true for cells lacking 3' ssDNA

exonuclease activity of Exonuclease I, Exonuclease VII or SbcCD, even though the amplification is not as substantial (Fig. 2a). An amplification of the terminus area above the level of oriC might be explained if the initiation events titrated out essential replication proteins in a significant fraction of the cells, preventing further firing of either copy of the origin and thus leading to over-replication of the terminus region. However, it is also important to note that potentially two forks initiated as consequence of the collision event will travel until they reach the first non-permissive *ter*/Tus complex, where progression will be blocked. Therefore, subsequent two forks coming from oriC will each collide with a fork arrested at *ter*/Tus. This will result in multiple over-replication events in the terminus area which might contribute to the high marker frequency. Furthermore, it also might be no coincidence that the fork held up at *terC* will have duplicated the *dif* locus some time before the arrival of the opposing fork from *oriC*. Xer-*dif* recombination mediated before the chromosome is fully duplicated might have pathological consequences that trigger some initiation of replication at this position, at least in the absence of RecG.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- 32 Bierne, H., Ehrlich, S. D. & Michel, B. Deletions at stalled replication forks occur by two different pathways. *EMBO J.* **16**, 3332–3340 (1997).
- 33 Sandler, S. J. et al. dnaC mutations suppress defects in DNA replication- and recombination-associated functions in *priB* and *priC* double mutants in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Mol. Microbiol.* **34**, 91–101 (1999).
- 34 Bachmann, B. J. in *Escherichia coli and Salmonella*. Cellular and Molecular Biology, (Second Edition) eds F.C. Neidhardt et al.) 2460–2488 (ASM Press, 1996).
- 35 Al-Deib, A. A., Mahdi, A. A. & Lloyd, R. G. Modulation of recombination and DNA repair by the RecG and PriA helicases of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bact.* **178**, 6782–6789 (1996).
- 36 Mahdi, A. A., Sharples, G. J., Mandal, T. N. & Lloyd, R. G. Holliday junction resolvases encoded by homologous *rusA* genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and phage 82. *J. Mol. Biol.* **257**, 561–573 (1996).
- 37 Mahdi, A. A., Buckman, C., Harris, L. & Lloyd, R. G. Rep and PriA helicase activities prevent RecA from provoking unnecessary recombination during replication fork repair. *Genes Dev.* **20**, 2135–2147 (2006).
- 38 Mahdi, A. A., Briggs, G. S. & Lloyd, R. G. Modulation of DNA damage tolerance in *Escherichia coli recG* and *ruv* strains by mutations affecting PriB, the ribosome and RNA polymerase. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**, 675–691 (2012).
- 39 Zhang, J., Mahdi, A. A., Briggs, G. S. & Lloyd, R. G. Promoting and avoiding recombination: contrasting activities of the *Escherichia coli* RuvABC Holliday junction resolvase and RecG DNA translocase. *Genetics* 185, 23–37 (2010).
- 40 Meddows, T. R., Savory, A. P. & Lloyd, R. G. RecG helicase promotes DNA double-strand break repair. *Mol. Microbiol.* **52**, 119–132 (2004).
- 41 Bolt, E. L. & Lloyd, R. G. Substrate specificity of RusA resolvase reveals the DNA structures targeted by RuvAB and RecG *in vivo*. *Mol. Cell* **10**, 187–198 (2002).
- 42 Bernhardt, T. G. & de Boer, P. A. The *Escherichia coli* amidase AmiC is a periplasmic septal ring component exported *via* the twin-arginine transport pathway. *Mol. Microbiol.* **48**, 1171–1182 (2003).
- 43 Hiasa, H. & Marians, K. J. Tus prevents overreplication of *oriC* plasmid DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 26959–26968 (1994).
- 44 Kaplan, D. L. & O'Donnell, M. DnaB drives DNA branch migration and dislodges proteins while encircling two DNA strands. *Mol. Cell* **10**, 647–657 (2002).
- 45 Markovitz, A. A new *in vivo* termination function for DNA polymerase I of *Escherichia coli* K12. *Mol. Microbiol.* **55**, 1867–1882 (2005).
- 46 Krabbe, M., Zabielski, J., Bernander, R. & Nordström, K. Inactivation of the replication-termination system affects the replication mode and causes unstable maintenance of plasmid R1. *Mol. Microbiol.* **24**, 723–735 (1997).
- 47 Tanaka, T. & Masai, H. Stabilization of a stalled replication fork by concerted actions of two helicases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 3484–3493 (2006).

- 48 Rudolph, C. J., Upton, A. L., Harris, L. & Lloyd, R. G. Pathological replication in cells lacking RecG DNA translocase. *Mol. Microbiol.* **73**, 352–366 (2009).
- 49 Rudolph, C. J., Upton, A. L., & Lloyd, R. G. Replication fork collisions cause pathological chromosomal amplification in cells lacking RecG DNA translocase. *Mol. Microbiol.* **74**, 940–955 (2009).