

Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes: cross sectional study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID: | bmjopen-2013-003325 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 31-May-2013 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Xiao, Jianzhong; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Endocrinology Xing, Xiaoyan; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Lu, Juming; Chinese People's Liberation Army General Hospital, Weng, Jianping; Sun Yat-sen University Third Hospital, Jia, Weiping; Shanghai Jiaotong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Ji, Linong; Peking University People's Hospital, Shan, Zhongyan; First Affiliated Hospital, Chinese Medical University, Liu, Jie; Shanxi Province People's Hospital, Tian, Haoming; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Ji, Qiuhe; Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Zhu, Dalong; Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Ge, Jiapu; Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region's Hospital, Chen, Gang; Fujian Provincial Hospital, Chen, Li; Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Guo, Xiaohui; Peking University First Hospital, Li, Qiang; Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Zhuo, Zhigaung; Xiangya Second Hospital, Yang, Zhao-Jun; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Department of Endocrinology Shan, Guang-Liang; Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, He, Jiang; Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Yang, Wenying; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Renal medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Diabetes and endocrinology |
| Keywords: | microalbuminuria, prevalence, socioeconomic status, regional economic development level |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes

Authors:

Jianzhong Xiao *professor*¹, Xiaoyan Xing *professor*¹, Juming Lu *professor*², Jianping Weng *professor*³, Weiping Jia *professor*⁴, Linong Ji *professor*⁵, Zhongyan Shan *professor*⁶, Jie Liu *professor*⁷, Haoming Tian *professor*⁸, Qiuhe Ji *professor*⁹, Dalong Zhu *professor*¹⁰, Jiapu Ge *professor*¹¹, Gang Chen *professor*¹², Li Chen *professor*¹³, Xiaohui Guo *professor*¹⁴, Zhigang Zhao *professor*¹⁵, Qiang Li *professor*¹⁶, Zhiguang Zhou *professor*¹⁷, Zhaojun Yang *professor*¹, Guangliang Shan *professor*¹⁸, Jiang He *professor*¹⁹, Wenying Yang *professor*¹, for the China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study Group

¹Department of Endocrinology, Key Laboratory of Diabetes Prevention and Control China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, China; ²Department of Endocrinology, Chinese People's Liberation Army General Hospital, Beijing, 100853, China; ³Department of Endocrinology, Sun Yat-sen University Third Hospital, Guangzhou, 510630, China;
⁴Department of Endocrinology, Shanghai Jiaotong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, 200233, China; ⁵Department of Endocrinology, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, 100044, China; ⁶Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital, Chinese Medical University, Shenyang, 110001, China; ⁷Department of Endocrinology, Shanxi Province People's Hospital, Taiyuan, 030012, China; ⁸Department of Endocrinology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China;
⁹Department of Endocrinology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, 710032, China; ¹⁰Department of Endocrinology, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, 210008, China; ¹¹Department of Endocrinology,

Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region's Hospital, Urmqi, 830001, China; ¹²Department of Endocrinology, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou, 350004, China; ¹³Department of Endocrinology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, 250012, China; ¹⁴Department of Endocrinology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, 100034, China; ¹⁵Department of Endocrinology, Henan Province People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, 450000, China; ¹⁶Department of Endocrinology, Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, 150001, China; ¹⁷Department of Endocrinology, Xiangya Second Hospital, Changsha, 410011, China; ¹⁸Department of Epidemiology, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, 100730, China; ¹⁹Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, US.

Corresponding author: Wenying Yang

Postal/mail address: Department of Endocrinology, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, No. 2 Yinghua East Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing, P.R. China 100029

E-mail address: ywying_1010@163.com

Telephone: 0086-10-84205716

Fax: 0086-10-84205664

Word count of text: 2450

BMJ Open

Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes: cross sectional study

Abstract

Objective To investigate the prevalence of microalbuminuria (MAU) among Chinese individuals without diabetes and the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual socioeconomic status (SES), and regional economic development level. **Design** Cross sectional study of prevalence of MAU.

Setting 152 urban street districts and 112 rural villages from northeast, north, east, south central, northwest, and southwest China.

Participants 46,239 subjects were recruited using a multi-stage stratified sampling design from 2007 to 2008. 41,290 subjects without diabetes determined by oral glucose tolerance test were included in the present study. ACR results of 35,430 individuals were available. **Primary and secondary outcome measures** Positive detection of MAU was determined using a urine albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) of 30 to 299 mg.

Results The prevalence of MAU in men was 16.9% and 25.1% in women. In developed, intermediate-developed, and under-developed areas, the prevalence of MAU in men was 13.4%, 16.7% and 22.0%, respectively; in women the prevalence was 20.1%, 26.8%, and 30.0%, respectively. Prevalence of MAU increased as the number of metabolic disorders present increased, and as the number of lower Socio-Economic Status (SES) components increased (female sex, farmer, below university education level, and low income). Prevalence of MAU in developed and intermediate developed areas had adjusted risk ratios of 0.49 (95% C.I.: 0.43 to 0.55) and 0.71 (95% C.I.: 0.61 to 0.82), respectively. Multivariate logistic analyses demonstrated MAU was strongly associated with female sex, low education level, low occupational level, high blood pressure, obesity, older age, and higher blood glucose.

Conclusions Several factors had independent correlations to MAU in China: older age, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES level and living in economically underdeveloped areas, which encourage the development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations.

Keywords: microalbuminuria, prevalence, socioeconomic status, regional economic development level.

rent level.

Introduction

Microalbuminuria (MAU) is defined as a urinary albumin excretion ranging from 30 to 300 mg per 24 h, and is a marker for chronic kidney damage and a risk factor for the progression of chronic kidney diseases, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, and mortality.¹⁻³ Prevalence of MAU has been shown to vary within and between populations. For example, an analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, found the prevalence of MAU in the general U.S. population was 6.1% for men and 9.7% for women.⁴ Prevalence of MAU has been estimated at 6% in Australians⁵, 20% in native Canadians⁶, and 36.9% in Singaporeans > 40 years.⁷ Prevalence estimates from the same areas of Shanghai, China have varied widely between 5% and 19.4%.^{8,9} Factors that may have caused dissimilar prevalence estimates include varying definitions of MAU and different study methodologies. The excretion rate of urine albumin is unstable and may be affected by physiological factors such as sports participation and body position.^{10,11} High-performance liquid chromatography to determine urinary albumin concentrations revealed higher values compared to nephelometry, especially in the lower concentration range, which resulted in a higher prevalence of MAU.¹² The urinary microalbuminuria/creatinine ratio (ACR) method has been recommended due to a lack of reliable 24-h urine collection methods.

Risk factors for MAU and kidney diseases include components of the metabolic syndrome $^{13-16}$ and lower socioeconomic status. Higher odds for MAU have been found in Singaporeans with lower educational obtainment (OR = 1.76, 95% CI: 1.23 to 2.52), lower income in retirement (OR = 1.64, 95% CI: 1.16 to 2.31), smaller housing type (OR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.01 to 2.06), and coexistence of multiple low socio-economic status (SES) factors (OR = 2.37, 95% CI: 1.56 to 3.60).⁷ Whereas affluence has been shown to be protective against chronic kidney disease (CKD) among blacks in the U.S. ¹⁷, higher occupational grade has been shown

to be protective among whites in Europe.¹⁸

There are many international epidemiologic studies on MAU, especially in diabetic populations, but there are few studies in non-diabetic Chinese populations. As the Chinese economy has developed over the last 30 years, the prevalence of metabolic diseases has increased with varying estimates in different parts of China. The aim of the present study was to explore prevalence of MAU in China people without diabetes and to examine the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual socioeconomic statuses (SES), and regional economic development levels.

Methods

Subjects and Experimental Design

A multi-stage stratified sampling design was used to select participants that were greater than 20 years in age throughout 14 Chinese provinces. A detailed description of the study population and methodology has been published previously. ¹⁹ A total of 46,239 subjects were recruited that included 41,290 subjects without diabetes as determined by inquiry of disease history and oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Among the enrolled subjects, 41,290 were used in the final statistical analyses, including 35,430 individuals with results of ACR. Geographic areas were divided into three categories based upon the per capita GDP of China by provinces in 2006: (1) developed area (Chinese yuan (CNY) 23,663 to 65,473), (2) intermediate developed area (CNY 13,123 to 19,363) and (3) underdeveloped area (CNY 6,742 to 12,843). The highest educational level attained by the subjects was dichotomized between under university level education and university level education or above. Income level was defined as annual family income in CNY and divided into three categories: (1) low (CNY < 5,000), (2) middle (CNY 5,000 to < 30,000) and (3) high (CNY \ge 30,000).

Prior to conducting the assessments, interviewers received training on the questionnaire

BMJ Open

and how to explain each item to increase the reliability.²⁰ Waist circumference, weight and height were measured using standard methods.¹⁹ Blood pressure (BP) was measured two separate times at five min apart while participants were in the sitting position using an upright standard sphygmomanometer. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the China Japan Friendship Hospital. Informed consent from the subjects was obtained after explaining the nature and possible consequences of the study procedures.

Blood was drawn from the antecubital vein in the morning after fasting for 10 to 14 h following three days of normal activity and diet. Blood samples were tested for total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and plasma glucose levels. Oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT 75-g) were then performed 0.5 h later followed by another blood draw 2 h later. In addition, spot morning urine specimens were collected to measure ACR. All assessments were performed using a standard protocol that conformed to the international standards for definitions and measurements. Plasma glucose was determined using the hexokinase method. Urine albumin concentration and serum level of immune reactivity insulin were measured using radioimmunoassay (North Biotechnology Institute, Beijing, China; inter-and inter-assays coefficient of variation <5%). The picric acid method was used to measure the level of urine creatinine. ACR ratio was calculated as the urine micro protein (mg/L) divided by urinary creatinine (g/L), with urine creatinine levels less than 0.5 mg/g defined as missing values.

Microalbuminuria and Covariates

Patients with diabetes, as diagnosed according to the 1999 WHO criteria ²⁰, were excluded from the analyses. MAU was defined as a urinary ACR in the range of 30 to 299 mg/g. Central obesity was defined as a waist circumference greater than 90 cm in men and greater

than 80 cm in women. Overweight was defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than 25 kg/m² and less than 30 kg/m², and obesity was defined as a BMI greater than 30 kg/m². Hypertension was defined as the subject having a history of high blood pressure or blood pressure greater than 140/90 mmHg. Dyslipidemia was defined as triglyceride at a level greater than or equal to 1.7 mmol/L, or high density lipoprotein cholesterol at a level less than 0.9 mmol/L for men and 1.0 mmol/L for women. Impaired fasting glucose (IFG) was defined as a fasting blood glucose level between 6.1 and 7.0 mmol/L, and two-hour post-load plasma glucose level less than 7.8 mmol/L. Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was defined as two-hour post-load plasma glucose level between 7.8 and 11.1 mmol/L, and a fasting plasma glucose level < 6.1 mmol/L . Impaired glucose regulation was defined as the presence of both IFG and IGT. Matsuda ISI was calculated by the formula (Matsuda ISI =10000/(sqrt(Ins0×FPG×18×(Ins0+Ins30+Ins120)/3×(FPG+Glu30+PG2h)×18/3)). ²¹ The value for the 25th percentile in NGT was determined as the cut-off value. Individuals with NGT values below the cut-off were defined as insulin resistant while all others were considered insulin sensitive.

Statistical Analyses

SUDAAN software version 1.0 (Research Triangle Institute) was used to conduct weighted analyses to account for the complex stratified study design to adjust according to Chinese population data in 2006. ²² Student's t-tests were used to examine differences between continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Logistic regression analyses were used to examine the prevalence of MAU by sex, age, education level, occupational level, incomes, metabolic status, and economic level. All *P*-values were 2-sided using < 0.05 as the level for significance.

BMJ Open

Results

Among the 41,290 subjects (mean age = 43.9 yr, range 20 to 100 yr) included in this study, 35,470 subjects had ACR data. There were 125 (0.35%, comprised of males 0.31% and females 0.39%) individuals with macroalbuminuria, defined as ACR \geq 300 mg/g. Among the qualified MAU subjects (300>ACR \geq 30 mg/g), there were 16.9% in men and 25.1% in women. Prevalence of MAU was higher in progressively increasing age categories for females. The highest prevalence rate of MAU in males was among those in their 60's (Figure 1A).

MAU was more prevalent in individuals who were insulin resistant, hypertensive, had impaired glucose regulation, and had higher BMI (Figure 1B). MAU prevalence also varied by socioeconomic status; residence in underdeveloped areas, lower educational obtainment, lower income, and occupation as a farmer were associated with elevated prevalence of MAU (Figure 1C).

Metabolic abnormalities that were examined included IGR, hypertension, high TG, low HDL-C, central obesity and IR. The subjects were placed into six groups according to the number of metabolic problems. As expected, the number of metabolic abnormalities increased the prevalence of MAU (Figure 2A). Individuals from developed areas had significantly lower prevalence of MAU compared to intermediate-developed and under-developed groups that had a similar number of metabolic problems.

The SES components that were examined included female sex, farmer, education level under university, and annual family income less than CNY 30,000. The subjects were placed into five groups according to the number of SES components present, and by the degree of development in the area in which they live (Figure 2B). Prevalence of MAU increased as the number of SES components increased within different categories of development.

Sample characteristics according to ARC value quartiles are shown in Table 1. Males had

higher average metabolic values within each ARC quartile than females. Higher values for ARC were associated with higher prevalence of hypertension and higher values for other metabolic parameters.

The average MAU for males and females in intermediate-developed and developed areas were significantly lower than in under-developed areas (Table 2). There were no age differences between the three economic development areas; BMI, blood pressure, blood glucose, insulin and IR were all higher in developed areas than in under-developed areas.

Family income and rural residence were not significantly associated with microalbuminuria (Table 3), and therefore were not included in the multivariate models. There was no significant relationship between insulin resistance and MAU after adjusting for the two-hour post-challenge plasma glucose concentration and other variables. However, older age, female sex, higher BMI, high blood pressure, low regional development level, and increased blood glucose were significantly associated with ACR in adjusted analyses.

Discussion

Statement of principal findings

To our knowledge, this is the largest population based study of MAU in Chinese individuals without diabetes. The prevalence of MAU was 16.9% in males and 25.1% in females, respectively. Results from the multivariate analyses suggest that high blood pressure, obesity, increasing age, and increased blood glucose were independent risk factors for MAU. Results from the univariate analyses demonstrated that MAU was more prevalent in subjects with insulin resistance than those who were insulin sensitive. However, after adjusting for blood glucose level in multivariate analyses, insulin resistance was not significantly associated with MAU. The prevalence of MAU increased as the number of metabolic abnormalities increased in both developed areas and in under-developed areas; over 50% of

BMJ Open

subjects with five metabolic abnormalities in under-developed areas had MAU. Some SES parameters were associated with MAU. For example, the prevalence of MAU was higher in individuals with under a university education and in those who were farmers. Annual family income and urban vs. rural residence were not significantly associated with MAU. Level of regional economic development was significantly associated with MAU with the intermediate-developed and developed areas having 29% and 50% lower prevalence than under-developed areas, respectively. This association appeared to be independent of metabolic abnormalities and SES.

Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of this study included the large population based sample from diverse economic levels, with analyses accounting for the complex sampling design to provide a representative sample of 14 Chinese provinces; the use of RIA methods to test for urine albumin to increase sensitivity. Limitations of our study included the cross sectional design that limited the ability to make cause-effect inferences and the one-time collection of urine specimens that did not allow an evaluation for the persistence of MAU.

Comparison with other studies

Both men and women in our study had significantly higher prevalence of MAU compared to individuals in developed countries. ^{4,5} Prevalence of MAU in the general U.S. population was 6.1% in men and 9.7% in women ⁴, and 6% in Australians. ⁵ Prevalence of MAU in the Chinese participants of this study was lower than the prevalence found in Singaporeans aged 40 to 80 years, which may be explained by the fact that study used lower cut-off values to define MAU (ACR≥17 mg/g for men and ≥25 mg/g for women). ⁷ However, a similar result (19.4%) was observed in Shanghai, China. ⁸ This study was consistent with studies in

Singapore where higher odds of MAU were found in individuals with lower educational obtainment, lower income in retirement, smaller housing type, and coexistence of multiple low SES factors. ⁷ In this study, females had a higher prevalence of ACR than males, which is consistent with the results from a U.S. sample from the NHANES study. ⁴ Higher levels of ACR in healthy women than in healthy men that have been observed in many studies could result in the overestimation of MAU in females, if the same criteria for MAU are used for both males and females.

Meaning of the study

MAU has been recognized as an early sign of renal damage, and a significant predictor of end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular mortality, and morbidity in patients with diabetes.¹³⁻¹⁵ In patients with type 1 diabetes, MAU is considered an indicator of the third stage of diabetic nephropathy.¹³ A recent study demonstrated methods that decrease MAU may reduce the risk for end-stage renal disease.³ Endothelial dysfunction and micro-inflammation of vasculature have been proposed as pathogenic mechanisms of MAU, which also links MAU to vascular atherosclerosis. Monitoring MAU levels may help identify endothelial dysfunction and vascular micro-inflammation in subjects with obesity, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and blood glucose.¹ For this reason, the World Health Organization (WHO) has included MAU in their criteria for the metabolic syndrome (MS).¹⁴ MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas. Limited access to health care services for low SES subjects could help explain some of the association between SES and MAU. Many studies have shown an association between MAU and CRP level, a marker for microinflammation²³, which has been shown to be higher in low healthcare utilization and low SES populations. Additional research could help clarify the relationship between SES and MAU. Higher

BMJ Open

prevalence of MAU in under-developed areas could be due in part to inadequate availability of health care services and to unfavorable environmental factors. Development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations should be emphasized.

Conclusions

In conclusion, MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas. It is suggested that future research studies explore the extent to which MAU could mediate the association between low SES and metabolic, cardiovascular, and cerebrovascular diseases.

Acknowledgements:

We thank all the patients for their cooperation in the study. We thank all the members of Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study (2007 to 2008) who contributed in recruiting participants and obtaining samples.

All of the authors designed the study, revised and approved the paper, and decided to publish the paper. JX, XX, JL, JW, WJ, LJ, ZS, JL, HT, QJ, DZ, JG, GC, LC, XG, Z Zhao, QL, Z Zhou, ZY and WY contributed to the acquisition of data. JX, XX and ZY were responsible for the analysis and interpretation of data. JX, XX and WY drafted the manuscript. WY had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. JX and XX contributed equally to the study. The project was supported by grants from Chinese Medical Association Foundation and Chinese Diabetes Society (WY), and National 973 Program (2011CB504001 JX). The funding agencies had no role in the study design, analysis or preparation of the manuscript. All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work. The research ethics board of China-Japan Friendship Hospital approved this study. Data sharing: No further data are available.

BMJ Open

Article summary

Article focus:

To investigate the prevalence of MAU among Chinese without diabetes. To study the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual SES, and regional economic development level.

Key message:

In Chinese individuals without diabetes, the prevalence of MAU was 16.9% in males and 25.1% in females, respectively, which was significantly higher than individuals in developed countries. MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas, which encouraged the development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations.

Strengths and limitations of the study:

It was the first study on prevalence of MAU in Chinese which based on a large population-based sample from diverse economic levels, with analyses accounting for the complex sampling design to provide a representative sample of 14 Chinese provinces. Moreover, using of RIA methods in urine albumin measurement increased the sensitivity. However, the limitation is that it is a cross-sectional study, which could not demonstrate cause-effect relationship.

References

1. Bigazzi R, Bianchi S, Baldari D, et al. Microalbuminuriapredicts cardiovascular events and renal insufficiency in patients with essential hypertension. *J Hypertens*

1998;16(9):1325-1333.

2. Stehouwer CD, Gall MA, Twisk JW, et al. Increased urinary albumin excretion, endothelial dysfunction, and chronic low-grade inflammation in type 2 diabetes: progressive, interrelated, and independently associated with risk of death. *Diabetes*

2002;51(4):1157–1165.

3. Wachtell K, Olsen MH, Dahlöf B, et al. Microalbuminuria in hypertensive patients with electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy: The LIFE Study. *J Hypertens* 2002;20(3):405-412.

4. Jones CA, Francis ME, Eberhardt MS, et al. Microalbuminuriain the US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39(3):445-459.

5. Atkins RC, Polkinghorne KR, Briganti EM, et al. Prevalence of albuminuria in Australia. *Aus Diab Kidney Int* 2004;66(S92):S22–S24.

6. Zacharias JM, Young TK, Riediger ND, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and awareness of albuminuria on a Canadian First Nation: A community-based screening study. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12: 290.

7. Sabanayagam C, Shankar A, Saw SM, et al. Socioeconomic status and microalbuminuria in an Asian population. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;24(1):123–129.

8. Jia W, Gao X, Pang C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of albuminuria and chronic kidney disease in Chinese population with type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose regulation: Shanghai diabetic complications study (SHDCS). *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;24(12):3724-3731.

9. Li M, Xu M, Bi Y, et al. Association between higher serum fetuin-A concentrations and abnormal albuminuria in middle-aged and elderly chinese with normal glucose tolerance.

| 7 of 27 | BMJ Open |
|---------|---|
| | Diabetes Care 2010:33(11):2462-2464 |
| | 10 Brandt IR Jacobs A Raissy HH et al. Orthostatic proteinuria and the spectrum of diurnal |
| | veriebility of urinery protein everetion inhealthy abildren. <i>Badiatu Nenhuol</i> |
| | 2010 25(() 1121 1127 |
| | 2010;25(6):1131–1137. |
| | 11. Jefferson IG, Greene SA, Smith MA, et al. Urine albumin to creatinine ratio-response to |
| | exercise in diabetes. Arch Dis Child 1985;60(4):305-310. |
| | 12. Brinkman JW, Bakker SJ, Gansevoot RT, et al. Which method for quantifying urinary |
| | albumin excretion gives what outcome? A comparison of immunonephelometry with HPLC. |
| | <i>Kidney Int</i> 2004;66(S92):S69–S75. |
| | 13. Mogensen CE. Microalbuminuria predicts clinical proteinuria and early mortality in |
| | maturity-onset diabetes. N Engl J Med 1984;310(6):356-360. |
| | 14. Gobal F, DeshmukhA, Shah S, et al. Triad of Metabolic Syndrome, chronic kidney |
| | disease, and coronary heart disease with a focus on microalbuminuria - death by overeating. J |
| | Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57(23):2303–2308. |
| | 15. Kannel WB, Stampfer MJ, Castelli WP, et al. The prognostic significance of proteinuria: |
| | The Framingham Study. Am Heart J 1984;108(5):1347-1352. |
| | 16. Chew GT, Gan SK, Watts GF. Revisiting the metabolic syndrome. Med J Aust |
| | 2006;185(8):445-449. |
| | 17. Martins D, Tareen N, Zadshir A, et al. The association of poverty with the prevalence of |
| | albuminuria: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey |
| | (NHANES III). Am J Kidney Dis 2006;47(6):965–971. |
| | 18. Al-Qaoud TM, Nitsch D, Wells J, et al. Socioeconomic status and reduced kidney |
| | function in the Whitehall II Study: role of obesity and metabolic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis |
| | 2011;58(3):389-397. |
| | 19. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N |
| | 17 |
| | |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Engl J Med 2010;362(12):1090-1101.

20. Luepker RV EA, McKeigue P, Reddy KS. *Cardiovascular survey methods. 3rd ed.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.

21. Abdul-Ghani MA, Tripathy D, DeFronzo RA. Contributions of beta-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance to the pathogenesis of impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29(5):1130-1139.

22. National Bureau of Statistics of China. China statistical yearbook — 2006.

http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2006/indexeh.htm. Accessed February 26, 2010.

23. Pravenec M, Kajiya T, Zídek V, et al. Effects of human C-reactive protein on

pathogenesis of features of the metabolic syndrome. *Hypertension* 2011;57(4):731-737.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Prevalence of MAU in various categories in Chinese without diabetes A. age and sex specific prevalence of MAU; B. prevalence of MAU in different obesity, blood pressure and ISI groups; C. prevalence of MAU in different education level, income level, profession, and SES level groups. *P<0.001 men vs. women in all age subgroups; †P<0.01 in different sex, ISI, BMI, blood pressure and glucose tolerance groups; ‡P<0.01 in different sex, economic developed areas, education level, incomes and profession groups.

Figure 2. Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different metabolic status and SES level A. prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of components of metabolic status. †P-trend<0.001 for comparing different risk factor numbers; *P<0.05 vs. developing area; #P<0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

B. prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of low SES level. P-trend<0.001 for comparing different SES numbers; P<0.05 vs. developing area P<0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

Tables

 Table 1. Characteristics of participants presented by ACR quartiles in Chinese men and women

| - | Men | | | | Women | | | |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| ACD (mg/g) | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| ACK (mg/g) | (0.5 to 6.8) | (6.9 to 12.5) | (12.6 to 26.3) | (≥26.4) | (0.5 to 6.8) | (6.9 to 12.5) | (12.6 to 26.3) | (≥26.4) |
| N=35,470 | 4,265 | 3,682 | 2,960 | 2,820 | 4,589 | 5,264 | 5,839 | 6,051 |
| Age (vear) | 41.1(40.4,41.9 | 42.8(41.9,43.7 | 45.2(44.2,46.2 | 48.3(47.3,49.3 | 41.6(40.8,42.4 | 41.2(40.5,42.0) | 43.2(42.4,44.0) | 47.6(46.4,48.9) |
| g- ()) |) |)* |)* |)* |) | | * | * |
| Overweight | 26.8(23.2,23.5 | 27.9(23.4,23.8 | 29.0(24.3,24.6 | 33.1(30.2,36.1 | 19.9(17.7,22.4 | 23.6(21.6,25.7) | 21.7(20.0,23.5) # | 27.3(25.1,29.7) |
| 8 |) |) |)* |)* |)" | *" | | *" |
| Obese | 4.3(3.1,6.0) | 5.5(4.4,6.8)* | 4.5(3.6,5.7) | 8.9(7.3,10.9)* | 4.2(3.3,5.3) | 3.3(2.7,4.1)* | 3.9(3.2,4.8) | 6.3(5.2,7.5)** |
| Central | 24.2(22.0,26.6 | 24.8(22.7,27.1 | 24.7(22.3,27.3 | 31.9(29.1,34.9 | 34.6(32.0,37.4 | 34.8(32.6.37.1) [#] | 36.7(34.5,38.9) | 45.9(42.8,48.9) |
| obesity |) |) |) |)* |)" | (,- /) | ** | ** |
| HTG | 31.3(31.0,33.8 | 29.7(28.8,34.0 | 32.1(29.3,35.0 | 38.0(35.0,41.1 | 21.1(18.9,23.4 | 18.9(17.1,20.8) [#] | 20.6(18.9,22.5) # | 27.0(24.4,29.8) |
| |) |) |) |)* |)" | | | *" |
| Hypertension | 15.8(14.2,17.6 | 24.0(21.8,26.3 | 29.9(27.2,32.7 | 43.0(39.9,46.3 | 13.3(11.4,15.5 | 12.5(11.2,13.9)# | 19.5(17.8,21.4) | 36.1(33.0,39.4) |
| • • |) |)* |)* |)* 25 0/22 2 27 0 |) | | | |
| IGR | 15.8(13.7,18.1 | 17.2(15.2,19.4 | 18.7(16.4,21.2 | 25.0(22.3,27.8 | 13.4(11.7,15.2 | 13.8(13.9,16.9) [#] | 15.4(13.9,16.9) | 23.3(20.4,26.4) |
| |) |) |)* |)* |) | | ጥ " | * |
| IR | 24.0(21.8,26.4 | 24.7(22.4,27.2 | 22.8(20.4,25.4 | 30.1(27.2,33.1 | 22.5(20.4,24.8 | 23.4(21.5,25.5) | 24.0(22.0,26.1) | 28.0(25.6,30.5) |
| |) |) |) |)* |) | | | ж |

*P < 0.05 vs. ACR 0.5 to 6.8 mg/g; #P < 0.05 vs. men at same ACR quartiles.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Table 2. Clinical characteristics for men and women presented by level of economic development

| | | Men | | | Women | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Under- | Intermediate- | | Under- | Intermediate- | |
| | developed | Developed | Developed | developed | developed | Developed |
| N=41,290 | 4,340 | 3,376 | 8,482 | 6,404 | 5,179 | 13,509 |
| Age(year) | 43.7(43.4,44.0) | 43.8(43.0,44.5) | 44.2(43.3,45.2) | 43.7(43.1,44.2) | 43.7(42.6,44.9) | 43.7(43.2,44.3) |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.3(23.2,23.5) | 23.6(23.4,23.8) | 24.5(24.3,24.6)*# | 22.9(22.8,23.1) | 23.1(23.0,23.2) | 23.5(23.4,23.6)*# |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 82.1(81.6,82.6) | 80.5(79.9,81.1)* | 85.2(84.9,85.6)* [#] | 77.2(76.8,77.6) | 76.2(75.5,76.9)* | 78.2(77.9,78.5)* [#] |
| Systolic blood pressure | 119.1(118.2,120. | 121.6(120.5,122.7 | 125.8(125.2,126.4) | 115.4(114.5,116. | 118.5(117.3,119.7 | 120.6(120.1,121.1) |
| (mmHg) | 0) |)* | *# | 3) |)* | *# |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 4.9(4.9,5.0) | 4.9(4.9,5.0) | 5.1(5.0,5.1)*# | 4.9(4.9,4.9) | 4.8(4.8,4.9) | 5.0(5.0,5.1)*# |
| Plasma glucose at 30' (mmol/L) | 8.1(8.0,8.2) | 8.6(8.5,8.7)* | 9.0(8.9,9.1)*# | 7.5(7.5,7.7) | 8.1(8.0,8.2)* | 8.4(8.4,8.5)*# |
| Plasma glucose at 120' (mmol/L) | 5.8(5.7,5.8) | 6.2(6.1,6.3)* | 6.1(6.1,6.2)* | 5.9(5.8,6.0) | 6.2(6.1,6.3)* | 6.2(6.2,6.3)* |
| ACR (mg/g) | 14.1(7.2,27.1) | 10.4(6.6,19.7)* | 9.8(6.0.18.8)*# | 17.1(8.2,37.0) | 15.4(9.3,33.6)* | 13.3(7.6,25.1)*# |
| Fasting serum insulin (mU/L) | 6.4(4.8,8.7) | 6.4(4.4,9.1) | 7.3(5.1,10.4)*# | 6.6(4.9,8.8) | 6.5(4.6,9.1) | 7.1(5.1,10.0)*# |
| Serum insulin at 30' (mU/L) | 28.0(16.6,47.4) | 30.2(16.7,49.4) | 39.1(22.4,67.7)*# | 31.5(19.4,50.4) | 30.9(20.1,49.3) | 39.5(24.7,61.9)*# |
| Serum insulin at 120' (mU/L) | 21.1(11.8,35.1) | 19.9(11.6,35.4) | 26.6(15.3,47.0)*# | 24.3(16.5,42.2) | 25.9(11.8,37.3) | 30.7(18.8,50.1)*# |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.57(4.52,4.62) | 4.62(4.57,4.67) | 4.79(4.76,4.83)*# | 4.55(4.51,4.59) | 4.68(4.62,4.74)* | 4.76(4.73,4.79)*# |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.23(0.91,1.89) | 1.21(0.85,1.83) | 1.37(0.93,2.16)* | 1.17(0.85,1.66) | 1.05(0.78,1.55)* | 1.09(0.78,1.63)* |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.25(1.24,1.27) | 1.24(1.22,1.26) | 1.26(1.25,1.27) | 1.33(1.32,1.35) | 1.32(1.30,1.33) | 1.40(1.38,1.41)*# |
| HOMA-IR | 1.36(1.00,1.88) | 1.38(0.94,2.01) | 1.64(1.15,2.38)*# | 1.40(1.03,1.95) | 1.39(0.95,2.00) | 1.59(1.15,2.26)*# |

 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

8.8(6.0,12.8)

6.9(4.7,10.0)*#

8.6(6.2,11.8)

8.5(5.8,11.7)

9.3(6.5,12.3)

7.1(4.9,9.5)*#

| 1 |
|------------|
| 2 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 0 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 10 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 10 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 10 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 20 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 51 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 25 |
| 30 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 30 |
| 30 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| <u>4</u> 1 |
| 40 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 40 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 10 |
| 40 |
| 10 |

Matsuda ISI

SI conversion factors: To convert insulin to pmol/L, multiple values by 6.945. rea, r * Significant difference compared with developed area, P < 0.01. [#] Significant difference compared with intermediate developed area, P < 0.01. 22 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| | • | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Model 1 (OR, 95% CI) | Model 2 (OR, 95% CI |
| Sex (women vs. men) | 1.81 (1.62,2.03) | 1.66 (1.44,1.92) |
| Age (per 10ys increment) | 1.16 (1.11,1.22) | 1.11 (1.06,1.18) |
| BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) | 1.06 (1.03,1.09) | 1.05 (1.01,1.08) |
| Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) | 2.38 (2.08,2.72) | 2.30 (2.00,2.65) |
| Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) | 1.14 (1.01,1.28) | 1.07 (0.94,1.21) |
| 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) | NI | 1.16 (1.08,1.26) |
| Education (less than college vs. college) | NI | 1.21 (1.02,1.45) |
| Occupation (workers vs. officials or intellectuals) | NI | 1.20 (1.01,1.42) |
| Occupation (farmers vs. officials or intellectuals) | NI | 1.24 (1.01,1.52) |
| Development ‡ (developed vs. underdeveloped) | 0.50 (0.44,0.57) | 0.49 (0.43,0.55) |
| Development ‡ (intermediated- vs. under-developed) | 0.73 (0.63,0.84) | 0.71 (0.61,0.83) |
| Residence (rural vs. urban) | 1.07 (0.97,1.19) | 1.00 (0.89-1.14) |

Table 3. Results from multivariate logistic regression analyses for individuals with ACR≥30 mg/g *

* Odds ratios were calculated using multivariate logistic models. All covariates listed were included in the model simultaneously.

† Insulin resistance was defined as Matsuda ISI <25th percentile in individuals with normal glucose tolerance

‡ Economic development levels were placed into 3 categories (under-developed, intermediate-developed, and developed).

NI: not included in the model 1.





Figure 1. Prevalence of MAU in various categories in Chinese without diabetes A. age and sex specific prevalence of MAU; B. prevalence of MAU in different obesity, blood pressure and ISI groups; C. prevalence of MAU in different education level, income level, profession, and SES level groups. *P<0.001 men vs. women in all age subgroups; †P<0.01 in different sex, ISI, BMI, blood pressure and glucose tolerance groups; ‡P<0.01 in different sex, economic developed areas, education level, incomes and profession groups.

297x674mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different metabolic status and SES level A. prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of components of metabolic status. +Ptrend<0.001 for comparing different risk factor numbers; *P<0.05 vs. developing area; #P<0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

B. prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of low SES level. +P-trend<0.001 for comparing different SES numbers; *P<0.05 vs. developing area #P<0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

267x377mm (300 x 300 DPI)

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology* Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

| Section/Topic | Item # | Recommendation | Reported on page # |
|---------------------------|--------|--|--------------------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | 1,3 |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | 3,4 |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 5,6 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses | 6 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 6 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | 6,7 |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | 6,7 |
| | | (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | 6,7 |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | 7,8 |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | 7,8 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 8 |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | 6 |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | 8 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | 8 |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | 8 |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | 8 |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed | na |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| Page | 27 | of | 27 |
|------|----|----|----|
|------|----|----|----|

| | | Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy | |
|-------------------|-----|--|------------|
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | na |
| Results | I | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | 9 |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | 9 |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | Na |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders | Table 1,2 |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | Table 1,2 |
| | | (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | Na |
| Outcome data | 15* | Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | Na |
| | | Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | Na |
| | | Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | Table 1,2 |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | Table 3 |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | Table 1 |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | Na |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | Figure 1,2 |
| Discussion | I | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 10 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | 11 |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | 11,12 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | 12,13 |
| Other information | I | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | 14 |

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies. **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes: cross sectional study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID: | bmjopen-2013-003325.R1 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 16-Aug-2013 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Xiao, Jianzhong; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Endocrinology Xing, Xiaoyan; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Lu, Juming; Chinese People's Liberation Army General Hospital, Weng, Jianping; Sun Yat-sen University Third Hospital, Jia, Weiping; Shanghai Jiaotong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Ji, Linong; Peking University People's Hospital, Shan, Zhongyan; First Affiliated Hospital, Chinese Medical University, Liu, Jie; Shanxi Province People's Hospital, Tian, Haoming; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Ji, Qiuhe; Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Zhu, Dalong; Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Ge, Jiapu; Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region's Hospital, Chen, Gang; Fujian Provincial Hospital, Chen, Li; Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Guo, Xiaohui; Peking University First Hospital, Li, Qiang; Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Zhuo, Zhigang; Henan Province People's Hospital, Li, Qiang; Xiangya Second Hospital, Yang, Zhao-Jun; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Department of Endocrinology Shan, Guang-Liang; Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, He, Jiang; Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Yang, Wenying; China-Japan Friendship Hospital, |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Renal medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Diabetes and endocrinology |
| Keywords: | microalbuminuria, prevalence, socioeconomic status, regional economic development level |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes

Authors:

Jianzhong Xiao *professor*¹, Xiaoyan Xing *professor*¹, Juming Lu *professor*², Jianping Weng *professor*³, Weiping Jia *professor*⁴, Linong Ji *professor*⁵, Zhongyan Shan *professor*⁶, Jie Liu *professor*⁷, Haoming Tian *professor*⁸, Qiuhe Ji *professor*⁹, Dalong Zhu *professor*¹⁰, Jiapu Ge *professor*¹¹, Gang Chen *professor*¹², Li Chen *professor*¹³, Xiaohui Guo *professor*¹⁴, Zhigang Zhao *professor*¹⁵, Qiang Li *professor*¹⁶, Zhiguang Zhou *professor*¹⁷, Zhaojun Yang *professor*¹, Guangliang Shan *professor*¹⁸, Jiang He *professor*¹⁹, Wenying Yang *professor*¹, for the China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study Group

¹Department of Endocrinology, Key Laboratory of Diabetes Prevention and Control China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, China; ²Department of Endocrinology, Chinese People's Liberation Army General Hospital, Beijing, 100853, China; ³Department of Endocrinology, Sun Yat-sen University Third Hospital, Guangzhou, 510630, China;
⁴Department of Endocrinology, Shanghai Jiaotong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, 200233, China; ⁵Department of Endocrinology, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, 100044, China; ⁶Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital, Chinese Medical University, Shenyang, 110001, China; ⁷Department of Endocrinology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China;
⁹Department of Endocrinology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, 710032, China; ¹⁰Department of Endocrinology, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, 210008, China; ¹¹Department of Endocrinology,

Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region's Hospital, Urmqi, 830001, China; ¹²Department of Endocrinology, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou, 350004, China; ¹³Department of Endocrinology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, 250012, China; ¹⁴Department of Endocrinology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, 100034, China; ¹⁵Department of Endocrinology, Henan Province People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, 450000, China; ¹⁶Department of Endocrinology, Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, 150001, China; ¹⁷Department of Endocrinology, Xiangya Second Hospital, Changsha, 410011, China; ¹⁸Department of Epidemiology, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, 100730, China; ¹⁹Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, US.

Corresponding author: Wenying Yang

Postal/mail address: Department of Endocrinology, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, No. 2 Yinghua East Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing, P.R. China 100029

E-mail address: ywying_1010@163.com

Telephone: 0086-10-84205716

Fax: 0086-10-84205664

Word count of text: 2661

Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes: cross sectional study

Abstract

Objective To investigate the prevalence of microalbuminuria (MAU) among Chinese individuals without diabetes and the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual Socio-Economic Status (SES), and regional economic development level. **Design** Cross sectional study of prevalence of MAU.

Setting 152 urban street districts and 112 rural villages from northeast, north, east, south central, northwest, and southwest China.

Participants 46,239 subjects were recruited using a multi-stage stratified sampling design from 2007 to 2008. 41,290 subjects without diabetes determined by oral glucose tolerance test were included in the present study. Urine albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) results of 35,430 individuals were available.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Positive detection of MAU was determined using a ACR of 22.1 to 299 mg/g in men 30.9 to 299 mg/g in women.

Results The prevalence of MAU in men was 22.4% and 24.5% in women. In developed, intermediate-developed, and under-developed areas, the prevalence of MAU in men was 20.7%, 21.9% and 32.5%, respectively; in women the prevalence was 19.6%, 26.0%, and 29.5%, respectively. Prevalence of MAU increased as the number of metabolic disorders present increased, and as the number of lower SES components increased (farmer, below university education level, and low income). Prevalence of MAU in developed and intermediate developed areas had adjusted risk ratios of 0.52 (95% C.I.: 0.42 to 0.60) and 0.65 (95% C.I.: 0.57 to 0.76), respectively. Multivariate logistic analyses demonstrated MAU was strongly associated with older age, high blood pressure, higher blood glucose low 3

education level, low occupational level, and residence in under-developed region. **Conclusions** Several factors had independent correlations to MAU in China: older age, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES level and living in economically underdeveloped areas, which encourage the development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations.

...., regional economic Keywords: microalbuminuria, prevalence, socioeconomic status, regional economic development level.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Introduction

Microalbuminuria (MAU) is defined as a urinary albumin excretion ranging from 30 to 299 mg per 24 h, and is a marker for chronic kidney damage and a risk factor for the progression of chronic kidney diseases, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, and mortality.¹⁻³ Prevalence of MAU has been shown to vary within and between populations. For example, an analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, found the prevalence of MAU in the general U.S. population was 6.1% for men and 9.7% for women.⁴ Prevalence of MAU has been estimated at 6% in Australians⁵, 6.6% in Netherland³, 20% in native Canadians⁶, and 36.9% in Singaporeans \geq 40 years.⁷ Prevalence estimates from the same areas of Shanghai, China have varied widely between 5% and 19.4%. ^{8,9} Factors that may have caused dissimilar prevalence estimates include varying definitions of MAU and different study methodologies. The excretion rate of urine albumin is unstable and may be affected by physiological factors such as sports participation and body position. ^{10,11} High-performance liquid chromatography to determine urinary albumin concentrations revealed higher values compared to nephelometry, especially in the lower concentration range, which resulted in a higher prevalence of MAU.¹² The urinary microalbuminuria/creatinine ratio (ACR) method has been recommended due to a lack of reliable 24-h urine collection methods.

Risk factors for MAU and kidney diseases include components of the metabolic syndrome ¹³⁻¹⁶ and lower socioeconomic status. Higher odds for MAU have been found in Singaporeans with lower educational obtainment (OR = 1.76, 95% CI: 1.23 to 2.52), lower income in retirement (OR = 1.64, 95% CI: 1.16 to 2.31), smaller housing type (OR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.01 to 2.06), and coexistence of multiple low socio-economic status (SES) factors (OR = 2.37, 95% CI: 1.56 to 3.60). ⁷ Whereas affluence has been shown to be protective against chronic kidney disease (CKD) among blacks in the U.S. ¹⁷, higher occupational grade has been shown

to be protective among whites in Europe.¹⁸

There are many international epidemiologic studies on MAU, especially in diabetic populations, but there are few studies in non-diabetic Chinese populations. As the Chinese economy has developed over the last 30 years, the prevalence of metabolic diseases has increased with varying estimates in different parts of China. We reported that the prevalence of diabetes was not only associated with personal metabolic factors, but also with personal socioeconomic factors and regional economic development levels¹⁹. The aim of the present study was to explore prevalence of MAU in China people without diabetes and to examine the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors (such as obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and hypertriglyceridemia), individual socioeconomic statuses (SES, education, income, occupation), and regional economic development levels.

Methods Subjects and Experimental Design

A multi-stage stratified sampling design was used to select participants that were greater than 20 years in age throughout 14 Chinese provinces. A detailed description of the study population and methodology has been published previously.¹⁹ A total of 46.239 subjects were recruited that included 41,290 subjects without diabetes as determined by inquiry of disease history and oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Among the enrolled subjects, 41,290 were used in the final statistical analyses, including 35,430 individuals with results of ACR. Geographic areas were divided into three categories based upon the per capita GDP of China by provinces in 2006: (1) developed area (Chinese yuan (CNY) 23,663 to 65,473), (2) intermediate developed area (CNY 13,123 to 19,363) and (3) underdeveloped area (CNY 6,742 to 12,843)²⁰. The highest educational level attained by the subjects was dichotomized between under university level education and university level education or above. Income

BMJ Open

level was defined as annual family income in CNY and divided into three categories: (1) low (CNY < 5,000), (2) middle (CNY 5,000 to < 30,000) and (3) high (CNY \ge 30,000).

Prior to conducting the assessments, interviewers received training on the questionnaire and how to explain each item to increase the reliability. ¹⁹Waist circumference, weight and height were measured using standard methods. ¹⁹ Blood pressure (BP) was measured two separate times at five min apart while participants were in the sitting position using an upright standard sphygmomanometer. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the China Japan Friendship Hospital. Informed consent from the subjects was obtained after explaining the nature and possible consequences of the study procedures.

Blood was drawn from the antecubital vein in the morning after fasting for 10 to 14 h following three days of normal activity and diet. Blood samples were tested for total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and plasma glucose levels. Oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT 75-g) were then performed 0.5 h later followed by another blood draw 2 h later. In addition, spot urine specimens were collected in the morning to measure ACR. All assessments were performed using a standard protocol that conformed to the international standards for definitions and measurements. Plasma glucose was determined using the hexokinase method. Urine albumin concentration and serum level of immune reactivity insulin were measured using radioimmunoassay in the central laboratory (North Biotechnology Institute, Beijing, China; inter-and inter-assays coefficient of variation <5%). The picric acid method was used to measure the level of urine creatinine in the central laboratory. ACR ratio was calculated as the urine micro protein (mg/L) divided by urinary creatinine (g/L), with urine creatinine levels less than 0.5 mg/g defined as missing values.

Microalbuminuria and Covariates

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
BMJ Open

Patients with diabetes, as diagnosed according to the 1999 WHO criteria²¹, were excluded from the analyses. MAU was defined as a urinary ACR in the range of 21.1 to 299 mg/g in men and 30.9 to 299 mg/g in women²². Central obesity was defined as a waist circumference greater than 90 cm in men and greater than 80 cm in women. Overweight was defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than 25 kg/m² and less than 30 kg/m², and obesity was defined as a BMI greater than 30 kg/m^2 . Hypertension was defined as the subject having a history of high blood pressure or blood pressure greater than 140/90 mmHg. Dyslipidemia was defined as triglyceride at a level greater than or equal to 1.7 mmol/L, or high density lipoprotein cholesterol at a level less than 0.9 mmol/L for men and 1.0 mmol/L for women. Impaired fasting glucose (IFG) was defined as a fasting blood glucose level between 6.1 and 7.0 mmol/L, and two-hour post-load plasma glucose level less than 7.8 mmol/L. Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was defined as two-hour post-load plasma glucose level between 7.8 and 11.1 mmol/L, and a fasting plasma glucose level < 6.1 mmol/L. Impaired glucose regulation (IGR) was defined as the presence of IFG or IGT. Matsuda ISI was calculated by the formula (Matsuda ISI = $10000/(sqrt(Ins0 \times FPG \times 18 \times (Ins0 + Ins30 + Ins120)/3 \times 10^{-1})$ $(FPG+Glu30+PG2h) \times 18/3)$).²³ The value for the 25th percentile in NGT was determined as the cut-off value. Individuals with NGT values below the cut-off were defined as insulin resistant while all others were considered insulin sensitive.

Statistical Analyses

SUDAAN software version 1.0 (Research Triangle Institute) was used to conduct weighted analyses to account for the complex stratified study design to adjust according to Chinese population data in 2006. ²⁰ Student's t-tests were used to examine differences between continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Logistic regression analyses were used to examine the prevalence of MAU by sex, age, education level,

BMJ Open

occupational level, incomes, metabolic status, and economic level. All *P*-values were 2-sided using < 0.05 as the level for significance.

Results

Among the 41,290 subjects (mean age = 43.9 yr, range 20 to 100 yr) included in this study, 35,470 subjects had ACR data. There were 125 (0.35%, comprised of males 0.31% and females 0.39%) individuals with macroalbuminuria, defined as ACR \geq 300 mg/g. Among the qualified MAU subjects (300>ACR \geq 22.1 in men and 300>ACR \geq 30.9 mg/g in women), there were 24.4% in men (95% CI:23.6-25.4%) and 24.5.% in women (95% CI: 23.2 -25.9%). Prevalence of MAU was higher in progressively increasing age categories both in men and in women (Figure 1A).

MAU was more prevalent in individuals who were insulin resistant, hypertensive, had impaired glucose regulation, and had higher BMI (Figure 1B). MAU prevalence also varied by socioeconomic status; residence in underdeveloped areas, lower educational obtainment, lower income, and occupation as a farmer were associated with elevated prevalence of MAU (Figure 1C).

Metabolic abnormalities that were examined included IGR, hypertension, high TG, low HDL-C, central obesity and IR. The subjects were placed into six groups according to the number of metabolic problems. As expected, the number of metabolic abnormalities increased the prevalence of MAU (Figure 2A). Individuals from developed areas had significantly lower prevalence of MAU compared to intermediate-developed and under-developed groups that had a similar number of metabolic problems.

The SES components that were examined included occupation as farmer, education level under university, and annual family income less than CNY 30,000. The subjects were placed into four groups according to the number of low SES components present, and by the degree

BMJ Open

of development in the area in which they live (Figure 2B). Prevalence of MAU increased as the number of low SES components increased within different categories of development. There was higher prevalence of MAU in men who had 1 or less low SES components. In those with 2-3 low SES components, there was no significant difference between men and women in prevalence of MAU (2C).

Sample characteristics according to ACR value quartiles are shown in Table 1. Males had higher average metabolic values within each ACR quartile than females. Higher values for ACR were associated with higher prevalence of hypertension and higher values for other metabolic parameters.

The average MAU for males and females in intermediate-developed and developed areas were significantly lower than in under-developed areas (Table 2). There were no age differences between the three economic development areas; BMI, blood pressure, blood glucose, insulin and IR were all higher in developed areas than in under-developed areas.

Three multivariate logistic models were used to examine the relationship between demographic data, metabolic factors, personal SES, rural/urban residence and regional economic development (Table 3). In model 1, old age, increase of PG, and hypertension were independently associated with MAU. In model 2, where SES components were included, low education level and low annual family income category were also associated with MAU. In the model 3, individual residence, where regional economic development levels were added.In the following variables were independently associated with MAU, older age, , higher blood glucose, high blood pressure, low education levels and low regional economic development level.

Discussion

Statement of principal findings

BMJ Open

To our knowledge, this is the largest population based study of MAU in Chinese individuals without diabetes. The prevalence of MAU was 24.4% in males and 24.5% in females, respectively. Individuals with higher level of ACR had higher prevalence of hypertension, obese/overweight, and IGR(table 1). In contrast to the higher level of blood pressure, plasma glucose, serum triglycerides, and insulin resistance in economically developed regions, the level of ACR was lower in economically developed regions (table 2). Results from the multivariate analyses suggest that increasing age, high blood pressure, and increased blood glucose were independent risk factors for MAU. Results from the univariate analyses demonstrated that MAU was more prevalent in subjects with insulin resistance than those who were insulin sensitive. However, after adjusting for blood glucose level in multivariate analyses, insulin resistance was not significantly associated with MAU. The prevalence of MAU increased as the number of metabolic abnormalities increased in both developed areas and in under-developed areas; over 50% of subjects with five metabolic abnormalities in under-developed and intermediate-developed areas had MAU. Some low SES parameters were associated with MAU. For example, the prevalence of MAU was higher in individuals with under a university education and in those who had low annual family income. Level of regional economic development was significantly associated with MAU with the intermediate-developed and developed areas having 35% and 48% lower prevalence than under-developed areas, respectively. This association appeared to be independent of metabolic abnormalities and low SES.

Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of this study included the large population based sample from diverse economic levels, with analyses accounting for the complex sampling design to provide a representative sample of 14 Chinese provinces; the use of RIA methods to test for urine albumin to increase

sensitivity. Limitations of our study included the cross sectional design that limited the ability to make cause-effect inferences, and the one-time collection of spot urine specimens due to a large variability in albumin excretion rates that did not allow an evaluation for the persistence of MAU.

Comparison with other studies

Both men and women in our study had significantly higher prevalence of MAU compared to individuals in developed countries. ^{4,5} Prevalence of MAU in the general U.S. population was 6.1% in men and 9.7% in women ⁴, and 6% in Australians. ⁵ Prevalence of MAU in the Chinese participants of this study was lower than the prevalence found in Singaporeans aged 40 to 80 years, which may be explained by the fact that study used lower cut-off values to define MAU (ACR≥17 mg/g for men and ≥25 mg/g for women). ⁷ However, a similar result (19.4%) was observed in Shanghai, China. ⁸ This study was consistent with studies in Singapore where higher odds of MAU were found in individuals with lower educational obtainment, lower income in retirement, smaller housing type, and coexistence of multiple low SES factors. ⁷

Meaning of the study

MAU has been recognized as an early sign of renal damage, and a significant predictor of end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular mortality, and morbidity in patients with diabetes. ¹³⁻¹⁵ In patients with type 1 diabetes, MAU is considered an indicator of the third stage of diabetic nephropathy. ¹³ A recent study demonstrated methods that decrease MAU may reduce the risk for end-stage renal disease. ^{24,25} Endothelial dysfunction and micro-inflammation of vasculature have been proposed as pathogenic mechanisms of MAU, which also links MAU to vascular atherosclerosis. Monitoring MAU levels may help identify endothelial dysfunction and vascular micro-inflammation in subjects with obesity, high blood pressure,

BMJ Open

dyslipidemia, and blood glucose.¹ For this reason, the World Health Organization (WHO) has included MAU in their criteria for the metabolic syndrome (MS).¹⁴ MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas. Limited access to health care services for low SES subjects could help explain some of the association between SES and MAU. Many studies have shown an association between MAU and CRP level, a marker for microinflammation²⁶, which has been shown to be higher in low healthcare utilization and low SES populations. Periodental diseases have also been shown to be more common in low SES populations and are associated with increased CRP level. We did not have data to explore the association between periodontal diseases and MAU. Additional research could help clarify the relationship between SES and MAU, especially the underlying mechanism. Higher prevalence of MAU in under-developed areas could be due in part to inadequate availability of health care services and to unfavorable environmental factors. Development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations should be emphasized. Besides measures to prevent and control metabolic disorders, we propose that reforming the health system, improving access to health facilities, prompting health education, preventing periodontal diseases especially in low SES population and in under-development region, may reduce the prevalence of MAU and reduce the incidence of CVD and mortality in general population.

Conclusions

In conclusion, MAU was strongly associated with older age, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas. It is suggested that future research studies explore the extent to which MAU could mediate the association between low

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

. , and cerebrovaec

BMJ Open

Acknowledgements:

We thank all the patients for their cooperation in the study. We thank all the members of Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study (2007 to 2008) who contributed in recruiting participants and obtaining samples.

All of the authors designed the study, revised and approved the paper, and decided to publish the paper. JX, XX, JL, JW, WJ, LJ, ZS, JL, HT, QJ, DZ, JG, GC, LC, XG, Z Zhao, QL, Z Zhou, ZY and WY contributed to the acquisition of data. JX, XX and ZY were responsible for the analysis and interpretation of data. JX, XX and WY drafted the manuscript. WY had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. JX and XX contributed equally to the study. The project was supported by grants from Chinese Medical Association Foundation and Chinese Diabetes Society (WY), and National 973 Program (2011CB504001 JX). The funding agencies had no role in the study design, analysis or preparation of the manuscript. All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no other

relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

The research ethics board of China-Japan Friendship Hospital approved this study.

Article summary

Article focus:

To investigate the prevalence of MAU among Chinese without diabetes. To study the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual SES, and regional economic development level.

Key message:

In Chinese individuals without diabetes, the prevalence of MAU was 24.4% in males and 24.5% in females, respectively, which was significantly higher than individuals in developed countries. MAU was strongly associated with older age, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas, which encouraged the development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations.

Strengths and limitations of the study:

It was the first study on prevalence of MAU in Chinese which based on a large population-based sample from diverse economic levels, with analyses accounting for the complex sampling design to provide a representative sample of 14 Chinese provinces. Moreover, using of RIA methods in urine albumin measurement increased the sensitivity. However, the limitation is that it is a cross-sectional study, which could not demonstrate cause-effect relationship.

BMJ Open

References

 Bigazzi R, Bianchi S, Baldari D, et al. Microalbuminuriapredicts cardiovascular events and renal insufficiency in patients with essential hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1998;16(9):1325-1333.

 Stehouwer CD, Gall MA, Twisk JW, et al. Increased urinary albumin excretion, endothelial dysfunction, and chronic low-grade inflammation in type 2 diabetes: progressive, interrelated, and independently associated with risk of death. *Diabetes* 2002;51(4):1157–1165.

3.Hillege HL, Fidler V, Diercks GF, et al. Urinary albumin excretion predicts cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality in general population. Circulation. 2002;106(14):1777-82.

4. Jones CA, Francis ME, Eberhardt MS, et al. Microalbuminuriain the US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39(3):445-459.

5. Atkins RC, Polkinghorne KR, Briganti EM, et al. Prevalence of albuminuria in Australia. *Aus Diab Kidney Int* 2004;66(S92):S22–S24.

6. Zacharias JM, Young TK, Riediger ND, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and awareness of albuminuria on a Canadian First Nation: A community-based screening study. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12: 290.

7. Sabanayagam C, Shankar A, Saw SM, et al. Socioeconomic status and microalbuminuria in an Asian population. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;24(1):123–129.

8. Jia W, Gao X, Pang C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of albuminuria and chronic kidney disease in Chinese population with type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose regulation: Shanghai diabetic complications study (SHDCS). *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;24(12):3724-3731.

9. Li M, Xu M, Bi Y, et al. Association between higher serum fetuin-A concentrations and

BMJ Open

abnormal albuminuria in middle-aged and elderly chinese with normal glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33(11):2462-2464.

10. Brandt JR, Jacobs A, Raissy HH, et al. Orthostatic proteinuria and the spectrum of diurnal variability of urinary protein excretion inhealthy children. *Pediatr Nephrol*

2010;25(6):1131-1137.

11. Jefferson IG, Greene SA, Smith MA, et al. Urine albumin to creatinine ratio-response to exercise in diabetes. *Arch Dis Child* 1985;60(4):305–310.

12. Brinkman JW, Bakker SJ, Gansevoot RT, et al. Which method for quantifying urinary albumin excretion gives what outcome? A comparison of immunonephelometry with HPLC. *Kidney Int* 2004;66(S92):S69–S75.

13. Mogensen CE. Microalbuminuria predicts clinical proteinuria and early mortality in maturity-onset diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 1984;310(6):356-360.

14. Gobal F, DeshmukhA, Shah S, et al. Triad of Metabolic Syndrome, chronic kidney disease, and coronary heart disease with a focus on microalbuminuria - death by overeating. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57(23):2303–2308.

15. Kannel WB, Stampfer MJ, Castelli WP, et al. The prognostic significance of proteinuria: The Framingham Study. *Am Heart J* 1984;108(5):1347-1352.

16. Chew GT, Gan SK, Watts GF. Revisiting the metabolic syndrome. *Med J Aust* 2006;185(8):445-449.

17. Martins D, Tareen N, Zadshir A, et al. The association of poverty with the prevalence of albuminuria: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *Am J Kidney Dis* 2006;47(6):965–971.

 Al-Qaoud TM, Nitsch D, Wells J, et al. Socioeconomic status and reduced kidney function in the Whitehall II Study: role of obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2011;58(3):389-397.

BMJ Open

| 2 |
|------------|
| Z |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| , F |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 11 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 20 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 22 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 25 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 30 |
| 30 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 11 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| ΔΔ |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| <u>4</u> 7 |
| 47 |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 55 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 50 |
| 57 |
| 58 |
| 50 |
| 00 |
| 60 |

19. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362(12):1090-1101.

20. Luepker RV EA, McKeigue P, Reddy KS. *Cardiovascular survey methods. 3rd ed.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.

21. National Bureau of Statistics of China. China statistical yearbook — 2006.

http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2006/indexeh.htm. Accessed February 26, 2010.

Houlihan CA, Tsalamandris C, Akdeniz A, et al. Albumin to creatinine ratio:a screening test with limitations. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(6):1183-9.
 Abdul-Ghani MA, Tripathy D, DeFronzo RA. Contributions of beta-cell dysfunction and

insulin resistance to the pathogenesis of impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting

glucose. Diabetes Care 2006;29(5):1130-1139.

24. Asselbergs FW, Diercks GF, Hillege HL, et al. Effects of fosinopril and pravastatin on

cardiovascular events in subjects with microalbuminuria. Circulation. 2004;110(18):2809-16.

25. Gaede P, Vedel P, Larsen N, et al. Multifactorial intervention and cardiovascular disease

in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(5):383-93.

26. Pravenec M, Kajiya T, Zídek V, et al. Effects of human C-reactive protein on

pathogenesis of features of the metabolic syndrome. Hypertension 2011;57(4):731-737.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Prevalence of MAU in various categories in Chinese without diabetes 1A. Age and sex specific prevalence of MAU; 1B. Prevalence of MAU in different obesity, blood pressure and ISI groups; 1C. prevalence of MAU in different education level, income level, profession, and SES level groups. *P trend<0.001 among age groups,†P < 0.001 men vs. women in corresponding age subgroups; ‡P < 0.01 in different ISI, BMI, blood pressure, glucose tolerance, economic developed areas, education level, incomes and profession groups;#P < 0.01 men vs. women in corresponding subgroups.

Figure 2. Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different metabolic status and SES level 2A.Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of components of metabolic risk factors. †P-trend<0.001 for comparing different risk factor numbers; *P<0.05 vs. under-developed area; #P<0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

2B. Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of low SES level. P-trend<0.001 for comparing different low SES numbers; P<0.05 vs. under-developed area 2C.Number of low SES level and gender-specific prevalence of MAU. P-trend<0.001 for comparing different low SES numbers; P<0.01 men vs. women.

5 6

8

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of participants presented by ACR quartiles in Chinese men and women

| | Men | | | | Women | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ACR (mg/g) | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| | (0.5 to 6.8) | (6.9 to 12.5) | (12.6 to 26.3) | (≥26.4) | (0.5 to 6.8) | (6.9 to 12.5) | (12.6 to 26.3) | (≥26.4) |
| N=35,470 | 4,265 | 3,682 | 2,960 | 2,820 | 4,589 | 5,264 | 5,839 | 6,051 |
| Age (year) | 41.1(40.4,41.9) | 42.8(41.9,43.7)* | 45.2(44.2,46.2)* | 48.3(47.3,49.3)* | 41.6(40.8,42.4) | 41.2(40.5,42.0) | 43.2(42.4,44.0)* | 47.6(46.4,48.9)* |
| Overweight | 26.8(23.2,23.5) | 27.9(23.4,23.8) | 29.0(24.3,24.6)* | 33.1(30.2,36.1)* | 19.9(17.7,22.4) [#] | 23.6(21.6,25.7)* [#] | 21.7(20.0,23.5) [#] | 27.3(25.1,29.7)* [#] |
| Obese | 4.3(3.1,6.0) | 5.5(4.4,6.8)* | 4.5(3.6,5.7) | 8.9(7.3,10.9)* | 4.2(3.3,5.3) | 3.3(2.7,4.1)* | 3.9(3.2,4.8) | 6.3(5.2,7.5)* [#] |
| Central obesity | 24.2(22.0,26.6) | 24.8(22.7,27.1) | 24.7(22.3,27.3) | 31.9(29.1,34.9)* | 34.6(32.0,37.4) [#] | 34.8(32.6,37.1)# | 36.7(34.5,38.9)*# | 45.9(42.8,48.9)*# |
| HTG | 31.3(31.0,33.8) | 29.7(28.8,34.0) | 32.1(29.3,35.0) | 38.0(35.0,41.1)* | 21.1(18.9,23.4) [#] | 18.9(17.1,20.8) [#] | 20.6(18.9,22.5) [#] | 27.0(24.4,29.8)* [#] |
| Hypertension | 15.8(14.2,17.6) | 24.0(21.8,26.3)* | 29.9(27.2,32.7)* | 43.0(39.9,46.3)* | 13.3(11.4,15.5) | 12.5(11.2,13.9) [#] | 19.5(17.8,21.4)* [#] | 36.1(33.0,39.4)* [#] |
| IGR | 15.8(13.7,18.1) | 17.2(15.2,19.4) | 18.7(16.4,21.2)* | 25.0(22.3,27.8)* | 13.4(11.7,15.2) | 13.8(13.9,16.9) [#] | 15.4(13.9,16.9)* [#] | 23.3(20.4,26.4)* |
| IR | 24.0(21.8,26.4) | 24.7(22.4,27.2) | 22.8(20.4,25.4) | 30.1(27.2,33.1)* | 22.5(20.4,24.8) | 23.4(21.5,25.5) | 24.0(22.0,26.1) | 28.0(25.6,30.5)* |

*P < 0.05 vs. ACR 0.5 to 6.8 mg/g; #P < 0.05 vs. men at same ACR quartiles.HTG, serum triglyceride ≥ 1.7 mmol/l; IGR, impaired glucose

regulation, IR, insulin resistant.



| | | Men | | | Women | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Under- | Intermediate- | | Under- | Intermediate- | |
| | developed | Developed | Developed | developed | developed | Developed |
| N=41,290 | 4,340 | 3,376 | 8,482 | 6,404 | 5,179 | 13,509 |
| Age(year) | 43.7(43.4,44.0) | 43.8(43.0,44.5) | 44.2(43.3,45.2) | 43.7(43.1,44.2) | 43.7(42.6,44.9) | 43.7(43.2,44.3) |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.3(23.2,23.5) | 23.6(23.4,23.8) | 24.5(24.3,24.6)*# | 22.9(22.8,23.1) | 23.1(23.0,23.2) | 23.5(23.4,23.6)*# |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 82.1(81.6,82.6) | 80.5(79.9,81.1)* | 85.2(84.9,85.6)* [#] | 77.2(76.8,77.6) | 76.2(75.5,76.9)* | 78.2(77.9,78.5)* [#] |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 119.1(118.2,120.0) | 121.6(120.5,122.7)* | 125.8(125.2,126.4)*# | 115.4(114.5,116.3) | 118.5(117.3,119.7)* | 120.6(120.1,121.1)* [#] |
| Fasting PG (mmol/L) | 4.9(4.9,5.0) | 4.9(4.9,5.0) | 5.1(5.0,5.1)* [#] | 4.9(4.9,4.9) | 4.8(4.8,4.9) | 5.0(5.0,5.1)* [#] |
| PG at 30' (mmol/L) | 8.1(8.0,8.2) | 8.6(8.5,8.7)* | 9.0(8.9,9.1)* [#] | 7.5(7.5,7.7) | 8.1(8.0,8.2)* | 8.4(8.4,8.5)*# |
| PGat 120' (mmol/L) | 5.8(5.7,5.8) | 6.2(6.1,6.3)* | 6.1(6.1,6.2)* | 5.9(5.8,6.0) | 6.2(6.1,6.3)* | 6.2(6.2,6.3)* |
| ACR (mg/g) | 14.1(7.2,27.1) | 10.4(6.6,19.7)* | 9.8(6.0.18.8)*# | 17.1(8.2,37.0) | 15.4(9.3,33.6)* | 13.3(7.6,25.1)* [#] |
| Fasting serumIRI (mU/L) | 6.4(4.8,8.7) | 6.4(4.4,9.1) | 7.3(5.1,10.4)*# | 6.6(4.9,8.8) | 6.5(4.6,9.1) | 7.1(5.1,10.0)* [#] |
| SerumIRI at 30' (mU/L) | 28.0(16.6,47.4) | 30.2(16.7,49.4) | 39.1(22.4,67.7)* [#] | 31.5(19.4,50.4) | 30.9(20.1,49.3) | 39.5(24.7,61.9)* [#] |
| Serum IRI at 120' (mU/L) | 21.1(11.8,35.1) | 19.9(11.6,35.4) | 26.6(15.3,47.0)*# | 24.3(16.5,42.2) | 25.9(11.8,37.3) | 30.7(18.8,50.1)* [#] |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.57(4.52,4.62) | 4.62(4.57,4.67) | 4.79(4.76,4.83)* [#] | 4.55(4.51,4.59) | 4.68(4.62,4.74)* | 4.76(4.73,4.79)*# |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.23(0.91,1.89) | 1.21(0.85,1.83) | 1.37(0.93,2.16)* | 1.17(0.85,1.66) | 1.05(0. 78,1.55)* | 1.09(0.78,1.63)* |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.25(1.24,1.27) | 1.24(1.22,1.26) | 1.26(1.25,1.27) | 1.33(1.32,1.35) | 1.32(1.30,1.33) | 1.40(1.38,1.41)* [#] |
| HOMA-IR | 1.36(1.00,1.88) | 1.38(0.94,2.01) | 1.64(1.15,2.38)* [#] | 1.40(1.03,1.95) | 1.39(0.95,2.00) | 1.59(1.15,2.26)* [#] |
| Matsuda ISI | 9.3(6.5,12.3) | 8.8(6.0,12.8) | 6.9(4.7,10.0)* [#] | 8.6(6.2,11.8) | 8.5(5.8,11.7) | 7.1(4.9,9.5)* [#] |

Table 2. Clinical characteristics for men and women presented by level of economic development

SI conversion factors: To convert insulin to pmol/L, multiple values by 6.945.BP, blood pressure; PG, plasma glucose; IRI, immunoreactive

insulin.

* Significant difference compared with developed area, P<0.01.

[#] Significant difference compared with intermediate developed area, P<0.01.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 2 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 5 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| ĉ |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 40 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 20 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 01 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 21 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 00 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 48 |

1

Table 3. Results from multivariate logistic regression analyses for individuals with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in men 30.9 mg/g in women *

| | Model 1 (OR, 95% CI) | Model 2 (OR, 95% CI) | Model 3 (OR, 95% CI) |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Sex (women vs. men) | 1.06 (0.95,1.17) | 1.03 (0.90,1.17) | 1.02 (0.89,1.17) |
| Age (per 10ys increment) | 1.15 (1.10,1.21) | 1.12 (1.07,1.18) | 1.12 (1.07,1.18) |
| BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) | 1.02 (0.99,1.05) | 1.02 (0.99,1.06) | 1.03 (1.00,1.06) |
| Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) | 2.09 (1.84,2.37) | 2.10 (1.85,2.40) | 2.17 (1.90,2.48) |
| Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) | 1.04 (0.93,1.17) | 1.09 (0.96,1.23) | 1.11 (0.98,1.25) |
| 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) | 1.13 (1.05,1.21) | 1.10 (1.02,1.19) | 1.14 (1.06,1.23) |
| Education (less than college vs. college) | NI | 1.37 (1.18,1.60) | 1.34 (1.15,1.55) |
| Annual family income (<30,000 vs.>=30,000 CNY) | NI | 1.26 (1.07,1.49) | 1.08 (0.91,1.27) |
| Occupation (workers vs. officials or intellectuals) | NI | 0.79 (0.69,0.90) | 0.88 (0.77,1.01) |
| Occupation (farmers vs. officials or intellectuals) | NI | 1.06 (0.93,1.22) | 1.03 (0,89,1.20) |
| Development ‡ (developed vs. under-developed) | NI | NI | 0.52 (0.46,0.60) |
| Development ‡ (intermediate- vs. under-developed) | NI | NI | 0.65 (0.57,0.76) |
| Residence (rural vs. urban) | NI | NI | 1.01 (0.90 -1.14) |

* Odds ratios were calculated using multivariate logistic models. All covariates listed were included in the model simultaneously. Serum

triglycerides, smoking status included the 3 models without significant difference not presented.,

[†] Insulin resistance was defined as Matsuda ISI <25th percentile in individuals with normal glucose tolerance

* Economic development even NI: not included in the model. ‡ Economic development levels were placed into 3 categories (under-developed, intermediate-developed, and developed).



Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese individuals without diabetes

Authors:

Jianzhong Xiao *professor*¹, Xiaoyan Xing *professor*¹, Juming Lu *professor*², Jianping Weng *professor*³, Weiping Jia *professor*⁴, Linong Ji *professor*⁵, Zhongyan Shan *professor*⁶, Jie Liu *professor*⁷, Haoming Tian *professor*⁸, Qiuhe Ji *professor*⁹, Dalong Zhu *professor*¹⁰, Jiapu Ge *professor*¹¹, Gang Chen *professor*¹², Li Chen *professor*¹³, Xiaohui Guo *professor*¹⁴, Zhigang Zhao *professor*¹⁵, Qiang Li *professor*¹⁶, Zhiguang Zhou *professor*¹⁷, Zhaojun Yang *professor*¹, Guangliang Shan *professor*¹⁸, Jiang He *professor*¹⁹, Wenying Yang *professor*¹, for the China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study Group

¹Department of Endocrinology, Key Laboratory of Diabetes Prevention and Control
China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, China; ²Department of Endocrinology,
Chinese People's Liberation Army General Hospital, Beijing, 100853, China; ³Department of
Endocrinology, Sun Yat-sen University Third Hospital, Guangzhou, 510630, China;
⁴Department of Endocrinology, Shanghai Jiaotong University Affiliated Sixth People's
Hospital, Shanghai, 200233, China; ⁵Department of Endocrinology, Peking University
People's Hospital, Beijing, 100044, China; ⁶Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated
Hospital, Chinese Medical University, Shenyang, 110001, China; ⁷Department of
Endocrinology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China;
⁹Department of Endocrinology, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an,
710032, China; ¹⁰Department of Endocrinology, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing
University Medical School, Nanjing, 210008, China; ¹¹Department of Endocrinology,

BMJ Open

Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region's Hospital, Urmqi, 830001, China; ¹²Department of Endocrinology, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou, 350004, China; ¹³Department of Endocrinology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, 250012, China; ¹⁴Department of Endocrinology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, 100034, China; ¹⁵Department of Endocrinology, Henan Province People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, 450000, China; ¹⁶Department of Endocrinology, Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, 150001, China; ¹⁷Department of Endocrinology, Xiangya Second Hospital, Changsha, 410011, China; ¹⁸Department of Epidemiology, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, 100730, China; ¹⁹Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, US.

Corresponding author: Wenying Yang

Postal/mail address: Department of Endocrinology, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, No. 2Yinghua East Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing, P.R. China 100029E-mail address: ywying 1010@163.com

Telephone: 0086-10-84205716

Fax: 0086-10-84205664

Word count of text: 2450

Prevalence and associated factors of microalbuminuria in Chinese

individuals without diabetes: cross sectional study

Abstract

Objective To investigate the prevalence of microalbuminuria (MAU) among Chinese individuals without diabetes and the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual <u>Socio-Economic Status (SES)</u>socioeconomic status (SES), and regional economic development level.

Design Cross sectional study of prevalence of MAU.

Setting 152 urban street districts and 112 rural villages from northeast, north, east, south central, northwest, and southwest China.

Participants 46,239 subjects were recruited using a multi-stage stratified sampling design from 2007 to 2008. 41,290 subjects without diabetes determined by oral glucose tolerance test were included in the present study. <u>Urine albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR)</u> results of 35,430 individuals were available.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Positive detection of MAU was determined using a urine albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) of <u>22.1 to 299 mg/g in men</u> 30.9 to 299 mg/g in women.

Results The prevalence of MAU in men was 22.416.9% and 24.5.1% in women. In developed, intermediate-developed, and under-developed areas, the prevalence of MAU in men was 20.713.4%, 21.916.7% and 32.522.0%, respectively; in women the prevalence was 20.119.6%, 26.08%, and 29.530.0%, respectively. Prevalence of MAU increased as the number of metabolic disorders present increased, and as the number of lower Socio Economic Status (SES) components increased (female sex, farmer, below university education level, and low income). Prevalence of MAU in developed and intermediate 3

BMJ Open

developed areas had adjusted risk ratios of 0.<u>52</u>49 (95% C.I.: 0.4<u>2</u>3 to 0.<u>60</u>55) and 0.<u>65</u>71 (95% C.I.: 0.<u>5761</u> to 0.<u>7682</u>), respectively. Multivariate logistic analyses demonstrated MAU was strongly associated with female sex, low education level, low occupational level, older age, high blood pressure, obesity, older age, and higher blood glucose low education level, low occupational level, and residence in under-developed region.

Conclusions Several factors had independent correlations to MAU in China: older age, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES level and living in economically underdeveloped areas, which encourage the development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations.

Keywords: microalbuminuria, prevalence, socioeconomic status, regional economic development level.

Introduction

Microalbuminuria (MAU) is defined as a urinary albumin excretion ranging from 30 to 299300 mg per 24 h, and is a marker for chronic kidney damage and a risk factor for the progression of chronic kidney diseases, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, and mortality.¹⁻³ Prevalence of MAU has been shown to vary within and between populations. For example, an analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, found the prevalence of MAU in the general U.S. population was 6.1% for men and 9.7% for women.⁴ Prevalence of MAU has been estimated at 6% in Australians⁵, 6.6% in Netherland³, 20% in native Canadians⁶, and 36.9% in Singaporeans \geq 40 years.⁷ Prevalence estimates from the same areas of Shanghai, China have varied widely between 5% and 19.4%. ^{8,9} Factors that may have caused dissimilar prevalence estimates include varying definitions of MAU and different study methodologies. The excretion rate of urine albumin is unstable and may be affected by physiological factors such as sports participation and body position. ^{10,11} High-performance liquid chromatography to determine urinary albumin concentrations revealed higher values compared to nephelometry, especially in the lower concentration range, which resulted in a higher prevalence of MAU.¹² The urinary microalbuminuria/creatinine ratio (ACR) method has been recommended due to a lack of reliable 24-h urine collection methods.

Risk factors for MAU and kidney diseases include components of the metabolic syndrome ¹³⁻¹⁶ and lower socioeconomic status. Higher odds for MAU have been found in Singaporeans with lower educational obtainment (OR = 1.76, 95% CI: 1.23 to 2.52), lower income in retirement (OR = 1.64, 95% CI: 1.16 to 2.31), smaller housing type (OR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.01 to 2.06), and coexistence of multiple low socio-economic status (SES) factors (OR = 2.37, 95% CI: 1.56 to 3.60). ⁷ Whereas affluence has been shown to be protective against chronic kidney disease (CKD) among blacks in the U.S. ¹⁷, higher occupational grade has been shown

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Superscript

BMJ Open

to be protective among whites in Europe.¹⁸

There are many international epidemiologic studies on MAU, especially in diabetic populations, but there are few studies in non-diabetic Chinese populations. As the Chinese economy has developed over the last 30 years, the prevalence of metabolic diseases has increased with varying estimates in different parts of China. <u>We reported that the prevalence of diabetes was not only associated with personal metabolic factors, but also with personal socioeconomic factors and regional economic development levels¹⁹. The aim of the present study was to explore prevalence of MAU in China people without diabetes and to examine the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors (such as obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and hypertriglyceridemia), individual socioeconomic statuses (SES, education, income, occupation), and regional economic development levels.</u>

Methods

Subjects and Experimental Design

A multi-stage stratified sampling design was used to select participants that were greater than 20 years in age throughout 14 Chinese provinces. A detailed description of the study population and methodology has been published previously. ¹⁹ A total of 46,239 subjects were recruited that included 41,290 subjects without diabetes as determined by inquiry of disease history and oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Among the enrolled subjects, 41,290 were used in the final statistical analyses, including 35,430 individuals with results of ACR. Geographic areas were divided into three categories based upon the per capita GDP of China by provinces in 2006: (1) developed area (Chinese yuan (CNY) 23,663 to 65,473), (2) intermediate developed area (CNY 13,123 to 19,363) and (3) underdeveloped area (CNY 6,742 to 12,843)²⁰. The highest educational level attained by the subjects was dichotomized between under university level education and university level education or above. Income

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

BMJ Open

level was defined as annual family income in CNY and divided into three categories: (1) low (CNY < 5,000), (2) middle (CNY 5,000 to < 30,000) and (3) high (CNY \ge 30,000).

Prior to conducting the assessments, interviewers received training on the questionnaire and how to explain each item to increase the reliability. ^{20,19}Waist circumference, weight and height were measured using standard methods. ¹⁹ Blood pressure (BP) was measured two separate times at five min apart while participants were in the sitting position using an upright standard sphygmomanometer. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the China Japan Friendship Hospital. Informed consent from the subjects was obtained after explaining the nature and possible consequences of the study procedures.

Blood was drawn from the antecubital vein in the morning after fasting for 10 to 14 h following three days of normal activity and diet. Blood samples were tested for total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and plasma glucose levels. Oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT 75-g) were then performed 0.5 h later followed by another blood draw 2 h later. In addition, spot-morning urine specimens were collected in the morning to measure ACR. All assessments were performed using a standard protocol that conformed to the international standards for definitions and measurements. Plasma glucose was determined using the hexokinase method. Urine albumin concentration and serum level of immune reactivity insulin were measured using radioimmunoassay in the central laboratory (North Biotechnology Institute, Beijing, China; inter-and inter-assays coefficient of variation <5%). The picric acid method was used to measure the level of urine creatinine_in the central laboratory. ACR ratio was calculated as the urine micro protein (mg/L) divided by urinary creatinine (g/L), with urine creatinine levels less than 0.5 mg/g defined as missing values.

Formatted: Superscript

Microalbuminuria and Covariates

Patients with diabetes, as diagnosed according to the 1999 WHO criteria ²¹⁰, were excluded from the analyses. MAU was defined as a urinary ACR in the range of <u>21.1 to 299 mg/g in</u> <u>men and 30.9 to 299 mg/g in women²². Central obesity was defined as a waist circumference</u> greater than 90 cm in men and greater than 80 cm in women. Overweight was defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than 25 kg/m² and less than 30 kg/m², and obesity was defined as a BMI greater than 30 kg/m². Hypertension was defined as the subject having a history of high blood pressure or blood pressure greater than 140/90 mmHg. Dyslipidemia was defined as triglyceride at a level greater than or equal to 1.7 mmol/L, or high density lipoprotein cholesterol at a level less than 0.9 mmol/L for men and 1.0 mmol/L for women. Impaired fasting glucose (IFG) was defined as a fasting blood glucose level between 6.1 and 7.0 mmol/L, and two-hour post-load plasma glucose level less than 7.8 mmol/L. Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was defined as two-hour post-load plasma glucose level between 7.8 and 11.1 mmol/L, and a fasting plasma glucose level < 6.1 mmol/L . Impaired glucose regulation (IGR) was defined as the presence of both IFG or and IGT. Matsuda ISI was calculated by the formula (Matsuda ISI =10000/(sqrt(Ins0×FPG×18×

 $(Ins0+Ins30+Ins120)/3 \times (FPG+Glu30+PG2h) \times 18/3)$). ²³⁺ The value for the 25th percentile in NGT was determined as the cut-off value. Individuals with NGT values below the cut-off were defined as insulin resistant while all others were considered insulin sensitive.

Statistical Analyses

SUDAAN software version 1.0 (Research Triangle Institute) was used to conduct weighted analyses to account for the complex stratified study design to adjust according to Chinese population data in 2006. ²⁰² Student's t-tests were used to examine differences between continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Logistic regression 8

Formatted: Superscript

BMJ Open

analyses were used to examine the prevalence of MAU by sex, age, education level, occupational level, incomes, metabolic status, and economic level. All *P*-values were 2-sided using < 0.05 as the level for significance.

Results

Among the 41,290 subjects (mean age = 43.9 yr, range 20 to 100 yr) included in this study, 35,470 subjects had ACR data. There were 125 (0.35%, comprised of males 0.31% and females 0.39%) individuals with macroalbuminuria, defined as ACR \geq 300 mg/g. Among the qualified MAU subjects (300>ACR \geq 22.1 in men and __300>ACR \geq 30.9 mg/g in women), there were 16.924.4% in men_(95% CI:23.6-25.4%) and 24.5.4% in women_(95% CI: 23.2 -25.9%). Prevalence of MAU was higher in progressively increasing age categories both in men and in women for females. The highest prevalence rate of MAU in males was among those in their 60's (Figure 1A).

MAU was more prevalent in individuals who were insulin resistant, hypertensive, had impaired glucose regulation, and had higher BMI (Figure 1B). MAU prevalence also varied by socioeconomic status; residence in underdeveloped areas, lower educational obtainment, lower income, and occupation as a farmer were associated with elevated prevalence of MAU (Figure 1C).

Metabolic abnormalities that were examined included IGR, hypertension, high TG, low HDL-C, central obesity and IR. The subjects were placed into six groups according to the number of metabolic problems. As expected, the number of metabolic abnormalities increased the prevalence of MAU (Figure 2A). Individuals from developed areas had significantly lower prevalence of MAU compared to intermediate-developed and under-developed groups that had a similar number of metabolic problems.

The SES components that were examined included female sex, occupation as farmer,

BMJ Open

education level under university, and annual family income less than CNY 30,000. The subjects were placed into fourive groups according to the number of low_SES components present, and by the degree of development in the area in which they live (Figure 2B). Prevalence of MAU increased as the number of SES components increased within different categories of development. There were higher prevalence of MAU in men who had 1 or less low SES components. In those with 2-3 low SES components, there was no significant difference between men and women in prevalence of MAU (2C).

Sample characteristics according to $A \subseteq R \subseteq$ value quartiles are shown in Table 1. Males had higher average metabolic values within each $A \subseteq R \subseteq$ quartile than females. Higher values for $A \subseteq R \subseteq$ were associated with higher prevalence of hypertension and higher values for other metabolic parameters.

The average MAU for males and females in intermediate-developed and developed areas were significantly lower than in under-developed areas (Table 2). There were no age differences between the three economic development areas; BMI, blood pressure, blood glucose, insulin and IR were all higher in developed areas than in under-developed areas.

Three multivariate logistic models were used to examine the relationship between demographic data, metabolic factors, personal SES, rural/urban residence and regional economic development (Table 3). In model 1, old age, increase of PG, and hypertension were independently associated with MAU. In model 2, where SES components were included, low education level and low annual family income category were also associated with MAU. In the model 3, individual residence, where regional economic development levels were added, Family income and rural residence were not significantly associated withmicroalbuminuria (Table 3), and therefore were not included in the multivariate models. There was no significant relationship between insulin resistance and MAU after adjusting for the two-hour post challenge plasma glucose concentration and other variables. However,In

the following variables were independently associated with MAU, older age, female sex, higher BMI, higher blood glucose, high blood pressure, low education levels and low regional economic development level, and increased blood glucose were significantly-associated with ACR in adjusted analyses.

Discussion

Statement of principal findings

To our knowledge, this is the largest population based study of MAU in Chinese individuals without diabetes. The prevalence of MAU was 24.446.9% in males and 24.5.1% in females, respectively. Individuals with higher level of ACR had higher prevalence of hypertension, obese/overweight, and IGR(table 1). In contrast to the higher level of blood pressure, plasma glucose, serum triglycerides, and insulin resistance in economically developed regions, the level of ACR was lower in economically developed regions(table 2). Results from the multivariate analyses suggest that increasing age, high blood pressure, obesity, __increasing age, and increased blood glucose were independent risk factors for MAU. Results from the univariate analyses demonstrated that MAU was more prevalent in subjects with insulin resistance than those who were insulin sensitive. However, after adjusting for blood glucose level in multivariate analyses, insulin resistance was not significantly associated with MAU. The prevalence of MAU increased as the number of metabolic abnormalities increased in both developed areas and in under-developed areas; over 50% of subjects with five metabolic abnormalities in under-developed and intermediate-developed areas had MAU. Some low SES parameters were associated with MAU. For example, the prevalence of MAU was higher in individuals with under a university education and in those who had low annual family incomewere farmers. Annualfamily income and urban vs. rural residence were not significantly associated with MAU.

BMJ Open

Level of regional economic development was significantly associated with MAU with the intermediate-developed and developed areas having 2935% and 5048% lower prevalence than under-developed areas, respectively. This association appeared to be independent of metabolic abnormalities and low SES.

Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of this study included the large population based sample from diverse economic levels, with analyses accounting for the complex sampling design to provide a representative sample of 14 Chinese provinces; the use of RIA methods to test for urine albumin to increase sensitivity. Limitations of our study included the cross sectional design that limited the ability to make cause-effect inferences, and the one-time collection of <u>spot</u> urine specimens <u>due to a</u> <u>large variability in albumin excretion rates</u> that did not allow an evaluation for the persistence of MAU.

Comparison with other studies

Both men and women in our study had significantly higher prevalence of MAU compared to individuals in developed countries. ^{4,5} Prevalence of MAU in the general U.S. population was 6.1% in men and 9.7% in women ⁴, and 6% in Australians. ⁵ Prevalence of MAU in the Chinese participants of this study was lower than the prevalence found in Singaporeans aged 40 to 80 years, which may be explained by the fact that study used lower cut-off values to define MAU (ACR≥17 mg/g for men and ≥25 mg/g for women). ⁷ However, a similar result (19.4%) was observed in Shanghai, China. ⁸ This study was consistent with studies in Singapore where higher odds of MAU were found in individuals with lower educational obtainment, lower income in retirement, smaller housing type, and coexistence of multiple low SES factors. ⁷ In this study, females had a higher prevalence of ACR than males, which is

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

consistent with the results from a U.S. sample from the NHANES study.⁴ Higher levels of ACR in healthy women than in healthy men that have been observed in many studies could-result in the overestimation of MAU in females, if the same criteria for MAU are used forboth males and females.

Meaning of the study

MAU has been recognized as an early sign of renal damage, and a significant predictor of end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular mortality, and morbidity in patients with diabetes. ¹³⁻¹⁵ In patients with type 1 diabetes, MAU is considered an indicator of the third stage of diabetic nephropathy. ¹³ A recent study demonstrated methods that decrease MAU may reduce the risk for end-stage renal disease. ^{3-24,25} Endothelial dysfunction and micro-inflammation of vasculature have been proposed as pathogenic mechanisms of MAU, which also links MAU to vascular atherosclerosis. Monitoring MAU levels may help identify endothelial dysfunction and vascular micro-inflammation in subjects with obesity, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and blood glucose.¹ For this reason, the World Health Organization (WHO) has included MAU in their criteria for the metabolic syndrome (MS). ¹⁴ MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas. Limited access to health care services for low SES subjects could help explain some of the association between SES and MAU. Many studies have shown an association between MAU and CRP level, a marker for microinflammation ²³⁶ which has been shown to be higher in low healthcare utilization and low SES populations. Periodental diseases have also been shown to be more common in low SES populations and are associated with increased CRP level. We did not have data to explore the association between periodontal diseases and MAU.Additional research could help clarify the relationship between SES and MAU, especially the underlying mechanism. Higher

Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Superscript

BMJ Open

prevalence of MAU in under-developed areas could be due in part to inadequate availability of health care services and to unfavorable environmental factors. Development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations should be emphasized. <u>Besides</u> <u>measures to prevent and control metabolic disorders</u>, we propose that reforming the health system, improving access to health facilities, prompting health education, preventing periodontal diseases especially in low SES population and in under-development region, may reduce the prevalence of MAU and reduce the incidence of CVD and mortality in <u>general population</u>.

Conclusions

In conclusion, MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas. It is suggested that future research studies explore the extent to which MAU could mediate the association between low SES and metabolic, cardiovascular, and cerebrovascular diseases.

Acknowledgements:

We thank all the patients for their cooperation in the study. We thank all the members of Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study (2007 to 2008) who contributed in recruiting participants and obtaining samples.

All of the authors designed the study, revised and approved the paper, and decided to publish the paper. JX, XX, JL, JW, WJ, LJ, ZS, JL, HT, QJ, DZ, JG, GC, LC, XG, Z Zhao, QL, Z Zhou, ZY and WY contributed to the acquisition of data. JX, XX and ZY were responsible for the analysis and interpretation of data. JX, XX and WY drafted the manuscript. WY had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. JX and XX contributed equally to the study. The project was supported by grants from Chinese Medical Association Foundation and Chinese Diabetes Society (WY), and National 973 Program (2011CB504001 JX). The funding agencies had no role in the study design, analysis or preparation of the manuscript. All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work. The research ethics board of China-Japan Friendship Hospital approved this study.

Article summary

Article focus:

To investigate the prevalence of MAU among Chinese without diabetes. To study the relationship between MAU and metabolic factors, individual SES, and regional economic development level.

Key message:

In Chinese individuals without diabetes, the prevalence of MAU was 16.924.4% in males and 24.5.4% in females, respectively, which was significantly higher than individuals in developed countries. MAU was strongly associated with older age, obesity, metabolic abnormalities, lower SES, and residence in lower economic development areas, which encouraged the development of strategies to lower the risk for MAU in these susceptible populations.

Strengths and limitations of the study:

It was the first study on prevalence of MAU in Chinese which based on a large population-based sample from diverse economic levels, with analyses accounting for the complex sampling design to provide a representative sample of 14 Chinese provinces. Moreover, using of RIA methods in urine albumin measurement increased the sensitivity. However, the limitation is that it is a cross-sectional study, which could not demonstrate cause-effect relationship.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Formatted: Highlight

References

1. Bigazzi R, Bianchi S, Baldari D, et al. Microalbuminuriapredicts cardiovascular events and renal insufficiency in patients with essential hypertension. *J Hypertens*

1998;16(9):1325-1333.

2. Stehouwer CD, Gall MA, Twisk JW, et al. Increased urinary albumin excretion,

endothelial dysfunction, and chronic low-grade inflammation in type 2 diabetes: progressive,

interrelated, and independently associated with risk of death. Diabetes

2002;51(4):1157-1165.

3. Wachtell K, Olsen MH, Dahlöf B, et al. Microalbuminuria in hypertensive patients with

electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy: The LIFE Study. J Hypertens-

2002;20(3):405-412.

3.Hillege HL, Fidler V, Diercks GF, et al. Urinary albumin excretion predicts

cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality in general population.

Circulation. 2002;106(14):1777-82.

4. Jones CA, Francis ME, Eberhardt MS, et al. Microalbuminuriain the US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39(3):445-459.

5. Atkins RC, Polkinghorne KR, Briganti EM, et al. Prevalence of albuminuria in Australia.

Aus Diab Kidney Int 2004;66(S92):S22-S24.

6. Zacharias JM, Young TK, Riediger ND, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and awareness of albuminuria on a Canadian First Nation: A community-based screening study. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12: 290.

7. Sabanayagam C, Shankar A, Saw SM, et al. Socioeconomic status and microalbuminuria in an Asian population. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;24(1):123–129.

8. Jia W, Gao X, Pang C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of albuminuria and chronic kidney disease in Chinese population with type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose regulation: Shanghai

BMJ Open

diabetic complications study (SHDCS). Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009;24(12):3724-3731. 9. Li M, Xu M, Bi Y, et al. Association between higher serum fetuin-A concentrations and abnormal albuminuria in middle-aged and elderly chinese with normal glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care 2010;33(11):2462-2464. 10. Brandt JR, Jacobs A, Raissy HH, et al. Orthostatic proteinuria and the spectrum of diurnal variability of urinary protein excretion inhealthy children. Pediatr Nephrol 2010;25(6):1131-1137. 11. Jefferson IG, Greene SA, Smith MA, et al. Urine albumin to creatinine ratio-response to exercise in diabetes. Arch Dis Child 1985;60(4):305-310. 12. Brinkman JW, Bakker SJ, Gansevoot RT, et al. Which method for quantifying urinary albumin excretion gives what outcome? A comparison of immunonephelometry with HPLC. Kidney Int 2004;66(S92):S69-S75. 13. Mogensen CE. Microalbuminuria predicts clinical proteinuria and early mortality in maturity-onset diabetes. N Engl J Med 1984;310(6):356-360. 14. Gobal F, DeshmukhA, Shah S, et al. Triad of Metabolic Syndrome, chronic kidney disease, and coronary heart disease with a focus on microalbuminuria - death by overeating. JAm Coll Cardiol 2011;57(23):2303–2308. 15. Kannel WB, Stampfer MJ, Castelli WP, et al. The prognostic significance of proteinuria: The Framingham Study. Am Heart J 1984;108(5):1347-1352. 16. Chew GT, Gan SK, Watts GF. Revisiting the metabolic syndrome. Med J Aust 2006;185(8):445-449. 17. Martins D, Tareen N, Zadshir A, et al. The association of poverty with the prevalence of albuminuria: data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

(NHANES III). Am J Kidney Dis 2006;47(6):965–971.

18. Al-Qaoud TM, Nitsch D, Wells J, et al. Socioeconomic status and reduced kidney
BMJ Open

function in the Whitehall II Study: role of obesity and metabolic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis 2011;58(3):389-397. 19. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J Med 2010;362(12):1090-1101. 20. Luepker RV EA, McKeigue P, Reddy KS. Cardiovascular survey methods. 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. 21. National Bureau of Statistics of China. China statistical yearbook — 2006. http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2006/indexeh.htm. Accessed February 26, 2010. 22. Houlihan CA, Tsalamandris C, Akdeniz A, et al. Albumin to creatinine ratio:a screening test with limitations. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(6):1183-9. 234. Abdul-Ghani MA, Tripathy D, DeFronzo RA. Contributions of beta-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance to the pathogenesis of impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose. Diabetes Care 2006;29(5):1130-1139. 22. National Bureau of Statistics of China. China statistical yearbook <u>-2006.</u> http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2006/indexeh.htm. Accessed February 26, 2010. 24.Asselbergs FW, Diercks GF, Hillege HL, et al. Effects of fosinopril and pravastatin on cardiovascular events in subjects with microalbuminuria. Circulation. 2004;110(18):2809-16. 25. Gaede P, Vedel P, Larsen N, et al. Multifactorial intervention and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(5):383-93. 263. Pravenec M, Kajiya T, Zídek V, et al. Effects of human C-reactive protein on pathogenesis of features of the metabolic syndrome. Hypertension 2011;57(4):731-737.

Formatted: HTML Preformatted, Tab stops: Not at 0.64" + 1.27" + 1.91" + 2.54" + 3.18"+ 3.82" + 4.45" + 5.09" + 5.73" + 6.36" + 7' + 7.63" + 8.27" + 8.91" + 9.54" + 10.18"

Formatted: (Asian) Chinese (PRC), (Other) English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: (Default) SimSun. (Asian) Chinese (PRC), (Other) English (U.S.)

Formatted: HTML Preformatted, Line spacing: single, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Figure legends

Figure 1. Prevalence of MAU in various categories in Chinese without diabetes <u>1</u>A. <u>aAge</u> and sex specific prevalence of MAU; <u>1</u>B. <u>pP</u>revalence of MAU in different obesity, blood pressure and ISI groups; <u>1</u>C. prevalence of MAU in different education level, income level, profession, and SES level groups. <u>*P trend<0.001 among age groups</u>, <u>†P</u><0.001 men vs. women in <u>all_corresponding</u> age subgroups; <u>‡</u><u>*</u>*P*<0.01 in different <u>sex</u>, ISI, BMI, blood pressure<u>and</u> glucose tolerance groups; <u>‡*P*<0.01 in different sex</u>, economic developed areas, education level, incomes and profession groups; <u>#*P*<0.01 men vs. women in corresponding</u> <u>subgroups</u>.

Figure 2. Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different metabolic status and SES level

<u>2A.P</u>prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of components of metabolic <u>risk factorsstatus</u>. †P-trend<0.001 for comparing different risk factor numbers; *P<0.05 vs. <u>under-</u>develop<u>eding</u> area; ${}^{\#}P$ <0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

<u>2</u>B. <u>pP</u>revalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of low SES level. †*P*-trend<0.001 for comparing different low SES numbers; **P*<0.05 vs. <u>under-developeding</u> area [#]*P*<0.05 vs. intermediate developing area.

<u>2C.Number of low SES level and gender-specific prevalence of MAU.</u> †P-trend<0.001^{*} for comparing different low SES numbers; *P<0.01 men vs. women.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of participants presented by ACR quartiles in Chinese men and women

| | Men | | | | | Women | | | Formatted Table |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| ACR (mg/g) | (0.5 to 6.8) | (6.9 to 12.5) | (12.6 to 26.3) | (≥26.4) | (0.5 to 6.8) | (6.9 to 12.5) | (12.6 to 26.3) | (≥26.4 |) |
| N=35,470 | 4,265 | 3,682 | 2,960 | 2,820 | 4,589 | 5,264 | 5,839 | 6,051 | |
| Age (year) | 41.1(40.4,41.9) | 42.8(41.9,43.7)* | 45.2(44.2,46.2)* | 48.3(47.3,49.3)* | 41.6(40.8,42.4) | 41.2(40.5,42.0) | 43.2(42.4,44.0)* | 47.6(46.4,48 | 3.9)* |
| Overweight | 26.8(23.2,23.5) | 27.9(23.4,23.8) | 29.0(24.3,24.6)* | 33.1(30.2,36.1)* | 19.9(17.7,22.4) [#] | 23.6(21.6,25.7)* [#] | 21.7(20.0,23.5) [#] | 27.3(25.1,29 | 9.7)* [#] |
| Obese | 4.3(3.1,6.0) | 5.5(4.4,6.8)* | 4.5(3.6,5.7) | 8.9(7.3,10.9)* | 4.2(3.3,5.3) | 3.3(2.7,4.1)* | 3.9(3.2,4.8) | 6.3(5.2,7.5) | *# |
| Central obesity | 24.2(22.0,26.6) | 24.8(22.7,27.1) | 24.7(22.3,27.3) | 31.9(29.1,34.9)* | 34.6(32.0,37.4) [#] | 34.8(32.6,37.1) [#] | 36.7(34.5,38.9)* [#] | 45.9(42.8,48 | 3.9)* [#] |
| HTG | 31.3(31.0,33.8) | 29.7(28.8,34.0) | 32.1(29.3,35.0) | 38.0(35.0,41.1)* | 21.1(18.9,23.4)# | 18.9(17.1,20.8) [#] | 20.6(18.9,22.5) [#] | 27.0(24.4,29 | 9.8)* [#] |
| Hypertension | 15.8(14.2,17.6) | 24.0(21.8,26.3)* | 29.9(27.2,32.7)* | 43.0(39.9,46.3)* | 13.3(11.4,15.5) | 12.5(11.2,13.9) [#] | 19.5(17.8,21.4)* [#] | 36.1(33.0,3 | 9.4)* [#] |
| IGR | 15.8(13.7,18.1) | 17.2(15.2,19.4) | 18.7(16.4,21.2)* | 25.0(22.3,27.8)* | 13.4(11.7,15.2) | 13.8(13.9,16.9) [#] | 15.4(13.9,16.9)* [#] | 23.3(20.4,20 | 5.4)* |
| IR | 24.0(21.8,26.4) | 24.7(22.4,27.2) | 22.8(20.4,25.4) | 30.1(27.2,33.1)* | 22.5(20.4,24.8) | 23.4(21.5,25.5) | 24.0(22.0,26.1) | 28.0(25.6,30 | 0.5)* |
| * D <0.05 | | / #D <0.05 | | | | 1 1 7 1/1 | | | Formatted: Font: Times New Roman |
| P<0.05 VS. AC | CR 0.5 to 6.8 m | g/g; P<0.05 vs. | men at same AC | CR quartiles. <u>HTC</u> | <u>i, serum trigiycei</u> | $1de \leq 1.7 \text{ mmol/l};$ | IGR, impaired gl | <u>ucose</u> | Formatted: Font: Times New Roman |
| | | | | | | | | | Formatted: Font: Times New Roman |
| regulation, IR, <u>n</u> | <u>nsulin resistant.</u> | | | | | | | | Formatted: Font: Times New Roman |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | For pe | er review only | - http://bmjop | en.bmj.com/si | te/about/guidel | ines.xhtml | | |

| Table 2. Clinical characteristics for me | n and women presented by | ^r level of economic development |
|--|--------------------------|--|
|--|--------------------------|--|

| | | Men | | | Women | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Under- | Intermediate- | | Under- | Intermediate- | |
| | developed | Developed | Developed | developed | developed | Developed |
| N=41,290 | 4,340 | 3,376 | 8,482 | 6,404 | 5,179 | 13,509 |
| Age(year) | 43.7(43.4,44.0) | 43.8(43.0,44.5) | 44.2(43.3,45.2) | 43.7(43.1,44.2) | 43.7(42.6,44.9) | 43.7(43.2,44.3) |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.3(23.2,23.5) | 23.6(23.4,23.8) | 24.5(24.3,24.6)*# | 22.9(22.8,23.1) | 23.1(23.0,23.2) | 23.5(23.4,23.6)*# |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 82.1(81.6,82.6) | 80.5(79.9,81.1)* | 85.2(84.9,85.6)*# | 77.2(76.8,77.6) | 76.2(75.5,76.9)* | 78.2(77.9,78.5)* [#] |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 119.1(118.2,120.0) | 121.6(120.5,122.7)* | 125.8(125.2,126.4)*# | 115.4(114.5,116.3) | 118.5(117.3,119.7)* | 120.6(120.1,121.1)* [#] |
| Fasting PG (mmol/L) | 4.9(4.9,5.0) | 4.9(4.9,5.0) | 5.1(5.0,5.1)*# | 4.9(4.9,4.9) | 4.8(4.8,4.9) | 5.0(5.0,5.1)*# |
| PG at 30' (mmol/L) | 8.1(8.0,8.2) | 8.6(8.5,8.7)* | 9.0(8.9,9.1)* [#] | 7.5(7.5,7.7) | 8.1(8.0,8.2)* | 8.4(8.4,8.5)* [#] |
| PGat 120' (mmol/L) | 5.8(5.7,5.8) | 6.2(6.1,6.3)* | 6.1(6.1,6.2)* | 5.9(5.8,6.0) | 6.2(6.1,6.3)* | 6.2(6.2,6.3)* |
| ACR (mg/g) | 14.1(7.2,27.1) | 10.4(6.6,19.7)* | 9.8(6.0.18.8)* [#] | 17.1(8.2,37.0) | 15.4(9.3,33.6)* | 13.3(7.6,25.1)* [#] |
| Fasting serumIRI (mU/L) | 6.4(4.8,8.7) | 6.4(4.4,9.1) | 7.3(5.1,10.4)*# | 6.6(4.9,8.8) | 6.5(4.6,9.1) | 7.1(5.1,10.0)* [#] |
| SerumIRI at 30' (mU/L) | 28.0(16.6,47.4) | 30.2(16.7,49.4) | 39.1(22.4,67.7)* [#] | 31.5(19.4,50.4) | 30.9(20.1,49.3) | 39.5(24.7,61.9)* [#] |
| Serum IRI at 120' (mU/L) | 21.1(11.8,35.1) | 19.9(11.6,35.4) | 26.6(15.3,47.0)*# | 24.3(16.5,42.2) | 25.9(11.8,37.3) | 30.7(18.8,50.1)*# |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.57(4.52,4.62) | 4.62(4.57,4.67) | 4.79(4.76,4.83)* [#] | 4.55(4.51,4.59) | 4.68(4.62,4.74)* | 4.76(4.73,4.79)* [#] |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.23(0.91,1.89) | 1.21(0.85,1.83) | 1.37(0.93,2.16)* | 1.17(0.85,1.66) | 1.05(0. 78,1.55)* | 1.09(0.78,1.63)* |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.25(1.24,1.27) | 1.24(1.22,1.26) | 1.26(1.25,1.27) | 1.33(1.32,1.35) | 1.32(1.30,1.33) | 1.40(1.38,1.41)* [#] |
| HOMA-IR | 1.36(1.00,1.88) | 1.38(0.94,2.01) | 1.64(1.15,2.38)* [#] | 1.40(1.03,1.95) | 1.39(0.95,2.00) | 1.59(1.15,2.26)*# |
| Matsuda ISI | 9.3(6.5,12.3) | 8.8(6.0,12.8) | 6.9(4.7,10.0)* [#] | 8.6(6.2,11.8) | 8.5(5.8,11.7) | 7.1(4.9,9.5)* [#] |

SI conversion factors: To convert insulin to pmol/L, multiple values by 6.945.BP, blood pressure; PG, plasma glucose; IRI, immunoreactive

<u>insulin.</u>

* Significant difference compared with developed area, P < 0.01.

* Significant difference compared with intermediate developed area, *P*<0.01.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| | Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl) | Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) | < |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| ex (women vs. men) | 1.81 (1.62,2.03) | 1.66 (1.44,1.92) | •{ |
| ge (per 10ys increment) | 1.16 (1.11,1.22) | 1.11 (1.06,1.18) | •{ |
| MI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) | 1.06 (1.03,1.09) | 1.05 (1.01,1.08) | +{ |
| lood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) | 2.38 (2.08,2.72) | 2.30 (2.00,2.65) | +(|
| isulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) | 1.14 (1.01,1.28) | 1.07 (0.94,1.21) | +{ |
| hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) | NI | 1.16 (1.08,1.26) | +{ |
| ducation (less than college vs. college) | NI | 1.21 (1.02,1.45) | •{ |
| ccupation (workers vs. officials or intellectuals) | NI | 1.20 (1.01,1.42) | • ⁻ |
| ccupation (farmers vs. officials or intellectuals) | NI | 1.24 (1.01,1.52) | +{ |
| evelopment ‡ (developed vs. underdeveloped) | 0.50 (0.44,0.57) | 0 .49 (0.43,0.55) | +{ |
| evelopment + (intermediated- vs. under-developed) | 0 .73 (0.63,0.84) | 0 .71 (0.61,0.83) | • |

| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
|--------------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Formatted: Right | 0 ch, Line | spacing: | Double |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble |) |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble |] |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble |] |
| Formatted, Line of | | aubla | |
| ronnatteu. Line s | Jacing. Di | ouble | |
| Formatted: Line si | pacing: Do | ouble | |
| | 0 | |) |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
| | | | |
| Formatted: Line sp | bacing: Do | ouble | |
| | | | |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

| Residence (rural vs. urban) | 1.07 (0.97,1.19) | 1.00 (0.89-1.14) | | * ' | Formatted: Line spacing: Double |
|--|--|---|--|---------------|--|
| * Odds ratios were calculated using multivariate log | gistic models. All covariate | es listed were included in | - the model simultaneously | <u>م</u> ــــ | Formatted: Justified, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers |
| † Insulin resistance was defined as Matsuda ISI <25 | th percentile in individuals | s with normal glucose tole | erance | | |
| # Economic development levels were placed into 3 | categories (under develop | ed, intermediate develope | ed, and developed). | | |
| | | | · • • · | | |
| NI: not included in the model 1. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Table 3. Results from multivariate logistic regression | on analyses for individuals | s with ACR 22.1 mg/g in | men 30.9 mg/g in women * | | |
| Table 3. Results from multivariate logistic regression | on analyses for individuals Model 1 (OR, 95% CI) | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) | <u>men 30.9 mg/g in women *</u> Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) | . | Formatted Table |
| Table 3. Results from multivariate logistic regression Sex (women vs. men) | on analyses for individuals <u>Model 1 (OR, 95% CI)</u> <u>1.06 (0.95,1.17)</u> | <u>s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in</u> <u>Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.03 (0.90,1.17)</u> | <u>men 30.9 mg/g in women *</u> <u>Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.02 (0.89,1.17)</u> | 4 | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) | on analyses for individuals <u>Model 1 (OR, 95% CI)</u> <u>1.06 (0.95,1.17)</u> <u>1.15 (1.10,1.21)</u> | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) | <u>men 30.9 mg/g in women *</u> <u>Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.02 (0.89,1.17)</u> <u>1.12 (1.07,1.18)</u> | * | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) | <u>Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.06 (0.95,1.17)</u> <u>1.15 (1.10,1.21)</u> <u>1.02 (0.99,1.05)</u> | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) | men 30.9 mg/g in women * Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.02 (0.89,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.03 (1.00,1.06) | . | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) | <u>Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.06 (0.95,1.17)</u> <u>1.15 (1.10,1.21)</u> <u>1.02 (0.99,1.05)</u> <u>2.09 (1.84,2.37)</u> | S with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) | <u>men 30.9 mg/g in women *</u> <u>Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.02 (0.89,1.17)</u> <u>1.12 (1.07,1.18)</u> <u>1.03 (1.00,1.06)</u> <u>2.17 (1.90,2.48)</u> | * | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) | <u>Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.06 (0.95,1.17)</u> <u>1.15 (1.10,1.21)</u> <u>1.02 (0.99,1.05)</u> <u>2.09 (1.84,2.37)</u> <u>1.04 (0.93,1.17)</u> | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) 1.09 (0.96,1.23) | <u>Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.02 (0.89,1.17)</u> <u>1.12 (1.07,1.18)</u> <u>1.03 (1.00,1.06)</u> <u>2.17 (1.90,2.48)</u> <u>1.11 (0.98,1.25)</u> | •· | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) | <u>Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl)</u> <u>1.06 (0.95,1.17)</u> <u>1.15 (1.10,1.21)</u> <u>1.02 (0.99,1.05)</u> <u>2.09 (1.84,2.37)</u> <u>1.04 (0.93,1.17)</u> <u>1.13 (1.05,1.21)</u> | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) 1.09 (0.96,1.23) 1.10 (1.02,1.19) | men 30.9 mg/g in women * Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.02 (0.89,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.03 (1.00,1.06) 2.17 (1.90,2.48) 1.11 (0.98,1.25) 1.14 (1.06,1.23) | • | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) Education (less than college vs. college) | Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.06 (0.95,1.17) 1.15 (1.10,1.21) 1.02 (0.99,1.05) 2.09 (1.84,2.37) 1.04 (0.93,1.17) 1.13 (1.05,1.21) NI | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) 1.09 (0.96,1.23) 1.10 (1.02,1.19) 1.37 (1.18,1.60) | Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.02 (0.89,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.03 (1.00,1.06) 2.17 (1.90,2.48) 1.11 (0.98,1.25) 1.14 (1.06,1.23) 1.34 (1.15,1.55) | • | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) Education (less than college vs. college) Annual family income (<30,000 vs.>=30,000 CNY) | Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.06 (0.95,1.17) 1.15 (1.10,1.21) 1.02 (0.99,1.05) 2.09 (1.84,2.37) 1.04 (0.93,1.17) 1.13 (1.05,1.21) NI | Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) 1.09 (0.96,1.23) 1.10 (1.02,1.19) 1.37 (1.18,1.60) 1.26 (1.07,1.49) | Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.02 (0.89,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.03 (1.00,1.06) 2.17 (1.90,2.48) 1.11 (0.98,1.25) 1.34 (1.15,1.55) 1.08 (0.91,1.27) | • | Formatted Table |
| Table 3. Results from multivariate logistic regression Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) Education (less than college vs. college) Annual family income (<30,000 vs.>=30,000 CNY) Occupation (workers vs. officials or intellectuals) Description | Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.06 (0.95,1.17) 1.15 (1.10,1.21) 1.02 (0.99,1.05) 2.09 (1.84,2.37) 1.04 (0.93,1.17) 1.13 (1.05,1.21) NI NI | S with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) 1.09 (0.96,1.23) 1.10 (1.02,1.19) 1.37 (1.18,1.60) 1.26 (1.07,1.49) 0.79 (0.69,0.90) | Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.02 (0.89,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.03 (1.00,1.06) 2.17 (1.90,2.48) 1.11 (0.98,1.25) 1.34 (1.15,1.55) 1.08 (0.91,1.27) 0.88 (0.77,1.01) | • | Formatted Table |
| Sex (women vs. men) Age (per 10ys increment) BMI (per 2 kg/m ² increment) Blood pressure (hypertension vs. normotension) Insulin sensitivity † (IS. vs. IR) 2 hour plasma glucose (per 2 mmol/L increase) Education (less than college vs. college) Annual family income (<30,000 vs.>=30,000 CNY) Occupation (workers vs. officials or intellectuals) Occupation (farmers vs. officials or intellectuals) | Image: second structure Model 1 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.06 (0.95,1.17) 1.15 (1.10,1.21) 1.02 (0.99,1.05) 2.09 (1.84,2.37) 1.04 (0.93,1.17) 1.13 (1.05,1.21) NI NI NI NI | s with ACR≥22.1 mg/g in Model 2 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.03 (0.90,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.02 (0.99,1.06) 2.10 (1.85,2.40) 1.09 (0.96,1.23) 1.10 (1.02,1.19) 1.37 (1.18,1.60) 1.26 (1.07,1.49) 0.79 (0.69,0.90) 1.06 (0.93,1.22) | Model 3 (OR, 95% Cl) 1.02 (0.89,1.17) 1.12 (1.07,1.18) 1.03 (1.00,1.06) 2.17 (1.90,2.48) 1.11 (0.98,1.25) 1.44 (1.06,1.23) 1.34 (1.15,1.55) 1.08 (0.91,1.27) 0.88 (0.77,1.01) 1.03 (0,89,1.20) | • | Formatted Table |



| Developme | ent ‡ (intermediate- ve | s. under-developed) | NI | NI | 0.65 (0.57,0.76) | |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Residence | <u>(rural vs. urban)</u> | | <u>NI</u> | <u>NI</u> | <u>1.01 (0.90 -1.14)</u> | |
| * Odds rat | ios were calculated u | sing multivariate log | gistic models. All o | covariates listed were inclu | uded in the model simultaneously. Serum | - |
| triglycerid | es, smoking status in | cluded the 3 models | without significar | nt difference not presented | a | Formatted: Font: |
| † Insulin re | esistance was defined | l as Matsuda ISI <25 | 5 th percentile in inc | dividuals with normal gluc | ose tolerance | |
| ‡ Economi | ic development levels | s were placed into 3 | categories (under- | developed, intermediate-d | eveloped, and developed). | |
| NI: not inc | luded in the model-1 | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |







Figure 1B. Prevalence of MAU in different obesity, blood pressure and ISI groups. 172x154mm (300 x 300 DPI)







Figure 1C. prevalence of MAU in different education level, income level, profession, and SES level groups. 177x155mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2A.Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of components of metabolic risk factors. 185x125mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 2B. Prevalence of MAU in individuals with different numbers of low SES level. $185 \times 123 \text{ mm} (300 \times 300 \text{ DPI})$



Figure 2C.Number of low SES level and gender-specific prevalence of MAU. 185x122mm (300 x 300 DPI)

STROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology* Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)

| Section/Topic | Item # | Recommendation | Reported on page # |
|---------------------------|--------|--|--------------------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | 1,3 |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | 3,4 |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 5,6 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses | 6 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 6 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | 6,7 |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | 6,7 |
| | | (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | 6,7 |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | 7,8 |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | 7,8 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 8 |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | 6 |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | 8 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | 8 |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | 8 |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | 8 |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed | na |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| Page | 59 | of | 59 |
|------|----|----|----|
|------|----|----|----|

BMJ Open

| | | Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy | |
|-------------------|-----|---|------------|
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | na |
| Results | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | 9 |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | 9 |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | Na |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders | Table 1,2 |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | Table 1,2 |
| | | (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | Na |
| Outcome data | 15* | Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | Na |
| | | Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | Na |
| | | Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | Table 1,2 |
| Main results | 16 | (<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | Table 3 |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | Table 1 |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | Na |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | Figure 1,2 |
| Discussion | | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 10 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | 11 |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | 11,12 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | 12,13 |
| Other information | I | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | 14 |

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies. **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.