SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Synthesis and evaluation of hetero- and homo-dimers of ribosome-targeting antibiotics: Antimicrobial activity, *in vitro* inhibition of translation, and drug resistance

Yifat Berkov-Zrihen,[†] Keith D. Green,^{‡,§} Kristin J. Labby,[‡] Mark Feldman,[†] Sylvie Garneau-Tsodikova,^{*,‡,§} and Micha Fridman^{*,†}

[†]School of Chemistry, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel

[‡]Department of Medicinal Chemistry and the Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, United States

[§]Current address: Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40536, United States

Correspondence to: sylviegtsodikova@uky.edu or mfridman@post.tau.ac.il

Supporting Information Content:

Table S1: Relative activity (%) for TOB and CAM derivatives compared to the parent drugs TOB (1) and CAM (3) against various drug-modifying enzymes. (p. S2)

Table S2: Purity of new dimers as determined by RP-HPLC (p. S18)

Figures S1-S30: ¹H and ¹³C NMR of all new compounds generated in this study (p. S 3-S17)

Figures S31-S39: RP-HPLC traces showing the purity of all dimers from this study (p. S18-S21)

Figure S40: SDS-PAGE of the purified CPT and CNR CAM resistance enzymes (p. S21)

| against various drug-modifying enzymes. | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| Compound # | AAC(6')/APH(2") | AAC(3)-IV | AAC(6')-Ib' | AAC(2')-Ic | Eis | ANT(4') | |
| 16 | 34 ± 1 | 200 ± 26 | 39 ± 11 | 244 ± 10 | 404 ± 9 | 90 ± 10 | |
| 18 | 30 ± 1 | 143 ± 21 | 20 ± 5 | 165 ± 1 | 253 ± 1 | 38 ± 1 | |
| 22 | 34 ± 2 | 142 ± 18 | 31 ± 4 | 156 ± 3 | 269 ± 2 | 28 ± 2 | |
| 20 | 80 ± 3 | 184 ± 28 | 37 ± 4 | 203 ± 16 | 287 ± 14 | 63 ± 9 | |
| 6 | ^b | ^b | ^b | ^b | ^b | 49 ± 4 | |
| Compound # | СРТ | CNR | CATI | | | | |
| 13 | 51 ± 8 | 106 ± 41 | ^b | | | | |
| 22 | 91 ± 21 | 117 ± 43 | 70 ± 2 | | | | |
| 26 | 139 ± 21 | 220 ± 76 | 73 ± 3 | | | | |
| 24 | 96 ± 12 | 174 ± 74 | 69 ± 4 | | | | |
| 20 | 110 ± 12 | 76 ± 28 | 82 ± 2 | | | | |
| 23 | 97 ± 14 | 133 ± 43 | 10 ± 1 | | | | |
| 25 | 33 ± 7 | 10 ± 1 | 3 ± 1 | | | | |
| TOP (1) and (| AM (2) activity war | ast at 10007 fam | | | | | |

| Table S1. Relative activity (%) for TOB and CAM derivatives compared to the parent drugs ^a TOB (1) and CAM (3) |
|---|
| against various drug-modifying enzymes. |

^aTOB (1) and CAM (3) activity were set at 100% for comparison purposes. ^b-- indicates not tested as the assay could not be utilized as it detects free thiols.



Fig. S2. 13 C NMR in D₂O for compound 6.





S5



S6









Fig. S14. ¹³C NMR in CD₃OD for compound 14.











Fig. S22. ¹³C NMR in D_2O for compound 22.





Fig. S26. ¹³C NMR in CD₃OD for compound compound 24.



S16



Fig. S30. ¹³C NMR in CD₃OD for compound 26.

| Table S2. Purity of new dimers as determined by RP-HPLC (see Figs. S31-S39 below). | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Compound # | Retention Time (min) | Purity (%) | λ max (nm) | | | |
| 10 | 13.19 | 97 | 210 | | | |
| 16 | 14.36 | 97 | 294 | | | |
| 18 | 9.82 | 96 | 245 | | | |
| 20 | 8.08 | 99 | 345 | | | |
| 22 | 9.70 | >99 | 263 | | | |
| 23 | 12.25 | 98 | 243 | | | |
| 24 | 13.98 | >99 | 295 | | | |
| 25 | 13.06 | 98 | 374 | | | |
| 26 | 15.24 | 97 | 384 | | | |



Fig. S31. RP-HPLC trace for compound 10.



Fig. S32. RP-HPLC trace for compound 16.



Fig. S33. RP-HPLC trace for compound 18.





Fig. S35. RP-HPLC trace for compound 22.



Fig. S36. RP-HPLC trace for compound 23.







Fig. S38. RP-HPLC trace for compound 25.



Fig. S39. RP-HPLC trace for compound 26.



Fig. S40. Coomassie blue-stained 15% Tris-HCl SDS-PAGE gel showing the purified 29.2-kDa CPT (Lane 1) and 22.3-kDa CNR (Lane 2) CAM resistance enzymes. $L = BenchMark^{TM}$ Pre-Stained Ladder from Invitrogen. 6 µg of each protein was loaded on the gel.