

Table S4. Query identification criteria for the Neighbour Joining-based and matching methods (modified from Meier et al. 2006).

<i>Method</i>	<i>Identification Outcome</i>		
	Successful	Ambiguous/Unidentified	Failure/Mis-identification
Neighbour Joining-based, revised criteria	Query in polytomy with conspecifics OR Query at least one node into a clade exclusively consisting of conspecifics	Query without a conspecific sequence in the dataset OR Query sister group to conspecifics OR Query in polytomy with at least one conspecific and one allospecific sequence	Query at least one node into an allospecific clade OR Query in polytomy with only allospecific sequences
“Best Match”	Sequence(s) with smallest distance to query all conspecific	Sequence(s) with smallest distance to query a mixture of allo- and conspecific sequences	All sequence(s) with smallest distance to query allospecific
“Best Close Match”	Sequence(s) with smallest distance to query all conspecific and within the 95 th percentile of all intraspecific distances	Sequence(s) with smallest distance to query a mixture of allo- and conspecific sequences and within the 95 th percentile of all intraspecific distances OR No match within 95 th percentile of all intraspecific distances	All sequence(s) with smallest distance to query allospecific and within 95 th percentile of all intraspecific distances
“All Species Barcode”	As in “Best Match”, but with all conspecific sequences topping the list of best matches	As in “Best Match”, but with at least one allospecific sequence being more similar to query than the least similar conspecific sequence	Query with conspecific sequence in dataset is more similar to all sequences from another species

Reference

Meier R, Shiyang K, Vaidya G, Ng PKL, 2006. DNA barcoding and taxonomy in Diptera: a tale of high intraspecific variability and low identification. *Systematic Biology* 55:715-726.