Supplementary information for

The Microprocessor controls the activity of mammalian retrotransposons

Sara R. Heras, Sara Macias, Mireya Plass, Noemí Fernandez, David Cano, Eduardo Eyras, José L. Garcia-Perez & Javier F. Cáceres.

Supplementary Figures 1 to 8

Supplementary Table 1. Distribution of reads obtained from DGCR8 HITS-CLIP mapping to repetitive elements.

Supplementary Table 2. Human repeats sequences identified bound by DGCR8 by HITS-CLIP method

Supplementary Table 3. Description of significant DGCR8 binding sites on human retrotransposon sequences (Excel file)

Supplementary Table 4. List of oligonucleotides used in this study.

Supplementary Table 5. Description of the plasmids used in this study.

Supplementary Figure 1. Distribution of DGCR8 HITS-CLIP reads mapping to human Transposable Elements (**a**) Distribution of reproducible DGCR8 significant clusters (FDR<0.01) at the genomic level, with 35.5% mapping to intergenic regions (3,904 clusters), 31.3% to repetitive elements (3,445 clusters), 25.2% to protein-coding genes (2,758 clusters) and 4% to long intergenic non-coding RNAS (lincRNAs) (402 clusters). (**b**-**f**) Distribution of DGCR8 HITS-CLIP reads mapping to human Transposable Elements. Only reads that mapped to annotated Transposable Elements with extreme similarity to consensus sequence (identity >= 99% and coverage>= 90% are shown for L1PA1, Alu Y, SMAT2-SVA and L1PA4 (**b**, **c**, **d** and **f**, respectively), whereas an identity >= 90% and coverage>= 90% was considered for older L1 subfamilies (**g**,**h**). (**b**) Distribution of significant DGCR8 binding sites in a human RC-L1Hs consensus sequence. Only sense (blue) and antisense (red) peaks with a FDR <0.01 and a minimum of 29 reads are represented. Schematic representation of the RC-L1 element (bottom). UTR, untranslated region; ORF, open reading frame. (**c**) Distribution of significant DGCR8 binding sites in a human Alu Y consensus sequence. Only sense (blue) and antisense (red) peaks with a FDR <0.01 are represented. (**d**) Reads mapping to a MAST2-SVA sequence. (**e**) Analysis of cloned ORF1 cDNA sequences (256bp) from H293T cells revealed expression of several L1 subfamilies (LHs, L1PA2-3 and L1PA4-6). Analyses were performed using RepeatMasker. (**f**) Reads mapping to an L1PA4 consensus sequence. (**g**) Reads mapping to an L1PA2 consensus sequence. (**h**) Reads mapping to an L1PA3 consensus sequence.

Supplementary Figure 2 Dominant negative (DN) forms of DGCR8 and Drosha. (**a**) Schematic representation and functional description of the dominant negative forms of DGCR8 and Drosha. (**b**) Accumulation of pri-miR-24-2 upon overexpression of DN forms of DGCR8 and Drosha in HeLa cells. The levels of unprocessed pri-miR-24-2 were quantified with respect to mock-transfected cells (black bar). A schematic representation of the qRT-PCR assay is shown on the right, with arrows representing primers used in the qRT-PCR analysis. GAPDH was used as an internal control. (**c**) Overexpressed Flag-DNDrosha binds endogenous DGCR8 to a similar extent (lane 3) when compared to Flag WT Drosha (lane 2), as shown by Flag immunoprecipita-

c

AC002980

Supplementary Figure 3. Knock-down of Drosha in PA-1 cells does not result in significant changes in the DNA methylation status of the endogenous LINE-1 promoter. (**a**) Confirmation of the knock-down of Drosha at the mRNA level by qRT-PCR. (**b**) Global LINE-1 promoter methylation analysis upon Drosha knock-down (si*Drosha*). In the panel, each line corresponds to a sequenced clone with the highest sequence similarity to a consensus RC-L1 and circles correspond to each of the 20 CpG residues present in the 5´UTR of human RC-L1s (Open circles= unmethylated, closed circles= methylated CpGdinucleotides.). The percentage of methylated residues is also indicated for each RC-L1 in Microprocessor depleted cells or control. (**c**) The status of DNA methylation for six full-length RC-L1 promoters in control PA-1 cells and Drosha knocked-down was examined upon bisulfite conversion. As in panel (**b**), the

Global L1Hs in PA-1 **(48.56%)** Global L1Hs in PA-1 (**51.81%)**

si Ctrl si *Drosha*

Supplementary figure 4

Supplementary Figure 4 The 5´UTR of human RC-L1s is predicted to form a stable secondary structure that is recognized and bound by DGCR8 (**a**) The sense L1 5'UTR region was divided in four overlapping 300 nucleotide regions: 1-300, 200-500, 400-700, and 600-900 (top panel). These four fragments as well as the full-length 5´UTR region from a human RC-L1 were folded using the mfold server at http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAfold.cgi. The bottom graph shows the free energy (as (-)kcal/mol) of the four overlapping RNAs and the full-length 5´UTR RNA of a human RC-L1 (indicated in the X-axis). (**b**) Predicted secondary structure for the full-length 5´UTR RNA from a human RC-L1. (**c**) Constructs expressing a full-length copy of the human LINE-1 element (JJ101(L1.3)) or a truncated version lacking the 5'UTR (TAM102(L1.3)) were co-transfected in HEK293T cells with a plasmid expressing a tagged version of DGCR8 (T7-DGCR8). Overexpressed DGCR8 was immunoprecipitated and LINE-1 RNA association was monitored by qRT-PCR with primers spanning the ORF1. RNA co-immunoprecipitation was normalized to the Input levels and was expressed relative to the co-immunoprecipitated 7SK RNA, that serves as a negative control (see **Fig. 1e**). Deletion of the 5'UTR reduced by 2-fold the efficiency of LINE-1 RNA immunoprecipitation by DGCR8 (compare JJ101 levels, full length, with TAM102). (**d**) Predicted secondary structure for the 1-300 RNA from a human RC-L1. (**e**) Predicted secondary structure for the 200-500 RNA from a human RC-L1. (**f**) Predicted secondary structure for the 400-700 RNA from a human RC-L1. (**g**) Predicted secondary structure for the 600-900 RNA from a human RC-L1. (**h**) Alignment of second stem from 200-500 L1.3 region (top) and mutated second stem (bottom) sequences. (**i**) Predicted secondary structure of the 1786 bp 5´UTR region from a mouse TF RC-L1 (L1spa, GenBank: AF016099.1; -843 kcal/mol(19).

Supplementary figure 5

e

301 TCAGACCGGC TTAAGAAACG GCGCACCACG AGACTATATC CCACACCTGG CTCAGA**G**GGT 361 CCTACGCCCA CGGAATCTCG CTGATTGCTA GCACAGCAGT CTGAGATCAA ACTGCAAGGC **- -** 421 GGCAACGAGG CTGGGGGAGG GGCGCCCGCC ATTGCCCAGG CTTGCTTAGG T **- -** * **- - -**

Supplementary Figure 5 Drosha-dependent cleavage sites at the 5´UTR of human RC-L1. (**a**) The regions spanning nucleotides 1-300 and 200-500 from human RC-L1 5'UTR were incubated in vitro with WT Drosha (lanes 2, 5 and 8) or DN-Drosha (lanes 3, 6 and 9) Flag immunoprecipitates. The formation of the cleavage products is dependent on the RNA binding activity of Drosha, as processing is abolished when adding immunopurified DN-Drosha (lanes 3, 6 and 9, marked by asterisks). Pri-miR-30c-1 was used as a positive control. (**b**,**c**) A transcript spanning nucleotides 1-300 (b) or 285-500 (**c**) from the 5´UTR a human RC-L1 was incubated with Flag (lane 1) or Flag-Drosha (lane 2) immunoprecipitates. RNA was purified and subjected to reverse transcriptase (RT) extension with a 5'end-labeled primer annealing at the end of the RNA fragment. cDNA products were analyzed on a 6% denaturing acrylamide gels parallel to a DNA sequence generated with the same primer (left panel). Asterisks and numbers on the left depict the positions of the cleavage sites as RT stops at these positions dependent on the addition of Flag-Drosha immunoprecipitate (numbers are relative to full-length sequence). Panel c shows the same experiment but using a transcript spanning nucleotides 285-500 from L1 5'UTR. The asterisks depict the positions of the cleavage sites, and consistently, the same RT stops were obtained when a longer fragment was used, spanning positions to 200-500 (data not shown). On the right, a magnification of the cleavage number (2) is shown, from a replicate experiment. (**d**) A modified 5-RACE was used to in vivo map processing sites in the 285-500 region. Briefly, an RNA linker (black bar) is ligated to total RNA isolated from cultured cells. Only RNAs that have undergone an endonucleolytic processing event (i.e., containing a 5´Phosphate) could be ligated to the linker. Upon reverse transcription with an L1 specific primer, nested PCR is used to amplify processed substrates. (**e**) Summary of *in vivo* processing sites on the 285-500 region. The nucleotide sequence of the 285-500 region from an L1Hs consensus sequence is shown. Highlighted in red are the characterized in vitro processing sites (see **Supplementary Fig. 5c**). Asterisk and bars denote the observed *in vivo* processing sites in RNA derived from PA-1 and HEK293T cells, respectively.

Supplementary figure 6

Alu Y

Supplementary Figure 6. The rate of LINE-1 retrotransposition increases upon Microprocessor depletion. (**a**) Each image shows representative data from assays conducted in triplicate. In these assays, cells were co-transfected with two plasmids: JJ101(L1.3) or TAM102(L1.3) (indicated on the top) and an expression plasmid for DN-DGCR8, or β-arrestin (control) as a normalization control. (**b**) Quantitation of LINE-1 retrotransposition (from panel (**a**)). Blasticidin-resistant foci were manually counted. In the graph, data is presented as the proportion of the activity seen in cultures co-transfected with the plasmid expressing the negative control β-arrestin (control) and normalized using transfection efficiency and toxicity. (**c**) Toxicity control experiments for the expression of dominant negative alleles of Drosha or DGCR8 HeLa cells. HeLa cells were co-transfected with two plasmids: a linear blasticidin resistant expressing plasmid (pCDNA-6-myc-His) and the indicated DN-DGCR8, DN-Drosha expression plasmids or control plasmids expressing β-arrestin (mock) or Apobec3A proteins. Notably, expression of DN-Drosha or DN-DGCR8 results in an increased number of blasticidin resistant foci when compared with the control beta-arrestin (mock). Expression of Apobec3A results in mild toxicity (as manifested by the reduced number of blasticidin resistant foci), as previously described. (**d**) Scheme of the plasmid used tagged with an mneoI retrotransposition indicator cassette (following the nomenclature used in **Fig. 4**). (**e**) Each image shows representative data from assays conducted in triplicate. In these assays, cells were co-transfected with two plasmids: JM101(L1.3) and an expression plasmid for DN-DGCR8, or β-arrestin (control) as a normalization control. The right graph shows a quantitation of the rate of LINE-1 retrotransposition. (**f**) The 5'UTR 285-500 region can render the engineered retrotransposition construct reporter sensitive to Microprocessor depletion. The cartoon depicts the LINE-1 based retrotransposition constructs used in cultured cells. (**g**) Representative data of engineered LINE-1 retrotransposition assays is shown (assays conducted in triplicate), and the quantification is shown on the right side. (**h**) As in **Figure 5**, data is presented as the proportion of the activity seen in cultures co-transfected with the plasmid expressing the negative control (β-arrestin) and normalized using transfection efficiency and toxicity (**i**, **j**) Alu retrotransposition is regulated by the Microprocessor (**i**) Expression level of mRNAs produced from transfected 5´UTR-ORF2NN and ORF2coNN drivers in cultured HeLa cells. 48h after transfection total RNA was isolated from transfected cells, DNase I treated, reverse transcribed and used in a quantitative RT-PCR using primers directed to the SV40 late polyadenylation sequence present in both mRNAs (See **Supplementary Tables 3 and 4**). The graph indicates the relative expression level of each driver normalized to GAPDH. (**j**) Trans-retrotransposition experiments using ORF2co-NN as a driver. Each image shows representative data from Alu trans-retrotransposition assay conducted in duplicate. β-arrestin is used as a control that does not affect Alu retrotransposition. The right side graph indicates the proportion of the activity seen in cultures co-transfected with the indicated plasmid for Alu. Data was normalized using transfection efficiency and toxicity. Averages ± s.d are shown in **(b, h, i, j**).

Supplementary Figure 7. The Microprocessor negatively regulates L1 retrotransposition in vivo, by targeting the 5'UTR region (**a**,**b**) A construct comprising the 5'UTR from a RC-L1 (L1.3S) or a non-L1 sequence comprising the SV40 promoter region (SV40) fused to a Firefly Luciferase ORF (LCS) were transfected into HeLa cells in the presence of a siRNA against Drosha or a non-targeting control. Luciferase levels were analyzed relative to a cotransfected Renilla Luciferase reporter. An SV40 construct containing a single miR-18a target site in its 3´UTR (SV40-miR18a) was used to monitor the miRNA processing activity of the Microprocessor. RLU, Relative Light Unit. Error bars indicate standard deviation (n=3) and $*P$ < 0.05 (t test).

Uncropped version of the western blot shown in Fig. 2d

Supplementary Table 1. Distribution of reads obtained from DGCR8 HITS-CLIP

mapping to repetitive elements.

Supplementary Table 2. **Human repeats sequences identified by DGCR8 HITS-CLIP method**

Supplementary Table 4. Oligonucleotides used in this study

Supplementary Table 5. Plasmids used in this study

- 1 Caceres, J. F., Misteli, T., Screaton, D. R., Spector, D. L. & Krainer, A. R. Role of the modular domains of SR proteins in subnuclear localization and alternative splicing specificity. *J Cell Biol.* **138**, 225-238 (1997).
- 2 Han, J. *et al.* The Drosha-DGCR8 complex in primary microRNA processing. *Genes & Development* **18**, 3016-3027 (2004).
- 3 Yeom, K. H., Lee, Y., Han, J., Suh, M. R. & Kim, V. N. Characterization of DGCR8/Pasha, the essential cofactor for Drosha in primary miRNA processing. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**, 4622-4629 (2006).
- 4 Bogerd, H. P. *et al.* Cellular inhibitors of long interspersed element 1 and Alu retrotransposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 8780-8785 (2006).
- 5 Guil, S. & Caceres, J. F. The multifunctional RNA-binding protein hnRNP A1 is required for processing of miR-18a. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **14**, 591-596 (2007).
- 6 Sassaman, D. M. *et al.* Many human L1 elements are capable of retrotransposition. *Nat Genet* **16**, 37-43 (1997).
- 7 Macia, A. *et al.* Epigenetic control of retrotransposon expression in human embryonic stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **31**, 300-316 (2011).
- 8 Naas, T. P. *et al.* An actively retrotransposing, novel subfamily of mouse L1 elements. *Embo J* **17**, 590-597 (1998).
- 9 Morrish, T. A. *et al.* DNA repair mediated by endonuclease-independent LINE-1 retrotransposition. *Nat Genet* **31**, 159-165 (2002).
- 10 Beck, C. R. *et al.* LINE-1 retrotransposition activity in human genomes. *Cell* **141**, 1159-1170 (2010).
- 11 Wei, W. *et al.* Human L1 retrotransposition: cis preference versus trans complementation. *Mol Cell Biol* **21**, 1429-1439 (2001).
- 12 Garcia-Perez, J. L. *et al.* LINE-1 retrotransposition in human embryonic stem cells. *Hum Mol Genet* **16**, 1569-1577 (2007).
- 13 Freeman, J. D., Goodchild, N. L. & Mager, D. L. A modified indicator gene for selection of retrotransposition events in mammalian cells. *Biotechniques* **17**, 46, 48-49, 52 (1994).
- 14 Moran, J. V. *et al.* High frequency retrotransposition in cultured mammalian cells. *Cell* **87**, 917- 927 (1996).
- 15 Alisch, R. S., Garcia-Perez, J. L., Muotri, A. R., Gage, F. H. & Moran, J. V. Unconventional translation of mammalian LINE-1 retrotransposons. *Genes Dev* **20**, 210-224 (2006).
- 16 Wagstaff, B. J., Barnerssoi, M. & Roy-Engel, A. M. Evolutionary conservation of the functional modularity of primate and murine LINE-1 elements. *PloS one* **6**, e19672, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019672 (2011).
- 17 Wallace, M. R. *et al.* A de novo Alu insertion results in neurofibromatosis type 1. *Nature* **353**, 864-866 (1991).
- 18 Esnault, C., Casella, J. F. & Heidmann, T. A Tetrahymena thermophila ribozyme-based indicator gene to detect transposition of marked retroelements in mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**, e49 (2002).