Supporting Information for

## Molecular Imaging of Labile Iron(II) Pools in Living Cells with a Turn-on Fluorescent Probe

Ho Yu Au-Yeung, Jefferson Chan, Teera Chantarojsiri and Christopher J. Chang\*

Departments of Chemistry and Molecular and Cell Biology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California, 94720, United States

## 1. Synthesis

**General.** All solvents were of reagent grade. Acetonitrile, THF and dichloromethane (DCM) were dried by passing through activated alumina. All commercially purchased chemicals were used as received. Compound **4a** was synthesized according to literature procedures.<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained from a Bruker AVB-400 NMR spectrometer at the College of Chemistry NMR facility at UC Berkeley. Signals were internally referenced to solvent residues. Low resolution mass spectral analyses were carried out using a LCMS (Agilent Technology 6130, Quadruploe LC/MS). High resolution mass spectral analyses (ESI-MS) were carried out at the College of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility at UC Berkeley.



**Synthesis of 4b.** A mixture of **4a** (150 mg, 0.4 mmol), Mel (0.04 ml, 0.5 mmol) and Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (163 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 20 ml DMF was stirred at room temperature for overnight. The resulting mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (200 ml) and washed with water (3 x 50 ml) and brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Solvent was removed to yield the product as an orange solid for use in the next step without further purification. Yield = 156 mg, quant. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.38 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 1 H), 6.92–6.76 (m, 3 H), 6.75 (dd, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 5.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.65 (dt, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.11–6.01 (m, 1 H), 5.44 (dd, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 17.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.42–5.30 (m, 3 H), 4.56 (dd, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 160.2, 159.2, 151.5, 151.4, 144.9, 139.1, 132.9, 129.8, 128.3, 128.0, 123.8, 120.6, 117.8, 117.3, 117.1, 111.6, 111.0, 101.3, 100.4, 83.5, 71.9, 68.9, 55.4. LRMS (ESI) calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> *m/z*: 373.1; found: 373.1.

**Synthesis of 4.** Compound **4b** (156 mg, 0.4 mmol) was dissolved in 20 ml THF. Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (24 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred under N<sub>2</sub> for 5 minutes, followed by addition of morpholine (0.08 ml, 0.9 mmol) and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (32 mg, 85 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under N<sub>2</sub> for overnight. The resulting mixture was diluted with 100 ml DCM, washed with water (2 x 30 ml) and brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Solvents were removed and the residue purified by silica column (hexanes/ethyl acetate =  $50:50 \rightarrow 25:75 \rightarrow 0:100$ ). Yield = 121 mg, 87%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, *d*<sub>6</sub>-acetone, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.45 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.84–6.82 (m, 2 H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.65–6.57 (m, 2 H), 5.28 (s, 2 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.6 MHz, *d*<sub>6</sub>-acetone, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 161.2, 159.0, 152.1, 146.7,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ando, S.; Koide, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *113*, 2556–2566.

140.0, 130.9, 130.8, 129.0, 128.8, 124.2, 121.8, 118.6, 117.7, 112.6, 111.7, 102.7, 100.9, 84.0, 72.7, 55.8. LRMS (ESI) calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{17}O_4$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> *m/z*: 333.1; found: 333.2.



**Synthesis of 6a**. A mixture of **1** (4.2 g, 22.6 mmol) and 2-pyridylcarboxyaldehyde (2.4 g, 22.4 mmol) in 50 ml MeOH was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The solution was cooled in an ice bath, NaBH<sub>4</sub> (2.1g, 56 mmol) was added in small portions. The mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature, and stirred for overnight. Solvent was removed and the residue re-dissolved in DCM, washed with water and brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. DCM was removed by a rotatory evaporator to give crude **6a** which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield = 5.6 g, 90%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 8.59–8.55 (m, 3 H), 7.67–7.61 (m, 3 H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.19–7.15 (m, 3 H), 5.30 (s, 1 H), 4.02 (s, 2 H).

**Synthesis of 6b**. A mixture of **6a** (1.08 g, 3.9 mmol), methyl bromoacetate (0.6 g, 3.9 mmol), KI (65 mg, 0.4 mmol) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.54 g, 3.9 mmol) in 40 ml MeCN was heated at 40 °C for overnight. Insoluble material was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated and purified by basic alumina column (100% ethyl acetate → ethyl acetate/MeOH = 95:5). Yield = 0.85 g, 62%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 8.40–8.38 (m, 3 H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 4 H), 7.00–6.98 (m, 3 H), 5.45 (s, 1 H), 3.92 (s, 2 H), 3.47 (s, 3 H), 3.40 (s, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 171.8, 159.9, 159.0, 149.1, 148.7, 136.4, 123.7, 123.0, 122.2, 122.1, 121.9, 72.8, 57.4, 51.4, 51.1.

**Synthesis of 6**. Compound **6a** (0.85 g, 2.43 mmol) was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of MeOH and THF (10 ml each). LiOH (0.23 g, 9.6 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred in room temperature for overnight. Solvents were removed by a rotatory evaporator and the residue redissolved in DCM. The DCM solution was partitioned in water and the pH of the aqueous phase was adjusted to ~7. The DCM phase was separated, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to dryness by a rotatory evaporator to give the product as an yellow oil. Yield = 0.63 g, 78%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 11.23 (br s, 1 H), 8.40–8.36 (m, 3 H), 7.51–7.46 (m, 3 H), 7.26–7.24 (m, 3 H), 7.05–7.02 (m, 3 H), 5.36 (s, 1 H), 4.00 (s, 2 H), 3.41 (s, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)  $\delta$  (ppm): 174.3, 158.7, 158.2, 148.5, 148.0, 137.1, 136.8, 124.1, 122.8, 122.5, 122.3, 71.1, 58.1, 54.5.



**Synthesis of 6-FeCI**. Under an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, a mixture of **6** (0.33 g, 0.1 mmol) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.14 g, 0.1 mmol) was stirred in MeCN (15 ml) for 30 min at room temperature. A slurry of FeCl<sub>2</sub> (0.13 g, 0.1 mmol) in MeCN (5 ml) was added and the mixture was stirred for overnight. Insoluble material was removed by filtration and the filtrate was slowly evaporated to give the neutral Fe(II) complex as red crystals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 298 K) δ (ppm): 97.3, 88.2, 59.0, 57.4, 54.3, 51.8, 49.4, 40.7, 40.1, 24.4, 24.2, 23.5, 22.0, -33.3. ESI-MS (+ve): 425.0 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%), 389.0 [M-CI]<sup>+</sup> (56%), EI-HRMS: 424.0386; calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>35</sup>Cl<sup>56</sup>Fe: 424.0384. UV-Vis (MeCN): λ = 404 nm (ε = 390 cm<sup>-1</sup>M<sup>-1</sup>), 496 nm (ε = 360 cm<sup>-1</sup>M<sup>-1</sup>). FT-IR (solid): 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1360 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S1.** ESI-MS (+ve) spectrum of reaction mixture of IP1 and 20 eq.  $Fe^{2+}$  after 1 hour. Release of hydroxymethyl fluorescein **3** is indicated by the presence of the peak at m/z = 333.0. The peak at m/z = 449.0 is assigned to the iron complex with the oxidized ligand [Fe(L-COO)]<sup>+</sup>.



**Fig. S2.** Relative emission intensity at 505 nm of IP1 and 20 eq.  $Fe^{2+}$  under aerobic (o) and anaerobic (x) conditions over time.  $\lambda_{ex} = 470$  nm.



**Fig. S3.** Relative fluorescence intensity at 505 nm of 1  $\mu$ M IP1 after a 1-hour reaction with 100 equivalents of various ROS.



**Fig. S4.** Relative fluorescence intensity at 505 nm of 1  $\mu$ M IP1 after a 1-hour reaction with 10  $\mu$ M Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the presence of various concentrations of Co<sup>2+</sup>.



**Fig. S5.** Percentage change in relative fluorescence intensity at 505 nm of 1  $\mu$ M IP1 after a 1-hour reaction with 20  $\mu$ M Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the presence of various concentrations of Zn<sup>2+</sup>.



**Fig. S6.** Confocal microscopy images of HepG2/C3A cells loaded with (a-c) 10  $\mu$ M IP1; (d) 100 nM ER-Tracker Red; (e) 100 nM Mitotracker Red; (f) 100 nM Lysotracker Red; (g-i) Overlays of bright field images, IP1, and the various trackers.



**Fig. S7.** Confocal microscopy images of HepG2/C3A cells loaded with 10  $\mu$ M CP1: (a) control; (b) with 100  $\mu$ M CoCl<sub>2</sub> for 20 hours; (c) with 100  $\mu$ M FAS for 20 hours; (g) with 100  $\mu$ M FAS for 20 hours and 0.5  $\mu$ M hepcidin for 19 hours; (h) with 1 mM ascorbic acid for 40 minutes. Overlays of bright field images and Hoechst stain (d–f, I and j) of respective images are shown on the right.



Fig. S8. Relative emission intensity of confocal microscopy images of HepG2/C3A cells stained with 10  $\mu$ M CP1. Error bars are ±SD (n = 3).



**Fig. S9.** Percentage viability of HepG2/C3A cells at different IP1-AM concentrations for 2 hours by WST-1 assay. Error bars are  $\pm$ SD (n = 3).



**Fig. S10.** Percentage viability of HepG2/C3A cells at different FAS concentrations for 20 hours by WST-1 assay. Error bars are  $\pm$ SD (n = 3).



**Fig. S11.** Percentage viability of HepG2/C3A cells enriched with 100  $\mu$ M FAS at different IP1-AM concentrations for 2 hours by WST-1 assay. Error bars are ±SD (n = 3).



**Fig. S12.** Total iron content in cell lysates of HepG2/C3A cells as determined by ICP-OES. Protein content was determined by BCA assay. Error bars are  $\pm$ SD (n = 3).



Fig. S13. Relative fluorescence intensity at 505 nm of 1  $\mu$ M IP1 after a 1-hour reaction with 20  $\mu$ M Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the presence of various concentrations of GSH.

Empirical formula	C21 H20 CI Fe N5 O2	
Formula weight	465.72	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Space group	P2(1)/n	
Unit cell dimension	a = 8.6726(6) Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	b = 12.8597(8) Å	$\beta=92.648(4)^\circ$
	c = 19.4545(12) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	2167.4(2) Å <sup>3</sup>	
Z	4	
Density (calculated)	1.427 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Absorption coefficient	0.846 mm <sup>-1</sup>	
F(000)	960	
Crystal size	8 x 5 x 2 mm <sup>3</sup>	
$\theta$ rage for data collection	1.90 to 25.47°	
Index ranges	-10<=h<=7, -15<=k<=15, -23<=l<=23	
Reflections collected	21054	
Independent reflections	3991 [R(int) = 0.0603]	
Completeness to $\theta$ = 25.00°	99.8 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	0.2824 and 0.0564	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup>	
Data / restraints / parameters	3991 / 257 / 257	
Goodness-of-fit <sup>a</sup> on F <sup>2</sup>	1.033	
Final R indices <sup>b</sup> [I>2 sigma(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0715, wR_2 = 0.1842$	
R indices <sup>b</sup> (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1044, \ wR_2 = 0.2031$	
<sup>a</sup> GooF = $\left[\sum [w[(F_o^2 - F_o^2)^2]/(n-p)]^{1/2}\right]$		

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for [Fe6Cl]

 ${}^{b}R_{1} = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|, \ wR_{2} = \{\sum [w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{o}^{2})^{2} / \sum [w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]]^{1/2}$