1		Online-Only Material								
2	Into	vention of Variants in the EKPDS Cane and Advance Life Events in Duadicting the								
5 1	Inte	First Depression Onset: Desults from a Ten Veen Progression								
- 5		Community Study								
6		Community Study								
7		Petra Zimmermann PhD ¹								
8		Tanja Brückl, PhD^1								
9		Agnes Nocon, MSc ¹								
10		Angela Heck, PhD ^{1,2}								
11		Hildegard Pfister, DiplInf. ¹								
12		Elisabeth Binder, MD, PhD^1								
13		Manfred Uhr, MD, PhD^1								
14		Roselind Lieb. PhD ^{1,3}								
15		Terrie E. Moffitt, PhD ^{4,5}								
16		Avshalom Caspi, PhD ^{4,5}								
17	Florian Holsboer, MD, PhD ¹									
18		Marcus Ising, PhD ¹								
19										
20	1									
21	1	Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Molecular Psychology, Kraepelinstrasse 2,								
22	2	80804 Munich, Germany								
23	-	Current address: University of Basel, Department of Psychology, Molecular								
24	3	Psychology, Missionsstraße 60-62, 4055 Basel, Switzerland								
25	-	Current address: University of Basel, Department of Psychology, Epidemiology and								
26	4	Health Psychology, Missionsstraße 60-62, 4055 Basel, Switzerland								
21		Departments of Psychology and Neuroscience and Psychiatry and Benavioral Sciences								
20		Coroline								
29 20	5	Calolilla Social Constinued Davalonmental Davahistry Control Institute of Davahistry Ving's								
30		College London, London, England								
37		Concec London, London, England								
32										
34										

35 **Methods**

36

37 Sociodemographic characteristics of the EDSP Sample

38 47.7% of the 996 EDSP respondents were males and 52.3% females aged between 21 and 34 years (md=26) at 39 the time of T3. 7.8% attended secondary general school, 23.6% intermediate secondary school, and 67.2% 40 grammar school. At T3, 0.6% were still at school, 2.7% made an apprenticeship, 34.9% attended university, 41 46.8% were employed, and 4.2% unemployed. 19.6% were living with their parents, 27.1% alone, and 42.7% 42 with their partner/spouse. The majority of the respondents were classified as middle (58.7%) or upper middle 43 class (26.7%) reflecting the population of Munich. When comparing the 996 individuals participating in the 44 molecular-genetic project with the rest of the T3-sample (n=1214), we found no difference in the prevalence of 45 mental disorders, adverse events, or sociodemographic factors but one; respondents with a lower educational 46 level were less likely to participate (OR=0.7; 95%CI=0.47-0.91). To exclude a selection bias of our findings, we 47 tested for an association between educational level and 'incident MDE' in the total sample of T3 (N=2210) and 48 found no association (OR=1.1; 95%CI=0.81-1.40).

49

50 **Definition of the covariates**

51 The category "anxiety disorder" included the following DSM-IV diagnoses: panic disorder, agoraphobia, 52 specific phobia, phobia NOS, social phobia, generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, 53 PTSD). "Substance use disorders (SUD" was composed of DSM-IV alcohol abuse/dependence, nicotine

54 dependence and illicit drug abuse/dependence. 55

Frequency of traumatic events

56 57 The following 7 traumatic events were assessed: 1) "war" (n=2; 0.2%), 2) "physical threat/violence" (n=49; 58 5.5%), 3) "rape" (n=7; 0.8%), 4) "sexual abuse as child" (n=12; 1.4%), 5) "natural disaster" (n=4; 0.5%), 6) 59 "serious accident" (n=56; 6.3%), and 7) "being kidnapped" (n=1; 0.1%). "Any trauma" comprises all traumatic 60 events (n=118; 13.4%). "

61

62 **Power calculation**

63 Power calculation for the GxE analysis was conducted using Quanto Version 1.2.3 (http://hydra.usc.edu/gxe/). 64 Model estimates were derived from the present data set (population disease risk: .20; prevalence of adverse 65 events: .30; minor allele frequency: .3; alpha level of significance: .005, 2-sided). Following a log-additive 66 model and assuming genetic and environmental main effects with a relative risk of 1.5 and 2.0, respectively, we 67 calculated a power of 80% to detect GxE effects with a relative risk of at least 1.55, which is between the limit of 68 a small (1.22) and medium effect (1.86) according to the classification by Cohen¹.

69

70 **Diagnostic assessment in the replication samples**

71 In the Dunedin study, MDE was evaluated with the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)^{2,3} when study members 72 were ages 18, 21, 26, and 32 years. At ages 18 and 21 years diagnoses followed the then-current DSM-III-R, and 73 74 at ages 26 and 32 years diagnoses followed DSM-IV.

In the E-Risk study, MDE was evaluated with the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)³ following DSM-IV 75 criteria when study members were ages 33, 35, 38, and 40 years. 76

77 Results 78

79 Associations between MDE and adverse events

80 Compared to respondents without baseline adversity, respondents with exposure to any adverse event prior to 81 baseline were more likely to report the first onset of MDE during follow-up (21.1% vs. 16.4%; OR=1.5;

82 95%CI=1.01-2.09; p=0.039). Associations with separation events (21.5% vs. 16.8%; OR=1.4; 95%CI=0.97-

83 2.09; p=0.071) and trauma (22.9% vs. 17.2%; OR=1.6; 95%CI=0.96-2.52; p=0.067) were not significant, but

84 severe trauma was associated with MDE onset (26.7% vs. 17.0%; OR=1.9; 95%CI=1.16-3.22; p=0.011) 85

withstanding correction for multiple testing (for two independent event categories; p_{corrected}=0.022).

86

87 Associations between genotype and adverse events

88 Presence of potential gene-environment correlations (rGE) were tested by evaluating the association between

89 FKBP5 polymorphisms and the exposure to adverse events (eTable 1). Associations at the nominal level of

90 significance were almost exclusively found for rs4713916 with carriers of the major allele (AG/GG) being less

91 frequently exposed to adverse events compared to non-carriers (AA). No effect withstood correction for multiple 92 testing.

93

94 95 96	References						
97 98	1.	Cohen J. <i>Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences</i> . 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc Inc; 1988.					
99 100	2.	Robins LN, Helzer JE, Cottler L, Goldring E. <i>Diagnostic Interview Schedule, Version III-R</i> . St Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine; 1989.					
101 102	3.	Robins LN, Cottler L, Bucholz KK, Compton W. <i>Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV</i> . St Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine; 1995.					
103 104							

05 eTable 1. Associations between baseline adverse events and SNPs of the FKBP5 gene

	Proportion of Respondents with Respective Adverse Event ^c among					
	Subjects Homozygous for the Minor Allele	Heterozygous Subjects	Subjects Homozygous for the Major Allele			
	'aa'	'ab'	'bb'	ab vs aa	bb vs aa	ab vs bb
Lifetime adverse event until baseline	n (%) ^a	n (%) ^a	n (%) ^a	OR (95%CI) ^a	OR (95%CI) ^a	OR (95%CI) ^a
<u>rs3800373</u> (CC/CA/AA) ^b						
Any adverse event	29 (40.3)	102 (33.4)	166 (32.9)	0.8 (0.46-1.36)	0.8 (0.45-1.28)	1.0 (0.76-1.41)
Any separation event	19 (26.4)	73 (23.9)	130 (25.8)	0.9 (0.51-1.68)	1.0 (0.57-1.78)	0.9 (0.65-1.29)
Any trauma	14 (19.4)	43 (14.1)	61 (12.1)	0.7 (0.37-1.46)	0.6 (0.31-1.17)	1.2 (0.79-1.87)
Any severe trauma	11 (15.3)	32 (10.5)	47 (9.3)	0.7 (0.33-1.49)	0.6 (0.29-1.23)	1.2 (0.72-1.90)
<u>rs9296158</u> (AA/AG/GG) ^b						
Any adverse event	38 (42.7)	102 (31.5)	158 (33.6)	0.7 (0.40-1.07)	0.7 (0.44-1.13)	0.9 (0.68-1.26)
Any separation event	26 (29.2)	74 (22.8)	123 (26.1)	0.8 (0.44-1.29)	0.9 (0.53-1.47)	0.9 (0.61-1.20)
Any trauma	18 (20.2)	41 (12.7)	59 (12.5)	0.6 (0.33-1.16)	0.6 (0.33-1.09)	1.0 (0.67-1.60)
Any severe trauma	15 (16.9)	29 (9.0)	46 (9.8)	0.5 (0.26-1.04)	0.6 (0.29-1.07)	0.9 (0.57-1.53)
<u>rs1360780</u> (<i>TT/CT/CC</i>) ^b						
Any adverse event	39 (43.3)	105 (32.1)	154 (33.0)	0.7 (0.40-1.06)	0.7 (0.41-1.07)	1.0 (0.72-1.33)
Any separation event	27 (30.0)	75 (22.9)	121 (25.9)	0.7 (0.43-1.24)	0.8 (0.51-1.39)	0.9 (0.62-1.22)
Any trauma	18 (20.0)	43 (13.2)	57 (12.2)	0.7 (0.35-1.22)	0.6 (0.32-1.06)	1.1 (0.72-1.72)
Any severe trauma	15 (16.7)	30 (9.2)	45 (9.6)	0.5 (0.27-1.07)	0.6 (0.29-1.06)	1.0 (0.59-1.60)
<u>rs9470080</u> (<i>TT/CT/CC</i>) ^b						
Any adverse event	41 (39.8)	112 (31.4)	145 (34.2)	0.7 (0.45-1.14)	0.8 (0.51-1.27)	0.9 (0.65-1.21)
Any separation event	29 (28.2)	81 (22.7)	113 (26.7)	0.8 (0.47-1.28)	0.9 (0.58-1.54)	0.8 (0.58-1.14)
Any trauma	20 (19.4)	45 (12.6)	53 (12.5)	0.6 (0.35-1.14)	0.6 (0.34-1.10)	1.0 (0.66-1.58)
Any severe trauma	17 (16.5)	32 (9.0)	41 (9.7)	0.5 (0.27-0.99)*	0.6 (0.30-1.04)	0.9 (0.57-1.53)
<u>rs4713916</u> (AA/AG/GG) ^b						
Any adverse event	39 (44.8)	109 (31.9)	150 (33.0)	0.6 (0.36-0.96) *	0.6 (0.38-0.99) *	1.0 (0.70-1.29)
Any separation event	29 (33.3)	79 (23.1)	115 (25.3)	0.6 (0.36-1.02) *	0.7 (0.41-1.13)	0.9 (0.64-1.24)
Any trauma	19 (21.8)	43 (12.6)	56 (12.3)	0.5 (0.28-0.97) *	0.5 (0.28-0.93) *	1.0 (0.67-1.58)
Any severe trauma	16 (18.4)	31 (9.1)	43 (9.5)	0.5 (0.23-0.88) *	0.5 (0.24-0.89) *	1.0 (0.59-1.57)

"n (%)" = number and percentage of respondents; "OR" = odds ratio of a multiple logistic regression; "CI" = confidence interval; minor alleles: rs3800373: C; rs9296158: A; rs1360780: T; rs9470080: T; rs4713916: A; reference group: without the respective adverse event until baseline; p < 0.05 for an OR controlled for age and gender;

a b c *