

Supplementary Figure S1: REG γ promoter analysis indicates potential regulation by two tumor suppressor pathways. (a) TSS of the REG γ gene was determined by 5' RACE PCR. (b) A 2.6 KB clone and its two different truncated forms from the REG γ promoter were inserted into luciferase reporter expression vector and transfected into 293T and Hela cells for 24 hr, and then assayed for luciferase activity. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05). (c) Scheme of deletion constructs of the 5' flanking region of the REG γ promoter were transfected into 293T cells for 24 hrs, and then analyzed for luciferase activity. Error bars show the s.d. from three biological replicates (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05). (c) Submet Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005).



Supplementary Figure S2: p53 suppresses REG γ promoter activity via a p53 responsive element in REG γ promoter. (a) HCT116 p53 (-/-) cells were cotransfected with the REG γ reporter construct along with empty vector or p53 expression plasmid for 24 hr prior to lysis, and analyzed for luciferase activity. Error bars show the s.d. from three biological replicates (Two-tailed Student's t-test, p**<0.005). (b) HCT116 p53 (+/+) and HCT116 p53 (-/-) were treated with (10 µmol/L) Nutlin-3a for indicated time points and semi qRT-PCR was performed. (c) A549 cells were transfected with the siRNA specific for p53 (20nM) for 48 hr, and qRT-PCR was performed. (d) 50 ng of purified p53 was incubated with each of the probe corresponding to four different p53 REs from the REG γ promoter and in Vitro EMSA was carried out. (e) In Vitro EMSA was performed with the double-stranded oligonucleotides containing the p53 binding site from the REG γ promoter. (f) A549 cells were treated with (10µmol/L) Nutlin-3 and analyzed by qChIP assay. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005).



Supplementary Figure S3: TGF- β inhibits REG γ transcription through an activated Smad complex. (a) H1299 were incubated in the absence or presence of 5ng/ml TGF- β for indicated time points. Total RNA was isolated and subjected to semi qRT-PCR. (b) HaCaT cells were incubated in the absence or presence of 5ng/ml TGF- β for 12 hr. Total RNA was isolated and subjected to qRT-PCR. Data are representative of three technical replicates with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005). (c) In vitro EMSA was performed using purified GST-Smad3 proteins. (d) H1299 cells were treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β for 24 hr, and then nuclear extracts were prepared. Equal amounts of ³²p-radiolabeled oligonucletide corresponding to SBE box from the REG γ promoter were incubated with the indicated nuclear extracts. (e) H1299 cells were treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β and analyzed by qChIP assay. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005). (f) H1299 cells were stimulated with 5ng/ml TGF- β for 24 hr, and the nuclear extracts. (e) H1299 cells were treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β and analyzed by qChIP assay. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005). (f) H1299 cells were stimulated with 5ng/ml TGF- β for 24 hr, and ChIP was performed with the smad2/3 and Smad4 antibodies. IgG serve as a negative control.



Supplementary Figure S4: p53 and TGF- β synergistically represses REG γ -proteasome pathway through p53RE/SBE region. (a) H1299 cells were cotransfected with expression vectors encoding TA-p63 or Smad3/4, both alone and in combination, left untreated or treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β for 24 hr before lyses, and analyzed for luciferase activity. CTL represent the transfection of empty vectors in H1299 cells. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005). (b) H1299 cells were co-transfected with expression vectors encoding TA-p73 or Smad3/4, both alone and in combination, left untreated or treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β for 24 hr before lyses, and analyzed for luciferase activity. CTL represent the transfection of empty vectors in H1299 cells. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.05).



Supplementary Figure S5: REG γ expression is transcriptionally induced by mutant p53 proteins. (a) UMSSC-1cells stably expressing mutant p53 R175H and p53 respectively were transfected with REG γ promoter (2µg) for 24 hr, and analyzed for luciferase activity. Data are representative of three biological repeats with mean ± s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005). (b) ARO and MDA-MB-1386 cells were transfected independently with siRNA directed against p53 (20nM) for 48 hr, and subjected to semi qRT-PCR. (c) ARO and MDA-MB-468 cells were transfected with siRNA directed against p53 (20nM) for 72 hr, and subjected to western blot analysis with the antibodies specific for REG γ and p53. (d) Mutant-p53 transactivate the region between -1177/-738 on the REG γ promoter. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean ± s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05).



Supplementary Figure S6: Mutant p53 antagonize the recruitment of Smad/N-CoR complex on the REGy promoter.

Mouse oral cancer cells expressing either empty vector J4708 (p53-/-) or mutant-p53 J4705 (p53-R172H) were left untreated or treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β at indicated time points and subjected to immunoblot analysis.



Supplementary Figure S7: Knockdown and overexpression efficiency of REG γ in multiple cell lines (a) A549 cells stably integrated with control shRNA (A549.SHR) or with shRNA targeting REG γ (A549.SHR). (b) Expression of p53 in HCT116 cells lines. (c) ARO cells stably integrated with control shRNA (ARO.SHR) or with shRNA targeting REG γ (ARO.SHR). (d) MDA-MB cells were transfected with 20nM siREG γ for 72 hrs. (e) Overexpression of REG γ in A549 Parental cells. Cells were transfected with either control flag-vector or flag-REG γ for 24hr, and analyzed by immunoblot.



Supplementary Figure S8. Knockdown of REG γ decrease drug resistance in lung cancer cells. Knockdown of REG γ decrease drug resistance in A549 lungs cancer cells. A549 cells were treated with Adriamycin for 24 hr, and then analyzed by MTT assay. Data are representative of three biological repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two-tailed Student's *t*-test, P*<0.05, p**<0.005).



Supplementary Figure S9: Knockdown of REG γ decrease cell proliferation in breast cancer cells. Knockdown of REG γ decrease cell proliferation in breast cancer cells. MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with siRNA directed against REG γ (20 μ M) for indicated time points and then analyzed by MTT assay. Data are representative of three technical repeats with mean \pm s.d. (Two way ANOVA, p**<0.005).

The relationship between REGy and Mutant-p53 expression

Gene	Neg(-)	+	++	+++	total cases	total %
REGy	6(5.94%)	26(25.74%)	40(39.60%)	29(28.71%)	101	95/101(94%)
Mut-p53	23(22.77%)	13(12.87%)	40(39.60%)	25 (24.75%)	101	78/101(77%)



Supplementary Figure S10. Aberration in p53 and TGF- β signaling induces REG γ expression in multiple cancer cells. (a) Statistical analysis of REG γ and mutant p53 over expression. Table representing the REG γ and mutant p53 proteins statistical analysis in 101 different cancer tissues which was evaluated by IHC. (b) Mutant p53 and REG γ correlation in the same sets of tumors. Agreement plot analyses between REG γ and mutant p53 reveals that these two proteins express in the same set of tumor. The weighted kappa coefficient value is 0.7749755 (Two-tailed student't' test, P**<0.005), which suggest that they are highly co-related.

а



Supplementary Figure S11: Full-length blots of Fig. 1f. A549 cells were treated with different anti-cancer drugs such as Nutlin-3 (10 μ mol/L), Cisplatin (5 μ g/ml), Etoposide (10 μ mol) and Adriamycin (1 μ M) and analyzed by western blot.



Supplementary Figure S12: Full-length blots of Fig. 1g. Comparative analysis of REG γ protein levels in MEF p53 (+/+) and MEF p53 (-/-) cells.



Supplementary Figure S13: Full-length blots of Fig. 2e. HaCaT, HepG2, MCF-7 and H1299 cells were treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β and analyzed by western blot.



Supplementary Figure S14: Full-length blots of Fig. 4d. MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-1386 cells were transfected with siRNA directed against p53 (20nM) for 72 hr, and analyzed by Western blot.



Supplementary Figure S15: Full-length blots of Fig. 4e. (Left panel) H1299 cells stably expressing either empty vector (E.V) or p53-R175H and (Right panel) Immortalized oral cancer cells from p53 (-/-) or p53-R172H mice were subjected to western blot analysis.



Supplementary Figure S16: Full-length blots of Fig. 5c. H1299 cells stably expressing either p53-R175H mutant or empty vectors (E.V) were left untreated or treated with 5ng/ml TGF- β and analyzed by western blot.



Supplementary Figure S17: Full-length blots of Fig. 7f and 7g. Manipulation of REG γ and p53 protein levels mutually affects both protein expressions in cancer cells. (Left panel) A549 SHN and SHR cells were left untreated or treated with ETO (10 µmol/L) for 24 hr, and analyzed by Western blot. (Right panel) A549 cells were transfected with flag-REG γ for 24hr, and then left untreated or treated with ETO (10 µmol/L) for 24 hr, and then left untreated or treated with ETO (10 µmol/L) for 24 hr, and then left untreated or treated with ETO (10 µmol/L) for 24 hr, and then left untreated or treated with ETO (10 µmol/L) for 24 hr, and then left untreated or treated with ETO (10 µmol/L) for 24 hr, and analyzed by Western blot.



Supplementary Figure S18: Full-length blots of Fig. 9a. (Left panel) Western blot analyses of cellular REG γ protein levels in p53 and mutant p53 expressing cancer cells which is correlated with p53 status. (Right panel) Smad4 null cancer cells show more REG γ protein levels in comparison with Smad4 containing cells.

Sequences (5'-3')
5 GTACCAGGAAAGCTTGGTACAACGGCGCTTGTCTTTTCGCTC 3 ′
5 GTACCAGGAAAGATTTGTACAACGGCGATTCTCTTTTCGCTC 3 ′
5 TCTGAAGACAAAGCAAGTTTTTGAGCATGAAGCCATTATTTCA 3 ′
5 GCTTGGTACAACGGCGCTTGTCTTTTTCGCTCTAGCCTAAACAC 3 ′
5 GCTTGGTACAACGGCGCTTATGTTTTTCGCTCTAGCCTAAACAC 3 ′
5 TGTACCAGGA <u>AAGCTTGGTACAACGGCGCTTGTCT</u> TTTTCGCTCT 3 ′
Note: Underline letters represents p53RE-3, while the bold letters represent SBE-4.

Supplementary Table S1: EMSA probes sequences used in this study

Primers	Sequences (5'-3')		
Human REGy p53 forward	5 - ACTCTGGGGATCAATTTGCCA-3 -		
Human REGy p53 reverse	5 - GGGCACTGTGTCAGATTCTAGA-3		
Human REGy SBE forward	5 - AGAGAGATCGAATGAATGGGTG-3 -		
Human REGy SBE reverse	5 - AACCCAGATCTCCTAACTTCTACGG-3 -		
REGy mutant p53 forward	5 - CCAGAGCTGTGCTCTTCAATA-3 -		
REGy mutant p53 reverse	5 - GTGACGTAGGCTCGGGGAAGT-3 -		
GAPDH forward	5 - AAAAGCGGGGAGAAAGTAGG-3 -		
GAPDH reverse	5 - AAGAAGATGCGGCTGACTGT-3 -		
Mouse REGy p53 forward	5 - GGAAAACTTGTCAAGAGCACA-3 -		
Mouse REGy p53 reverse	5 - TAACTTTCCATCTTACGGGA-3 -		

Supplementary Table S2: Primers sequences used in ChIP Assay

Supplementary Table S3: siRNA sequences used in this study

siRNA	Sequences (5'-3')	
siSmad3	5 - GGATTGAGCTGCACCTGAATG-3 -	
sip53	5 -CCGCGCCATGGCCATCTACA-3 -	
siN-CoR	5'-TGCTACTTCTCGAGGAAACA-3 ´	

Primers	Sequences (5'-3')
Human REGy forward	5 - ACAAGTGAGGCAGAAGAC-3 -
Human REGy reverse	5 - ATCATGGCTATTGGTGAG-3 -
Smad3 forward	5 - AGAAGACGGGGCAGCTGGAC-3 -
Smad3 reverse	5 - GACATCGG55ATTCGGGGGATAG-3 -
GAPDH forward	5 - GTCAGTGGTGGACCTGACCT-3 /
GAPDH reverse	5 - CCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAAAT-3 -
Human p53 forward	5 - AATCTACTGGGACGGAACAGC-3 -
Human p53 reverse	5 - GACCCTTTTTGGACTTCAGGTG-3 -
Mouse REGy forward	5 - TCTCCTCACCAATAGCCACG-3 -
Mouse REGy reverse	5 - CTCGATCAGCAGCCGAAT-3 -
Mouse p53 forward	5 - CCTGTGCAGTTGTGGGTC-3 -
Mouse p53 reverse	5 - TTCCAGATACTCGGGATACA-3 -
N-CoR forward	5 - GGAATCGAAGCGACCACGT-3 -
N-CoR reverse	5 - ACTAAAGGCAAAACCGCAGC-3 -

Supplementary Table S4: Primers used in RT-PCR experiments