

Supplemental Figure 1. Locally co-linear blocks (LCBs) inferred with progress-siveMauve.

Numbers below the LCBs in *Nicotiana* denominate the border of each LCB. Brackets to the left provide lifestyle information for the different taxa. Where present, the large inverted repeat region B was removed, which is indicated in the species names by an '(1IR)'-suffix (see Material and Methods in the main text). The inset shows the inferred number of rearrangements/inversions.



Supplemental Figure 2. Proportion and length of repetitive DNA in plastid genomes of Orobanchaceae.

The proportion of different size classes of forward and palindromic (reverse complement) repeats is illustrated for photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic Orobanchaceae as well as tobacco as a closely related outgroup taxon. A definition, i.e., the direction, is given for each repeat type.



Supplemental Figure 3. Self-self dotplots of plastid genomes of Orobanchaceae and of *Nicotiana* illustrating the number and distribution of small and large plastid repeats.

Direct repeats are illustrated as purple dots or lines whereas inverted repeats are shown as dark gray dots or lines. Brackets on top indicate lifestyle for the three photosynthetic species (top row); nonphotosynthetic parasites are shown on light gray background.



Supplemental Figure 4. Graphical summary of plastid gene losses as inferred by ancestral state reconstruction.

 Ψ denotes the pseudogenization of a specified gene and a crossed box indicates the deletion of a specified genic region, without discriminating between loss-of function and loss-of-pseudogene deletion. Refer to Supplemental Tables S3 and S4 for additional information such as probability scores from the ancestral state reconstruction. Brackets to the right indicate different lifestyle.



Supplemental Figure 5. Results of coalescence analyses of codon usage (CoA-CU) for intact plastid genes.

Genes were grouped according to their main function, and CoA-CU was computed for all intact genes from four photosynthetic plants (upper two rows) and nine nonphotosynthetic plants. Brackets to the right the indicate different lifestyles. Genes involved in photosynthetic pathways are shown in green, genes of housekeeping function are in magenta, and gray dots indicate genes of other pathways or of a currently unknown function.



Supplemental Figure 6. Results of coalescence analyses of codon usage (CoA-CU) for 59 degenerated plastid codons. CoA-CU was performed for codons from all intact genes from four photosynthetic plants (upper two row) and nine nonphotosynthetic plants (middle and bottom row). Brackets to the right indicate the different lifestyles. Codons ending in AT are illustrated as dark gray dots; and magenta indicates codons ending in GC.

Taxon name	Source and voucher ^a	Sequencing method ^b	Accession number
<i>Boulardia latisquama</i> F.W. Schultz	Spain, Cap de la Nao (WU: S. Wicke s.n., 22. Apr. 2008)	FSS and FSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL
<i>Cistanche phelypaea</i> (L.) Cout.	Spain, between Murcia and Calasparra (WU: S. Wicke s.n., 25 Apr. 2008)	FSS	pending review by ENA/EMBL
<i>Conopholis americana</i> (L.) Wallr.	U.S.A., Pennsylvania (PAC: dePamphilis s.n.)	FSS	pending review by ENA/EMBL
<i>Lindenbergia philippensis</i> (Cham. & Schltdl.) Benth.	U.S.A., cultivated at PennState University (PAC: S. Wicke LP60/LP61, 29. Sept. 2009)	WGSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL
<i>Myzorrhiza californica</i> (Cham. & Schltdl.) Rydb.	U.S.A., cultivated at PennState University (PAC: S. Wicke Oc54, 18. Aug. 2009)	FSS	pending review by ENA/EMBL
Orobanche crenata Forssk.	Germany, cultivated on <i>Vicia faba</i> at the Botanical Garden Bonn (BONN: S. Wicke OC41)	FSS; WGSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL
Orobanche gracilis Sm.	Austria, Lower Austria, parasitizing <i>Chamaecytisus</i> sp. (WU: G.M. Schneeweiss 7)	WGSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL
<i>Phelipanche purpurea</i> (Jacq.) Soják	Germany, cultivated on <i>Achillea millefolium</i> at the Botanical Garden Bonn (BONN: S. Wicke Op38/39)	FSS and FSP; WGSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL
<i>Phelipanche ramosa</i> (L.) Pomel	Germany, cultivated on tomato at the Botanical Garden Bonn (BONN: S. Wicke Pr52/53)	FSS and FSP; WGSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL
Schwalbea americana L.	U.S.A., GA, Newton (PAC: S. Wicke & C.W. dePamphilis Sa57)	WGSP	pending review by ENA/EMBL

Supplemental	Table 1.	Plant ma	terial used	for r	plastome	sequencin	a.
ouppionioniu		i iunit inu		101 1		soquonom	3.

^a herbarium acronyms according to Thiers (2013)

^bWGSP – whole genome shotgun pyrosequencing, FSS – fosmid clone shotgun Sanger-sequencing, FPS – fosmid-clone pyrosequencing

Plastid gene or transcription unit	Trans-cription type	Model organism	Remarks and references
psbA	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996) - dicistronic transcript in mustard described by Nickelsen and Link (1991)
trnK-matK	MC/DC	Nicta	Sugita et al. (1985)
rps16	MC	Nicta	Shinozaki et al. (1986)
psbK-I	PC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnSgcu	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnGucc	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
rps2-atpA-F-H-I	PC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1988),
		Spinacia	Miyagi et al. (1998) - <i>atpH/I</i> also transcribed independently according to Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnCgca	MC	Nicta Oryza	Sugita and Sugiura (1996) Kanno and Hirai (1993)
rpoB-C1-C2	PC	Nicta	Shinozaki et al. (1986)
petN	MC	Nicta Oryza	Sugita and Sugiura (1996), Legen (2002) Kanno and Hirai (1993)
psbM	MC	Nicta	Wakasugi et al. (1992)
trnEuuc-Ygua-Dguc	PC	Nicta	Ohme et al. (1985)
trnTggu	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnSuag	MC	Spinacia	Gruissem et al. (1986)
psbD-psbC-trnSuag- psbZ	PC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996) - <i>psbC</i> also transcribed independently - t <i>rnS_{UAG}</i> transcribed independently
trnGgcc	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnfMcau	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
rps14-psaA-psaB	PC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1998)
trnSgga	MC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1998)
		Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
			- maybe co-transcribed with ycf3-operon
ycf3-trnSgaa-rps4-	PC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1998)
trnTugu		Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993) - unclear how many genes transcribed may include <i>trnS</i> _{GGA}
trnLuaa	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
		-	- maybe co-transcribed with trnF _{GAA}
trnFgaa	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993) - maybe co-transcribed with <i>trnL_{UUA}</i>
ndhC-K-J	PC	Nicta	Matsubayashi et al. (1987)
trnVuac	MC	Nicta Oryza	Sugita and Sugiura (1996) Kanno and Hirai (1993)
trnMcau	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
atpB-E	DC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
rbcL	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)

Supplemental Table 2. Organization of transcription units in angiosperm plastid genomes.

Supplemental Data.	Wicke et al.	(2013).	Plant Cell	10.1105/tpc.	113.11337	73.
--------------------	--------------	---------	------------	--------------	-----------	-----

Plastid gene or transcription unit	Trans-cription type	Model organism	Remarks and references
accD	MC	Nicta	Hajdukiewicz et al. (1997)
psal-ycf4-cemA-petA	PC	Nicta	Shinozaki et al. (1986), Świątek (2002)
psbE-F-L-J	PC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnWcca	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
trnPuug	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
petL-G-trnWcca-Pugg- psaJ-rpl33-rps18	PC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996), Ohto et al. (1998)
clpP-rps12-rpl20	PC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1998)
psbN	MC	Nicta Oryza	Wakasugi et al. (1992) Kanno and Hirai (1993)
psbB-T-N-H-petB-D	PC	Nicta Oryza	Sugita and Sugiura (1996) Kanno and Hirai (1993) - <i>psbN</i> also transcribed from own promoter
rpoA-rps11-rpl36-infA- rps8-rpl14-16-rps3- rpl22-rps19-rpl2-rpl23	PC	Nicta	Tanaka et al. (1986), Ohto et al. (1998)
trnlcau	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
ycf2	MC	Nicta	Hajdukiewicz et al. (1997), Drescher et al. (2000)
trnLcaa	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
ndhB	MC	Nicta	Matsubayashi et al. (1987)
rps7-12	DC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1998)
trnVgac-rrn16-trnlgau- Augc-rrn23-rrn4.5-rrn5	PC	Nicta	Shinozaki et al. (1986)
trnRacg	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993) - co-transcribed with rDNA operon in <i>Brassica</i> <i>napus</i> (Leal-Klevezas et al. 2000)
trnNguu	MC	Oryza	Kanno and Hirai (1993)
ndhF	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
trnLuag	MC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
rpl32-trnLuag-cssA	PC	Nicta	Sugita and Sugiura (1996)
rps15-ndhH-A-I-G-E- psaC-ndhD	PC	Nicta	Ohto et al. (1998)
ycf1	MC	Nicta	Drescher et al. (2000), Drescher (2003)

Abbreviations: MC – monocistronic, DC – dicistronic; PC – polycistronic; Nicta – Nicotiana tabacum.

supplemental Table 3. Detailed results from multiple reg		on analyses.							Breusch-	Pagan te	ist	
Residuals		Coefficients		t-stati:	stics		F-statist	ics	Breusch- (heteros	-Pagan tı scedasti	est icity)	AIC
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max Std. df R ² _{mult.} R error	R ² adj. Va	ıriable Estimate S er	td. ror	t P	'r(> t	п	df	p-value	BP	df p	-value	AIC
Regression model 1: survival time ~ distance (V1) + operon localization (V2) + stranded end of the strand	iness (V3) + gene length $(V4)$ +	Intercept	Э								
-5.329 -1.402 0.081 1.548 5.069 2.374 73 0.181 0).136	$\begin{array}{cccc} I & 7.316 & 0. \\ V1 & -0.001 & <0. \end{array}$	709 10 001 -3	.029 <	0.001 0.003	4.027	4 & 73	0.005	8.281	4	0.082	363.1
		V2 -1.508 0.	616 -2 583 -0	.448	0.017							
	_	V4 <0.001 <0.	001 0	.387	0.700							
Regression model 2: survival time ~ distance (V1) + operon (V2) + strandedness (V3) +	- Intercep	t (I)										
-5.472 -1.424 0.156 1.465 5.156 2.360 74 0.179 0).146	I 7.436 0.	631 11	.782 <	0.001	5.381	3 & 74	0.002	8.936	ω	0.030	361.2
		V7 _1 501 0	612 -3	.022	0.004							
	_	V3 -0.179 0.	550 -0	.325	0.746							
Regression model 3: survival time ~ distance $(V1)$ + operon $(V2)$ + gene length $(V3)$ + h	ntercept (T T T T	C1 702		0 001	0.07	2 P. 74	0000	VL7 L	3	0 050	261 1
		V1 <-0.001 <0.	001 -3	.114	0.003		2	0.001		,	0.000	
		V2 -1.490 0.	605 -2 001 0	.465 .471	0.016							
Regression model 4: survival time ~ distance (V1) + operon (V2) + Intercept (I) -5.354 -1.397 0.055 1.526 5.113 2.346 75 0.178 0).156	I 7.342 0.	558 13	.158 <	0.001	8.115	2&75	0.001	8.248	2	0.016	359.3
		V1 <-0.001 <0. V2 -1.467 0.	001 -3 599 -2	.098 .447	0.003 0.017							
Regression model 5: survival time ~ distance (V1) + gene length (V2) + Intercept (I) -5.523 -1.580 0.172 1.812 6.236 2.437 75 0.113 0).089	I 6.229 0.	445 13	~ 866	0.001	4.781	2&75	0.011	12.121	2	0.002	365.3
		V1 <-0.001 <0. V2 <0.001 <0.	001 -3 001 0	.092 .265	0.003							
Regression model 6: survival time ~ distance (V1) + strandedness (V2) + Intercept (I) -5.382 -1.594 0.170 1.807 6.252 2.438 75 0.112 0).089	I 6.274 0.	430 14	.574 <	0.001	4.747	2&75	0.011	12.999	2	0.002	365.3
		V1 <-0.001 <0. V2 0.053 0.	001 -3 560 0	.072 .094	0.003							
Regression model 7: survival time ~ operon (V1) + gene length (V2) + Intercept (I) -6.623 -1.518 0.337 2.003 3.919 2.491 75 0.073 0	0.048	I 6.617 0.	593 11	.160 <	0.001	2.952	2&75	0.058	0.648	2	0.723	368.7
		V1 -1.551 0. V2 <0.001 0.	638 -2 000 0	.430 .158	0.018 0.875							
Regression model 8: survival time ~ operon (V1) + strandedness (V2) + Intercept (I) -6.867 -1.693 0.231 2.106 3.744 2.485 75 0.078 0).053	I 6.867 0.	634 10	.828 <	0.001	3.163	2&75	0.048	0.292	2	0.864	368.3
		V1 -1.611 0. V2 -0.371 0.	643 -2 575 -0	.504 .645	0.014 0.521							
Regression model 9: survival time ~ distance (V1) + Intercept (I) -5.406 -1.575 0.171 1.782 6.272 2.422 76 0.112 0	0.101	I 6.294 0.	369 17	.050 <	0.001	9.610	1&76	0.003	12.416	-	0.000	363.3
Repression model 10: survival time \sim operan (V1) + Intercent (I)		V1 <-0.001 <0.	001 -3	.100	0.003							
Regression model IU: survival time ~ operon (VI) + Intercept (I) -6.655 -1.529 0.346 2.002 3.887 2.475 76 0.073 0.632).060	I 6.655 0. V1 -1.542 0.	540 12 632 -2	.320 <	0.001	5.956	1&76	0.017	0.556	1	0.456	366.7
Regression model 11: survival time ~ gene length (V1) + Intercept (D -5.539 -1.884 0.168 2.127 3.490 2.571 76 <0.001).013	I 5.541 0.	406 13	.632 <	0.001	0.002	1&76	0.964	0.556	-	0.456	372.6
Decreasion model 17: sumiral time - strandadness $(V1) \pm Intervent (I)$		V1 <-0.001 <0.	001 -0	.046	0.964							
$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	0.012	I 5.585 0.	387 14 587 _0	.417 <	0.001	0.050	1&76	0.823	0.243	1	0.622	372.6

Supplemental Table 4. Results of pairwise Wilcoxon tests (*p*-values) evaluating differences in GC content and nucleotide composition of coding regions between non-parasites and parasites.

		Nic	Mim	Lin	Sch	Epi	Con	Cis	Bou	Ocr	Ogr	Myz	Ppu	Pra
GC	Auc	1.000	0.331	1.000	1.000	0.007	0.001	0.007	0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.007	<0.001	<0.001
	Nic	NA	0.034	1.000	1.000	0.006	0.001	0.002	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	<0.001
	Mim	NA	NA	0.042	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.004	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.029	<0.001	<0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.360	0.008	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	<0.001	0.085	0.003	0.003
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
GC1	Auc	0.035	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.003	0.001	0.073	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.093	<0.001	<0.001
	Nic	NA	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.003	0.001	0.040	0.002	0.001	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	0.001
	Mim	NA	NA	0.811	0.811	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.028	<0.001	<0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.375	0.001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.005	<0.001	<0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.004	<0.001	0.048	0.004	0.001	<0.001	0.048	<0.001	<0.001
GC2	Auc	0.309	0.309	0.309	0.097	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.010	<0.001	<0.001
	Nic	NA	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	0.059	<0.001	<0.001
	Mim	NA	NA	0.891	0.891	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.151	<0.001	<0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.280	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	0.022	<0.001	<0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.004	0.003	0.030	0.030	<0.001	<0.001	0.174	<0.001	<0.001
GC3	Auc	0.309	0.309	0.309	0.097	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.010	<0.001	<0.001
	Nic	NA	<0.001	0.662	0.170	0.011	0.076	0.170	0.021	0.002	0.003	0.294	0.003	0.015
	Mim	NA	NA	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.041	0.002	0.005	0.046	0.003	0.001	0.007	0.087	0.001	0.003
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.002	<0.001	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
A1	Nic	NA	0.048	0.072	0.040	0.002	0.002	0.088	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.072	<0.001	0.002
	Mim	NA	NA	0.260	0.547	0.002	0.002	0.157	0.006	0.010	0.002	0.207	<0.001	0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.300	0.002	0.001	0.009	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.040	<0.001	<0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.011	0.004	0.016	0.011	0.003	0.002	0.165	<0.001	<0.001
A2	Nic	NA	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.153	0.067	0.512	0.722	0.004	0.012	1.000	<0.001	<0.001
	Mim	NA	NA	1.000	0.615	0.010	0.014	0.268	0.615	0.005	0.004	1.000	<0.001	<0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.714	0.027	0.011	0.199	0.956	0.005	0.005	0.956	<0.001	<0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.562	0.764	1.000	1.000	0.002	0.014	1.000	0.004	0.004
A3	Nic	NA	0.742	1.000	0.126	0.024	0.434	0.411	0.104	0.031	0.008	1.000	0.009	0.015
	Mim	NA	NA	0.108	0.007	0.108	0.477	0.477	0.063	0.051	0.003	0.798	0.002	0.005
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.058	0.016	0.050	0.050	0.008	0.004	0.001	0.395	<0.001	0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.022	0.116	0.116	0.012	0.004	0.002	0.116	0.001	0.002
T1	Nic	NA	1.000	0.813	0.465	0.038	0.021	0.550	0.071	0.022	0.092	0.550	0.020	0.047
	Mim	NA	NA	0.337	0.767	0.007	0.007	0.119	0.012	0.003	0.024	0.119	0.003	0.007
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.631	0.015	0.016	0.577	0.070	0.015	0.034	1.000	0.020	0.066
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.061	0.054	0.305	0.305	0.143	0.085	0.305	0.143	0.231
Т2	Nic	NA	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.005	0.015	0.053	0.009	0.001	0.144	0.155	0.224	0.167
	Mim	NA	NA	1.000	1.000	0.003	0.024	0.010	0.003	0.003	0.029	0.153	0.124	0.024
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.961	0.004	0.018	0.020	0.003	0.002	0.020	0.069	0.096	0.038
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.008	0.008	0.018	0.002	0.001	0.008	0.048	0.018	0.018

		Nic	Mim	Lin	Sch	Ері	Con	Cis	Bou	Ocr	Ogr	Myz	Ppu	Pra
Т3	Nic	NA	0.247	0.757	0.757	0.027	0.357	0.757	0.357	0.005	0.238	0.757	0.247	0.357
	Mim	NA	NA	0.426	0.004	0.027	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.027	0.937	1.000	0.937	0.937
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.124	0.008	0.125	0.125	0.096	<0.001	0.037	0.125	0.125	0.125
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.003	0.004	0.013	0.004	<0.001	0.004	0.013	0.005	0.013
C1	Nic	NA	0.256	0.583	1.000	0.020	0.013	0.256	0.025	0.026	0.044	0.004	<0.001	0.001
	Mim	NA	NA	0.084	0.337	0.007	0.005	0.337	0.009	0.337	0.078	0.034	<0.001	0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.557	0.004	0.001	0.017	0.001	0.013	0.017	0.003	<0.001	<0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.015	0.015	0.056	0.049	0.049	0.015	0.015	<0.001	<0.001
C2	Nic	NA	1.000	1.000	0.346	0.005	0.005	0.069	0.209	0.037	0.041	0.219	0.006	0.004
	Mim	NA	NA	0.373	0.089	0.001	0.001	0.022	0.022	0.002	0.001	0.020	0.002	0.002
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.047	0.001	0.001	0.010	0.020	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.002	0.003
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.002	0.004	0.399	0.322	0.006	0.009	0.399	0.001	0.003
C3	Nic	NA	0.136	0.909	0.909	0.148	0.178	0.909	0.909	0.012	0.025	0.498	0.001	0.178
	Mim	NA	NA	0.711	<0.001	0.131	0.421	1.000	1.000	0.015	0.112	1.000	0.001	0.421
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.034	0.010	0.034	0.039	0.193	0.001	0.002	0.193	0.001	0.016
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.001	0.006	0.006	0.008	<0.001	0.002	0.006	<0.001	0.002
G1	Nic	NA	0.236	0.236	0.011	0.014	0.006	0.100	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.236	0.011	0.006
	Mim	NA	NA	0.463	0.016	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.088	0.001	0.001
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.137	0.006	0.004	0.009	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.349	0.002	0.002
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.167	0.134	0.209	0.167	0.007	0.010	0.747	0.002	0.001
G2	Nic	NA	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.035	0.024	0.021	0.134	0.001	0.001	0.473	0.016	0.020
	Mim	NA	NA	1.000	1.000	0.038	0.026	0.045	0.005	0.003	<0.001	0.924	0.001	0.002
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.875	0.030	0.027	0.030	0.041	0.002	0.001	0.875	0.002	0.005
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.099	0.050	0.062	0.099	0.001	0.001	0.555	0.001	0.001
G3	Nic	NA	1.000	1.000	0.173	0.030	0.087	0.354	0.011	0.019	0.031	1.000	0.034	0.022
	Mim	NA	NA	0.146	0.002	0.049	0.146	0.254	0.016	0.032	0.045	0.964	0.124	0.003
	Lin	NA	NA	NA	0.070	0.007	0.010	0.070	0.001	0.003	0.010	0.185	0.007	0.001
	Sch	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.010	0.008	0.067	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.067	0.004	0.001

Differences in GC content at the first, second, and third codon position and in nucleotide composition per codon were evaluated by pairwise Wilcoxon tests (with sequential Bonferroni correction) between photosynthetic plants and parasites. Abbr: Auc – Aucuba japonica, Nic – Nicotiana tabacum, Lin – Lindenbergia philippensis, Sch – Schwalbea americana, Epi – Epifagus virginiana, Con – Conopholis americana, Cis – Cistanche phelypaea, Bou – Boulardia latisquama, Ogr – Orobanche gracilis, Ocr – O. crenata, Myz – Myzorrhiza californica, Ppu – Phelipanche purpurea, Pra – P. ramosa; NA – not tested.

Gene- ID	Subset of nonparasitic taxa	Subset of parasitic taxa	GC1	GC2	GC3
accD	Mg Lp Am Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Ev Co Bm Oc Og Mc	0.316	0.003	0.018
atpA	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Oc Mc Pp Pr	0.003	0.016	0.032
atpB	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Oc Mc Pp Pr	0.003	0.005	0.075
atpE	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.082	0.021	0.003
atpF	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.022	0.016	0.173
atpH	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Oc Mc Pp Pr	0.007	0.792	0.023
atpl	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Oc Mc Pp Pr	0.113	0.027	0.500
clpP	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Bm Oc Og Mc Pp	0.011	0.393	0.285
infA	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ca No	Sa Cp Bm Oc Mc Pp Pr	0.006	0.006	0.032
matK	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.001	0.001	0.040
rpl33	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.007	0.002	0.060
rpl16	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.003	0.000	0.520
rpl2	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.001	0.001	0.289
rpl20	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.006	0.001	0.075
rpl22	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Cp Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.525	0.807	0.007
rpl23	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Cp Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.090	0.419	0.297
rpl32	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.894	0.068	0.020
rpl33	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.016	0.233	0.049
rpl36	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.065	0.025	0.876
rps2	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.238	0.003	0.427
rps3	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc	0.001	0.005	0.021
rps4	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.023	0.001	0.059
rps7	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.004	0.001	0.025
rps8	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.002	0.006	0.005
rps11	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.001	0.001	0.210
rps12	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.027	0.267	0.405
rps14	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.124	0.646	0.003
rps15	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pr	0.001	0.107	0.883
rps16	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Cp Oc Mc	0.027	0.777	0.009
rps18	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Oc Og Mc Pp Pr	0.001	0.305	0.239
rps19	Mg Lp Am Jn Oe Ab Nt SI Ca No	Sa Ev Co Cp Bm Og Mc Pp Pr	0.189	0.003	0.024

Supplemental Table 5. Results of unpaired Wilcoxon tests (p-values) evaluating differences in GC content of coding regions between nonparasites and parasites.

P-values are sequential Bonferroni corrected. Taxon abbreviations: Mg – *Mimulus*, Lp – *Lindenbergia*, Am – *Antirrhinum*, Jn – *Jasminum*, Oe – *Olea*, Ab – *Atropa*, Nt – *Nicotiana*, SI – *Solanum*, Ca – *Coffea*, No – *Nerium*, Sa – *Schwalbea*, Ev – *Epifagus*, Co – *Conopholis*, Cp – *Cistanche*, Bm – *Boulardia*, Oc – *Orobanche crenata*, Og – *O. gracilis*, Mc – *Myzorrhiza*, Pp – *Phelipanche purpurea*, Pr – *P. ramosa*

Supplemental Table 6. Codon usage in photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic Orobanchaceae.

					Pro	oortio	n of c	odon	usage	e (in %	6)				
AA	Codon	Nic	Mim	Lin	Sch	Ері	Con	Cis	Bou	Ocr	Ogr	Myz	Рри	Pra	Remarks
Phe	UUU	66.7	68.8	67.9	64.6	78.6	76.8	67.7	79.6	67.2	74.5	66.7	82.1	70.2	
	UUC	33.3	31.2	32.1	35.4	21.4	23.2	32.3	20.4	32.8	25.5	33.3	17.9	29.8	lost in <i>Pra</i>
Leu	UUA	32.5	32.5	31.7	29.8	35.6	34.7	27.5	38.0	32.7	38.6	30.1	41.7	36.4	lost/pseudogenized in <i>Epi, Con, Bou</i>
	UUG	20.1	19.9	19.7	22.2	17.5	17.8	23.0	20.2	21.8	23.0	19.9	20.2	18.8	
	CUU	21.6	21.3	21.3	20.3	21.1	19.5	22.4	18.4	21.8	17.8	22.8	18.4	20.4	
	CUC	6.9	5.9	5.7	6.8	6.3	5.7	5.5	4.0	4.3	2.2	6.1	2.7	5.7	
	CUA	12.1	13.1	13.8	12.8	10.7	12.6	12.8	9.4	12.0	10.6	12.5	9.4	11.6	
	CUG	6.2	6.5	6.8	7.4	5.6	6.2	6.7	6.5	5.6	4.4	6.9	4.9	5.0	
lle	AUU	49.5	49.8	49.9	50.8	43.0	42.7	48.7	52.8	51.8	49.0	49.6	53.3	50.2	
	AUC	20.1	19.3	20.3	20.6	12.3	14.8	18.5	12.2	15.5	11.8	19.0	7.8	12.3	lost/pseudogenized in all holoparasites but <i>Myz</i>
	AUA	30.4	30.9	29.8	28.6	44.7	42.5	32.8	35.1	32.7	39.2	31.4	38.9	37.5	
Met	AUG	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Val	GUU	37.1	38.0	38.6	36.0	37.9	37.8	35.6	36.0	39.3	36.4	35.7	36.3	41.7	
	GUC	11.9	11.6	11.4	12.2	12.1	9.1	12.0	12.2	9.1	9.7	11.0	9.7	10.2	lost in <i>Epi, Con</i>
	GUA	38.1	37.7	37.1	37.5	38.2	35.7	34.1	37.6	40.1	41.0	40.7	42.3	35.5	lost/pseudogenized in all holoparasites but <i>Myz, Ocr</i>
	GUG	13.0	12.7	13.0	14.3	11.8	17.4	18.4	14.3	11.5	12.8	12.7	11.6	12.7	
Tyr	UAU	80.3	81.4	82.0	81.6	82.5	79.8	83.6	86.9	85.3	86.6	81.4	82.2	82.7	
	UAC	19.7	18.6	18.0	18.4	17.5	20.2	16.4	13.1	14.7	13.4	18.6	17.8	17.3	
His	CAU	77.2	77.5	77.9	77.4	74.7	80.2	79.3	80.2	79.2	80.6	77.1	78.8	77.4	
	CAC	22.8	22.5	22.1	22.6	25.3	19.8	20.7	19.8	20.8	19.4	22.9	21.3	22.6	
Gln	CAA	75.6	76.9	76.3	73.7	81.2	79.9	77.9	85.3	79.5	82.2	79.4	82.7	76.4	
	CAG	24.4	23.1	23.7	26.3	18.8	20.1	22.1	14.7	20.5	17.8	20.6	17.3	23.6	
Asn	AAU	77.0	78.7	77.4	76.4	80.3	79.6	74.7	75.9	81.3	83.0	78.5	79.4	78.0	
	AAC	23.0	21.3	22.6	23.6	19.7	20.4	25.3	24.1	18.7	17.0	21.5	20.6	22.0	
Lys	ΑΑΑ	75.5	76.8	76.3	74.9	81.1	80.3	75.5	80.1	78.3	81.4	77.4	84.1	79.6	lost/pseudogenized in <i>Epi,</i> <i>Con, Bou, Ogr, Ppu, Pra</i>
	AAG	24.5	23.2	23.7	25.1	18.9	19.7	24.5	19.9	21.7	18.6	22.6	15.9	20.4	
Asp	GAU	79.6	81.2	81.1	78.7	80.2	82.4	82.0	79.8	83.2	76.7	80.4	83.4	82.3	
	GAC	20.4	18.8	18.9	21.3	19.8	17.6	18.0	20.2	16.8	23.3	19.6	16.6	17.7	
Glu	GAA	75.6	77.7	77.2	73.8	75.9	76.2	73.6	77.9	73.1	75.6	73.6	78.4	73.9	
	GAG	24.4	22.3	22.8	26.2	24.1	23.8	26.4	22.1	26.9	24.4	26.4	21.6	26.1	
Ser	UCU	29.7	29.2	29.4	29.1	26.1	22.1	27.5	26.7	30.1	31.5	27.6	34.2	31.3	
	UCC	15.1	14.7	15.7	17.1	13.4	14.8	17.1	13.9	15.4	14.1	16.8	12.1	13.0	pseudogenized in <i>Epi</i>
	UCA	19.5	19.1	19.1	17.5	24.3	25.7	21.3	19.4	21.5	20.4	19.8	17.9	21.0	
	UCG	9.4	9.8	9.5	10.5	8.9	9.1	9.8	5.9	9.1	5.6	9.6	8.6	8.5	
	AGU	20.7	21.7	21.2	20.2	23.0	23.4	19.5	29.5	20.5	25.6	21.6	24.6	23.9	
_	AGC	5.8	5.5	5.2	5.5	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.5	3.3	3.0	4.5	2.6	2.3	
Pro	CCU	38.9	38.7	38.4	37.9	35.5	39.2	31.0	39.7	40.8	41.4	38.7	37.6	35.8	
		18.7	19.6	18.7	20.2	23.8	27.4	23.8	27.3	22.4	19.3	21.2	21.3	23.7	
	CCA	28.9	29.0	28.2	25.5	31.9	23.2	31.4	28.1	26.0	32.1	27.6	30.9	28.9	
	CCG	13.4	12.8	14.6	16.4	8.9	10.3	13.8	5.0	10.8	7.1	12.5	10.1	11.6	

					Pre	oporti	on of	codo	n usag	ge (in	%)				
AA	Codon	Nic	Mim	Lin	Sch	Epi	Con	Cis	Bou	Ocr	Ogr	Myz	Рри	Pra F	Remarks
Thr	ACU	39.3	42.1	40.6	39.7	38.4	36.8	38.1	38.0	40.8	38.1	39.6	43.4	37.7	
	ACC	19.6	18.3	18.6	21.2	18.7	18.4	18.8	22.9	17.6	20.3	20.2	20.6	23.0 lo	ost in <i>Epi, Con, Bou</i>
	ACA	30.5	29.2	28.6	27.8	32.9	31.9	31.2	29.1	29.8	32.0	29.7	28.9	28.4 lo F	ost in <i>Epi, Con, Bou, Ppu,</i> Pra
	ACG	10.6	10.4	12.2	11.3	10.0	12.9	11.9	10.1	11.8	9.6	10.5	7.0	10.9	
Ala	GCU	44.7	44.6	44.2	41.9	36.7	37.7	37.7	33.5	43.9	38.0	40.5	40.8	40.8	
	GCC	17.2	15.4	17.0	17.7	16.7	19.4	17.2	18.8	15.8	17.6	19.3	18.7	21.7	
	GCA	28.0	28.2	27.4	27.4	36.3	35.6	35.8	38.2	29.8	36.6	28.0	32.0	29.6 k	ost/pseudogenized in all noloparasites but <i>Myz</i>
	GCG	10.0	11.9	11.4	12.9	10.4	7.3	9.3	9.4	10.5	7.9	12.2	8.5	8.0	
Cys	UGU	75.2	76.4	76.5	77.3	74.5	73.5	77.0	72.0	83.0	79.6	75.6	79.2	70.8	
	UGC	24.8	23.6	23.5	22.7	25.5	26.5	23.0	28.0	17.0	20.4	24.4	20.8	29.2 lo	ost in <i>Epi, Con, Ppu</i>
Trp	UGG	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Arg	CGU	22.0	22.0	21.1	21.4	19.5	17.0	19.0	23.0	22.4	26.6	20.1	23.8	20.8 k	ost in <i>Con, Ppu, Pra</i>
	CGC	6.3	6.8	7.3	8.7	6.9	7.0	5.8	7.1	5.0	4.3	6.1	6.7	7.6	
	CGA	25.1	21.6	22.4	23.2	16.8	18.4	21.5	20.8	21.8	22.0	19.3	19.0	19.6	
	CGG	7.3	8.1	8.4	8.7	7.1	6.4	7.7	5.0	7.0	6.6	7.9	7.0	6.9	
	AGA	28.9	31.4	31.2	28.5	36.5	36.2	32.3	36.0	33.5	31.5	35.2	35.0	33.7 p	oseudogenized in Epi
	AGG	10.4	10.1	9.6	9.4	13.2	14.9	13.7	8.1	10.4	9.0	11.5	8.4	11.4	
Gly	GGU	32.3	32.7	32.5	32.2	33.4	30.8	28.8	30.6	32.8	32.6	31.4	36.3	33.1	
	GGC	11.7	10.8	10.5	11.5	7.3	5.9	7.5	9.9	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.9	10.4	
	GGA	38.8	39.3	39.3	37.6	40.7	40.3	41.8	41.9	39.8	39.6	40.3	40.9	39.5 k (ost/pseudogenized in <i>Epi,</i> Con, Cis, Bou, Ocr
	GGG	17.1	17.1	17.7	18.7	18.5	23.0	21.9	17.6	18.9	19.4	19.8	13.9	17.1	
TER	UAA	53.8	61.5	53.1	47.3	57.1	63.3	64.5	82.6	70.6	73.1	54.2	75.0	76.7	
	UAG	23.1	17.9	24.7	31.1	28.6	30.0	22.6	17.4	14.7	23.1	20.8	15.6	10.0	
	UGA	23.1	20.5	22.2	21.6	14.3	6.7	12.9	0.0	14.7	3.8	25.0	9.4	13.3	

The proportion (in %) of used codons for all amino acids (AA) and stop codons is summarized for photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic Orobanchaceae, and for *Nicotiana*. The preferred codon is highlighted in blue. Abbreviations: Nic – *Nicotiana tabacum*, Lin – *Lindenbergia philippensis*, Sch – *Schwalbea americana*, Epi – *Epifagus virginiana*, Con – *Conopholis americana*, Cis – *Cistanche phelypaea*, Bou – *Boulardia latisquama*, Ogr – *Orobanche gracilis*, Ocr – *O. crenata*, Myz – *Myzorrhiza californica*, Ppu – *Phelipanche purpurea*, Pra – *P. ramosa*; NA – not tested; TER – stop.

Supplemental Method 1. Details regarding gene- and species-specific annotation

The classification of genes as putatively functional or as pseudogenes is based solely on evidence from the DNA level and must therefore be treated as preliminary. As of writing this manuscript, expression of genes is still validated in our labs.

Schwalbea: ycf1 shows extreme sequence divergence and was therefore excluded from codon usage analyses (CU); sequencing/assembly error is likely, because a frameshift occurs after a series of homopolymer stretches. *ccsA* contains putative sequencing/assembly-errors and was therefore excluded from CU. *accD* may use an alternative start codon (expression analysis is underway).

Epifagus: If *matK* is annotated as in Young and dePamphilis (2000) it would contain 37 stop codons; if it is annotated as in Wolfe et al. (1992) no stop codons are present, but the ORF is 189 bp shorter than in other clades of holoparasitic Orobanchaceae; we used the latter annotation for CU analysis.

Conopholis: If *matK* is annotated as in Young and dePamphilis (2000) it would contain 37 stop codons; if it is annotated as in Wolfe et al. (1992) it contains 6 premature stop codons accumulating towards the 3'-end after a homopolymer stretch, but the ORF is 189 bp shorter than in other clades of holoparasitic Orobanchaceae; we used the latter annotation for CU analysis. The inferred premature stop codons may be due to sequencing/assembly errors; amino acid sequence is highly similar to that of *Epifagus*.

Cistanche: If *matK* is annotated as in Young and dePamphilis (2000) it is 189 bp longer than if annotated as in Wolfe et al. (1992); we used the former annotation for CU. *matK* contains 5 premature stops accumulating towards the 3'-end, which possibly is due to sequencing/assembly errors after several homopolymer stretches (similar to the situation in *Schwalbea*).

Boulardia: *ycf1* may be a pseudogene, because it has several premature stop codons and shows numerous deletions; however, potential sequencing/assembly errors in homopolymer regions cannot be entirely excluded, even after Sanger re-sequencing.

Myzorrhiza: *rpl*22 may be a pseudogene due to large indels and a high substitution rate, it was assigned functional in ancestral state reconstruction and was included in CU.

Phelipanche purpurea: *atpA* contains 3 untranslatable codons (because of ambiguous nucleotide calls); *atpH* contains 1 untranslatable codon; *matK* contains 3 untranslatable codons. *ycf1* is fragmented with unclear gene start and gene stop and it contains several frameshifts or stop codons in those regions that can be unambiguously identified as *ycf1* fragments (maybe a pseudogene).

Phelipanche ramosa: matK contains 1 premature stop and 3 untranslatable codons; *atpF* has an unclear stop codon, because it contains 3 potential stops in a row: current annotation assumes the first stop codon as the true one; *rpl2* contains 4 premature stops; *ycf1*: see *P. purpurea* for details; expression analyses for *clpP* and *accD* is underway.

Supplemental Method 2. Details of experimental procedures used for plastid genome sequencing.

Fosmid library construction and library sorting

DNA extraction and purification (with subsequent RNA digest) was performed following the CTAB-based protocol of McNeal et al. (2006) using fresh and young flower tissue. Representative fosmid libraries were constructed for Boulardia latisguama, Cistanche phelypaea, Conopholis americana, Myzorrhiza californica, Orobanche crenata, Phelipanche purpurea using the CopyControl™ (HTP) Fosmid Library Production Kit (EPICENTRE® Biotechnologies) following the manufacturer's instructions with slight modifications. Five to 10 µg of freshly extracted total genomic and unsheared DNA was size selected by electrophoretic separation on a 30 cm long, 1% low melting point agarose gel. The gel was run overnight for a minimum of 16 hours at 8–12°C in freshly prepared 1x TAE buffer at 65 V. The gel was post-stained with GelStar® Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Lonza), and the DNA was detected on a bluelight transilluminator. DNA fragments larger than undigested lambda DNA were excised using sterilized cover slips. Gel extraction and DNA purification was carried out as instructed in the Fosmid Library Production Kit using agarase and ethanol/sodium acetate precipitation. At least 0.5–1 µg of pure genomic DNA were ligated into the fosmid vector pcc1FOS or pcc2FOS, respectively, for a minimum of 2 hours at room temperature followed by a subsequent overnight incubation at 4–8°C. After inactivation of ligase (10–15 min at 71°C), the vector-DNA concatemers were directly used for phage packaging and transduction. Size selection of genomic DNA was omitted for *Myzorrhiza*, where purified DNA was directly ligated into pcc1FOS. Selection and titering of fosmid clones was carried out using LB media and agar supplemented with 12.5 µg/ml chloramphenicol and 10 µg/ml cvcloheximid. Between 2.000 and 3.000 fosmid clones were plated and grown on 24x24 cm LB-agar trays for 8-10 hours at 37°C. Libraries were sorted into 384-well plates filled with LB-freezing medium (Sambrook and Russell 2001) using a QPIX colony picker. Fosmid clones were redundantly arrayed on 22x22 cm positively-charged nylon membranes (Performa Nylon Filters, Genetix Ltd.) using a gridding robot (QPIX II, MicroGrid II) in 3x3, 4×4, or 5×5 offset double spotting pattern. Colony lysis/denaturation, neutralization, and fixation of DNA onto the filters were performed as suggested by the membrane manufacturer (Genetix Ltd.).

Fosmid library screening, probe preparation, end-sequencing

Plastid probes of all protein-coding genes and of selected tRNA-gene regions known to be present in the plastome of Epifagus virginiana have been PCR-amplified from Nicotiana tabacum using custom primers (available upon request). Probes were designed to be 0.2 to 1.5 kb long. GoTag®Flexi polymerase system (Promega) was employed for PCR with reactions (25 µl) typically containing 1× Flexi reaction buffer, 20 mM MgCI, 0.1 M betaine, 0.20 mM of each dNTP, 10 mM of each amplification primer, 0.1 U Taq polymerase, and 10-20 ng of template DNA. Cycling conditions were as follows: 3 min of predenaturation; 35 cycles with 30 s of denaturation, 20 s primer annealing at 50-53° C (i.e. 2-3° below calculated primer annealing temperatures), 60-150 s (according to the length of the expected product: 60 s per 1 kb) elongation at 68° C; 10 min of final elongation at 72° C. All probes were agarose gel-purified, and were sequenced at Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, South Korea) prior to fosmid library screening. Southern hybridizations of fosmid filters with plastid gene probes were performed following Sambrook and Russell (2001) with some modifications: Filters were pre-washed in 6x SSPE at 50°C for 30 min and subsequently pre-hybridized at 60 °C overnight in hybridization-buffer (5x SSPE + 5x Denhardt's solution + 0.2 % SDS) containing 10 µg/ml sheared and denatured herring sperm DNA. The probe cocktail (100 ng of 4–6 plastid gene probes mixed at equimolar ratio) was radiolabeled with ³²P-dATP using the Primea-Gene® Labeling System (Promega); labeling time was extended to two hours. Labeled probes were purified with custom Sephadex G-50 Superfine columns, eluting the samples stepwise with 100 µl 0.5x TE-buffer. The first three consecutive fractions with the highest emission of radiation were pooled, and denatured at 99°C for 10 minutes. Hybridization was carried out overnight at 61° C in fresh hybridization

medium. Filters were washed twice with pre-warmed washing buffer (2x SSC + 0.2 % SDS) at 61°C for 10 min each, followed by a third 5 min washing step with room-temperate washing buffer. Subsequently filters were briefly rinsed with 6x SSPE. Detection of positive signals was performed using a Typhoon 9200 Phosphoimager (GE Healthcare). Positive clones were prepped via alkali lysis (Sambrook and Russell 2001) or using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. End-sequencing of potential plastid-DNA carrying fosmids was performed at Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea) or at GATC Biotech (Konstanz, Germany).

Shotgun Sanger sequencing and pyrosequencing

Between three and five fosmids were selected for shotgun sequencing. Enriched fosmid DNA was isolated and purified using the NucleoBond® Xtra Midi Kit (Macherey-Nagel). Between 3 and 5 µg of freshly eluted fosmid DNA were precipitated with isopropanol, briefly washed twice with 70% ethanol, and resolved in 1 ml shearing buffer (0.5× TE, pH 8.3 + 10 % glycerol). Fosmid DNA was sheared to fragments of 2–3 kb length. Sheared DNA was precipitated by NaCl/ethanol precipitation, washed twice, and resolved in 50 µl 10 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8.0). DNA was end-repaired using the NEBNext® End Repair Module (New England Biolabs Inc.); DNA was additionally A-tailed if subsequent A/T-cloning was used. Subcloning libraries of each fosmid were produced using either the CloneJET™ PCR Cloning Kit (Fermentas) or the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System I (Promega) in a 3:1 ratio of DNA to cloning vector. Positive clones were sorted into 384-well plates of LB+10% glycerol medium supplemented with the corresponding antibiotics. A minimum of two of these plates were sequenced bidirectionally via Sangersequencing at Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea). Alternatively, fosmid DNA was tagged and shotgunpyrosequenced (12 fosmids in 1/8th of a picotiter plate) at the Center for Medical Research, Medical University Graz, Austria. In addition to the fosmid-based approach, plastid un-enriched DNA of L. philippensis, and of S. americana was 454-pyrosequenced at the Center for Medical Research, Medical University Graz, Austria employing standard GS FLX Titanium series' protocols. For shotgunpyrosequencing, total DNA was extracted as above. After complete resuspension in 10 mM Tris-buffer, DNA was column-purified using the NucleoSpin® gDNA Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel).

Supplemental References

- Bennett, J.R., Mathews, S. (2006). Phylogeny of the parasitic plant family Orobanchaceae inferred from phytochrome A. Am. J. Bot. 93: 1039–1051.
- **Drescher, A.** (2003). *ycf1, ycf14 und RNA-Edierung: Untersuchungen an im Lauf der Plastidenevolution neu hinzu gewonnenen Genen und Eigenschaften.* Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilian-Universität, München, Germany.
- Drescher, A., Ruf, S., Calsa, T., Carrer, H., Bock, R. (2000). The two largest chloroplast genomeencoded open reading frames of higher plants are essential genes. Plant J. 22: 97–104.
- Hajdukiewicz, P.T.J., Allison, L.A., Maliga, P. 1997. The two RNA polymerases encoded by the nuclear and the plastid compartments transcribe distinct groups of genes in tobacco plastids. EMBO J. 16: 4041–4048.
- Kanno, A., Hirai, A. (1993). A transcription map of the chloroplast genome from rice (*Oryza sativa*). Curr. Genet. 23: 166–174.
- Legen, J. (2002). Gene expression in plastids of higher plants: evolutionary and functional aspects of different RNA polymerases – coordinated assembly of multiprotein complexes. Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilian-Universität, München, Germany.
- Leal-Klevezas, D.S., Martínez-Soriano, J.P., Nazar, R.N. (2000). Cotranscription of 5S rRNA-tRNA-Arg^(ACG) from *Brassica napus* chloroplasts and processing of their intergenic spacer. Gene **253**: 303–311.
- Matsubayashi, T., et al. (1987). Six chloroplast genes (*ndhA-F*) homologous to human mitochondrial genes encoding components of the respiratory chain NADH dehydrogenase are actively expressed: determination of the splice sites in *ndhA* and *ndhB* pre-mRNAs. Mol. Gen. Genet. 210: 385–393.
- McNeal, J.R., Leebens-Mack, J.H., Arumuganathan, K., Kuehl, J.V., Boore, J.L., dePamphilis, C.W. (2006). Using partial genomic fosmid libraries for sequencing complete organellar genomes. Biotechniques **41**: 69–73.
- Miyagi, T., Kapoor, S., Sugita, M., Sugiura, M. (1998). Transcript analysis of the tobacco plastid operon rps2/atpl/H/F/A reveals the existence of a non-consensus type II (NCII) promoter upstream of the *atpl* coding sequence. Mol. Gen. Genet. **257:** 299–307.
- Nickelsen, J., Link, G. (1991). RNA-protein interactions at transcript 3' ends and evidence for *trnK-psbA* cotranscription in mustard chloroplasts. Mol. Gen. Genet. **228**: 89–96.
- **Ohme, M., Kamogashira, T., Shinozaki, K., Sugiura, M.** (1985). Structure and cotranscription of tobacco chloroplast genes for tRNA^{Glu(UUC)}, tRNA^{Tyr(GUA)} and tRNA^{Asp(GUC)}. Nucl. Acids Res. **13**: 1045–1056.
- Ohto, C., Torazawa, K., Tanaka, M., Shinozaki, K., Sugiura, M. (1988). Transcription of ten ribosomal protein genes from tobacco chloroplasts: A compilation of ribosomal protein genes found in the tobacco chloroplast genome. Plant Mol. Biol. 11: 589–600.
- Sambrook, J., Russell, D.W. (2001). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, N.Y.
- Shinozaki, K., et al. (1986). The complete nucleotide sequence of the tobacco chloroplast genome: its gene organization and expression. EMBO J. 5: 2043–2049.
- Sugita, M., Sugiura, M. (1996). Regulation of gene expression in chloroplasts of higher plants. Plant Mol. Biol. 32: 315–326.
- Sugita, M., Shinozaki, K., Sugiura, M. (1985). Tobacco chloroplast tRNA^{Lys(UUU)} gene contains a 2.5kilobase-pair intron: An open reading frame and a conserved boundary sequence in the intron. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 3557–3561.
- Świątek, M. (2002). Functional analysis of plastid-encoded genes. Application of reverse genetics on Nicotiana tabacum. Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilian-Universität, München, Germany.
- Tanaka, M., Obokata, J., Chunwongse, J., Shinozaki, K., Sugiura, M. (1987). Rapid splicing and stepwise processing of a transcript from the *psbB* operon in tobacco chloroplasts -Determination of the intron sites in *petB* and *petD*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 209: 427–431.
- **Thiers, B.** (2013). Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium. http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih/

Wakasugi, T., Meng, B.-Y., Matsubayashi, T., Vera, A., Torazawa, K., Sugiura, M. (1992). Transcription map of the tobacco chloroplast genome. In *Research in Photosynthesis, Proceedings of the IXth International Congress on Photosynthesis, Nagoya, Japan, August 30-September 4, 1992,* N. Murata (ed.). pp. 263–266. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

- Wolfe, K.H., Morden, C.W., Palmer, J.D. (1992). Function and evolution of a minimal plastid genome from a nonphotosynthetic parasitic plant. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 10648–10652.
- Young, N.D., dePamphilis, C.W. (2000). Purifying selection detected in the plastid gene matK and flanking ribozyme regions within a group II intron of nonphotosynthetic plants. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17: 1933–1941.