Trait	LG	Doligez et		Cabezas	Mejia et	Costantini
		al., 2002	al., 2004 <sup>1</sup>	et al.,	al., 2007	et al.,
				2006		2008 <sup>2</sup>
MBW	1					18
	5		$\mathbf{?}^4$			
	12					9
	13		$\mathbf{?}^4$			
	15			19		
	18-7F2	<b>38</b> <sup>2</sup>		44	67	43
	18-N83			23		
MSN	2		nr			23
	2 8	11				
	11			67		
	18-7F2	<b>48</b> <sup>3</sup>			48	
TSFW	1		nr	15		nr
	10			33		
	18-7F2	<b>49</b> <sup>3</sup>		63	84	
MSFW	6		nr	nr	nr	21
	10					13
	13					<b>16</b> <sup>5</sup>
	15					13
	18-7F2	<b>38</b> <sup>3</sup>				28
%SDM	18-7F2	<b>40</b> <sup>3</sup>	nr		64	<b>91</b>

Additional file 1: Table S1 - Published (	QTLs for berry weight and seed traits in Vitis
---	--

QTLs detected with a genome-wide first-type error rate of 5%. The reported number is the maximum % of total variance explained among years and maps (parental and consensus), in bold when the QTL was present in at least 2 years. nr: trait not reported.

The results of Fanizza et al. (2005) were not included because they were based on a subset of the data reported in Costantini et al. (2008); those of Mejia et al. (2011) were not included because the QTLs on LGs others than LG 18 were not reported.

MBW: mean berry weight; MSN: mean seed number; TSFW: total seed fresh weight; MSFW: mean seed fresh weight; %SDM: seed dry matter percentage; RESN: residual berry weight unexplained by seed number; RESFW: residual berry weight unexplained by total seed fresh weight

<sup>1</sup>Complex hybrid cross including up to seven American *Vitis* species

<sup>2</sup> LOD threshold was extrapolated from the available chromosome-wide values to 3.5 for parental maps and 4.5 for consensus map, to reflect usual values of genome-wide values <sup>3</sup> LG determined according to Doligez et al., 2010

<sup>4</sup> Stable QTL detected but magnitude of effect not reported

<sup>5</sup> Doubtful QTL (in a large gap of 26.4 cM, with no strong significance of KW test)