## **Supporting Information**

## Wang et al. 10.1073/pnas.1311249111

## **SI Materials and Methods**

**Cell Culture and Transfection.** Michigan Cancer Foundation (MCF)-7, HeLa-S3, HEK293T, human MDA-MB-231 breast adenocarcinoma, and human MDA-MB-435 breast carcinoma cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated FBS and 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 1% nonessential amino acid at 37 °C in a 5% (vol/vol)  $CO_2/95\%$  (vol/vol) air incubator. Hypoxia-cultured cells (1%  $O_2$ ) were incubated in a modular incubator chamber flushed with 1%  $O_2/5\%$  (vol/vol)  $CO_2/balance N_2$  and incubated at 37 °C. Transfection of cells was performed by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were harvested 24 h posttransfection and washed in PBS for further analysis.

Establishments of Jumonji Domain-Containing Dioxygenase (JMJD)5-Expressing and JMJD5-Knockdown Cell Lines. For establishment of a stable JMJD5-expressing HeLaS3 cell line, pcDNA3-JMJD5 transfected cells were selected in G418 (800 µg/mL)-containing medium for 2 wk. The G418-resistant colonies were chosen, amplified, and evaluated the expression of Flag-JMJD5 by Western blotting with monoclonal antibody against Flag. For establishment of JMJD5-knockdown MCF-7 cell lines, the lentivirusinfected [shLKO (an empty lentiviral vector) or shJMJD5 constructs] MCF-7 cells were selected in puromycin (3 µg/mL)-containing media for 2 wk. The expression levels of JMJD5 of the puromycinresistant MCF-7 cells were further evaluated by Western blotting. To down-regulate the endogenous pyruvate kinase muscle isozyme (PKM)2 expression, transfection of the siRNA-PKM2 (Santa Cruz) into MCF-7 cells was performed by using Lipofectamine RNAi-MAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were harvested 48 h posttransfection, and the expression levels of PKM2 were evaluated by Western blotting.

**Plasmids.** PCR-amplified human JMJD5 was cloned into pcDNA3.1-Flag as described previously (1). Full-length construct of pcDNA-HA-PKM2 was a gift from Dr. Zhimin Lu (The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center). (2). The hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 $\alpha$  reporter that contains hypoxia-response elements (HREs) fused with a firefly luciferase (pHRE-FLuc) (3) was purchased from Addgene. Lentiviral vectors pLKO-Control and pLKO-sh*JMJD5* were used as described previously (1). The N- and C-terminal-truncated constructs of PKM2 and JMJD5 were generated from the full-length counterparts by PCR with specific primers (listed in Tables S1 and S2) and inserted into pcDNA3.1-HA and pcDNA3.1-Flag, respectively, yielding HA-PKM2 and Flag-JMJD5 truncation mutants.

Antibodies. Rabbit anti-PKM2, anti-GAPHD, anti-PCNA, and anti-HIF-1 $\alpha$  antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Mouse monoclonal antibody anti-HIF-1 $\alpha$  [chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-grade] assay was purchased from Abcam. Mouse monoclonal antibody anti-PKM2 and mouse IgG were purchased from GeneTex. Mouse monoclonal antibodies recognizing Flag, His, HA, GST, and  $\beta$ -actin were purchased from Sigma. The homemade rabbit anti-JMJD5 antibody was raised against a full length of recombinant PKM2. Rabbit preimmune serum was collected before immunization.

**Lentivirus Production.** HEK293T cells were seeded onto 10-cm culture dishes 1 d before transfection and replaced with DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS antibiotics-free medium for 30 min before transfection. Recombinant lentivirus was generated

Wang et al. www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1311249111

by transfection with lentiviral transducing vector encoding shLKO or sh*JMJD5* and packaging vectors pMD.G (2.5 mg) and pCMVR8.91 (7.5 mg) in HEK293T cells. After 48 h, virus particles in the medium were harvested, filtered through a 0.45-mm syringe-driven filter (Millipore), and transduced into MCF-7 cells.

Liquid Chromatography-Tandem MS and Data Process. Gel slices of proteins were further treated by in-gel digestion (4). Briefly, samples were reduced and alkylated by DTT/iodoacetamide. Each sample was digested with trypsin (wt/wt, 1:100; Promega) at 37 °C overnight. The digested peptides were injected into a MicrOTOF-Q (Bruker Daltonics) coupled to an Ultimate LC system (Thermo Fisher). Peak lists and Mascot generic format files were created using the DataAnalysis software 3.4 (Bruker Daltonics) and searched by Mascot search engine (v.2.2.03 Matrix Science) against Swiss-Prot database as previously described (5). The parameters were set as follows. The mass tolerance of the precursor and fragment ions were both 0.1 Da. Trypsin was set as the enzyme, and a maximum of three missed cleavages was allowed. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine was assumed as a fixed modification; acetylation at protein N-terminal, oxidation of methionine, and Gln change to pyro-Glu were assumed as variable modifications. After Mascot search, the resulting data were imported to the software Scaffold 3.0.7 (Proteome Software) to evaluate the information of peptide identifications and modifications. Protein identification is accepted if protein probability is >95% containing at least two peptides with peptide prophet algorithm probability of >95%.

Immunoprecipitation, GST Pull-Down, and Western Blotting Assays. Cell lysates used for immunoprecipitation (IP) assay were extracted in IP-lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, and 1× protease inhibitors (Thermo)] at 4 °C. Supernatants were clarified by centrifugation at top speed  $(16,000 \times g)$  for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatants (500  $\mu$ L) were incubated with the corresponding antibodies (10 µg) as indicated and 50 µL of protein A/G Dynabeads (Invitrogen) at 4 °C overnight. The beads were washed three times with IP-lysis buffer. Elution was performed by incubating the washed beads in 30 µL of 7.5 mM Tris HCl buffer (pH 8.0), 50 mM DTT, and 1× SDS sample buffer (Bio-Rad) at 90 °C for 10 min. The input lysates and immunoprecipitates were separated by 5-15% SDS/PAGE and analyzed by light chromatography-tandem MS or Western blotting assay as described previously (6). Purified GST-JMJD5 fusion protein (20 µg) or GST control (20 µg) were mixed His-PKM2 fusion protein (20 µg) with 20 µL of preequilibrated GST-agarose (GE Healthcare) in IP-lysis buffer. The mixtures were incubated overnight at 4 °C with agitation and then washed three times with 400  $\mu$ L of IP-lysis buffer before elution with 50  $\mu$ L of 50 mM reduced glutathione (in 50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 8.0). Protein samples from total cell extracts, IP, GST pull down, and chemical cross-linking were separated by 10% SDS/PAGE, followed by Western blotting assay with corresponding antibodies as indicated.

**Confocal Microscopic Analysis.** MCF-7 and HeLaS3 cells grew on coverslips were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and penetrated by treatment with blocking buffer (1× PBS plus 4% normal donkey serum) containing 0.1% of Triton X-100 for 1 h. PKM2 and Flag-JMJD5 (for HeLa cells) were marked with rabbit anti-PKM2 (1:1,000) and mouse anti-Flag-tag (1:2,000),

respectively. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI. Alexa-488– conjugated anti-rabbit (1:1,000) and Cy5-conjugated anti-mouse (1:1,000) IgGs were used as secondary antibodies. Cells were examined in a Zeiss LSM 780 laser-scanning microscope (1- $\mu$ m thickness) with a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 oil immersion objective. Images were analyzed using the line profile tool in the LSM 780 META ZEN 2011 software package (Carl Zeiss).

**Cell-Proliferation Assay.** Cells were seeded in six-well plates at a density of  $3 \times 10^5$  cells per well and incubated overnight. Cells were then shifted to either normoxic (20% O<sub>2</sub>) or hypoxic (1% O<sub>2</sub>) condition, and cell number was counted each day after the treatments. Data represent the means  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

**Purification of GST-JMJD5 and 6XHis-PKM2.** GST, GST-JMJD5, or His-PKM2 protein expression was induced with 0.2 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside from in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (Rosetta) harboring pGEX-4T1, pGEX4T1-JMJD5, or pET-28a-PKM2 in LB-ampicillin (100 µg/mL) media at an OD of ~0.8. Proteins were expressed for at 16 °C for 16 h. Affinity purification of the recombinant proteins were carried out at 4 °C according to previously described procedures (7). The purified proteins were resuspended in Tris buffer (50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF). Protein concentrations were measured using the Bradford method (Bio-Rad).

**Subcellular Fractionation.** Fractionation of nuclear and cytosolic extracts was performed by using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction kit (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Luciferase Activity Assay. Cells were seeded onto 48-well plates, transfected with pHRE-Firefly luciferase reporter (3), internal control reporter pTK (Thymidine kinase)-Renilla luciferase (Promega), pcDNA3.1-HA, pcDNA3.1-HA-PKM2, pcDNA3.1-HA-PKM2 (R399E), pcDNA3.1-Flag-JMJD5, or siRNA vector targeting PKM2 and exposed to 20% or 1% O2 for 24 h. Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were determined using the Dual-Luciferase Assay System (Promega).

**ChIP Assay.** ChIP assays were performed by using ChIP Assay Kit (Magna ChIPG assay kit; Millipore). Briefly,  $8 \times 10^6$  MCF-7 (LKO) or MCF-7 sh*JMJD5* cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 20 min and then quenched in 0.125 M glycine for 30 min. The cell lysates were sonicated and subjected to IP using antibodies against JMJD5 (homemade rabbit polyclonal antibody), PKM2 (GeneTex, mouse monoclonal antibody), and HIF-1 $\alpha$  (mouse monoclonal antibody; Abcam). The precipitated DNAs were analyzed and quantified by using SYBR Green Real-time PCR analysis (Applied Biosystems StepOne). Primer sequences are listed in Tables S1 and S2. Fold enrichment was calculated based on threshold cycle (Ct) as  $2^{-\Delta(\Delta Ct)}$ , where  $\Delta Ct = Ct$  (IP) – Ct (input) and  $\Delta(\Delta Ct) = \Delta Ct$  (antibody) –  $\Delta Ct$  (IgG).

**Re-ChIP.** MCF-7 cells cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS were cotransfected with human Flag-JMJD5 and HA-PKM2 followed by exposure to hypoxia for 24 h. Chromatin obtained from ~8 × 10<sup>7</sup> MCF-7 cells was cross-linked with 1% formal-dehyde for 30 min and then quenched in 0.125 M glycine for 30 min. Re-ChIP was conducted according to the manufactory's protocols with mild modification. ChIP assays were conducted according to the manufacturer's instruction (Magna ChIPG assay kit, Millipore) with antibody against Flag (Sigma) or HA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as indicated. The precipitated DNAs were analyzed and quantified by using SYBR Green Real-time PCR analysis (Applied Biosystems StepOne). Primer sequences are listed in Tables S1 and S2. Fold enrichment was

calculated based on Ct as  $2^{-\Delta(\Delta Ct)}$ , where  $\Delta Ct = Ct$  (IP) – Ct (Input) and  $\Delta(\Delta Ct) = \Delta Ct$  (Antibody) –  $\Delta Ct$  (IgG). PCR products designed to amplify regions specific to the human *LDHA* promoters were analyzed on 1.8% (wt/vol) agarose/Tris-acetate-EDTA gels with ethidium-bromide stain.

**Quantitative Real-Time PCR.** Total RNAs were extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen) reagent, and the cDNAs were prepared using the SuperScript First-strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen). Real-time quantitative (qRT)-PCR analysis was performed with ABI SYBR Green Master (ABI) using the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Data were normalized to the expression of *GAPDH*. Quantitative PCR primers are listed in Tables S1 and S2.

Surface Plasmon Resonance Assay. Purified His-PKM2 and Flag-JMJD5 proteins were resuspended in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.3). The automated Biacore-T100 (GE Healthcare) instrument was used for measuring JMJD5-PKM2 interaction. For immobilizing GST-JMJD5 on a sensor chip by amine coupling, according to the software wizards for Biacore T100, the carboxylated dextran CM5 sensor chip was activated by treatment with 1:1 (vol/vol) mixture of 50 mM NHS and 200 mM ethyl(dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide, followed by passing through a 50 µg/mL of GST-JMJD5 protein in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0). The immobilization process was then blocked by injection of 1 M ethanolamine HCl (pH 8.5) at a flow rate of 5 µL/min. The binding and kinetic assays were carried out in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) containing 100 mM NaCl at a flow rate of 30  $\mu$ L/ min, and contact time was 250 s. The concentrations of PKM2 (analyte) were used ranging from 0.195 to 100 µM. Dissociation was initiated by replacing the analyte with buffer. The association and dissociation curves were monitored for 550 s. Immobilized JMJD5 protein was regenerated with an injection of 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.3) containing 1 M NaCl for 30 s. All experiments were conducted at 25 °C. Sensograms were obtained and analyzed with Biacore T100 evaluation software.

In Vitro PKM2 Activity Assay. Purified His<sub>6</sub>-PKM2 (10  $\mu$ M) and GST-JMJD5 (10  $\mu$ M) proteins were resuspended in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.3). For monitoring the inhibitory effect of GST-JMJD5 on His-PKM2 activity, PKM2 (10 nM) was co-incubated with various concentrations of GST-JMJD5 (0–1,500 nM). The PKM2 activities were measured with a colorimetric-based pyruvate kinase activity assay kit (BioVision) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

**Glucose Uptake Assay.** MCF-7 cells transduced with lentivirus encoding shLKO or sh*IMJD5* (LKO and sh*JMJD5* cell lines, respectively) were cultured in glucose-free DMEM for 24 h and then incubated with high-glucose DMEM for 1 h. The intracellular glucose was measured with a fluorescence-based glucose assay kit (BioVision,) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

**Lactate Production Assay.** MCF-7 cells transduced with lentivirus encoding shLKO or sh*JMJD5* (LKO and sh*JMJD5* cell lines, respectively) were incubated with FBS-free DMEM for 1 h. The extracellular lactate was measured in the medium with a fluorescence-based lactate assay kit (BioVision) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data were expressed as means  $\pm$  SD. The significance of difference was examined by Student *t* test (two-tailed). P < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Chemical Cross-Linking Assay.** HEK293T cells that cotransfected HA-PKM2 plus Flag-JMJD5, HA-PKM2 plus Flag-JMJD5 $\Delta$ N80, HA-PKM2 alone, or Flag-JMJD5 alone were lysed with sodium

phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 1× protease inhibitors (Thermo) for 30 min at 4 °C. Crude cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation at top speed (16,  $000 \times g$ ) for 30 min at 4 °C. For carrying out cross-linking reactions, the supernatants (4 mg/mL) were treated with 0.01% or glutaraldehyde for various

- Hsia DA, et al. (2010) KDM8, a H3K36me2 histone demethylase that acts in the cyclin A1 coding region to regulate cancer cell proliferation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107(21): 9671–9676.
- Luo W, et al. (2011) Pyruvate kinase M2 is a PHD3-stimulated coactivator for hypoxiainducible factor 1. Cell 145(5):732–744.
- Emerling BM, Weinberg F, Liu JL, Mak TW, Chandel NS (2008) PTEN regulates p300dependent hypoxia-inducible factor 1 transcriptional activity through Forkhead transcription factor 3a (FOXO3a). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(7):2622–2627.
- Tsai MH, et al. (2012) Identification of secretory gelsolin as a plasma biomarker associated with distant organ metastasis of colorectal cancer. J Mol Med (Berl) 90(2): 187–200.

time periods (0–5 min) at 37 °C or 25 °C. The reactions were terminated by adding 1 M Tris buffer to a final concentration of 50 mM Tris·Cl (pH 8.0). Samples were then separated by 5-15% SDS/PAGE and analyzed by Western blotting with anti-HA or anti-Flag antibodies as indicated.

- Chi LM, et al. (2009) Enhanced interferon signaling pathway in oral cancer revealed by quantitative proteome analysis of microdissected specimens using <sup>16</sup>O/<sup>18</sup>O labeling and integrated two-dimensional LC-ESI-MALDI tandem MS. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 8(7): 1453–1474.
- Wang HJ, Cheng WC, Cheng HH, Lai CH, Wang WC (2012) Helicobacter pylori cholesteryl glucosides interfere with host membrane phase and affect type IV secretion system function during infection in AGS cells. *Mol Microbiol* 83(1):67–84.
- Cheng WC, et al. (2012) Structures of Helicobacter pylori shikimate kinase reveal a selective inhibitor-induced-fit mechanism. PLoS ONE 7(3):e33481.



**Fig. S1.** Analysis of cellular metabolites in the glucose metabolism for MCF7 control and MCF-7–*JMJD5*–knockdown cells. MCF-7–LKO (white bar), MCF-7– sh*JMJD5*#1 (black bar), and MCF-7–sh*JMJD5*#3 (oblique bar) were cultured for 24 h under normoxia or hypoxia. Lysates were then prepared for the measurement of the following metabolites: glucose-6-phosphate (G6P); fructose 1,6 bisphosphate (F1,6BP); glucose-1-phosphate (G1P); and ribose-5-phosphate (R5P). The fold changes were measured and expressed as a ratio of LKO normoxia levels. Statistical analysis was assessed by Student *t* test. \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01.



**Fig. S2.** HeLa-Flag-JMJD5–expressing cells exhibited a lower level of H3K36me2 methyl mark. (A) JMJD5-expressing HeLa-S3 cells. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-Flag (Flag-JMJD5), anti-H3K36me2 (H3K36me2), and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), respectively. Purple in the merged images (merge) indicates colocalization of H3K36me2 and DAPI. The corresponding differential interference contrast microscopy (DIC) images are shown in the right. Clones 2D and 3B: selected stable cell clones expressing Flag-JMJD5. Mixture: cells transfected with pCDNA-Flag-JMJD5 without performing single-clone selection and amplification; mock: cells transfected with pcDNA-flag. (Scale bars, 10  $\mu$ m.) (*B*) Western blotting analysis of whole-cell lysates from mock cells (Mock-EV) and selected lines (HeLa-2D, -3B, -5C, and -3D) using mouse anti-Flag antibody. HeLa-S3, cells without transfection.

AS PNAS



в

DNAS

SANC VAS

Supplemental information: Fig. S3B. Proteins and peptides identified from Fig. 24 (left figure) by in gel-digestion. We can 13 hard dates from the lare of Figs.MGS and also in the lare of Figs control. As the results showed, we identified 30 proteins from 4 bands which were differe MISS transforms were intermediate to come

				Peptide	Peptide	sptiele		Mascot	*			Actual	Actual minus
and	Protein name	Protein ID deta	äs.	start index	stop index	Peptide sequence	lon score	identity score	Modifications identified by spectrum	mass	charge	calculated peptide	calculated peptide
		eloisalar wiight	469077.5	514	904	TELACTIGOR	4.2	32.3		11205	1	(1995) 0.0036	<b>mass</b>
1	DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic	Protein identification probability Prepride identification probability	100.001	2100	1120	DEMILISITIR	41.6	26.5		1,466.09	3	0.0050	4
	subunit	bequence coverage (%) Humber of unique peptides	IN (16/4128)										
		Rumber of Latal spectra Rumber of Latal spectra	-										
		Protein identification probability	LICORY	228	200	CHPTARLK	345	315	(	1,346,55	2	0.0012	
	PE1-cileories transcription factor	Sequence coverage (%)	306 (306/3097)	179	340	HIGH APR	40.6	215	Catalan Bonester (vC) Catalan Bonester (vC)	1,099.50	1	0364	
	rect and reng contact prior inclusion	Sumber of Unique spectra		432	425	CHLIZOFEK	26.2	10.5	Carbanidowethyl (+97)	1,308.52	-	0.011	
				478 834	483	ORMANIVIQUTE DOMENSION	47 47	80 25.2		1,641.84	3	0.112	-1. 6.
		Notestar weight Protein identification probability	274451.5 180.0PM	528 3455	532 1504	EXPERENTILIK T.CETSAMAETEQINX	33.2 32.4	26.4 31.3		1,425.85	2	-0.811 0.815	3.
	Telomere-associated protein RIF1	Pagnide identification probability Sequence coverage (%)	95.08% 25.(54/3472)	2119	1580 2290	SHESVEREDGEEK SGEDESSPYNK	25.2	27.3 36.6		1313.65	2	-0.818 0.0814	-4
		Humber of unique peptides Humber of unique spectra											
		Runder of faial special Molecular weight Reside sheet for does such dollar.	50%0.1	78	10 10	TORNAL AND	8.7	26.7		1,779.81	1	0.114	4
	Heteroreneous purlear ribopurleoprotein K	Paptide identification probability Research country (%)	95-00% Ph.(25/467	308	215	N.CLEEP'S	\$3.4	28.1		1,338.78	1	-0.811	-1
	neerogeneous nocies noonaccoprotein n	Number of unique peptides Number of unique spectra	1										
		Notecular weight	9211	308	117	ASCIFICAE	40.2	'ni		829.48	3	OBII	-2
	Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding	Protein identification probability Protide identification probability	180.304	195	223	MELD-INDEADS	28.9	10.1		1,312.45	3	0.017	4
8	protein	lequence coverage (%) Number of unique peptides	96.(6)(17)	40	144	CPC PDP PPER	28.5	11.1		1,127.65	1	0.3563	
		Number of tatal spectra Notecular weath	57929	N	15	MSICHENNITK	22	21.2		1,012,90		£.00002	14
	Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2	Protein identification probability Peptide identification probability	LEO DEN RS DEN	174 215	186	INCOGREGATION INCOMENTATION	46.5	20.4		1,461.90	3	0.812	4.
		bequence coverage (%) Humber of unique peptides	E4 (40/510)										
		Number of Unique spectra Number of tatal spectra											
		Protein identification probability Destrikt identification probability	554357 LBO 3129 95 0020	304	213	Increst Increst	28.9	315		1,057,50	2	0.000	
	U4/U6 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein Prp31	Sequence coverage (%) Number of unique pegalides	125-009998	116	125	VOVELEDBER ENVELIGEBER	64.4 27.2	20.0	Gin-seven-Gin - 171	1,349.68	3	03648	4
		Humber of Unique spectra Humber of Latat spectra	5										
		University weight Protein identification probability	41091.5 99.82%	304 300	115	INVERVIEWS	26.2 34.1	28.1	Carbanidonelly (*17)	1,458.66	1	0.3068	4
	605 ribosomal protein L3	Paghale identification probability Sequence coverage (%)	95-084 84-04-400										
10		Number of Unique spectrals											
		Molecular weight Protein identification orokatelity	50423.1 180-201	21	20 10	STTERATIK TV/TEXMSHR	41.2	115		1,118.60	3	0.3882	1.
	Elongation factor 1-alpha 1	Papilale identification probability Requestor coverage [5]	81.029 129-04/462	135 254	100	DHILMTSON KERENTYAN	52.5 33.7	36.4 28.3		1,813.75	3	0.0058	4.
		Number of Unique peptides Number of Unique spectra	1	453	439	OLANACHIK	52.5	83		913.96	1	-0.0653	4
		Humber of tatal spoces Molecular weight	SOLL	u		ALIAAQISSAQIR	21.7	21.3		1,346.73	1	03622	4
	Elemention factor 1 commo	Pagride identification protability	85.001		100	arriant.				1,040,04		(au)	-1
	conflation meter regimme	Number of unique populates Number of unique species	1										
		Number of tatal spectra Molecular weight	45854.7	2	14	KITATMIKISESAN	89	36.5	Activital S	1,358.58	2	0.0058	
		Protein identification probability Psycide identification probability	LEO DEN RS DEN	106 152	116	KONGGENVINGTINGR	54 31.2	36.1 36.5		1,211.67	2	-0.815 -0.815	4
	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-III	Sequence coverage (%) Number of unique pegitides	86.06913	153	166	LENGORIYWGTINGR	26.2	11		1,468.73	,	0.114	4
		Rumber of Latat special Rumber of Latat special	6231	2	14	OCTION PLA	10.7	16.7	Carbamakowerky (*17)	1.99144		0.0015	1
		Protein identification probability Protide identification probability	180.029	13 24	27	ROTANICALA ALIPPOSIZIOLA	72.2	84		1,075.55	1	0.802	4
		Sequence coverage (%) Number of unique pegsides	348 (141918) 17	30 44	40	EDURIDUZEN SVYTUGR	37.8 26.9	32.4 36.6		1.158.61 \$14.55	2	-8.00825	-8.2
		Humber of unique spectra Humber of tatal spectra	25 X	22 78	60 10	KTELPISOR UMTERMODYDK	40.8	20.3		1,09451	3	-0.811 0.812	-3
	JmjC domain-containing protein 5			143	113	VMRQCLIK VMRQCLIK	27.5	28.1	Carbanidonerdiy/ (-11)	1,015.57	3	0.0010	4
				145	154	KSLPOPCTK	33.6	32.1	Carban idometry (+17)	1,127.00	1	0.3892	
				15/	125	ADHOLPOVE ADHOLPOVE ADHOLPOVE DY	96.5 96.5	32.1	Carban Bonnethy (15-1	1,063.57	2	0.0014	4
				187	196	URPSIDER DYD1928	26.2	11		1,219,71	1	03642	4.
		Mitoula weght	MING	362	250	NAMES OF COMPANY	28.2	21.3		888.47	3	0.3517	-1
		Protein identification probability Peptide identification probability	180.02% 95.02%	302	383	PCCEWID-IX	29.7	11	Carbanolomethyl (HIT), Carbanolomethyl (HIT)	1,322.44	3	0.011	4
	Serine/threonine-protein kinase 38	Seguence coverage (%) Number of unique pegitides	44-00/4455										
		Number of tatal spectra Molecular weath	41726		2	ASSAGEBART	58.6	26.5		105.42		0.812	4
11		Protein identification probability Peptide identification probability	180.00% 91.00%	51 197	63 200	CAPVSOLADIK GASPTITIKA	26.2	28.3		1,197.51	3	-6.00012	
	Actin, cytoplasmic 1 or 2	lequence coverage (%) Number of unique peptides	1396 (42/379) 4	314	526 526	UTMAPTIME UTMAPTIME	33.9 39.2	10.1	Databation (+26)	1,178.00	3	0.111 0.115	4.
		Number of Unique sportra Number of tatal sportra	×			all the story				1 100 44		0.107.0	
		Protein identification probability	99.80% 95.00%	65	8	KUMAGYOVEK	41.5	32.4		1,306.56	3	0.0015	1
	Histone H1.2, 1.3 or 1.4	bequence coverage (%) Plumber of unique pegitales	114-(21/011)										
		Number of Unique speciels Number of Inital Species	1										
		Molecular weight Protein Montification probability	45544.3 99.88%	258	294 255	NIQSALAHN DPLALMANN	48.9	27.4		1,048.62	2	-0.822	4
	Interleukin enhancer-binding factor 2	Sequence coverage (%)	211-020-112										
		Number of unique spectra Number of tatal spectra	1										
		thirealar weight Protein identification probability	36785.2 180.3PH	145 192	179	KAMOFTONMPHE	61.5 61.7	11.1 32.8	Carban Alonerity (+17)	1,548.70	3	0.000	4.
	Methylosome protein 50	Pagitale identification probability Sequence coverage (%)	95-089 89-023/342										
		Number of Unique peptides Number of Unique spectra	1										
		Noiscular weight Noiscular weight Protein identification orodorium-	27480.2 27480.2	40 JII	53	NEESACHIR MARVILLING	44.9	26.5	Carbamidowediy(-(-61)	1,397.58	3	0.01	4
	Poly/rCl-binding protein 1	PopUse service on probability PopUse service overlaps (%)	#1.00% #1.00% #1.00%	113	125		40.5	11		1,046.31	,	-4.00	-1
		Number of unique peptides Number of unique spectra	1										
		Humber of tatal spectra Holecular weight	1 5160	138	14	SCSTAND AMON	29	1		1,332.64	3	0.814	-1
	Prototo alconductore IF	Protein identification probability Prigitide identification probability	LEO DEN RS DEN	542 188	153	CENASO2000	26.7	23.3	Carbanidomethy (+57)	1,308.59	3	0.03	-3
	Protein phosphatase 18	engennut coverage (%) Number of unique peptides	100.000474	308	318	AND CHIN		10.3		1,117.68	,	-0.806	4
		Number of tatal spectra Number of tatal spectra	40060.9	44	20	IT SOSISEANCE	53.7	30.7		1,174.99	,	0,0029	3
		Protein identification probability Poptide identification probability	LIO JEN SS DEN	134	141 202	UNUNCHI HGOLGHGTUCHOLON	25 31.2	210	Carbanidomethy (+d7)	\$58.53 1,342.65	2	0.812	4
	RCC1 domain-containing protein 1	Sequence coverage (%) Number of unique pegtides	184-(61/176) 6	348 258	158 271	NEREDGETHAR GATELNEDGEGAN	50.0 54.2	21.3		1,173.56 1,418.64	3	-8.05	4.
		Number of unique spectra	,	258	272	INTERNEDIAGNOR	25.8	35.1		1,576.36	1	-0.813	4

**Fig. S3.** Identification of PKM2 that interacts with JMJD5 by mass spectrometric analysis. (A) Nuclear extracts were prepared from HeLa-Mock cells (denoted as "-") or HeLa-Flag-JMJD5 (denoted as "+"), followed by IP with anti-Flag-affinity beads and eluted with Flag peptides. The elutes were resolved on SDS/PAGE. The protein bands were retrieved and analyzed by mass spectrometry. (*B*) The list of JMJD5-associated proteins.



Fig. S4. PKM2 hydroxylation is independent of JMJD5. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with the expression vectors as indicated and cultured under normoxia for 24 h, followed by IP with anti-HA and Western blotting using anti–Pro-OH (*Upper*) or anti-PKM2 (*Lower*).



**Fig. S5.** Subcellular localization of PKM2 in HeLa-Mock (Mock) and HeLa-Flag-*JMJD5* (HeLa-JMJD5) cells. Cells were fixed and immunostained with anti-PKM2 (green), 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole that stained the nucleus (DAPI; red), and anti-Flag (JMJD5; white) that marked JMJD5, respectively. Merged images are shown as indicated (rows 4–6). The framed region of row 6 is magnified, and the images are shown in the row 7. The average mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of PKM2 was measured by line profile tool in ZEN 2011 software (Carl Zeiss). (Scale bars, 10 μm.)



Fig. S6. Size-exclusion chromatographic analysis of the effect of JMJD5 on PKM2 oligomerization. (A and B) The peak elution positions of the individual proteins, PKM2 (tetramer), R399E (dimer only), JMJD5-FL, and JMJD5-ΔN80 (unable to bind PKM2) are shown. (C) The elution profiles of addition of JMJD5WT but not ΔN80 mutant into PKM2 dramatically diminishes the level of tetramer, resulting in the formation of PKM2 dimer or PKM2/JMJD5 heterodimer. (D) Analysis of the effect of JMJD5 on PKM2 oligomerization in vivo using MCF7 wild-type (WT)/MCF-7-sh/MJD5 cells. There is a significant portion of tetrameric PKM2 in the cytosol of both cell types. Nuclear PKM2 is found only in wild type cells, which elutes at positions corresponding to either PKM2 dimer of PKM2/JMJD5 heterodimer. Knockdown of JMJD5 nearly completely hinders the nuclear translocation of PKM2, as evidenced by the lack of PKM2 band in the Western Legend continued on following page

blot. Fractions of the peak (Superdex 200 26/10 column; PBS solution; flow rate, 1 mL/min) were analyzed by SDS/PAGE gels, followed by Coomassie brilliant blue staining (A–C) or immunoblotting using anti-PKM2 (D). Cyto, cytosolic fraction; NE, nuclear fractions.



**Fig. 57.** Analysis of catalytically inactive JMJD5's role in HIF-1 $\alpha$  transactivation activity. (A) HEK293T cells were transfected with the vectors as indicated, followed by immunoblotting analysis with anti-HA and anti-Flag, respectively. (B) Transactivation activity of LKO cells cotransfected with pHRE-Fluc, pTK-Rluc, and empty vector or expression vectors (JMJD5, JMJD5-H321A, PKM2, PKM2-K367M) as indicated. The ratio of Fluc:Rluc activity was normalized to LKO with the empty vector at normoxia (mean  $\pm$  SD; n = 4). The ratio of Fluc:Rluc activity was normalized to that with the empty vector under normoxia. \*P < 0.05.



Fig. S8. The proposed model that connects JMJD5 and PKM2 in the HIF-1 $\alpha$  system that mediates the Warburg effect.

Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')	Source	
qRT-PCR			
GLUT1	Fw: CGGGCCAAGAGTGTGCTAAA	Ref. 2	
	Rev: TGACGATACCGGAGCCAATG		
ENO1	Fw: TGCGTCCACTGGCATCTAC	This study	
	Rev: CAGAGCAGGCGCAATAGTTTTA		
PKM2	Fw: TTGCAGCTATTCGAGGAACTCCG	Ref. 2	
	Rev: CACGATAATGGCCCCACTGC		
LDHA	Fw: ATCTTGACCTACGTGGCTTGGA		
	Rev: CCATACAGGCACACTGGAATCTC		
PDK1	Fw: ACCAGGACAGCCAATACAAG		
	Rev: CCTCGGTCACTCATCTTCAC		
MCT4	Fw: TCACGGGTTTCTCCTACGC	This study	
	Rev: GCCAAAGCGGTTCACACAC		
HIF-1α	Fw: CCATTAGAAAGCAGTTCCGC	This study	
	Rev: TGGGTAGGAGATGGAGATGC		
18S rRNA	Fw: CGGCGACGACCCATTCGAAC	Ref. 2	
	Rev: GAATCGAACCCTGATTCCCCGTC		
ChIP			
LDHA-HRE	Fw: TTGGAGGGCAGCACCTTACTTAGA	Ref. 2	
	Rev: GCCTTAAGTGGAACAGCTATGCTGAC		
PKM2-HRE	Fw: TTCCTGCCTCTTGGTATGAC		
	Rev: CGGCTTGTTCCCTCCTAC		
RPL13A–non-HRE	Fw: GAGGCGAGGGTGATAGAG		
	Rev: ACACACAAGGGTCCAATTC		

Table S1. Primer sequences used for gRT-PCR and ChIP in this study

Fw, forward; Rev, reverse.

PNAS PNAS

## Table S2. Primer sequences used for constructing truncated PKM2 and JMJD5

Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')	RE	Location*	Source
PKM2-HA-F	Fw: TTTCGGTCCGATGTCGAAGCCCCATAGT	Cpol	85–102	This study
PKM2-R	Rev: TTTCTCGAGTCACGGCACAGGAACAAC	Xhol	1663–1653	
PKM2-d55-f	Fw: TTTCGGTCCGCGATCAGTGGAGACGTTG	Cpol	250–267	
PKM2-d110-f	Fw: TTTCGGTCCGGCTCTAGACACTAAAGGAC	Cpol	414–443	
PKM2-d165-f	Fw: TTTCGGTCCGAAGGTGGTGGAAGTGGGC	Cpol	580–597	
PKM2-d55-r	Rev: TTTCTCGAGTCAGTCCTTGCACAGCACAGG	Xhol	1512–1495	
PKM2-d110-r	Rev: TTTCTCGGAGGCCTCCACGGCACC	Xhol	1344–1326	
PKM2-d160-r	Rev: TTTCTCGAGTCAGGCTGTTTCTCCAGACAG	Xhol	1182–1165	
JMJD5-Flag-F	Fw: TTTGAATTCATGGCTGGAGACACCCAC	EcoRI	233–250	
JMJD5-R	Rev: TTTCTCGAGCTACGACCACCAGAAGCT	Xhol	1483–1466	
JMJD5-d40-f	Fw: TTTGAATTCGTGGAGAGGAGCGTGGTG	EcoRI	353–370	
JMJD5-d80-f	Fw: TTTGAATTCACGGGCACATGGCAGGAC	EcoRI	473–490	
JMJD5-d120-f	Fw: TTTGAATTCCGGGTCTGTGACATGGGC	EcoRI	593–610	
JMJD5-d40-r	Rev: TTTCTCGAGAAACTTGGGGAACTTTTC	Xhol	1360–1343	
JMJD5-d80-r	Rev: TTTCTCGAGCTTCCTCCCCATCACCTG	Xhol	1340–1223	
JMJD5-d120-r	Rev: TTTCTCGAGGCTGCAGTAGTCGGGGAT	Xhol	1021–1103	
PKM-K367M-f	Fw: GCTGTCTGGAGAAACAGCCATGGGGGACTATCCTCTGGAGG	BamH1	1080–1117	Ref. 1
PKM-K367M-r	Rev: CCTCCAGAGGATAGTCCCCCATGGCTGTTTCTCCAGACAGC	Xhol	1117–1080	

Fw, forward; RE, restriction enzyme; Rev, reverse.

\*Based on human PKM2 and JMJD5 (GenBank accession nos. NM\_002654 and NP\_001145348, respectively).

1. Yang W, et al. (2011) Nuclear PKM2 regulates β-catenin transactivation upon EGFR activation. *Nature* 480(7375):118–122.