Interaction of the two cytosolic domains of mammalian adenylyl cyclase

(G proteins/forskolin)

RICHARD E. WHISNANT, ALFRED G. GILMAN, AND CARMEN W. DESSAUER

Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX ⁷⁵²³⁵

Contributed by Alfred G. Gilman, March 14, 1996

ABSTRACT Adenylyl cyclase activity can be reconstituted by simple mixture of the two cytosolic domains of the enzyme after their independent synthesis in Escherichia coli. We have synthesized and purified the C_{1a} domain of type I adenylyl cyclase and the C_2 domain of the type II enzyme to assess their interactions with each other and with the activators $G_{s\alpha}$ and forskolin. In the absence of an activator, the fragments associate with low affinity and display low catalytic activity. This basal activity can be stimulated more than 100-fold by either forskolin or activated $G_{s\alpha}$. Further, the addition of these activators increases the apparent affinity of the fragments for each other. Stimulation of catalysis by $G_{s\alpha}$ and forskolin is synergistic. These data suggest a model wherein either $G_{s\alpha}$ or forskolin enhances association of the other activator with adenylyl cyclase, as well as facilitating the interaction between the C_1 and C_2 domains of the enzyme.

All of the mammalian adenylyl cyclases identified to date share the capacity to be activated by both the guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-bound form of the α subunit of the G protein that activates adenylyl cyclase (G_{sa}) and the diterpene forskolin (FSK); in several cases, these two activators interact synergistically. These enzymes also share a characteristic structure, consisting of a short and variable amino terminus, followed by two repeats of a module composed of six transmembrane spans $(M_1$ and M_2) and a roughly 40-kDa cytoplasmic domain (C_1) and C_2). The most highly conserved sequences among the adenylyl cyclases are within the amino-terminal halves of each cytosolic domain $(C_{1a}$ and C_{2a}). These domains are also roughly 50% similar to each other (within ^a single enzyme) and to the catalytic domains of the guanylyl cyclases (1, 2).

A soluble, chimeric adenylyl cyclase, consisting of the covalently linked C_{1a} and C_2 domains of the type I and type II enzymes, respectively, has been synthesized in Escherichia coli, purified, and characterized. This protein displays FSK- and $G_{s_{\alpha}}$ -stimulated enzymatic activity and has a turnover number characteristic of the membrane-bound adenylyl cyclases (3, 4). Coexpression of noncovalently linked halves of membranebound adenylyl cyclases also permits detection of regulated adenylyl cyclase activity (5), but it was not possible to synthesize these molecules separately and to mix them productively after solubilization. Our goal has been to synthesize the cytosolic domains of adenylyl cyclase separately, in a manner that would permit their mixture and thus the capacity to analyze their interaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA Constructs, Antibodies, and G Protein Subunits. To create the DNA for expression of the C_{1a} domain of type I adenylyl cyclase (IC_1) , the construct pTrc $(271)IC_1IC_2L3$ (3) was digested with BsrBI and ligated with the phosphorylated oligonucleotides 5'-GATCTAGCTAGCTA and 5'-TAGCTAGCTA. The DNA was then digested with $BspHI$ and $BgII$ and ligated into pTrcH6 (4) that had been digested with NcoI and BglII. This resulted in a construct with an amino terminal hexa-histidine tag linked to residues 271- 484 of type ^I adenylyl cyclase.

To create the DNA for expression of the C_2 domain of type II adenylyl cyclase $(HC₂)$, a polymerase chain reaction was performed on $pTrc(271)IC₁IC₂LI3$ using the primers 5'-ATGAGATCTGGATGCCAAGTTGCTCTGAG and ⁵'- TGGAGTCATGACACAGAGTGAAT; this created an amino terminal BspHI restriction site and a carboxy terminal BglII restriction site. After excision with BspHI and BglII, this fragment was ligated into pQE60 (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA) that had been digested with Ncol and BglII. This created a construct encoding residues 821-1090 of type II adenylyl cyclase with a hexa-histidine tag at the carboxy terminus.

The antibodies utilized in this work have been described (4). $G_{s\alpha}$ was purified and activated with guanosine 5'-[ythio]triphosphate (GTP[γ S]) as described (4).

Expression of Proteins in E. coli. The IC_1 construct was transformed into E. coli strain BL21(DE3), and the cells were grown in the presence of ampicillin (50 μ g/ml). The IIC₂ construct was transformed into BL21(DE3) cells also harboring the pREP4 plasmid; cells were grown in ampicillin (50 μ g/ml) and kanamycin (50 μ g/ml). Cultures were grown to OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 at 30°C; isopropyl β -D-thiogalactoside (30 μ M) was then added and cells were grown at room temperature for 15 h before harvesting and freezing in liquid nitrogen. Cell pellets were resuspended with a Polytron homogenizer in 1/15th the culture volume of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.0/10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol/S0 mM NaCl) containing mixed protease inhibitors (4). Cells were lysed by addition of 0.2 mg/ml of lysozyme. After incubation for 30 min at 4°C, DNase was added $(0.02 \text{ mg/ml plus 5 mM MgCl}_2)$. This suspension was centrifuged at $100,000 \times g$ for 30 min, and the clarified lysate was collected.

Protein Purification. Clarified lysate from a 10-liter culture was supplemented with NaCl (250 mM final concentration) and loaded onto a 5-ml nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid $(Ni^{2+} - NTA)$ column (Qiagen) that had been equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was washed with 15 volumes of lysis buffer supplemented with 2 mM $MgCl₂$, 400 mM NaCl (final concentration), and ⁵ mM imidazole; ¹² volumes of ⁵⁰ mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM $MgCl₂$, and 15 mM imidazole; and 8 volumes of 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), ¹⁰ mM 2-mercaptoethanol, ¹⁰ mM NaCl, and ¹⁵ mM imidazole. The column was then eluted with 8 volumes of 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM imidazole.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: $G_{s\alpha}$, the α subunit of the G protein that activates adenylyl cyclase; GTP, guanosine triphosphate; GTP[yS], guanosine 5'-[ythio]triphosphate; Ni²⁺-NTA, nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid; CHAPS, 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate; FSK, forskolin.

The Ni^{2+} -NTA column eluate containing IC₁ was adjusted to ⁴⁰⁰ mM ammonium sulfate and loaded directly onto ^a 2-ml phenyl Sepharose column that had been equilibrated in buffer A (50 mM Na-Hepes, pH $8.0/2$ mM $MgCl₂/1$ mM EDTA/2 mM dithiothreitol) plus 400 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄. The column was washed with ¹⁰ ml of equilibration buffer and 10 ml of buffer A, followed by elution with ^a linear gradient (20 ml) of 0 to 10 mM 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-l-propanesulfonate (CHAPS) in buffer A and ²⁰ ml of buffer A containing ¹⁰ mM CHAPS. The pooled peak was exchanged into buffer A and concentrated to 0.4 ml (\approx 1 mg/ml).

The $Ni²⁺-NTA$ column eluate containing $HC₂$ was loaded directly onto ^a 8-ml Mono-Q 10/10 column (Pharmacia), equilibrated in buffer A, and washed with ⁵ volumes of the same buffer. Protein was eluted with ^a 120-ml linear gradient of NaCl (0-300 mM) in buffer A, followed by ^a steep gradient to 1 M NaCl. The HC_2 protein represents >90% of the total loaded onto the column and elutes at \approx 150 mM NaCl. This pooled material was exchanged into buffer A containing ⁵⁰ mM NaCl and concentrated to ¹⁰ mg/ml.

Gel filtration was carried out on ^a Superdex 200 column (Pharmacia) in 50 mM Na-Hepes (pH 8.0), $2 \text{ mM } MgCl_2$, 1 mM EDTA, ² mM dithiothreitol, and ¹⁵⁰ mM NaCl. All samples were loaded onto the column in less than 0.5 ml.

Adenylyl Cyclase Assays. Adenylyl cyclase activity was quantified as described by Smigel (6). All assays contained ¹⁰ mM $MgCl₂$ in a 50- μ l final volume. Incubations were for 10 min at 30°C unless otherwise indicated.

RESULTS

The simple mixture of two crude bacterial lysates, each containing either the C_{1a} or the C_2 domains of type I and type II adenylyl cyclase, respectively, permits observation of $GTP[\gamma S]$ -G_{s α}- and FSK-stimulated adenylyl cyclase activity (Fig. 1). There was no detectable activity when either $IC₁$ or IIC_2 was assayed alone in the presence or absence of activators. (The same result was obtained after purification of these fragments.) Based on this result, an assay was defined to facilitate purification of each fragment (Fig. 2). Lysates con-

FIG. 1. Simple mixture of the C_{1a} and C_2 domains of adenylyl cyclase reconstitutes adenylyl cyclase activity. Bacterial lysates containing either the IC_1 or the IC_2 fragments of adenylyl cyclase were assayed as described by themselves (20 μ g) or after mixture (10 μ g of each) with either no activator, 50 μ M FSK, or 50 μ M FSK plus 200 nM $GTP[\gamma S]$ -G_{s α}. Activities shown as 0 represent less than 1 pmol/min, the limit of detection.

FIG. 2. Definition of a reconstitutive assay for purification of $IC₁$ and IIC₂. (A) Increasing amounts of lysate containing IC₁ were mixed with 1.5 μ g of the Ni²⁺-NTA column eluate containing IIC₂ and assayed with 50 μ M FSK. (B) Increasing amounts of a lysate containing IIC₂ were mixed with 1 μ g of the Ni²⁺-NTA column eluate containing IC₁ and assayed with 50 μ M FSK.

taining the proteins were purified by $Ni²⁺-NTA$ column chromatography as described under Materials and Methods. A fixed amount of this partially purified material was used as ^a reagent to assay increasing amounts of the complementary fragment in the presence of 50 μ M FSK. Addition of IC₁ to a fixed amount of HC_2 resulted in a roughly linear increase in enzymatic activity (Fig. 2A). Given the smaller amounts of IC_1 in these preparations, saturation was observed when increasing amounts of HC_2 were added to the partially purified prepa-

FIG. 3. Purification of IIC₂. (A) Mono Q column chromatography. Fractions were ⁶ ml. (B) Gel filtration over Superdex 200. Fractions were 0.5 ml. The positions of molecular weight markers are shown.

Table 1. Purification of the IC_1 and IC_2 fragments of mammalian adenylyl cyclase

Preparation	Fraction	Protein, mg	Total activity,	Specific activity, μ mol/min μ mol/min·mg
IC ₁	Lysate	1300	7.8	0.006
	$Ni2+-NTA$	15	2.0	0.13
	Phenyl Sepharose	0.40	0.10	0.25
	Gel Filtration	0.22	0.28	1.3
HC_2	Lysate	1400	220	0.16
	$Ni2+-NTA$	63	100	1.6
	Mono-O	57	120	2.1

Each preparation represents a 10-liter culture. Under the assay conditions defined in Fig. 2, activities were linear with respect to time and protein concentration. However, IC_1 and IIC_2 were not used at saturating concentrations, as defined in Fig. 6B. Thus, the specific activities for the two preparations shown in this table cannot be compared with each other or to the specific activities for IC_1 shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

ration of IC₁ (Fig. 2B). Assays were carried out in the linear ranges of these titrations.

Large amounts (6 mg/liter of culture) of the HC_2 fragment were readily purified to homogeneity by $Ni²⁺-NTA$ and Mono Q column chromatography (Fig. ³ and Table 1). The material appeared to be homogeneous after SDS/PAGE (see Fig. 5). Amino acid sequencing revealed that the amino terminus of the protein began at Met-847 of type II adenylyl cyclase, 27 residues downstream from the presumed initiator methionine in the construct. Electron spray mass spectroscopy (not shown) revealed a single species with a M_r of 28,258, consistent with a protein containing Met-847-Ser-1090 (calculated M_r = 28,256). Gel filtration of this material showed a single peak of activity with an apparent M_r of \approx 50,000 (Fig. 3). This behavior may represent dimerization of the fragment.

The $IC₁$ fragment accumulated to much lower levels during bacterial culture. It can be purified by a combination of Ni²⁺-NTA, phenyl-Sepharose, and gel filtration chromatography (Figs. 4 and ⁵ and Table 1). Two distinct peaks of activity

FIG. 4. Purification of IC₁. (A) Phenyl-Sepharose column chromatography. Fractions were 2 ml. The first peak of activity was pooled for further purification. (B) Gel filtration over Superdex 200. Fractions were 0.5 ml. The positions of molecular weight markers are shown.

FIG. 5. SDS/PAGE of the purified fragments. IC₁ (1.6 μ g) (A) and IIC_2 (1.5 μ g) (B) were resolved on 11% polyacrylamide gels and stained with Coomassie blue. The positions of molecular weight markers and immunoreactive bands are shown with arrows.

were eluted from the. phenyl-Sepharose column. The first of these was further purified by gel filtration, where it too displayed an apparent M_r of 50,000. The second phenyl-Sepharose peak displayed a similar gel filtration profile but contained more contaminants; this peak was not investigated further.

We first examined adenylyl cyclase activity obtained by mixture of IC_1 and IIC_2 in the absence of any activator (Fig. 6A). Increasing amounts of IIC₂ were added to 0.1 μ M IC₁. The highest activity observed (expressed per quantity of $IC₁$) was 100-fold lower than that obtained in the presence of 50 μ M FSK and 500-fold lower than that observed with FSK and activated $G_{s\alpha}$ (Fig. 6B). It was not possible to maximize activity by increasing the concentration of HC_2 in the absence of activators (Fig. 6A). However, activity was maximized with apparent EC_{50} values for HC_2 of 190 nM and 15 nM when

FIG. 6. Interactions of IC₁ with IIC₂. (A) The indicated concentrations of IIC₂ were assayed in the presence of 0.1 μ M IC₁ for 30 min in the absence of activators. (B) The indicated concentrations of HC_2 were assayed in the presence of 8 nM IC₁ for 10 min with either 50 μ M FSK (\blacksquare) or 50 μ M FSK plus 10 μ M GTP[γ S]-G_{sa} (\spadesuit). Activities are expressed per mg of $IC₁$.

stimulated by FSK or FSK plus activated $\mathrm{G}_{s\alpha}$, respectively (Fig. $6B$). Thus, the apparent affinity of IIC₂ for IC₁ was increased substantially by addition of FSK; an even greater shift was observed when activated $G_{s\alpha}$ was also present.

We also examined the capacity of FSK and $G_{s\alpha}$ to stimulate the adenylyl cyclase activity of the mixed fragments as a function of activator concentration (Fig. 7). In these experiments 8 nM IC₁ was mixed with 6.6 μ M IIC₂. This is a saturating concentration of HC_2 in the presence of either FSK or $G_{s\alpha}$ plus FSK. When increasing concentrations of FSK were tested in the presence or absence of 0.5 μ M activated G_{s α}, maximal activity was increased by $G_{s\alpha}$ and the EC_{50} for FSK was lowered by a factor of 100 (Fig. $7A$). When increasing concentrations of activated $G_{s\alpha}$ were examined in the presence or absence of 50 μ M FSK, maximal activities were similar under the two conditions. However, the inclusion of FSK shifted the EC_{50} for activated $G_{s\alpha}$ by more than 100-fold.

DISCUSSION

We have expressed the IC_1 and IIC_2 domains of mammalian adenylyl cyclase separately and reconstituted $G_{s\alpha}$ - and FSKstimulated adenylyl cyclase activity by their mixture. Neither protein has detectable adenylyl cyclase activ or without activators), and the mixture has a very low basal activity in the absence of an activator. However, the activity observed in the presence of FSK and/or activated $G_{s\alpha}$ provides compelling evidence that adenylyl cyclase acti on the association of the C_1 and C_2 domains of the protein. The stimulated level of activity observed is comparable to that seen with the native, membrane-bound enzyme. Furthermore, the activation produced by FSK and $G_{s\alpha}$ is synergistic. We thus believe that this system provides a valuable tool for definition

FIG. 7. Interactions between FSK and GTP[γS]-G_{sa}. (A) Fragments IIC₂ (6.6 μ M) and IC₁ (8 nM) were mixed and assayed in the presence of the indicated concentrations of FSK, with (\blacksquare) or without (\bullet) 0.5 μ M GTP[γ S]-G_{s α} (*B*) Fragments IIC₂ (6.6 μ M) and IC₁ (8 nM) were mixed and assayed in the presence of the indicated concentrations of GTP[γS]-G_{s α}, with (\blacksquare) or without (\spadesuit) 50 μ M FSK.

FIG. 8. A simple model for the interactions of IC_1 , IIC_2 , $G_{s\alpha}$, and FSK. The two domains of adenylyl cyclase are designated C_x and C_y where their identities are unknown; they are designated C_1 and C_2 when associated. See text for further discussion.

of mechanisms of regulation of adenylyl cyclase activity. As a first step toward this goal, we provide the simple model shown in Fig. 8 for analysis of the data presented above.

It is not known if the individual protein fragments studied here exist as dimers, as suggested by their gel filtration profiles. If true, their affinity for homooligomerization is very high, since the gel filtration pattern is unaltered at very low protein concentrations. We also do not know if membrane-bound adenylyl cyclase or the soluble $IC₁ILC₂$ construct (7-9) is multimeric. However, the specific activity of IC_1 used in these studies is constant over a broad range of concentrations (200) pM to 100 nM) when assayed in the presence of saturating concentrations of HC_2 and 50 μ M FSK, and the covalent $IC₁IC₂$ construct similarly has a constant specific activity over a similar range of concentrations when activated with either FSK or FSK plus $G_{s\alpha}$ (data not shown). If the catalytic entity is dependent on the formation of homooligomers, we suggest that such structures are dominant at the protein concentrations used in this study. This justifies consideration of the data presented here in the context of the model shown in Fig. 8.

The data of Fig. 6A provide an estimate of the lower limit for the affinity of HC_2 for IC_1 in the absence of any activator: $K_1 \ge 10 \mu M$ (Fig. 8). In the presence of FSK (Fig. 6B), both a higher specific activity and a lower EC_{50} for HC_2 are apparent. The expression for fractional activation of $IC₁$ in the ^o ¹ ² presence of FSK is as follows:

$$
Act_{fr} = \frac{[ILc_2]}{[Fsk]} + [ILc_2] \left(\frac{K_7}{[Fsk]} + 1\right).
$$
 [1]

This neglects the activity due to unregulated complexes of $IC₁$ and HC_2 , which is insignificant. This derivation also relies on the assumption that K_5 is relatively large—i.e., that most of the $IIC₂$ in the assay is free and not bound to FSK. At FSK concentrations that are high relative to K_7 , Eq. 1 approximates a normal binding isotherm, where the EC_{50} is equal to K_1K_7/FSK]. From the EC₅₀ of 190 nM (Fig. 6B), $K_7 = 1 \mu M$. A similar analysis of the FSK activation curve (Fig. 7A) also provides an estimate for K_7 :

$$
Act_{fr} = \frac{[Fsk]}{K_7\left(\frac{K_1}{[HC_2]}+1\right) + [Fsk]}.
$$
 [2]

Eq. 2 yields a value for K₇ of 3.7 μ M, in-reasonable agreement with the value obtained from Eq. 1 (1 μ M).

$$
Act_{fr} = \frac{[G_{s\alpha}^*]}{K_4(\frac{K_1}{[HC_2]} + 1) + [G_{s\alpha}^*]}.
$$
 [3]

This analysis yields a value for K_4 of 0.4 μ M. Similarly, the value of K_2 must be sufficiently high to permit this analysis to be meaningful. Attempts are underway to isolate the interactions of the individual fragments with these activators to determine the values of K_2 and K_5 .

Qualitatively, it is clear that there is positive cooperativity among the four molecules involved in the formation of active complexes. The inclusion of FSK when titrating HC_2 shifts the EC₅₀ for IIC₂ from a value of $\geq 10 \mu$ M to 190 nM. Thus, this activator facilitates association of the two adenylyl cyclase fragments (K_6 is less than K_1). The inclusion of activated $G_{s\alpha}$ shifts this EC_{50} to an even lower value, indicating that $\text{G}_{s\alpha}$ and FSK both shift the equilibrium toward association of $IC₁$ and IIC_2 . The binding of these two activators is also positively cooperative with respect to each other. Thus, the presence of activated $G_{s\alpha}$ during the FSK titration lowers the EC₅₀ for FSK dramatically (Fig. $7A$), just as the presence of FSK lowers the EC_{50} for $G_{s\alpha}$ (Fig. 7B). These results are similar to those obtained with the covalently linked $IC₁IC₂$ construct (4) and the type II enzyme (10). We conclude that the presence of either activator in the active complex acts to enhance association of the other activator, as well as facilitating the interaction of the C_1 and C_2 domains of adenylyl cyclase.

This model can be confirmed and described explicitly for a single activator if the affinity of the activator for the individual protein domains $(K_2 \text{ and } K_5)$ can be determined. It is not known which of the two domains, if either, binds FSK or $G_{s\alpha}$ to any significant extent. However, the existence of this system will permit analysis of such interactions.

We thank Dr. Clive Slaughter for electron spray mass spectrometry and Dr. Elliott Ross for helpful discussions. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM34497, National Institutes of Health National Research Service Award GM16905 (to C.W.D.), the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust, and the Raymond and Ellen Willie Chair of Molecular Neuropharmacology

- 1. Taussig, R. & Gilman, A. G. (1995) J. Biol. Chem. 270, 1-4.
- 2. Sunahara, R. K., Dessauer, C. W. & Gilman, A. G. (1996) Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 36, 461-480.
- 3. Tang, W.-J. & Gilman, A. G. (1995) Science 268, 1769-1772.
4. Dessauer. C. W. & Gilman. A. G. (1996) J. Biol. Chem., in pres
- Dessauer, C. W. & Gilman, A. G. (1996) J. Biol. Chem., in press.
- 5. Tang, W.-J., Krupinski, J. & Gilman, A. G. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 8595-8603.
- 6. Smigel, M. D. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 1976-1982.
- 7. Neer, E. J., Echeverria, D. & Knox, S. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 9782-9789.
- 8. Pfeuffer, E., Dreher, R.-M., Metzger, H. & Pfeuffer, T. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 3086-3090.
- 9. Tang, W.-J., Stanzel, M. & Gilman, A. G. (1995) Biochemistry 34, 14563-14572.
- 10. Feinstein, P. G., Schrader, K. A., Bakalyar, H. A., Tang, W.-J., Krupinski, J., Gilman, A. G. & Reed, R. R. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 10173-10177.