Supporting Information

Madej et al. 10.1073/pnas.1400336111



Fig. S1. The binding affinity between D-xylose and XylE with D27N mutant measured by isothermal titration calorimetry.



Fig. S2. Comparison of the sugar binding sites. (A) The X-ray structure of XylE with bound D-glucose (PDB ID code 4GBZ; green) is aligned to the comparative model GLUT1 with docked D-glucose (orange). Labels are shown for GLUT1, bold font indicates important differences in GLUT1 (N411, 1168) compared with XylE. (B) The X-ray structure of XylE (same as in A; green) is aligned to the comparative model of GlcP_{Se} in occluded conformation with docked D-glucose (pink). Labels are shown for GlcP_{Se}, bold font indicates important differences in GlcP_{Se} (Thr19, L350, N381, T384) compared with GLUT1. Broken lines indicate polar contacts to the ligand. See Fig. 4 for comparison.



Fig. S3. Orientation of the sugar binding sites. (A) X-ray structure of XylE with bound p-glucose (PDB ID code 4GBZ). (B) Comparative model of GLUT1 and (C) comparative model of GLUT5 with docked ligands. (D) Comparative model of GlcP_{se} in occluded conformation with docked p-glucose. Residues providing polar contacts to the ligand are shown as stick model, and the respective ligands are indicated as ball-and-stick model.

Table S1. Modeling and refinement statistics

Modeling and refinement	GLUT1	GLUT5	GlcP _{Se}
dentity to XylE (%)	28.0	22.2	31.9
Total residues	468	501	467
Modeled residues	438	456	439
Completeness (%)	93.6	91.0	94.0
Z-DOPE	-0.343	-0.293	-0.668
Ramachandran plot			
Favored (%)	95.8	94.8	96.3
Outliers (%)	0.7	2.7	0.9

Other Supporting Information Files

Dataset S1 (DOCX)