Supporting Text S1- Calculations for the Feedback Gain & Overshoot.

Feedback Gain

Suppose a simple feedback system consisting of an mRNA molecule (X) that encodes a transcriptional repressor (Y), able to repress transcription from its own promoter.

Let G be the feedback gain, defined as the ratio between the steady-states of the negative feedback (X_{fb}) and the open loop (X_0) .

The dynamics of the negative feedback follow

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 k^n}{k^n + (y_t)^n} - \beta_1 x_t \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda_2 x_t - \beta_2 y_t\n\end{cases}
$$

Eq 1.

Where x is the RNA concentration, Y is the protein concentration , λ stands for production rates, β represents degradation/dilution rates, k is the feedback constant, and n is the cooperativity of the system (non-linearity of the feedback). In these condition the steady-state of the system is

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 k^n}{k^n + (y_t)^n} - \beta_1 x_t = 0\\ \frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda_2 x_t - \beta_2 y_t = 0 \end{cases}
$$

In order to simplify the calculations we replace $k^n = K$ and the steady-state for strong repression ($K + y_{ss} \approx y_{ss}$) for X follows

Eq 2.

Eq 3.

Eq 4.

$$
X_{\text{fb}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 K}{\beta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\lambda_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}
$$

Similarly the equations for the open loop

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda_1 - \beta_1 x_t \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda_2 x_t - \beta_2 y_t \end{cases}
$$

yield in steady-state

$$
X_0 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1}
$$

Therefore the feedback gain equals

$$
G = \frac{X_o}{X_{fb}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}
$$

$$
G = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}
$$

This expressions yields the following limits:

$$
\lim_{k \to 0} \left(\frac{X_o}{X_{\text{fb}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) = \lim_{k \to 0} \left(\frac{X_o}{X_{\text{fb}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) = \lim_{k \to 0} \left(\frac{X_o}{X_{\text{fb}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \right) = \infty
$$

\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{X_o}{X_{\text{fb}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k} \right)^1 = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k}
$$

\n
$$
\text{Eq 6.}
$$

This indicates that while decreasing k (increasing the affinity of the repressor for its cognate site) increases indefinitely the feedback gain, increasing n reaches a limit gain that equals the intrinsic promoter strength $(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2})$ times the inverse of the half-repression constant (k).

The gain in y is calculated in the same way, yielding

$$
G = \frac{y_o}{y_{\text{fb}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}
$$

$$
G = \frac{y_o}{y_{\text{fb}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}
$$

Which indicates that the feedback gain is equivalent for values of x and y

Feedback Overshoot existence

System of Ec. 1 produces a transient overshoot whenever x and y reach a maximum that is higher than the steady state value. A max. in x is reached iif

$$
x = \frac{dx}{dt} = 0 \text{ and } x'' = \frac{d^2 x}{dt} < 0
$$

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{K + (y_t)^n} - \beta_1 x_{\text{max}} = 0
$$

$$
x_{\text{max}} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{\beta_1 (K + (y_t)^n)} - \frac{x}{\beta_1} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{\beta_1 (K + (y_t)^n)}
$$

$$
x'' = \lambda_1 K \frac{0 - n y^{n-1} y}{(K + y^n)^2} = \lambda_1 K \frac{- n y^{n-1} y}{(K + y^n)^2}
$$

 $\frac{-n}{y^{n+1}} y' < 0$

 $-n < 0$ since there cannot be negative cooperativity $y^{n+1} > 0$ since *y* cannot take negative values $\lambda_1 K$ are always positive

then x ["] < 0 \longleftrightarrow $y' > 0$

x["] = λ₁ *K* $\frac{-n}{n+1}$

From this follows that y at $x = x_{\text{max}} y$ is always smaller than its steady state value

$$
y' = \lambda_2 x - \beta_2 y
$$

\n
$$
y' = \lambda_2 x - \beta_2 y_{x_{\text{max}}}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 K}{\beta_{1\beta}} > y_{x_{\text{max}}}^{n+1}
$$

\nSince $y_{\text{ss}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 K}{\beta_{1\beta}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 K}{\beta_{1\beta}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} > y_{x_{\text{max}}}
$$

\n
$$
y_{\text{ss}} > y_{x_{\text{max}}}
$$

Equivalent reasoning yields that $x' < 0$ at $y = y_{\text{max}}$ and $x_{\text{ss}} < x_{y_{\text{max}}}$

Gain-Overshoot relationship

The overshoot (O) is the ratio between the maximal value of X or Y and its steady-state value. In the case of an RNA

$$
O = \frac{X_{\text{max}}}{X_{\text{SS}}} = \frac{X_{\text{max}}}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1 K}{\beta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\lambda_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} = \frac{X_{\text{max}}}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\lambda_2} k\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}
$$
 Eq. 7

The higly non-linear nature of the ODE system prevents the calculation of Xmax, but in the case of strong selfrepression $(k+y \approx y)$ and high cooperativity we can linearize the system, approximating Xmax to the equivalent value for the open loop when $t = t_{x_{\text{max}}}$

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda_1 - \beta_1 x_t \text{ from } t=0 \longrightarrow t = t_{x=xmax}
$$

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{K + (y_t)^n} - \beta_1 x_t \text{ from } t = t_{x=xmax} \longrightarrow t = \infty
$$

This allows direct integration of Xmax

$$
\int \frac{dx}{dt} dt = \int_0^{t=t_{x=xmax}} (\lambda_1 - \beta_1 x_t) dt = \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1} (1 - e^{-\beta_1 t_{x=x_{max}}})
$$

This approximation holds for higly-nolinear systems, where the high cooperativity index (n) acts as an effective delay between the accumulation of the repressor (y) and the onset of repression. Therefore

$$
O_x = \frac{X_{\text{max}}}{X_{\text{SS}}} \approx \frac{\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1} (1 - e^{-\beta_1 t_{\text{mean}}})}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1 K}{\beta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1 t_{\text{max}}}\right)
$$

$$
O_x \approx \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1 t_{\text{max}}}\right) G
$$

To calculate the overshoot for y we follow the same reasoning. Linearizing x until the onset of the negative feedback, we can approximate the value of Ymax

$$
O_x = \frac{Y_{\text{max}}}{Y_{\text{SS}}}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda_2 x_t - \beta_2 y_t \text{ and } x_t \approx \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1} \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1 t}\right)
$$

\n
$$
\frac{dy}{dt} \approx \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1} \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1 t_{x = x_{\text{max}}}}\right) - \beta_2 y_t
$$

\n
$$
O_y \approx \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_1 \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1 t}\right) - \beta_2 \left(1 - e^{-\beta_2 t}\right)}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}\right)
$$

\n
$$
O_y \approx \left(\frac{\beta_1 \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1 t}\right) - \beta_2 \left(1 - e^{-\beta_2 t}\right)}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}\right) G
$$

This indicates that the $O_y \neq O_x$, and

$$
O_y = O_x \left(\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2 \frac{(1 - e^{-\beta_2})}{(1 - e^{-\beta_1})}}{\beta_1 - \beta_2} \right)
$$

Simulations indicate that these approximation holds for highly-nonlinear systems (Figure 4, main text). This condition can be met by systems where the repressor exhibits high cooperativity to its cognate binding site or where the repressor dimer/multimerization is required for binding.

Effect of multimerization

The following system includes a step of repressor dimerization

 $(k^n = K)$

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{K + (z_t)^n} - \beta_1 x_t
$$

$$
\frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda_2 x_t - \beta_2 y_t
$$

$$
\frac{dz}{dt} = c_a y - c_d z
$$

And in steady-state

$$
\frac{dz}{dt} = c_a y - c_d z
$$
\n
$$
z = \frac{c_a}{c_d} y
$$
\nTherefore

\n
$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{K + (z_{ss})^n} - \beta_1 x_{ss} = \frac{\lambda_1 K}{K + (\frac{c_a}{c_d} y_{ss})^n} - \beta_1 x_{ss} = 0
$$

 This indicates that at steady state the dimer system is formally identical to the monomeric system with the only difference of *c^a cd* multiplying y, which reflects the steady-state of the dimerization dynamics. Similarly, the gain in the dimer system can be expressed as

$$
G = \frac{X_o}{X_{fb}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{c_a}{c_d}}{\beta_1 \beta_2 k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}
$$