## Expression of cellular myc and mos genes in undifferentiated B cell lymphomas of Burkitt and non-Burkitt types

(onc genes/Burkitt lymphomas/8;14 chromosome translocation/RNA blot analysis)

ROBERT T. MAGUIRE<sup>\*</sup>, TERRY S. ROBINS<sup>†</sup>, SNORRI S. THORGEIRSSON<sup>\*</sup>, AND CAROLE A. HEILMAN<sup>\*</sup>

\*Laboratory of Carcinogen Metabolism and tLaboratory of Molecular Oncology, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205 Communicated by Edward V. Evarts, January 10, 1983

ABSTRACT Burkitt lymphomas contain reciprocal translocations between chromosome 8 and one of the chromosomes containing the immunoglobulin gene loci, prompting speculation that consequent activation of a crucial gene(s) on chromosome 8 might be involved in the generation of these tumors. Recently the human counterparts of the retroviral oncogenes v-myc and v-mos have been mapped to chromosome 8. We have, therefore, analyzed the level of transcription of the cellular myc and mos genes in a variety of undifferentiated B cell lymphomas of Burkitt and non-Burkitt type that possess either an 8;14 or an 8;22 translocation. These lines expressed 2- to 5-fold more myc-specific RNA than do B cell lines without a translocation. Tumor cell lines of American origin with an 8;14 or 8;22 translocation expressed similar amounts of mycspecific RNA. Tumor cell lines of African origin contained slightly higher levels of myc-specific RNA than did those of American origin. However, level of expression does not appear to correlate with the presence or absence of Epstein-Barr virus. Therefore, a major increase in the transcription of this gene secondary to translocation is unlikely to be the cause of Burkitt lymphoma. There was no evidence of mos-related transcripts in any of the cell lines tested.

Both Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-positive and -negative Burkitt lymphoma cells carry a specific reciprocal translocation between chromosome 8 and chromosome 14 (1, 2). Recently variant forms of Burkitt lymphoma have been described in which translocations occur between chromosome 8 and chromosomes 2 or 22 (3, 4). Studies of somatic cell hybrids between rodent cells and human B cells, in addition to in situ hybridization analyses, have shown that the human immunoglobulin heavy chain gene locus is located on human chromosome 14, specifically band 14q32 (5, 6). The genes for human  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  immunoglobulin light chains have been mapped to chromosomes 22 and 2, respectively (7, 8). Therefore Burkitt lymphoma translocations involve chromosome 8 and a chromosome carrying an immunoglobulin gene. In the Daudi Burkitt lymphoma cell line the break in chromosome 14 has been localized to the variable region of the immunoglobulin heavy chain  $(V_H$  region), resulting in the translocation of a portion of the  $V_H$  gene region to chromosome 8 (9). Moreover the  $\kappa$  immunoglobulin light chain gene is present on the short arm of chromosome 2 (band p12) in the region where the translocation occurs in Burkitt lymphoma variants (10).

The consistent involvement of chromosome 8 in these tumors has led to the speculation that activation of a cellular gene(s) located on the distal fragment of chromosome 8 is responsible for the development of Burkitt lymphoma (11). Recently, the human counterparts of two retroviral oncogenes (c-myc and c-mos) have been mapped to chromosome 8 (12, 13), specifically to regions on the long arm of chromosome 8 involved in specific

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

translocations in Burkitt lymphomas and a variety of other tumors (14). Furthermore, it is now known that c-myc is translocated near the immunoglobulin locus on chromosome 14 in several Burkitt lymphoma cell lines (R. Dalla-Favera, personal communication). Thus available information is suggestive of involvement of c-myc in Burkitt lymphomas.

The Moloney murine sarcoma virus, which contains mos sequences, produces fibrosarcomas in vivo and transforms fibroblasts in vitro (15, 16). c-mos-specific RNAs have not been detected in uninfected murine cells thus far analyzed (17). However, when the cellular mos sequences of the mouse are linked to a viral long terminal repeat, they are capable of transforming NIH 3T3 cells in DNA transfection assays (18). The human cellular mos gene has been found inactive in DNA transfection experiments even when linked to the Moloney murine sarcoma virus long terminal repeat elements (19).

In this report we have analyzed the transcriptional activity of the human c-myc and c-mos genes in a variety of undifferentiated B cell lymphoma lines of Burkitt and non-Burkitt types, in addition to B cell lines that lack the translocations associated with these tumors. These include EBV-infected cord blood lymphocytes and cell lines obtained from patients with infectious mononucleosis. c-myc-specific RNA was expressed in all lines examined, with the lymphoma lines consistently expressing slightly higher (2- to 5-fold) levels than lines without any translocation. There was no evidence of c-mos expression in any of the lines tested.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines. Cell lines used in this study were provided by Ian Magrath (Pediatric Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute). Many of the growth and surface marker characteristics of these cells have been recently described (20-22). Cells were passaged in RPMI 1640 medium with glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin with 20% fetal calf serum (GIBCO).

Probes and Nick Translation. The 3.5-kilobase (kb) Sac <sup>I</sup> fragment of c-myc (chicken) was used in this study. The 2.75-kb EcoRI fragment of hu-mos (human) (pHM2A) was the gift of George Vande Woude (Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, National Cancer Institute). The cloning of these genes has been described (19, 23). The probes were nick-translated to specific activities of approximately  $2 \times 10^8$  cpm/ $\mu$ g of cloned DNA as described  $(24)$ .

RNA Isolation, Fractionation, and Hybridization. Total cellular RNA was extracted from approximately  $2 \times 10^9$  cells in the logarithmic phase of growth by using a modified guanidine hydrochloride extraction technique (25). The poly(A)-containing fraction was twice purified by chromatography on oligo(dT)-cellulose columns (26).

Abbreviations: EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; EBNA, EBV nuclear antigen; kb, kilobase(s); NaCI/Cit, 0.15 M NaCl/O.015 M sodium citrate.

Five micrograms of poly(A)-selected RNAs was fractionated by electrophoresis on horizontal agarose gels containing 2.2 M formaldehyde (27, 28) or <sup>10</sup> mM methylmercury hydroxide (29). After electrophoresis RNAs were stained in 0.2 M ammonium acetate containing ethidium bromide  $(5 \mu g/ml)$ , destained after several rinses in distilled water, and photographed under UV light. RNAs were blotted directly on nitrocellulose filters (Schleicher & Schuell, type HA85) with  $20 \times$  NaCl/Cit (1 $\times$ NaCl/Cit is 0.15 M sodium chloride/0.015 M sodium citrate) (30). Filters were baked overnight at 60°C or for 2 hr at 80°C in <sup>a</sup> vacuum oven. Filter-bound DNAwas hybridized overnight at 60°C with the appropriate 32P-labeled probe in a solution consisting of 50% (vol/vol) formamide,  $5 \times$  NaCl/Cit, 0.045 M Na2HPO4, 0.005 M NaH2PO4, denatured yeast RNA (type III) at 400  $\mu$ g/ml, 0.2% Ficoll, 0.2% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.2% bovine serum albumin, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate.

## RESULTS

Detection and Quantitation of c-myc RNA in B Cell Lines. Table <sup>1</sup> summarizes the various B cell lines used in this study. The cell lines are divided into five categories and include EBV nuclear antigen (EBNA)-negative American Burkitt and non-Burkitt lymphoma lines with an 8;14 translocation, EBNA-positive American Burkitt lymphoma lines with an 8;22 translocation, EBNA-positive African Burkitt lymphoma lines with an 8;14 translocation, EBNA-positive cord blood lymphocyte lines, and EBNA-positive B cell lines from patients in the acute phase of infectious mononucleosis.

To determine the size and relative quantity of c-myc specific RNAs transcribed in the B cell lines, poly(A)-selected RNA was isolated from whole cells, denatured, and separated by electro-

Table 1. Derivation and EBNA status of cell lines\*

				Trans-
Cell line	Code	Classification	<b>EBNA</b>	location
Landis	<b>JLPC 119</b>	<b>American Burkitt</b> lymphoma		8:14
JD-PB	JD 38	Undifferentiated lymphoma		8:14
JD-A	JD 39	Undifferentiated lymphoma		8:14
<b>BM-1</b>	PA 682 BM 1	<b>American Burkitt</b> lymphoma	$\ddot{}$	8;22
$BM-2$	PA 682 BM 2	<b>American Burkitt</b> lymphoma	$\div$	8:22
PE-1	PA 682 PE 1	<b>American Burkitt</b> lymphoma	$\ddot{}$	8;22
PE-2	PA 682 PE 2	<b>American Burkitt</b> lymphoma	$\ddot{}$	8:22
Raji	Raji	African Burkitt lymphoma	$\ddot{}$	8:14
Daudi	Daudi	<b>African Burkitt</b> lymphoma	$+$	8:14
<b>Namalva</b>	Namalva	<b>African Burkitt</b> lymphoma	$\div$	8:14
<b>Eckhert</b>	<b>IME 182</b>	Infectious mono- nucleosis	$+$	
Hotz	<b>IMH 182</b>	Infectious mono- nucleosis	$\ddot{}$	
<b>Bell</b>	<b>IMB 182</b>	Infectious mono- nucleosis	$\div$	
<b>CB 23</b>	CB 23	Cord blood lym- phocytes	$\div$	

\* I. T. Magrath and J. Whang-Peng, personal communication; and see refs. 20-22.

phoresis through formaldehyde/agarose gels. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide to verify that equal concentrations of intact RNA were used in comparative analyses prior to transfer onto nitrocellulose membranes as described in Materials and Methods. The transfer of RNA species onto nitrocellulose was complete for species less than 4.8 kb.

The c-myc probe detected a major 2.7-kb transcript in all lines tested (Fig. 1). Occasionally minor bands of various sizes between 5 and 6 kb were detected with this probe, but they were not considered further due to their highly inconsistent presence. The intensity of the 2.7-kb band was slightly increased (2 to 5-fold) in the tumor lines when compared to the translocation-negative cord blood and infectious mononucleosis lines. These results were confirmed in experiments in which a 2- to 5-fold dilution of tumor cell RNA was necessary to bring the intensity of the c-myc band to the level of control RNA (Fig. 2). The lymphomas of American origin expressed similar amounts of c-myc-specific RNA whether they possessed an 8;14 or an 8;22 translocation (compare the first three lanes with the last two lanes in Fig. 1). The tumor lines of African origin expressed slightly more c-myc-related RNA than those of American origin. No comparable amplification of the human c-myc-specific DNA sequences was demonstrated (data not shown). The presence of EBV does not appear to directly enhance c-myc expression as demonstrated by a comparison of EBNA-positive and -negative American lymphoma lines. However, the absence of EBNA expression in cell lines does not necessarily preclude the presence of EBV DNA sequences other than those encoding the EBNA gene product. c-myc expression in the HL60human promyelocytic leukemiacell line is increased approximately 10-fold over control cell lines, as previously reported (31).

Lack of c-mos RNA Expression in Human B Cell Lines. To determine the relative expression of c-mos RNA in the B cell



FIG. 1. Hybridization of c-myc (chicken) to poly(A)-selected RNAs. Poly(A)-selected RNAs (5  $\mu$ g) were fractionated by electrophoresis on horizontal agarose gels containing 2.2 M formaldehyde. RNAs were<br>transferred to a nitrocellulose filter and hybridized to a<sup>32</sup>P-labeled cloned nick-translated c-myc probe. Landis, JD-PB, and JD-A are EBNA-negative tumor cell lines of American origin containing an 8;14 translocation. Eckhert and Hotz are EBNA-positive translocation-negative B cell lines from patients with infectious mononucleosis. CB 23 is an EBNApositive translocation-negative cord blood B lymphocyte line. Raji and Daudi are EBNA-positive African Burkitt lymphoma lines that contain an 8;14 translocation. BM-1 and BM-2 are separate lines derived from the same patient and are EBNA-positive American Burkitt lymphoma lines with an 8;22 translocation. HL-60 is a human promyelocytic leukemia cell line and was the gift of R. C. Gallo (National Cancer Institute)



FIG. 2. Hybridization of c-myc (chicken) to dilutions of poly(A)-selected RNAs. Various amounts (5, 2.5, or 1  $\mu$ g) of poly(A)-selected RNAs were fractionated by electrophoresis on horizontal agarose gels containing 2.2 M formaldehyde. RNAs were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed prior to transfer to nitrocellulose filters and hybridization to nick-translated c-myc probe. Ethidium bromide stained RNAs pictured above correspond to RNAs directly below, which were hybridized to the c-myc probe.

lines, poly(A)-selected RNA isolated from whole cells sandpHM2A DNA were denatured and separated by electrophoresis through methylmercury/agarose gels and treated as descr ibed above.

No c-*mos*-specific RNA was detected in any of the lines tested (Fig. 3), although the c-mos (human) probe was capable of detecting as little as 25 pg of c-mos-containing DNA. The presence of intact RNA species was also verified by rehybridization of these filters with  $c$ -myc, again revealing the presence of the  $c$ -



FIG. 3. Lack of hybridization of c-mos (human) to poly(A)-selected RNAs. Poly(A)-selected RNAs (5  $\mu$ g) and 2.5, 0.25, and 0.025 ng of pHM2A DNA were fractionated by electrophoresis on horizontal agarose gels containing <sup>10</sup> mM methylmercury hydroxid transferred to a nitrocellulose filter and hybridized to the <sup>32</sup>P-labeled nick-translated pHM2A c-mos-containing probe. BM-1, PE-2, and PE-1 are separate lines derived from the same patient. Cell lines are described in Table 1.

myc-specific 2.7-kb transcript (data not shown). The hu-mos 2.75 kb EcoRI DNA fragment was identified in all cell lines (data not shown).

## DISCUSSION

The recent localization of the human c-myc and c-mos genes to chromosome 8 has led to speculation that activation of one or both of these genes by translocation might be involved in the genesis of Burkitt lymphomas. Activation of c-myc by avian leukosis virus is associated with B cell lymphomas of the bursa in chickens (32), a tumor similar in many respects to Burkitt lymphomas of man. Recently Dalla-Faveraetal. have demonstrated that c-myc (human) is translocated very near the immunoglobulin heavy chain locus on chromosome 14 in several Burkitt lym-<br>phoma lines studied thus far (R. Dalla-Favera, personal communication). Transfection studies with NIH 3T3 mouse cells, however, do not yield c-myc as the transforming element from chicken bursal lymphomas (33). We have similarly failed to find evidence of human c-myc sequences in DNAs from NIH 3T3 primary transfectants in which the transformed phenotype has been induced by Burkitt lymphoma DNAs, though the murine c-myc sequences can be easily detected (unpublished observation). These data indicate that although activation of c-myc may be involved in the oncogenesis of certain B cell lymphomas, other cellular gene(s) derived from either bursal or Burkitt lymphomas have potential oncogenic activity. c-myc activation therefore may represent a necessary event in a multistage process leading to tumor formation.

Our data demonstrate a 2- to 5-fold increase in c-myc expression by Burkitt lymphoma lines when compared to EBV-"immortalized" B cell lines. No comparable amplification of the human myc-specific DNA sequence was demonstrated (data not shown). Tumor cell lines of American origin with  $8;14$  or  $8;22$ translocations express similar amounts of  $c$ -myc RNA. The presence or absence of EBV does not correlate with the level of cthe <sup>32</sup>P-labeled myc expression. The slight increase in c-myc RNA expression in<br>PE-2, and PE-<br>Mirican lines when compared to those of American origin could possibly be explained by the slightly different stage of B cell differentiation that these tumors represent (34).

In avian leukosis virus-induced bursal lymphomas of the chicken, c-myc RNA expression is at least 30-fold increased over control tissues (32). In the HL-60 promyelocytic leukemia cell line c-myc RNA expression is increased 10-fold over controls (31), apparently due to an amplification of the c-myc gene (35, 36). This amplification is also present in the primary leukemia cells of the patient from which the line was derived, suggesting that increased levels of c-myc expression may have been involved in the leukemic transformation of this patient's cells (36).

The small and variable increase in c-myc expression among the lymphomas examined in the present study argues against increased transcription of this gene being the cause of Burkitt tumors. In addition it seems that c-myc may be translocated to the inactive unrearranged chromosome 14 in these tumors (9), making "up-regulation" by insertion near an active promoter region less likely. The lack of control populations of B cells at the same stage of differentiation as Burkitt tumor cells further challenges the significance of the small differences in c-myc expression seen here. Changes in c-myc gene environment secondary to translocation might lead to a new or altered promoter region no longer subject to normal regulatory controls. The altered regulation of this gene without markedly increasing its transcription might be associated with tumor formation. Subtle qualitative differences in the c-myc transcript in Burkitt tumors is another possibility that cannot be ruled out by our experiments. Alternatively, c-myc may not be involved in the generation of Burkitt lymphomas, its translocation in these tumors being a coincidental finding.

There is no evidence of c-mos transcription in our cell lines, and it is therefore unlikely that this gene plays a role in the generation of Burkitt lymphomas.

We thank Eric Westin for his critical review of this manuscript and Larry Linder for his excellent technical assistance. We thank Frances Williams for assistance in preparation of the manuscript.

- 1. Manolov, G. & Manolova, Y. (1972) Nature (London) 237, 33-34.<br>2. Zech. L., Haglund, U., Nilsson, K. & Klein, G. (1976) Int. I. Can-
- 2. Zech, L., Haglund, U., Nilsson, K. & Klein, G. (1976) Int.J. Cancer 17, 47-56.
- 3. Van denBerghe, H., Parloir, C., Gosseye, S., Englebienne, U., Cornu, G. & Sokal, G. (1979) Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. 1, 9-14.
- 4. Bernheim, A., Berger, R. & Lenoir, G. (1981) Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. 3, 307-316.
- 5. Croce, C. M., Shander, M., Martinis, J., Circurel, L., D'Anconna, G. G., Dolby, T. W. & Koprowski, H. (1979) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 76, 3416-3419.
- 6. Kirsch, I. R., Morton, C. C., Nakahara, K. & Leder, P. (1982) Science 216, 301-303.
- 7. Erikson, J., Martinis, J. & Croce, C. M. (1981) Nature (London) 294, 173-175.
- 8. McBride, 0. W., Hieter, P. A., Hollis, G. F., Swan, D., Otey, M. C. & Leder, P. (1982)J. Exp. Med. 155, 1480-1490.
- 9. Erikson, J., Finan, J., Nowell, P. C. & Croce, C. M. (1982) Proc. NatL Acad. Sci. USA 79, 5611-5615.
- 10. Malcolm, S., Barton, P., Murphy, C., Ferguson-Smith, M. A., Bentley, D. L. & Rabbitts, T. H. (1982) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4957-4961.
- 11. Klein, G. (1981) Nature (London) 294, 313-318.
- 12. Dalla-Favera, R., Bregni, M., Erikson, J., Patterson, D., Gallo, R. C. & Croce, C. M. (1982) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 79, 7824- 7827.
- 13. Prakash, K., McBride, 0. W., Swan, D. C., Devare, S. G., Tronick, S. R. & Aaronson, S. A. (1982) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 79, 5210-5214.
- 14. Neel, B. G., Jhanwar, S. C., Chaganti, R. S. K. & Hayward, W. S. (1982) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 79, 7842-7846.
- 15. Moloney, J. B. (1966) Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr. 22, 139-142.<br>16. Aaronson, S. A., Jainchill, J. L. & Todaro, G. (1970) Proc. Nat
- Aaronson, S. A., Jainchill, J. L. & Todaro, G. (1970) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 66, 1236-1243.
- 17. Gattoni, S., Kirschmeier, P., Weinstein, J., Escobedo, J. & Dina, D. (1982) Mol CelL Biol. 2, 42-51.
- 18. Blair, D. G., Oskarsson, M., Wood, T. G., McClements, W. L., Fischinger, P. J. & Vande Woude, G. F. (1981) Science 212, 941- 943.
- 19. Watson, R., Oskarsson, M. & Vande Woude, G. F. (1982) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4078-4082.
- 20. Benjamin, D., Magrath, I. T., Maguire, R., Janus, C., Todd, H. D. & Parsons, R. G. (1982) *J. Immunol.* 129, 1336–1342.
- 21. Magrath, I. T., Pizzo, P. A., Whang-Peng, J., Douglas, E. C., Alabaster, O., Gerber, P., Freeman, C. B. & Novikovs, L. (1980) J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 64, 465-476.
- 22. Magrath, I. T., Freeman, C. B., Pizzo, P. A., Gadek, J., Jaffe, E., Santaella, M., Hammer, C., Frank, M., Reaman, G. & Novikovs, L. (1980) J. Natl, Cancer Inst. 64, 477-483.
- 23. Robins, T., Bister, K., Garon, C., Papas, T. & Duesberg, P. (1982) J. Virol 41, 635-642.
- 24. Rigby, P. W. J., Dieckmann, M., Rhodes, C. & Berg, P. (1977)J. Mol Biol 113, 237-251.
- 25. Deeley, R. G., Gordon, J. I., Burns, A. T. H., Mullinix, K. P., Bina-Stein, M. & Goldberger, R. F. (1977) J. Biol. Chem. 252, 8310-8319.
- 26. Aviv, H. & Leder, P. (1972) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 69, 1408- 1412.
- 27. Rave, N., Crkvenjakov, R. & Boedtker, H. (1979) Nucleic Acids Res. 6, 3559-3567.
- 28. Heilman, C. A., Engel, L., Lowy, D. R. & Howley, P. M. (1982) Virology 119, 22-34.
- 29. Bailey, J. M. & Davidson, N. (1976) Anal. Biochem. 70, 75–85.<br>30. Thomas, P. S. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 5201–5205.
- Thomas, P. S. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 5201-5205.
- 31. Westin, E. W., Wong-Staal, F., Gelmann, E. P., Dalla-Favera, R., Papas, T. S., Lautenberger, J. A., Eva, A., Reddy, E. P.,<br>Tronick, S. R., Aaronson, S. A. & Gallo, R. C. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 2490-2494.
- 32. Hayward, W. S., Neel, B. G. & Astrin, S. A. (1981) Nature (London) 290, 475-480.
- 33. Cooper, G. M. & Neiman, P. E. (1981) Nature (London) 292, 857- 858.
- 34. Freeman, C. B., Magrath, I. T., Benjamin, D., Makuch, R., Douglas, E. C. & Santaella, M. L. (1982) Clin. Immunol Immunopath. 25, 103-111.
- 35. Collins, S. & Groudine, M. (1982) Nature (London) 298, 679-681.
- 36. Dalla-Favera, R., Wong-Staal, F. & Gallo, R. C. (1982) Nature (London) 299, 61-63.