Multicenter Open-label Phase II Study of Sequential Sorafenib-Radio embolization Therapy for Inoperable Hepatocellular Carcinoma

## **TREND Statement Checklist**

TREND State		CHCCKHSt Heren i heren i kan de la		ANGEN SEE
State of the state				below available
Title and Abst	ract			
Title and	1	Information on how unit were allocated to interventions	1	problem to the transfer of the
Abstract		Structured abstract recommended		Sabstract
		Information on target population or study sample		7
Introduction				
Background	2	Scientific background and explanation of rationale		Introduction
		Theories used in designing behavioral interventions	NA	
Methods	6.54		43 143	
Participants	3	Eligibility criteria for participants, including criteria at different levels in		
		recruitment/sampling plan (e.g., cities, clinics, subjects)		
-		Method of recruitment (e.g., referral, self-selection), including the		Laway
		sampling method if a systematic sampling plan was implemented		( design
		Recruitment setting		(0) [
·		Settings and locations where the data were collected		/ Patients
Interventions	4	Details of the interventions intended for each study condition and how		<i>)</i>
		and when they were actually administered, specifically including:		
		o Content: what was given?	1/_	
		o Delivery method: how was the content given?	-	Rodic
		<ul> <li>Unit of delivery: how were the subjects grouped during delivery?</li> <li>Deliverer: who delivered the intervention?</li> </ul>	//	lembolization,
		Deliverer: who delivered the intervention?     Setting: where was the intervention delivered?	+	Sordenib,
		o Exposure quantity and duration: how many sessions or episodes or		ASSESSMENT
		events were intended to be delivered? How long were they intended to last?	/	follow-up
		<ul> <li>Time span: how long was it intended to take to deliver the intervention to each unit?</li> </ul>	/	
		o Activities to increase compliance or adherence (e.g., incentives)	/	
Objectives	5	Specific objectives and hypotheses		
Outcomes	6	Clearly defined primary and secondary outcome measures	/	/ Endpoints
		Methods used to collect data and any methods used to enhance the quality of measurements	_	statistica!
		Information on validated instruments such as psychometric and biometric		arcilysis
		properties	NA	
Sample Size	7	How sample size was determined and, when applicable, explanation of any		
		interim analyses and stopping rules		<u>                                     </u>
Assignment	8	Unit of assignment (the unit being assigned to study condition, e.g.,		End points
Method		individual, group, community)		4
		Method used to assign units to study conditions, including details of any		(Statistica)
		restriction (e.g., blocking, stratification, minimization)		
		Inclusion of aspects employed to help minimize potential bias induced due		analysis
		to non-randomization (e.g., matching)		V

## **TREND Statement Checklist**

Blinding (masking)	9	<ul> <li>Whether or not participants, those administering the interventions, and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to study condition assignment; if so, statement regarding how the blinding was accomplished and how it was assessed.</li> </ul>	NA	open-lak
Unit of Analysis	10	Description of the smallest unit that is being analyzed to assess intervention effects (e.g., individual, group, or community)	/	
		<ul> <li>If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis)</li> </ul>	/	Endpoi
Statistical Methods	11	Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data	/	Statisti
		Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis	_	analys
·		Methods for imputing missing data, if used		
		Statistical software or programs used		
Results				
Participant flow	12	Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment,	Promising	Non-transfer designation (1)
		assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a		
		diagram is strongly recommended)		
		<ul> <li>Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility,</li> </ul>		
		found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study	/	
		<ul> <li>Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition</li> </ul>	/	
		<ul> <li>Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who received each intervention</li> </ul>	/	
		<ul> <li>Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow-up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition</li> </ul>	/	
		<ul> <li>Analysis: the number of participants included in or excluded from the main analysis, by study condition</li> </ul>	/	Results
		Description of protocol deviations from study as planned, along with reasons	_	
Recruitment	13	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up		#
Baseline Data	14	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in each		
		study condition		<del>                                      </del>
		Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease properties research.		
		disease prevention research		
		<ul> <li>Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition</li> </ul>	_	
		<ul> <li>Comparison between study population at baseline and target population of interest</li> </ul>	_	
Baseline	15	Data on study group equivalence at baseline and statistical methods used		
equivalence		to control for baseline differences		

## **TREND Statement Checklist**

Numbers	16	Number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis for each	N	
analyzed		study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different		
		outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible		
	•	Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if		
		not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses		
Outcomes and	17	For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each		
estimation		estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence		İ
	ļ	interval to indicate the precision	Ш_	
		Inclusion of null and negative findings	$\zeta$	Result
		Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through		
		which the intervention was intended to operate, if any		
Ancillary	18	Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted	П	
analyses	1	analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory		
Adverse events	19	Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each	П	
		study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and		
		confidence intervals)	ノー	
DISCUSSION		randonia. Antigrati 1990 de l'Allandonia de l'Allandonia de l'Allandonia de l'Allandonia de l'Allandonia de l'Allandonia		ings som
Interpretation	20	Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses,		
		sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses,	/	
		and other limitations or weaknesses of the study	<u> </u>	
		Discussion of results taking into account the mechanism by which the		
·		intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative		
	!	mechanisms or explanations		
		• Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention,		
		fidelity of implementation		13 Dixw
		Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications	/	
Generalizability	21	Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account		
		the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of	_ ا	
		follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in		
		the study, and other contextual issues		
Overall	22	General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence		
Evidence		and current theory	-	$\cup$

From: Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N., & the Trend Group (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. American Journal of Public Health, 94, 361-366. For more information, visit: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/">https://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/</a>