

## **Supporting Information**

© Copyright Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, 2012

## Electronic Structure Analysis of the Oxygen-Activation Mechanism by Fe<sup>II</sup>- and α-Ketoglutarate (αKG)-Dependent Dioxygenases

Shengfa Ye,<sup>[a]</sup> Christoph Riplinger,<sup>[a]</sup> Andreas Hansen,<sup>[a]</sup> Carsten Krebs,<sup>[b]</sup> J. Martin Bollinger, Jr.,<sup>[b]</sup> and Frank Neese<sup>\*[a]</sup>

chem\_201102829\_sm\_miscellaneous\_information.pdf

Geometric and electronic structures of O2 adducts

The molecular orbital diagram for the septet {FeO<sub>2</sub>}<sup>8</sup> species (Figure S1) displays that of five metal d-based MOs four are singly occupied, and one is doubly occupied. The last two spin-up SOMOs are essentially O<sub>2</sub>  $\pi^*$ -orbitals. By inspection, the bonding MO referred to as Fe-d<sub>xz</sub> in Figure 5 contains nearly equal contributions from the O<sub>2</sub>- $\pi_{ip}^*$  and the Fe-d<sub>xz</sub> fragment orbitals (60% Fe *vs* 34% O<sub>2</sub>), and so does its singly occupied antibonding partner denoted as O<sub>2</sub>- $\pi_{ip}^*$ . Therefore, the bonding pattern is best rationalized by two resonance electronic structures, both of which are BS(6,0) solutions: a) a HS Fe<sup>II</sup> center ( $S_{Fe} = 2$ ) ferromagnetically coupled to a triplet O<sub>2</sub> ( $S_{O2} = 1$ ) and b) a HS Fe<sup>III</sup> ion ( $S_{Fe} = 5/2$ ) bound to an O<sub>2</sub><sup>-•</sup> radical ligand with  $S_{O2} = 1/2$  in a ferromagnetic fashion. Relative to the resting state, it becomes evident that the bound O<sub>2</sub> group is partially reduced upon binding to the iron center. The calculated isomer shift for the septet {FeO<sub>2</sub>}<sup>8</sup> species is 0.83 mm/s, which is between typical values of HS Fe<sup>II</sup> and HS Fe<sup>III</sup>, supporting our interpretation that the electronic structure is best described by the above two resonance structures.



Figure S1. Schematic MO diagram for the septet O<sub>2</sub> adduct, quasi-restricted orbitals were employed.

The electronic structure of the quintet  $\{FeO_2\}^8$  species may be best interpreted either as a HS ferric ion ( $S_{Fe} = 5/2$ ) antiferromagnetically coupled to a superoxo anion radical ( $S_{O2} = 1/2$ ) referred to as a BS(5,1) solution; or as a HS Fe<sup>II</sup> ( $S_{Fe} = 2$ ) center coordinated to a neutral  ${}^1O_2$  approached by a BS(4,0) calculation. Both initial guesses eventually converged to the same BS(5,1) state. As depicted in Figure S2, five SOMOs in the spin-up manifold have predominantly Fe-3d character. In the spin-down set one unpaired electron resides in the  $\pi_{op}^*$ -orbital of the O<sub>2</sub>-fragment, thereby yielding an overall quintet state. The other O<sub>2</sub>  $\pi^*$ -orbital is doubly occupied. Thus, the bonding situation defines a

HS Fe<sup>III</sup> center ( $S_{Fe} = 5/2$ ) that is antiferromagnetically coupled to a  ${}^{2}O_{2}^{-\bullet}$  ( $S_{O2} = 1/2$ )  $\pi$ -radical. Consistent with this description, the calculated Fe isomer shift of 0.56 mm/s is typical of HS Fe<sup>III</sup>. Inspection of the doubly occupied  $O_2 \pi_{ip}^{*}$ -orbital reveals that there is a weak bonding interaction between the uncoordinated O-atom in  $O_2$  and the C2 atom in  $\alpha$ KG. However, this weak bonding is absent in the corresponding septet species, which explains the shorter C-O distance in the quintet species relative to that in the septet analogue.



Figure S2. Schematic MO diagram for the quintet  $O_2$  adduct, the spin coupled pair represents unrestricted corresponding orbitals, whereas for the remaining orbitals quasirestricted orbitals were employed.

The electronic structure of the triplet  ${FeO_2}^8$  species was calculated using the three different initial guesses, namely, BS(2,0), BS(3,1) and BS(4,2). The BS(2,0) solution may be used to describe an intermediate-spin (IS)  $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$  ion ( $S_{\text{Fe}} = 1$ ) bound to a singlet  $O_2$ ligand with  $S_{O2} = 0$  or a low-spin (LS) ferrous center ( $S_{Fe} = 0$ ) coordinated to a triplet  $O_2$ ligand with  $S_{O2} = 1$ . The BS(3,1) state is thought to correspond to antiferromagnetic coupling between an IS ferric center ( $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$ ) and an  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  radical ligand ( $S_{\text{O2}} = 1/2$ ). By contrast, a HS  $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$  ion ( $S_{\text{Fe}} = 2$ ) that is antiferromagnetically coupled to a ground state  $O_2$ ligand ( $S_{02} = 1$ ) is approached by the BS(4,2) calculation. However, the calculations with the guesses BS(2,0) and BS(3,1) always converged to the same BS(4,2) solution. A molecular orbital diagram obtained from the optimized structure of the triplet  ${FeO_2}^8$ species is presented in Figure 7. In the upper valence region one can identify one doubly occupied and four singly occupied metal-based 3d orbitals in the spin-up set and two singly occupied O<sub>2</sub>  $\pi^*$ -orbitals in the spin-down manifold. Two pathways of spin coupling are evident: a  $\pi$ -pathway involving the Fe d<sub>yz</sub>- and the O<sub>2</sub>  $\pi_{op}^{*}$ -orbitals and a  $\sigma$ pathway consisting of the Fe d<sub>z2</sub>- and O<sub>2</sub>  $\pi_{ip}^*$ -orbitals. As anticipated, this orbital occupation pattern is best rationalized by a HS ferrous center ( $S_{Fe} = 2$ ) interacting with a neutral triplet  $O_2$  ligand ( $S_{O2} = 1$ ) in an antiferromagnetic manner. Accordingly, the calculated Fe isomer shift of 1.02 mm/s is typical of HS Fe<sup>II</sup>.



Figure S3. Schematic MO diagram for the triplet  $O_2$  adduct, the spin coupled pair represents unrestricted corresponding orbitals, whereas for the remaining orbitals quasirestricted orbitals were employed.



Figure S4. Schematic MO diagram for the septet Fe<sup>III</sup>-oxyl species, quasi-restricted orbitals were employed.



Figure S5. Schematic MO diagram for the peroxo-succinate ferrous complex, quasirestricted orbitals were employed.



Figure S6. Schematic MO diagram for <sup>5</sup>TS2, the spin coupled pair represents unrestricted corresponding orbitals, whereas for the remaining orbitals quasi-restricted orbitals were employed.



Figure S7. Schematic MO diagram for the half-bond intermediate, the spin coupled pair represents unrestricted corresponding orbitals, whereas for the remaining orbitals quasi-restricted orbitals were employed.



Figure S8. Schematic MO diagram for <sup>5</sup>TS3, the spin coupled pair represents unrestricted corresponding orbitals, whereas for the remaining orbitals quasi-restricted orbitals were employed.



Figure S9. Schematic MO diagram for the quintet Fe<sup>IV</sup>-oxo complex, quasi-restricted orbitals were employed.