

Supplementary File 4

Primer sequence overview

Primers were custom made with the software Perlprimer (<http://perlprimer.sourceforge.net/>) or obtained from the RT Primer Database (<http://medgen.ugent.be/rprimerdb/>), and are listed below. For normalization the following reference genes were used: beta-2-microglobulin, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 and ribosomal protein L19. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used for all statistical comparisons. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Gene Symbol	Name	Forward Primer	Position	Length	Tm	Reverse Primer	Position	Length	Tm	Amplicon Size
<i>KRT19</i>	keratin 19	CTTCCGAACCAAGTTGAGAC	633	21	61.48	AGCGTACTGTATTCCTCCTC	815	20	61.36	182
<i>KRT7</i>	keratin 7	GGACATCGAGATGCCACCT	1281	20	60	ACCGCCACTGCTACTGCCA	1386	19	60	124
<i>PROM1</i>	prominin 1	TGGATGCAGAACTTGACACGT	1049	22	60	ATACCTGCTACGACAGTCGTGGT	1159	23	60	133
<i>GSTP1</i>	glutathione S-transferase pi 1	TGTCGGGTGGTAAGGAGATAG	583	22	60	TTGCCCTTAGGAGACTCCAAC	788	22	60	225
<i>HNF4A</i>	hepatocyte nuclear factor 4, alpha	GTACTCCTGCAGATTAGCC	367	20	60.20	CTGTCTCATAGCTTGACCT	528	20	61.01	161
<i>ABCC2</i>	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C (CFTR/MRP), member 2	TACCACCAAACCTATCTTGCTAACG	318	26	60	AGTACAAGGGCCAGCTATGG	377	22	60	81
<i>JAG1</i>	jagged 1 (Alagille syndrome)	AATGGGTGAAAGGAAAGAC	2495	20	60.20	TGTTTCGGCTATGTTACAG	2648	20	60.05	153
<i>JAG2</i>	jagged 2	CTGGAGGGTGACTATTACTG	1949	20	58.88	AGTCGTCAATGTTCTATGG	2207	20	60.05	258
<i>NOTCH1</i>	Notch homolog 1, translocation-associated (Drosophila)	GTGACTGCTCCCTCAACTCAAT	4429	23	60	CTGTCACAGTGGCCGTCACT	4500	20	60	91
<i>NOTCH2</i>	Notch homolog 2 (Drosophila)	GTCTCAGTGGATAAGTGTCTC	2465	23	59.78	ACCAGATTGTACAAGTCTC	2582	21	61.67	117
<i>NOTCH3</i>	Notch homolog 3 (Drosophila)	TCTTCCAGATTCTCATCCGA	5735	20	60.05	CATCCACAGCATTGACATCAG	5884	21	61.69	149
<i>S100A6</i>	S100 calcium binding protein A6	CTGCAGGATGCTGAAATTGC	455	20	60	GGAAGTTCACCTCTGGCCTT	503	22	60	70
<i>CTBP2</i>	C-terminal binding protein 2	CATCAGCGCTTGGTCAGTA	1524	20	60	TGCCTGGCGGATATCTGTATG	1584	21	60	81
<i>FAM57A</i>	family with sequence similarity 57, member A	ACTCTTGGAAACTCCTAACGTC	365	22	59.78	CTTTAGCTGAATCAGAACCT	550	21	59.77	185
<i>TACSTD2</i>	tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2	GTAGCCTCATTTACCATCGT	1794	20	59.55	TCCTCAAAGACATCAAACGT	1895	21	60.26	101
<i>MTSS1</i>	metastasis suppressor 1	TAGTGTTAAGAAAGCAAGCAAGTC	4156	25	60	GAGGGTTCGGTCAGAAATGTG	4206	21	60	71
<i>ANXA3</i>	annexin A3	GACATTAGTCCGAAACATCTG	736	22	59.40	TTTCATCTCTGCCATCTG	808	21	60.12	72
<i>VASP</i>	vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein	GAGAAGAACAGCACAAACCT	1272	19	59.83	GAAGCTCTGTTCACCC	1395	18	60.23	123
<i>RAB25</i>	RAB25, member RAS oncogene family	GACCAATCTACTCTCCGA	300	19	60.06	CACGATAGTACGCCGAG	475	17	59.19	175
<i>RAB27B</i>	RAB27B, member RAS oncogene family	GACCAATCTACTCTCCGA	300	19	60.06	CACGATAGTACGCCGAG	475	17	59.19	175
<i>PDGFRA</i>	platelet-derived growth factor receptor, alpha polypeptide	GGCATTCTTGCAATACTGCTAA	5518	24	60	CATCTGCCATAGCACAGTGA	5586	21	60	89
<i>LAMB1</i>	laminin, beta 1	TTCCAAGTTGCCAGCCC	2916	17	60.28	GCCAAGCACCTTCACAG	3033	18	59.40	117
<i>LAMC2</i>	laminin, gamma 2	GAAACACTAACATTCTGCCTC	2526	22	59.75	TTCCGCTTCCGACTCT	2726	17	60.37	200
<i>IQGAP1</i>	IQ motif containing GTPase activating protein 1	GAAAGCCCAGGAAATCCAG	1867	19	60.67	TCCATACAAGCCAACATCAG	2065	20	60.05	198
<i>IQGAP2</i>	IQ motif containing GTPase activating protein 2	AGTGGTTAAGAGCGATGGA	565	19	60.60	GATTTCTCTCTGTGAAGTC	758	21	60.12	193
<i>B2M</i>	beta-2-microglobulin	ACAGCCCAAGATAGTTAAGTG	383	21	59.77	ATCTCAACCTCCATGATGC	443	21	60.74	60
<i>GAPDH</i>	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	CAAGATCATCAGCAATGCCT	533	20	60.78	CAGGGATGATGTTCTGGAGAG	726	21	61.99	193
<i>HPRT1</i>	hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1	ATAAGCCAGACTTTGGGA	605	20	59.23	CTCAACTTGAACTCTCATCTTAGG	760	24	60.76	155
<i>RPL19</i>	ribosomal protein L19	ATGAGTATGCTCAGGCTTCAG	62	21	61.99	GATCAGCCCCATTTGATGAG	211	21	60.88	149

Method Side Population analysis

Immediately after surgical removal of the tumour (resection samples), part of the tissue was fixed in 6% formalin and embedded in paraffin (FFPE), while the other part was freshly cut in small blocks of 1mm by 1mm to be frozen overnight in Recovery™ Cell Culture Freezing Medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) on -80°C and subsequently stored in liquid nitrogen. The histopathological diagnosis of HCC was done according to the World Health Organization criteria and were further subdivided into keratin (K) 19 negative and K19 positive HCCs based on immunohistochemical stainings for K19 (1/25; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) on the FFPE samples. Frozen samples were thawed at 37°C, washed with Hank's balanced salt solution (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and dissociated using Liberase Blendzyme 3 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) at a concentration of 0.8 Wunsch unit/ml during 1,5 hours at 37°C. The samples were filtered using a 70 µm nylon mesh filter (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes NJ, USA) and a 15% Percoll/ Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (100g for 15min). The cells were finally resuspended in Hepatozyme-SFM, containing 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Invitrogen) and incubated with 5 µg/ml Hoechst33342 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis MO, USA) for exactly 90 min at 37°C under continuous agitation. To assess active efflux and side population phenotype, cells were incubated with the transport blockers verapamil (100 µM; Sigma-Aldrich), 20 minutes prior to the Hoechst33342 incubation. Propidium iodide (2 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to exclude dead cells. The cell suspensions were analysed using a FACS AriaII (BD Biosciences). The SP was visualized after UV excitation on the basis of blue emission through a 424/44 filter and of red emission through a 630/22 filter (Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT). Within the living cell population (propidium iodide negative), the side and main population (MP) were sorted separately and collected in Hepatozyme-SFM. Part of the sorted cells was fixated in BD CytoRich™ System (BD Biosciences) and processed into cytospins. Immunohistochemistry was performed on the cytospins to assess K19 expression in the sorted samples. Statistical analysis to determine significant differences in the size of the Side Population fraction was performed using the non-parametric Man-Whitney U test, with Graphpad Prism 5.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). All analysis was two-tailed. In all cases, $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.