

Supplementary Figure 1. Deletion of VHL, splenic composition, resting phenotype and TCR responsiveness for the *Vhl*^{fl/fl} dLck model. (a) Deletion of VHL in gDNA from sorted CD44^{lo} and CD44^{hi} *Vhl*^{fl/fl} dLck (VHL-KO) CD8⁺ T cells relative to WT cells as determined by qPCR, n=2, error bars indicate range. (b) Absolute number of splenic B220⁺ cells and TCR β^+ cells for wild-type and VHL-KO mice; WT n=6, VHL-KO n=3. * P = 0.014 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). (c) Representative CD44 and CD62L phenotype of polyclonal CD8⁺CD4⁻ T cells and the absolute number and relative splenic percentage of indicated subsets; WT n=6, VHL-KO n=3, * P = 0.011, ** P = 0.0011, *** P = 0.033, **** P = 0.023 and ***** P = 0.006 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). (d) CD127 and KLRG1 phenotype of uninfected splenic polyclonal CD8⁺CD4⁻ T cells, number and %; n=3, derived from different mice than c; * P =0.011 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). (e) CD44 and CD62L phenotype of splenic P14 (CD8a⁺CD4⁻Va2⁺) T cells from VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient P14 TCR transgenic mice. (f,g) Equivalent TCR sensitivity/early activation of VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient P14 T cells to a range of gp33 peptide in vitro and to LCMV clone 13 in vivo. (f) P14 VHL-sufficient or VHL-deficient splenocytes were mixed at a 1:1 ratio and the indicated amount of gp33 peptide was added to duplicate wells. Upregulation of CD69 on VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient cells was assessed by flow cytometry using congenic markers to distinguish each population after 18 hrs of stimulation; representative of two independent experiments. (g) Experimental set up as in f, but the mixture of P14 $CD8^+$ T cells was transferred into B6 mice followed by infection with LCMV clone 13. Splenocytes were analyzed 36 hrs after infection. Grey-filled histogram indicates the fluorescence of naïve CD8⁺ T cells from uninfected mice; n=3, representative of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate s.e.m.



Supplementary Figure 2. Similar virus-specific CTL accumulation in T cell–specific triple VHL-HIF-1 α -HIF-2 α -deficient mice. Wild-type and VHL-HIF-1 α -HIF-2 α dLck mice were infected with LCMV clone 13. Frequency of H-2D^b gp33 tetramer-positive cells in the peripheral blood for representative samples on day 6 of infection (left) or at the time points indicated (right); n=3, error bars indicate s.e.m., representative of two experiments.



Supplementary Figure 3. VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient CD8⁺ T cell response to acute viral infection. (a) Wild-type or $Vh^{I^{//!}}$ dLck (VHL-KO) mice were infected with LCMV Armstrong and the CD8⁺ T cell response in peripheral blood was monitored with MHC class I H-2D^b gp33 tetramers to detect LCMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells. Each line indicates an individual mouse; n=6, representative of two experiments. (b-e), A 1:1 mixture of 10⁴ VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient P14 CD8⁺ T cells were transferred to B6 hosts followed by infection with LCMV Armstrong one day later; n=3, error bars indicate s.e.m. (b) Experimental scheme. (c) Frequency of transferred VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient P14 virus-specific cells in peripheral blood as a % of host CD8⁺ T cells. (d) Absolute number of VHL-sufficient and -deficient P14 splenocytes on day 8 of infection, * *P* = 0.0002, (Student's unpaired *t*-test) (e) KLRG1 expression by VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient P14 cells on day 7 of infection; n=3, * *P* = 0.007 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). Results representative of three independent experiments.



Supplementary Figure 4. Inhibitory and exhaustion-associated TIM-3 is increased on VHL-deficient cells and reduced PD-1 expression on VHL-deficient cells is HIF-1 α -HIF-2 α independent and VHL-deficient cells and VHL-deficient P14 CD8⁺ T cells followed by LCMV clone 13 infection as in Fig. 2a; histograms of TIM-3 expression and gMFIs of P14 CD8⁺ T cells from spleen on day 17 of infection with LCMV clone 13; n=4, * *P* = 0.008 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). (b) Cotransfer of VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient P14 CD8⁺ T cells followed by LCMV clone 13 infection as in Fig. 2a; flow cytometric analysis of splenic VHL-sufficient P14 CD8⁺ T cells followed by LCMV clone 13 infection as in Fig. 2a; flow cytometric analysis of splenic VHL-sufficient P14 (black) or VHL-deficient P14 (red) CD8⁺ T cells at the indicated time points, gMFI shown below. Expression of PD-1 on total host CD8 α^+ T cells excluding P14 donor cells (histograms, grey), or gMFI for PD-1 low – expressing cells (in graphs, open bars) shown for reference; n=3, * *P* = 0.004, ** *P* = 0.05 and *** *P* = 0.004 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). (c) Experimental design for a mixed transfer of VHL-sufficient, VHL-deficient and VHL-HIF-1 α -HIF-2 α -triple-deficient P14 CD8⁺ donor cells. (d) Histogram of each donor population displaying PD-1 (left) and gMFI graphed (right); peripheral blood on day 7 of infection; n=3, error bars indicate s.e.m.

b

а



Supplementary Figure 5. Similar plasma cytokine levels in wild-type and Vhl^{1/11} dLck mice responding to persistent infection and in vitro and in vivo cytotoxicity assays of VHL-sufficient and VHL-deficient cells responding to persistent infection. (a) Plasma cytokine levels in uninfected, polyclonal wild-type, or polyclonal *Vhl^{fl/fl}* dLck on day 6 of infection with LCMV clone 13; uninfected n=2, wild-type n=5, *Vhl^{fl/fl}* dLck n = 4; error bars indicate s.e.m. Cytokine levels were determined using Milliplex 32-plex premixed magnetic cytokine array and Luminex bead reader according to the manufacturers instructions. (b) In vitro cytotoxicity assay: VHL-sufficient P14 (here designated as WT) or VHL-deficient P14 T cells (VHL-KO) were transferred into B6 hosts that were then infected with LCMV clone 13 as in Fig. 1a. Splenocytes from hosts harboring VHL-sufficient or VHL-deficient P14 cells were enriched for CD8⁺ cells on day 7 of LCMV clone 13 infection. Whole splenocytes from an uninfected mouse were used as targets; cells were coated with gp33-41 peptide (KAVYNFATC) at 1µm for one hour, labeled with 5µm of eFluor670 and mixed 1:1 with splenocytes labeled with 250nM of eFluor670. Appropriate numbers of VHL-sufficient or VHL-deficient P14 T cells were added to achieve effector to target ratios indicated, incubated with target cells for 4 hrs, and then assayed by flow cytometry. (c) In vivo cytotoxicity assay: VHL-sufficient versus VHL-deficient P14 T cells were transferred into B6 hosts and infected with LCMV clone 13. Whole splenocytes coated with or without gp33 peptide and eFluor670 labeled as in b, 2.5 x 10⁶ gp33 peptide coated cells per mouse were injected iv 16 days after infection. One hour after injection of target cell mix, splenocytes were isolated from host mice and assayed by flow cytometry. The absolute number of cells binding H-2D^o gp33 tetramer (top), the % killing of target cells (middle) and the approximate killing per antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cell (bottom) are shown. To calculate targets killed per antigen-specific cell: the number of peptide-coated cells transferred to the infected host mouse was multiplied by the % killed to obtain an estimate of absolute number killed. The absolute number of target cells killed was then divided by the number of H-2D^b gp33 tetramer⁺ CD8⁺ T cells per host spleen to approximate killing per cell. * P = 0.003, ** P = 0.05 and *** P = 0.007 (Student's unpaired *t*-test). Error bars indicate s.e.m. for all plots.



Supplementary Figure 6. Comparison of established "exhaustion gene-expression profile" with VHL-deficientexpression profile shows significant correlation, but identifies differently expressed genes. (a) Flow chart of analysis. (b) Fold-change versus P-value plot of VHL-deficient versus VHL-sufficient P14 cells at day 7 postinfection (data from Fig. 3a). Genes that were reported¹ to be upregulated in wild-type exhausted versus wild-type effector cells are highlighted in red, those down in blue; selected genes are identified. Genes up- or downregulated in VHL-deficient versus VHL-sufficient P14 cells show significant correlation with the genes previously reported to be up- or downregulated with exhausted versus effector cells (P = 0.0008 and 0.0004, respectively); Chi-squared test assuming equal distribution of genes up- and downregulated for the VHL-deficient versus VHL-sufficient and exhausted versus effector comparisons. (c) Bar graph representation of the data in a,b identifying the 64 genes that were upregulated in exhausted versus effector CTL; fold-change for VHL-deficient versus VHL-sufficient P14 cells is plotted. (d) As in c, but the fold-change expression of VHL-deficient versus VHL-sufficient of the 109 genes identified in **a**,**b** as being downregulated in exhausted versus effector cells.

Supplementary References:

1. Wherry, E.J., et al. Molecular signature of CD8+ T cell exhaustion during chronic viral infection. Immunity 27, 670-684 (2007).