De Novo Loss-of-Function Mutations in SETD5, Encoding a Methyltransferase in a 3p25 Microdeletion Syndrome Critical Region, Cause Intellectual Disability

Detelina Grozeva,¹ Keren Carss,² Olivera Spasic-Boskovic,¹ Michael J. Parker,³ Hayley Archer,⁴ Helen V. Firth,⁵ Soo-Mi Park,⁵ Natalie Canham,⁶ Susan E. Holder,⁶ Meredith Wilson,⁷ Anna Hackett,⁸ Michael Field,⁹ James A.B. Floyd,^{2,10} UK10K Consortium,² Matthew Hurles,² and F. Lucy Raymond^{1,*}

To identify further Mendelian causes of intellectual disability (ID), we screened a cohort of 996 individuals with ID for variants in 565 known or candidate genes by using a targeted next-generation sequencing approach. Seven loss-of-function (LoF) mutations—four nonsense (c.1195A>T [p.Lys399*], c.1333C>T [p.Arg445*], c.1866C>G [p.Tyr622*], and c.3001C>T [p.Arg1001*]) and three frameshift (c.2177_2178del [p.Thr726Asnfs*39], c.3771dup [p.Ser1258Glufs*65], and c.3856del [p.Ser1286Leufs*84])—were identified in SETD5, a gene predicted to encode a methyltransferase. All mutations were compatible with de novo dominant inheritance. The affected individuals had moderate to severe ID with additional variable features of brachycephaly; a prominent high forehead with synophrys or striking full and broad eyebrows; a long, thin, and tubular nose; long, narrow upslanting palpebral fissures; and large, fleshy low-set ears. Skeletal anomalies, including significant leg-length discrepancy, were a frequent finding in two individuals. Congenital heart defects, inguinal hernia, or hypospadias were also reported. Behavioral problems, including obsessive-compulsive disorder, hand flapping with ritualized behavior, and autism, were prominent features. SETD5 lies within the critical interval for 3p25 microdeletion syndrome. The individuals with SETD5 mutations showed phenotypic similarity to those previously reported with a deletion in 3p25, and thus loss of SETD5 might be sufficient to account for many of the clinical features observed in this condition. Our findings add to the growing evidence that mutations in genes encoding methyltransferases regulating histone modification are important causes of ID. This analysis provides sufficient evidence that rare de novo LoF mutations in SETD5 are a relatively frequent (0.7%) cause of ID.

The identification of over 100 rare but highly penetrant X chromosome genes in which mutations cause intellectual disability (ID) supports the hypothesis that the human genome contains more than 2,000 genes critical to normal intellectual development.^{[1](#page-5-0)} When the causative variants are rare and when candidate genes are numerous, the interpretation of a single novel variant in a gene not previously associated with disease is challenging. The recent analysis by Piton et al. looked at evidence of pathogenicity for many of the X chromosome genes in which mutations are reported to cause ID and elegantly demonstrated how previously published evidence of disease causality needs careful review in the light of sequence data of large population sets. $²$ $²$ $²$ As our knowledge of rare variants in the</sup> normal population increases, there is a need to establish increasingly stringent criteria to evaluate whether a disease-causing variant has been identified to ensure the accurate translation of new knowledge into safe clinical practice.^{[3](#page-5-0)}

In order to identify further Mendelian causes of ID, we screened 996 ID-affected individuals for variants in previously associated genes and candidate genes for ID on the basis of current literature, in-house data, and sequence

homology to genes previously implicated in ID. The appropriate ethical approval was obtained (research ethics committee reference 03/0/014), and parents or guardians provided written informed consent. We performed DNA sequence analysis by using next-generation sequencing methods to investigate the coding sequence of 565 genes ([Table S1,](#page-4-0) available online) from 996 individuals with moderate to severe ID (all samples met DNA quality metrics). This was a subset of a large replication study of seven rare diseases and comprised a total of 2,812 individuals who were investigated within the UK10K study. The phenotypes studied were congenital heart disease, ciliopathy, coloboma, ID, neuromuscular disease, severe insulin resistance, and congenital thyroid disease; internal technical control samples were also included for comparison.

The GenomiPhi V2 DNA Amplification Kit (GE Healthcare) was used for whole-genome amplification of the DNA used for sequence analysis with the use of $1 \mu l$ of 10 ng/µl template DNA prior to pull-down. A custom-based targeted Agilent SureSelect pull-down array was designed with the SureDesign program (Agilent Technologies). This target was 3.4 Mb of sequence from the coding exons (GRCh37/hg19 human reference sequence, UCSC

*Correspondence: flr24@cam.ac.uk

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.03.006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.03.006) 2014 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.

¹Department of Medical Genetics, Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, UK; ²The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK; ³Sheffield Clinical Genetics Service, Sheffield Children's Hospital, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TH, UK; ⁴Institute of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XW, UK; ⁵Clinical Genetics, Addenbrooke's Treatment Centre, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK; ⁶North West Thames Regional Genetics Service (Kennedy Galton Centre), North West London Hospitals NHS Trust, Harrow, Middlesex HA1 3UJ, UK; ⁷Department of Clinical Genetics, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead, NSW 2145, Australia; ⁸Genetics of Learning Disability Service, Hunter Genetics, Waratah, NSW 2298, Australia; ⁹Department of Medical Genetics, Royal North Shore Hospital, St. Leonards, NSW 2298, Australia; ¹⁰The Genome Centre, John Vane Science Centre, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, UK

Figure 1. Families Affected by SETD5 Mutations

The pedigree for each family is shown at the top. Sanger sequencing electropherograms of the mutations are shown below the pedigrees. An arrow indicates the position of the mutation. The genomic coordinates are according to the GRCh37/hg19 human reference sequence. Abbreviations are as follows: NA, not available; WT, wild-type; and mut, mutation. Asterisks indicate that the Sanger sequencing illustration is of the reverse strand.

Genome Browser) of 1,189 genes, of which 565 were IDrelated candidate or known genes. Target enrichment and amplification were performed with the HaloPlex Target Enrichment Kit (Agilent Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform was used to sequence the exons from the targeted regions. Reads were aligned to the reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner, and single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small indels were identified with SAMtools. $4,5$ For each sample, variants sites (SNVs and indels) were called with the Genome Analysis Toolkit Unified Genotyper.⁶ The calls were then annotated with vcf-annotate (VCFtools).^{[7](#page-5-0)} Functional annotations were added with the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor v.2.[8](#page-5-0) against Ensembl $70⁸$ Standard sequence quality-control criteria were applied to the called variants: variants with a Phred-scaled quality score > 40 and a mapping quality score > 50 were investigated further. The Integrative Genomics Viewer was used for visually inspecting the underlying sequencing data.^{[9](#page-5-0)} In addition, only rare variants with a minor allele frequency $<$ 1% in all of the following data sets were considered for downstream analyses: 1000 Genomes, the UK10K twins cohort, the NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project (ESP), a cohort of 2,172 individuals from whom whole exomes were sequenced at the same laboratory (UK10K), and the UK10K rare replication cohort itself (including all phenotypes). Furthermore, only putative

loss-of-function (LoF) variants (nonsense, frameshift, and essential splice-site variants) were analyzed.

We selected the top ten genes with the highest number of rare LoF variants present at frequencies < 1% ([Table S2](#page-4-0)). We then prioritized the genes for more detailed follow-up on the basis of the following information: (1) the number of different independent LoF variants identified in this cohort, (2) the presence of these variants in a candidate gene, and (3) the paucity of rare LoF variants in the candidate genes in controls according to frequencies in the NHLBI ESP. On the basis of the above, SETcontaining-domain 5 (SETD5) was selected for further investigation.

Seven independent LoF variants were identified within the coding sequence of SETD5 in the ID cohort (Figure 1). All seven variants were observed only once within the whole UK10K replication cohort of 2,812 individuals with rare disease. The total number of *SETD5* haplotypes sequenced was 5,624, which represents 1,992 alleles from individuals with ID and 3,632 alleles from individuals with other rare diseases not usually associated with ID. None of these seven LoF variants have been reported in PubMed, ClinVar, HGMD, dbSNP, or 1000 Genomes, and none were identified in the NHLBI ESP, where coverage of the respective exons was available for >4,000 European American individuals. Because none of the individuals within the cohorts contributing to the current data deposited in the NHLBI ESP were

described as having intellectual impairment, this was used as an additional independent control set. The seven LoF variants within SETD5 (CCDS46741.1, RefSeq accession number NM_001080517.1) and their corresponding protein truncations (RefSeq NP_001073986.1) are the following: $c.1195A > T$ (p.Lys399*), $c.1333C > T$ (p.Arg445*), c.1866C>G (p.Tyr622*), c.2177_2178del (p.Thr726Asnfs*39), c.3001C>T (p.Arg1001*), c.3771dup (p.Ser1258Glufs*65), and c.3856del (p.Ser1286Leufs*84) ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)).

We confirmed all of the variants by Sanger sequence analysis by using stored nonamplified genomic DNA from the probands and performed familial segregation analysis to test a de novo hypothesis of disease ([Figure 1\)](#page-1-0). For 5/7, we were able to establish molecular evidence of a de novo variant in the proband. For the two families for which a paternal sample was unavailable, the variant was absent from the maternal sample and both parents were reported clinically to have normal intellect, suggesting that de novo inheritance was the most likely cause of disease in these families. In one of the families (family 2) with no paternal sample available, a sibling with a mild intellectual impairment was found not to carry the mutation. The number of de novo LoF variants expected to occur by chance in SETD5 in a cohort of this size was calculated with the use of the known exome mutation rate, 10 the proportion of variants expected to be LoF^{11} LoF^{11} LoF^{11} and the length of the coding sequence of SETD5 (4,329 bp). We compared this number to the observed number (five) of molecularly confirmed de novo LoF variants in SETD5 in our cohort and found that the probability that they occurred independently by chance was extremely low ($p = 5.25 \times 10^{-9}$, corrected for multiple testing). These results indicate that rare LoF mutations in SETD5 are a likely cause of ID.

The CCDS46741.1 transcript of the coding sequence of SETD5 is 4,329 bp long and encodes a protein of 1,442 amino acids. In this transcript, we found only one LoF variant listed in public databases: a 4 bp polymorphic indel (c.4277_4280del [p.Arg1426Profs*82]) with genomic position chr3: 9,517,722. This LoF variant is located within the terminal 16 amino acids of the protein and is reported in 38/3,904 European American adults (from the NHLBI ESP). The seven SETD5 LoF variants present in the ID cohort are all within the CCDS46741.1 sequence and contribute to the major consensus transcript. The mutations are all located upstream of the single polymorphic LoF variant reported in the NHLBI ESP at the $3'$ end of the gene. We then performed further analysis of the DNA sequence from the affected individuals to identify whether there were other more plausible variants that could account for disease. In 6/7 individuals, we did not identify further rare LoF or missense variants in any of the interrogated known genes in which mutations cause syndromic or nonsyndromic ID, nor were there rare LoF variants in candidate genes (565 genes in total). In the family 2 proband, we did identify an essential splice-site variant $(c.2914+1G>A,$ genomic position chr3: 433,481, RefSeq

NM_006614.3) in CHL1 (MIM 607416), a candidate gene not previously associated with ID. This variant was only present in the proband and was absent in the mother and mildly affected brother. In addition, in the family 2 proband, we observed a single missense variant (c.179A>G, RefSeq NM_004595.4) in SMS (MIM 300105), an X-linked gene in which mutations are reported to cause ID (Snyder-Robinson syndrome [MIM 309583]) in males. The variant was present in the unaffected mother and mildly impaired brother of the proband. The residue is not well conserved throughout evolution and is not located within a conserved domain of the protein. We concluded that this was not likely to be the primary cause of disease in the family. Furthermore, the phenotype of the mildly affected brother was not in keeping with Snyder-Robinson syndrome. Thus, it is uncertain whether this variant makes any additional contribution to the phenotype. The presence of the SETD5 variant in the proband and the absence of the variant in the younger brother are compatible with the more severe clinical features in the older brother. The additional contribution of the CHL1 variant in the proband remains uncertain.

On the basis of the genotypic similarity of the seven affected individuals, the clinical phenotype of each individual was collated from the recruiting physicians, who were blinded to the genotype for minimizing clinical bias in reporting ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)). One family declined permission to publish photographs but permitted review by S.E.H. and F.L.R. Although the clinical features were variable, a number of common features other than ID included a similar facial morphology comprising brachycephaly and a prominent high forehead with striking eyebrows described as full, broad, straight, or with synophrys. The nose morphology was long, thin, and tubular. The morphology around the eyes was similar with long, narrow, and upslanting palpebral fissures; in addition, mild ptosis, unilateral amblyopia, nystagmus, and strabismus were described in single individuals. Ears tended to be large with fleshy lobes, long, and low set; one individual had a preauricular pit. The facial features of individual 2 ([Figure 2\)](#page-3-0) were slightly coarser than those of the other six individuals, which might reflect the additional sequence variants present. Feeding problems, particularly difficulties with swallowing and chewing, were noted by several families and physicians. Two children had congenital heart defects; one had a mitral valve prolapse, and the other had a ventricular septal defect with a patent ductus arteriosus ([Table 1](#page-4-0) and [Table S3](#page-4-0)). Four of the seven children had either an inguinal hernia or hypospadias repaired at a young age. Also, 4/7 children had skeletal abnormalities that required varying degrees of intervention. Thoracic scoliosis, kyphosis, and lordosis were reported, and two children had a significant leg-length discrepancy (one of them also had talipes and hypoplasia of the left calf and required surgery). All children had intellectual impairment, although all were able to talk and communicate their needs. Speech, language, and motor developmental

Figure 2. Facial Appearance of the Individuals with SETD5 Mutations Columns numbered 1–6 correspond to families 1–6, respectively, in [Figure 1.](#page-1-0) Photographs from family 7 were unavailable for publication.

delay were noted in all individuals. Behavioral problems were a prominent feature of several of the children (5/7) and ranged from obsessive-compulsive disorder to hand flapping with ritualized behavior to features of autism. Involuntary movements and an exaggerated startle response were noted in several individuals, although in none were these a sustained feature over time. Older children had required special schooling because of their ID and behavioral problems, although some attended mainstream school at a young age but required educational statements and extra support. Growth parameters were within the normal range in all children, none had microcephaly or seizures, and all were born without antenatal or postnatal difficulties. Brain MRI was normal in one individual and was not performed in the remaining six individuals.

Although multiple LoF variants in SETD5 have not been described previously in PubMed, this gene is one of three genes—THUMPD3, SETD5, and THUMPD3-AS1 (a non-protein-coding gene)—within the critical region for 3p25 microdeletion syndrome.^{[12](#page-5-0)} Distal haploinsufficiency of chromosomal region 3p25 has long been associated with a clinical syndrome characterized by ID, low birth weight, microcephaly, telecanthus, ptosis, micrognathia, cleft palate, and congenital heart disease. Initially, the critical interval that defined the microdeletion syndrome was a 4.3 Mb region that was both large and gene dense. 13 13 13 It was not clear whether deletion of a single dosage-sensitive gene within this region was sufficient to cause the syndrome or whether the phenotype was a composite of multiple gene losses. Defining the minimum common overlap of deletions in multiple individuals has reduced the critical region for 3p25 microdeletion syndrome to three genes within a 124 kb interval. 12 The individuals with the smaller deletion within 3p25 have been reported to have a common phenotype of ID, hypotonia, a depressed nasal bridge, and a long philtrum. The presence of congenital heart disease and cleft palate is a more variable feature. Additional features also seen in the individuals reported with the smallest 3p25 microdeletion include synophrys, microcephaly, ptosis, abnormal palpebral fissures, postaxial polydactyly, scoliosis, cleft palate, gastrointestinal anomalies, and seizures. $12,14-16$ The similarity between these individuals with haploinsufficiency of 3p25 and the individuals reported here to have SETD5 truncating mutations is of note [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). We suggest that similar to EHMT1 mutations in 9q34 for Kleefstra syndrome (MIM 610253) and KANSL1 mutations in 17q21 for Koolen-de Vries syndrome (MIM 610443), LoF mutations in SETD5 might be sufficient to cause many of the features of 3p25 microdeletion syndrome.

Data for the 3p25 deletion were adapted from Kellogg et al.^{[12](#page-5-0)} The following abbreviations are used: LoF, loss of function; and NA, not available.

Further evidence of the potential pathogenicity of mutations in SETD5 is the observation of a single de novo LoF variant in an ID cohort and of de novo missense variants in two autism cohorts, although additional detailed phenotypic data have not been reported.^{[10,17,18](#page-5-0)}

SETD5 is a methyltransferase on the basis of sequence homology to other SET domain proteins.^{[19](#page-5-0)} It is highly conserved throughout mammalian species, suggesting that it is functionally important, although little is known yet of its specific role. SETD5 is ubiquitously expressed, and especially high levels of SETD5 expression have been noted in the brain. 20 On the basis of the other family members of this gene group, SETD5 is likely to be important in the control of histone modification of DNA and to act as a regulator of transcription. Genes encoding methyltransferases specifically and genes encoding histone modifiers in general are increasingly recognized to have a major contribution to the phenotype of $ID.^{21}$ Genes encoding histone modifiers include MECP2 (MIM 300005), EHMT1 (MIM 607001), NSD1 (MIM 606681), KMT2D (MIM 602113), KDM6A (MIM 300128), and KDM5C (MIM 314690).²²⁻²⁸ These genes are all dosage sensitive, and haploinsufficiency alone is recognized to be sufficient to cause disease. 21

Here, we present an analysis of children and young adults who were recruited to the Genetics of Learning Disability study with moderate to severe ID as the predominant clinical phenotype. This analysis provides sufficient evidence that loss of function of SETD5 is a relatively frequent cause of ID and occurs as a rare de novo mutational event. The high number of LoF mutations in this cohort (7/996 [0.7%]) suggests that SETD5 mutations, with a prevalence comparable to that of mutations in ARID1B,^{[29](#page-6-0)} might be one of the more common causes of ID. The affected individuals showed phenotypic similarity to those previously reported with a deletion in the critical region of 3p25. Prior to mutation analysis, the clinical features alone were not sufficient or consistent for clinicians to delineate this syndrome. In none of the individuals we report was a 3p25 microdeletion syndrome clinically suspected. Genotype-driven syndrome recognition is likely to be increasingly used in the future as more subtle phenotypes emerge. This, however, poses concerns of overinterpreting the phenotypic features and the need for large data sets for distinguishing rare pertinent phenotypic associations from rare incidental findings.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Data include three tables and can be found with this article online at [http://www.cell.com/ajhg.](http://www.cell.com/ajhg)

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to all individuals who participated in the study. This study made use of data generated by the UK10K Project. Funding for the UK10K Project was provided by the Wellcome Trust under award WT091310. A full list of consortium members can be found at the UK10K Project website. This study was supported by grants from Action Medical Research, the Birth Defect Foundation, and the Cambridge National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre.

Received: January 7, 2014 Accepted: March 11, 2014 Published: March 27, 2014

Web Resources

The URLs for data presented herein are as follows:

1000 Genomes, <http://www.1000genomes.org>

Genetics Home Reference, Chromatin-modifying enzymes gene family, [http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/geneFamily/chromatin](http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/geneFamily/chromatinmodifyingenzymes) [modifyingenzymes](http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/geneFamily/chromatinmodifyingenzymes)

DECIPHER, <http://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/>

Ensembl Genome Browser, <http://www.ensembl.org/index.html> Mutalyzer, <https://mutalyzer.nl/index>

NCBI, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>

NCBI HomoloGene, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/homologene>

NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project (ESP) Exome Variant Server, <http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/>

Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), <http://omim.org> RefSeq, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/RefSeq>

UCSC Genome Browser, <http://genome-euro.ucsc.edu>

UK10K Project, <http://www.uk10k.org/>

References

- 1. van Bokhoven, H. (2011). Genetic and epigenetic networks in intellectual disabilities. Annu. Rev. Genet. 45, 81–104.
- 2. Piton, A., Redin, C., and Mandel, J.L. (2013). XLID-causing mutations and associated genes challenged in light of data from large-scale human exome sequencing. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 93, 368–383.
- 3. Xue, Y., Chen, Y., Ayub, Q., Huang, N., Ball, E.V., Mort, M., Phillips, A.D., Shaw, K., Stenson, P.D., Cooper, D.N., and Tyler-Smith, C.; 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (2012). Deleterious- and disease-allele prevalence in healthy individuals: insights from current predictions, mutation databases, and population-scale resequencing. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 91, 1022–1032.
- 4. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760.
- 5. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R.; 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.
- 6. McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella, K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., and DePristo, M.A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20, 1297–1303.
- 7. Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A., Handsaker, R.E., Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., et al.; 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27, 2156–2158.
- 8. McLaren, W., Pritchard, B., Rios, D., Chen, Y., Flicek, P., and Cunningham, F. (2010). Deriving the consequences of genomic variants with the Ensembl API and SNP Effect Predictor. Bioinformatics 26, 2069–2070.
- 9. Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G., and Mesirov, J.P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 24–26.
- 10. Rauch, A., Wieczorek, D., Graf, E., Wieland, T., Endele, S., Schwarzmayr, T., Albrecht, B., Bartholdi, D., Beygo, J., Di Do-

nato, N., et al. (2012). Range of genetic mutations associated with severe non-syndromic sporadic intellectual disability: an exome sequencing study. Lancet 380, 1674–1682.

- 11. Kryukov, G.V., Pennacchio, L.A., and Sunyaev, S.R. (2007). Most rare missense alleles are deleterious in humans: implications for complex disease and association studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 80, 727–739.
- 12. Kellogg, G., Sum, J., and Wallerstein, R. (2013). Deletion of 3p25.3 in a patient with intellectual disability and dysmorphic features with further definition of a critical region. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 161A, 1405–1408.
- 13. Shuib, S., McMullan, D., Rattenberry, E., Barber, R.M., Rahman, F., Zatyka, M., Chapman, C., Macdonald, F., Latif, F., Davison, V., and Maher, E.R. (2009). Microarray based analysis of 3p25-p26 deletions (3p- syndrome). Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 149A, 2099–2105.
- 14. Gunnarsson, C., and Foyn Bruun, C. (2010). Molecular characterization and clinical features of a patient with an interstitial deletion of 3p25.3-p26.1. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 152A, 3110–3114.
- 15. Peltekova, I.T., Macdonald, A., and Armour, C.M. (2012). Microdeletion on 3p25 in a patient with features of 3p deletion syndrome. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 158A, 2583–2586.
- 16. Riess, A., Grasshoff, U., Schäferhoff, K., Bonin, M., Riess, O., Horber, V., and Tzschach, A. (2012). Interstitial 3p25.3-p26.1 deletion in a patient with intellectual disability. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 158A, 2587–2590.
- 17. Iossifov, I., Ronemus, M., Levy, D., Wang, Z., Hakker, I., Rosenbaum, J., Yamrom, B., Lee, Y.H., Narzisi, G., Leotta, A., et al. (2012). De novo gene disruptions in children on the autistic spectrum. Neuron 74, 285–299.
- 18. Neale, B.M., Kou, Y., Liu, L., Ma'ayan, A., Samocha, K.E., Sabo, A., Lin, C.F., Stevens, C., Wang, L.S., Makarov, V., et al. (2012). Patterns and rates of exonic de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders. Nature 485, 242–245.
- 19. UniProt Consortium (2013). Update on activities at the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 41 (Database issue), D43–D47.
- 20. Nagase, T., Kikuno, R., Hattori, A., Kondo, Y., Okumura, K., and Ohara, O. (2000). Prediction of the coding sequences of unidentified human genes. XIX. The complete sequences of 100 new cDNA clones from brain which code for large proteins in vitro. DNA Res. 7, 347–355.
- 21. Berdasco, M., and Esteller, M. (2013). Genetic syndromes caused by mutations in epigenetic genes. Hum. Genet. 132, 359–383.
- 22. Amir, R.E., Van den Veyver, I.B., Wan, M., Tran, C.Q., Francke, U., and Zoghbi, H.Y. (1999). Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked MECP2, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. Nat. Genet. 23, 185–188.
- 23. Kleefstra, T., Brunner, H.G., Amiel, J., Oudakker, A.R., Nillesen, W.M., Magee, A., Geneviève, D., Cormier-Daire, V., van Esch, H., Fryns, J.P., et al. (2006). Loss-of-function mutations in euchromatin histone methyl transferase 1 (EHMT1) cause the 9q34 subtelomeric deletion syndrome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 79, 370–377.
- 24. Kurotaki, N., Imaizumi, K., Harada, N., Masuno, M., Kondoh, T., Nagai, T., Ohashi, H., Naritomi, K., Tsukahara, M., Makita, Y., et al. (2002). Haploinsufficiency of NSD1 causes Sotos syndrome. Nat. Genet. 30, 365–366.
- 25. Ng, S.B., Bigham, A.W., Buckingham, K.J., Hannibal, M.C., McMillin, M.J., Gildersleeve, H.I., Beck, A.E., Tabor, H.K., Cooper, G.M., Mefford, H.C., et al. (2010). Exome sequencing

identifies MLL2 mutations as a cause of Kabuki syndrome. Nat. Genet. 42, 790–793.

- 26. Hannibal, M.C., Buckingham, K.J., Ng, S.B., Ming, J.E., Beck, A.E., McMillin, M.J., Gildersleeve, H.I., Bigham, A.W., Tabor, H.K., Mefford, H.C., et al. (2011). Spectrum of MLL2 (ALR) mutations in 110 cases of Kabuki syndrome. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 155A, 1511–1516.
- 27. Lederer, D., Grisart, B., Digilio, M.C., Benoit, V., Crespin, M., Ghariani, S.C., Maystadt, I., Dallapiccola, B., and Verellen-Dumoulin, C. (2012). Deletion of KDM6A, a histone demethylase interacting with MLL2, in three patients with Kabuki syndrome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 90, 119–124.
- 28. Jensen, L.R., Amende, M., Gurok, U., Moser, B., Gimmel, V., Tzschach, A., Janecke, A.R., Tariverdian, G., Chelly, J., Fryns, J.P., et al. (2005). Mutations in the JARID1C gene, which is involved in transcriptional regulation and chromatin remodeling, cause X-linked mental retardation. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 76, 227–236.
- 29. Hoyer, J., Ekici, A.B., Endele, S., Popp, B., Zweier, C., Wiesener, A., Wohlleber, E., Dufke, A., Rossier, E., Petsch, C., et al. (2012). Haploinsufficiency of ARID1B, a member of the SWI/SNF-a chromatin-remodeling complex, is a frequent cause of intellectual disability. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 90, 565–572.

The American Journal of Human Genetics, Volume *94* **Supplemental Data**

De Novo Loss-of-Function Mutations in *SETD5***, Encoding a Methyltransferase in a 3p25 Microdeletion Syndrome Critical Region, Cause Intellectual Disability**

Detelina Grozeva, Keren Carss, Olivera Spasic-Boskovic, Michael J. Parker, Hayley Archer, Helen V. Firth, Soo-Mi Park, Natalie Canham, Susan E. Holder, Meredith Wilson, Anna Hackett, Michael Field, James A.B. Floyd, UK10K Consortium, Matthew Hurles, and F. Lucy Raymond

Table S1: List of the 565 Genes that were Selected for Targeted Next Generation Sequencing

Gene IDs *ABCD1 ACBD6 ACE2 ACIN1 ACOT9 ACSL4 ACTL6A ACTL6B ACY1 ADCK3 ADK ADRA2B ADSL AFF2 AGA AGTR2 AIMP1 AKAP17A AKAP4 ALDH18A1 ALDH4A1 ALDH5A1 ALG1 ALG12 ALG13 ALG3 ALG6 ALG8 ANK3 AP1S2 AP4B1 AP4E1 AP4M1 AP4S1 ARFGEF2 ARG1 ARHGAP36 ARHGAP6 ARHGEF4 ARHGEF6 ARHGEF9 ARID1A*

ARID1B ARID2 ARIH1 ARL14EP ARSF ARX ASB12 ASCC3 ASCL1 ASH1L ASMT ASMTL ASXL1 ATM ATP2B3 ATP7A ATRX ATXN3L AUH AVPR2 AWAT2 BCOR BCORL1 BDP1 BMP15 BRAF BRWD3 BTK C12orf57 CA8 CACNA1F CACNA1G CAMK2A CAMK2G CAP1 CAPN10 CASK CASP2 CC2D1A CC2D2A CCDC22 CCDC23 CCNA2 CCNB3 CD99 CDH15

CDK16 CDK8 CDKL5 CEP41 CFP CHD2 CHD7 CHL1 CLCN4 CLCN5 CLIC2 CMC4 CNKSR1 CNKSR2 CNTNAP2 COL4A3BP COL4A6 COQ5 COX10 CPXCR1 CREBBP CRLF2 CSF2RA CSTF2 CTNNB1 CTPS2 CTSD CTTNBP2 CUL4B CUX2 CXORF22 CXORF58 CYP7B1 DCHS2 DCX DDOST DDX26B DDX3X DDX53 DEAF1 DGKH DHCR7 DHRSX DHX30 DIAPH2 DKC1

DLG1 DLG2 DLG3 DLG4 DMD DNMT3B DOCK11 DPF1 DPF2 DPF3 DYNC1H1 DYRK1A EEF1A2 EEF1B2 EHMT1 EIF2C1 EIF2S3 ELK1 ELP2 ENOX2 ENTHD2 ENTPD1 EP300 EPPK1 ERCC6 ERLIN2 ESX1 EXOSC3 FAAH2 FAM120C FAM47B FAM58A FASN FGD1 FKBPL FKRP FKTN FLNA FMR1 FOXG1 FOXP1 FRMPD4 FRY FTL FTSJ1 GAB3

GABRQ GAD1 GATAD2B GCDH GCH1 GDI1 GJC2 GK GLB1 GLRA2 GM2A GON4L GPC3 GPR112 GPR56 GPRASP1 GRB14 GRIA1 GRIA2 GRIA3 GRIK2 GRIN2A GRIN2B GSPT2 GTPBP8 HAUS7 HCCS HCFC1 HDAC4 HDAC8 HDHD1 HEXA HEXB HGSNAT HIST1H4B HIST3H3 HIVEP2 HPRT1 HRAS HS6ST2 HSD17B10 HSPD1 HUWE1 IDS IDUA IFNAR2

IGSF1 IKBKG IL1RAPL1 IL3RA INPP4A INPP5E IQSEC2 ITGA4 ITIH6 KANK1 KANSL1 KAT6B KCNC3 KCND1 KCNH1 KCNK12 KCNQ3 KDM1A KDM5A KDM5C KDM6B KIAA2022 KIF1A KIF26B KIF4A KIF5C KIF7 KIRREL3 KLHL15 KLHL21 KLHL34 KLHL4 KMT2D KRAS L1CAM LAMA1 LAMP2 LARP7 LAS1L LHFPL3 LIMK1 LINS LRP1 LRP2 LRRK1 MAGEA11 *MAGEB1 MAGEB10 MAGEB2 MAGEC1 MAGEC3 MAGED1 MAGEE2 MAGIX MAGT1 MAN1B1 MAOA MAOB MAP2K1 MAP2K2 MAP3K15 MAP7D3 MBD5 MBNL3 MECP2 MED12 MED17 MED23 MEF2C MGAT5B MIB1 MID1 MLC1 MLH1 MLL3 MLYCD MMAA MMAB MMADHC MORC4 MSL3 MTF1 MTMR1 MTMR8 MXRA5 MYO1D MYO1G MYT1L NA NAA10 NDE1 NDP*

NDST1 NDUFA1 NECAB2 NEU1 NF1 NFIX NHS NKAP NLGN3 NLGN4X NR1I3 NRK NRXN1 NRXN2 NSD1 NSDHL NSUN2 NTM NXF4 NXF5 OCRL ODF2L OFD1 OGT OPHN1 OR5M1 OTC OXCT1 P2RY4 P2RY8 PABPC5 PAFAH1B1 PAH PAK3 PARP1 PASD1 PAX6 PBRM1 PC PCDH10 PCDH19 PCNT PDHA1 PECR PEPD PGK1

PGRMC1 PHACTR1 PHF10 PHF6 PHF8 PHIP PHKA1 PIGN PIK3C3 PIN4 PJA1 PLA2G6 PLCXD1 PLP1 PLXNB3 PNKP POLA1 POLR3A POLR3B PORCN PPP2R5D PPT1 PQBP1 PRDX4 PRICKLE3 PRMT10 PROX2 PRPS1 PRRG1 PRRG3 PRRT2 PRSS12 PSMA7 PSMD10 PTCHD1 PTEN PTPN11 PTPN21 RAB39B RAB3GAP1 RAB40AL RABL6 RAF1 RAI1 RALGDS RAPGEF1

RBM10 RENBP RGAG1 RGN RGS7 RLIM RNASET2 RPGR RPS6KA3 SATB2 SCAPER SCN2A SCN8A SETBP1 SETD5 SETDB2 SGSH SHANK1 SHANK2 SHANK3 SHOC2 SHOX SHROOM2 SHROOM4 SLC12A6 SLC16A2 SLC25A22 SLC25A53 SLC25A6 SLC26A9 SLC2A1 SLC31A1 SLC6A1 SLC6A17 SLC6A8 SLC9A6 SMARCA2 SMARCA4 SMARCB1 SMARCC1 SMARCC2 SMARCD1 SMARCD2 SMARCD3 SMARCE1 SMC1A

SMS SNTG1 SOS1 SOX3 SOX5 SPG11 SPRED1 SPRY3 SPTAN1 SPTLC2 SREBF2 SRGAP3 SRPX2 ST3GAL3 STAB2 STAG1 STARD8 STXBP1 SYN1 SYNCRIP SYNE1 SYNGAP1 SYP SYT1 SYTL4 SYTL5 TAF1 TAF2 TAF7L TANC2 TAT TBC1D24 TBC1D8B TCEAL3 TCF4 TCP10L2 TENM1 THAP1 THOC2 ThumpD1 TIMM8A TKTL1 TLR8 TM4SF2 TMEM132E TMEM135

TMLHE TNKS2 TNPO2 TRAPPC9 TREX2 TRIO TRMT1 TSC1 TSC2 TSC22D3 TSEN2 TSEN34 TSEN54 TSPAN7 TTI2 TUBA1A TUBA8 TUBAL3 TUBB2B TUSC3 UBE2A UBE3A UBR1 UBR7 UBTF UPF3B USP27X USP9X UTP14A VAMP7 VLDLR VPS13B VRK1 WAC WDR11 WDR13 WDR45L WDR62 WNK3 WWC3 XIAP XKRX YY1 ZBTB40 ZC3H14 ZCCHC12 *ZCCHC8 ZDHHC15 ZDHHC9 ZEB2 ZFHX4 ZFX ZFYVE26 ZMYM3 ZMYM6 ZMYND12 ZNF238 ZNF41 ZNF425 ZNF526 ZNF674 ZNF711 ZNF81*

Table S2: Rationale for Prioritisation of Genes for Further Investigation

Top ranked genes according to number of rare variants in the ID cohort

(frequency <1% 1000 genomes, UK10K twins cohort, NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project Exome Variant Server (NHLBI EVS),

internal cohort 2172 individuals where whole exomes were sequenced at the same laboratory (UK10K) and the UK10K rare replication cohort itself (including all phenotypes))

LoF=Loss of function

There are 15 genes in the ranking 1-10 as six genes were =10th with six observed LoF variants

The table from above was annotated with information as to how many of the variants are independent or if the same variants have been seen in multiple individuals The criterion for independence was selected as it is unlikely that recurrent LoF variants in a gene will cause ID within the cohort

Sorted according to "Number Independent LoFs" column; Further information was added about the mode of inheritance, if it is a known or candidate gene, frequency of LoF variants in the NHLBI Exome sequencing Project and the reason why the corresponding gene is excluded from further investigation

Table S3: Clinical Features of the Individuals with *SETD5* Mutations

