

File S8

Proof of Result 8

i. In a constant environment, the mean fitness w^* at the symmetric equilibrium (\bar{x}^*, \bar{y}^*) is $w^* = 1 + sx^*$, and it is a decreasing function of μ_B if $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial \mu_B}$ is negative, or equivalently if $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial m_B}$ is negative (since $m_B = m + \mu_B(1 - 2m)$ and $0 \leq m < \frac{1}{2}$). Using the equilibrium equation (14),

$$\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial m_B} = \frac{1 - (s + 2)x^*}{2sx^* + [(s + 2)m_B - s]}. \quad (\text{S8.1})$$

As $x^* > \frac{1}{s+2}$, in order for $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial m_B}$ to be negative, it is sufficient that

$$x^* > \frac{s - m_B(s + 2)}{2s}. \quad (\text{S8.2})$$

This follows easily from the fact that $Q(x)$ of (14) satisfies $Q(0) < 0$, $Q(x^*) = 0$, and $Q\left(\frac{s - m_B(s + 2)}{2s}\right) < 0$.

ii. With a fitness cycle of period 2, the mean fitness \bar{w} at the symmetric equilibrium (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) is

$$\bar{w} = (1 + s) + sm_B[(s + 2)\bar{x} - 1]. \quad (\text{S8.3})$$

\bar{w} is an increasing function of μ_B if $\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \mu_B} > 0$ or equivalently if $\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial m_B} > 0$. Now

$$\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial m_B} = s[(s + 2)\bar{x} - 1] + s(s + 2)m_B \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial m_B}. \quad (\text{S8.4})$$

Thus $\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial m_B} > 0$ provided $\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial m_B} > 0$. Using the equilibrium equation $R(x) = 0$ for \bar{x} , we have

$$\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial m_B} = \frac{\bar{x}(s + 1) - 1}{2s\bar{x} + [2 - m_B(s + 2)]}. \quad (\text{S8.5})$$

Since $[\bar{x}(s + 1) - 1] > 0$, we conclude that $\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial m_B} > 0$ if

$$\bar{x} > \frac{m_B(s + 2) - 2}{2s}, \quad (\text{S8.6})$$

which follows from $R(0) < 0$, $R(\bar{x}) = 0$, and $R\left(\frac{m_B(s + 2) - 2}{2s}\right) < 0$.