## Supporting Information for

## Bioinspired Aerobic Oxidation of Secondary Amines and Nitrogen Heterocycles with a Bifunctional Quinone Catalyst

Alison E. Wendlandt and Shannon S. Stahl\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1101 University Avenue, Madison, WI, 53706 stahl@chem.wisc.edu

# This Supporting Information file includes:

Materials	and	Methods	

General considerations	
Procedure for stoichiometric reactions	
Low temperature NMR characterization and timecourses	
Procedure for aerobic reaction screening	S3
Kinetic isotope effect studies	
General procedure for secondary amine oxidation	S4
Additional Figures	
Figure S1	
Figure S2	
Figure S3	
Figure S4	
Figure S5	
Figure S6	
Figure S7	
Figure S8	S9
Figure S9	S10
Figure S10	S11
Figure S11	S12
Figure S12	S12
Synthesis and Characterization Data	
Synthesis and characterization of phd	
Synthesis and characterization of substrates	\$13
Characterization of products	
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR Data	
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C Spectra of phd and phd-H <sub>2</sub>	
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C Spectra of products	

#### Materials and Methods.

**General Considerations.** All commercially available compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and used as received unless otherwise indicated. Solvents were dried over alumina columns prior to use. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for compound characterization were recorded on Bruker AC-300 MHz, Avance-400 MHz, Avance-500 MHz or Varian Mercury-300 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shift values are given in parts per million relative to residual solvent peaks (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) or liquid NH<sub>3</sub> (<sup>15</sup>N). High-resolution, exact mass measurements were obtained by the mass spectrometry facility at the University of Wisconsin. Melting points were taken on a Mel-Temp II melting point apparatus. Gas chromatographic analysis of reactions was conducted with a Shimadzu GC-2010Plus gas chromatograph with either a DB-Wax or a RTX-5 column. Flash chromatography was performed using SilicaFlash® P60 (Silicycle, particle size 40-63 µm, 230-400 mesh).

**Procedure for Stoichiometric Reaction of phd with 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline:** To a solution of phd (4.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) in MeCN- $d_3$  (1.0 mL) containing internal standard (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene) was added 6.0 equiv of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-isoquinoline (14.5 µL, 0.114 mmol). Reaction progress was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR until completion, at which point solids had formed along the walls of the NMR tube. (The liquids could be decanted, and the solids reconstituted in DMSO- $d_6$  + 1 drop TFA to give a spectrum which matched authentic 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-diol, prepared according to the literature procedure<sup>1</sup>).

Low Temperature NMR Characterization of Hemiaminal Intermediate A: General considerations for NMR studies: Low temperature characterization data was obtained using Bruker Avance 500 MHz or Varian INOVA 600 MHz spectrometers. Low temperature correlation spectroscopy was acquired using the following pulse parameters:  ${}^{1}$ H- ${}^{13}$ C HSQC spectral window (f2) of 230 ppm centered at 105 ppm, j1xh = 140.0 Hz, ni = 256, and ns = 2;  ${}^{1}$ H- ${}^{13}$ C HMBC spectral window (f2) of 230 ppm centered at 105 ppm, jnxh = 8.0 Hz, j1xh = 140.0 Hz, ni = 256, ns = 4;  ${}^{1}$ H- ${}^{15}$ N HMBC spectral window (f2) of 400 ppm centered at 150 ppm, jnxh = 7.0 Hz, j1xh = 95.0 Hz, ni = 256, and ns = 128. Chemical shift values are given in parts per million relative to residual solvent peaks ( ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C) or liquid NH<sub>3</sub> ( ${}^{15}$ N). Unless otherwise indicated, temperature calibrations were determined using a 4% MeOH in CD<sub>3</sub>OD external standard.

General Procedure for NMR sample preparation: A solution of phd (4.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) in 1.0 mL MeCN- $d_3$  was loaded into an NMR tube. 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline (14.5  $\mu$ L, 0.114 mmol, 6.0 equiv) was added, and the sample was frozen in a dry ice/acetone bath to prevent further reaction. The sample was quickly thawed prior to loading into a spectrometer already cooled to -40 °C. When relevant, percent yield was determined from quantitative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (relaxation delay: 25 s) based on 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene internal standard.

**General Procedure for NMR time courses:** A solution of (a) phd (4.0 mg, 0.019 mmol), or (b) phd (4.0 mg, 0.019 mmol) and 0.5 equiv  $Zn(OTf)_2$  (3.45 mg, 0.0095 mmol) in 1.0 mL MeCN- $d_3$  was loaded into an NMR tube. The sample was locked, tuned and shimmed in an NMR spectrometer already at -10 °C. The sample was quickly ejected,

1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (14.5  $\mu$ L, 0.114 mmol, 6.0 equiv) was added, and the sample was re-injected into the spectrometer. Quantitative (1 scan) <sup>1</sup>H spectra were acquired every 60 or 120 seconds.

## Procedures for aerobic reaction screening

In a disposable culture tube, 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione (5 mol %, 0.065 mmol), ZnI<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mol %, 0.00325 mmol), and PPTS (15 mol %, 0.0195 mmol) were dissolved in 0.5 mL MeCN. 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline or dibenzylamine (0.130 mmol) was added, and the reaction tube was placed into an aluminum block mounted on a Large Capacity Mixer (Glas-Col) that enabled several reactions to be performed simultaneously under a constant pressure of (approx.) 1 atm  $O_2$  with orbital agitation. The headspace above the tubes was filled and purged with oxygen gas multiple times, and then left under constant pressure of  $O_2$  for 24 h. Upon completion, the tube was removed and internal standard was added. The reaction solvents were removed, and the residue suspended in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and filtered through a short plug of Celite for NMR analysis.

## **Kinetic Isotope Effect Studies**



Mono-deuterated 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline,  $1-d_1$ , was prepared with >99:1 deuterium incorporation according to the literature procedure.<sup>2</sup>

## Stoichiometric Reactions: Quinone-mediated oxidation.



after 1.5 h, 80% yield: KIE = 6.4 ± 0.14

To a solution containing 1.0 equiv phd (10.0 mg, 0.047 mmol), 0.5 equiv  $Zn(OTf)_2$  (8.6 mg, 0.023 mmol) and 3.0 equiv PPTS (35.6 mg, 0.141 mmol) in 2.5 mL MeCN was added 6.0 equiv 1- $d_1$  (36 µL, 0.284 mmol). After 1.5 h, a stock solution of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene was added and the reaction was concentrated, resuspended in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and filtered through a celite plug into an NMR tube. Quantitative NMR analysis showed the reaction yield to be 80% based on **phd**. The intrinsic KIE was determined to be 6.4 +/- 0.14 (mean +/- s.e.m.) based on three independent experiments.

## Stoichiometric Reactions: Iodine/Triiodide-mediated oxidation.



To a solution containing 1.0 equiv  $I_2$  (12.1 mg, 0.047 mmol), 0.5 equiv  $ZnI_2$  (7.6 mg, 0.023 mmol) and 3.0 equiv PPTS (35.6 mg, 0.141 mmol) in 2.5 mL MeCN was added 6.0 equiv 1-d<sub>1</sub> (36 µL, 0.284 mmol). After 1.5 h a stock solution of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene was added to the reaction mixture and the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and washed with a saturated solution of sodium thiosulfate. The organic phase was dried, concentrated and redissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> for NMR. Quantitative NMR analysis showed the reaction yield to be 45% based on **phd.** The intrinsic KIE was determined to be 3.8 +/-0.20 (mean +/- s.e.m.) based on five independent experiments.

#### Catalytic Reactions: Optimized aerobic conditions.



after 24 h, 52% yield: KIE = 6.4 ± 0.19

To a solution containing 5 mol % phd (4.14 mg, 0.0195 mmol), 2.5 mol % ZnI<sub>2</sub> (3.14 mg, 0.00975 mmol), and 15 mol % PPTS (14.9 mg, 0.585 mmol) in 1.6 mL MeCN containing 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene and under an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (balloon) was added 1- $d_1$  (50 µL, 0.39 mmol). After 24 h the reaction was concentrated, redissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and filtered through a Celite plug. Quantitative NMR analysis showed the reaction yield to be 52% based on 1 (>10 catalyst turnovers). The intrinsic KIE was determined to be 6.4 +/- 0.19 (mean +/- s.e.m.) based on three independent experiments. A sample run to earlier conversion, stopped after 7 h, was measured to have KIE = 6.5.

## Procedures for catalytic secondary amine oxidation

Typical procedure for the oxidation of secondary amines is as follows. A 25 mL flask was charged with 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione (10.5 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5 mol %) and amine substrate (1.0 mmol) and 3.0 mL anhydrous MeCN was added. The flask was flushed with  $O_2$  and equipped with an  $O_2$  balloon. A well-dissolved solution of ZnI<sub>2</sub> (7.98 mg, 0.025 mmol, 2.5 mol %) and pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, PPTS (37.7 mg, 0.15 mmol, 15 mol %), in 1.0 mL anhydrous MeCN was then added. (Depending on the substrate, once ZnI<sub>2</sub> was added the mixture was observed to change color and/or form a heterogeneous component over the course of the reaction). The reaction was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 24 h or 48 h, or until TLC indicated completion.

**Workup A:** Following reaction completion, the mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, suspended in a minimum of chloroform, and directly chromatographed over SiO<sub>2</sub> using EtOAc/Hexanes or EtOAc.

**Workup B:** Following reaction completion, the mixture was diluted in 50 mL EtOAc and washed with 25 mL of 1M NaOH. The aqueous phase was extracted with additional EtOAc (2 x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with 25 mL brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The reaction crude was then chromatographed over SiO<sub>2</sub> using EtOAc/Hexanes or EtOAc.

**Figure S1.** Variable Temperature stackplot of phd with 6.0 equiv 1 from 27 °C to -40 °C, resolving species **A** from the dynamic exchange of phd with **1**.



**Figure S2. Characterization of Hemiaminal species, A** <sup>1</sup>H NMR at -40 °C.



Figure S3. Characterization of Hemiaminal species, A  $^{1}H - {}^{13}C$  HSQC at -40 °C.





**Figure S4.** Characterization of Hemiaminal species, **A** <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMBC at -40 °C.





#### Figure S6. Characterization of Hemiaminal species, A 1D-NOESY at -40 °C.

Selective irradiation at 8.12 ppm, mix time 0.3 s. NOE is observed from 4 to 3, A and C. (NOTE: Positive NOE is also observed to free 1 due to the exchange of positively magnetized A/C in species A with free 1 – see exchange dynamics).



**Figure S7. NMR titration of phd with 1, demonstrating equilibrium formation of Hemiaminal species, A** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR stackplot at -40 °C.



## Figure S8. Low Temperature Exchange Dynamics EXSY-1D at -40 °C.

Selective irradiation at 3.88 ppm with arrayed mix time. Magnetization transfer from free 1 (\*) to species A (A) indicates exchange.





## Figure S9. Low Temperature Exchange Dynamics EXSY-1D at -40 °C.

Selective irradiation at 8.12 ppm with arrayed mix time. Magnetization transfer from species A(2) to free phd (‡) to species A'(9) indicates exchange.





Figure S10. Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>/phd NMR Titration stackplot and speciation plot.





**Figure S11**. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HMBC: Zn(phd)<sub>3</sub>OTf<sub>2</sub> Indirect measurement of <sup>15</sup>N chemical shift of free phd is 251 ppm (vs NH<sub>3</sub>)



**Figure S12**. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HMBC: phd only. Indirect measurement of <sup>15</sup>N chemical shift of free phd is 313 ppm (vs  $NH_3$ )



## Synthesis of 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione, phd



## 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione, phd

The quinone catalyst, phd, was prepared from commercial 1,10-phenanthroline (Sigma Aldrich) according to the method of Eisenberg.<sup>3</sup> 1,10-phenanthroline (4.0 g, 22.0 mmol) and KBr (4.1 g, 34.4 mmol) were combined in a flask, and an ice-cooled mixture of  $H_2SO_4$  (40 mL) and HNO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) was slowly added to the solids. The mixture was heated to reflux for 3 h, and then dumped onto 500 mL ice. The yellow aqueous solution was carefully neutralized with NaOH to pH = 6 - 7, extracted into CHCl<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to give nearly quantitative 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione. The yellow solids were recrystallized from ethanol to give pale yellow, flat needles (1.65 g, 35%): mp (EtOH): 263-264 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  9.01 (dd, 2H, *J* = 4.8, 1.6 Hz), 8.40 (dd, 2H, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.69 (dd, 2H, *J* = 7.6, 4.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  178.25, 154.83, 152.79, 136.16, 129.60, 125.72; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 211.0503, found 211.0500. 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione is also commercially available (Sigma Aldrich).

## Synthesis and Characterization of Substrates

## **Acyclic Secondary Amines**

Dibenzylamine was obtained from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich) and was used as obtained, without further purification. Other acyclic secondary amines were synthesized by reductive amination, according to the literature method.<sup>4</sup>



*N*,*N*-bis-(4-methoxybenzyl)amine

Synthesized according to the representative procedure to give a colorless oil whose spectroscopic data matched those previously reported: <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.29 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 3.77 (s, 4H), 1.55 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.61, 132.58, 129.34, 113.78, 55.30, 52.51.

## Tetrahydroisoquinolines



1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline was obtained from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich) and was used without further purification. 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline was prepared by basification of an aqueous solution of 6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (available from Sigma Aldrich) and extraction of

the neutralized compound into organic solvent. 1-substituted tetrahydroisoquinolines were prepared according to the following representative procedure.



1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline

**Representative Procedure for Imine Formation:** 4-Chlorobenzaldehyde (2.5 g, 17.8 mmol) was dissolved in 100 mL MeOH, and 3,4-dimethoxyphenethylamine (3.0 mL, 17.8 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, forming a white precipitate over this time. The white solids were collected by vacuum filtration, rinsed with 5 mL MeOH, and dried to afford the desired imine as a fine white solid (4.29 g, 79% yield). The filtrate was concentrated, and the remaining solids were suspended in a minimum of methanol, and similarly collected to give an additional 0.548 g, or 89.6% combined yield of the corresponding imine: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.09 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.6-6.8 (m, 3H), 3.8-3.9 (m, 8H), 2.97 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.12, 148.62, 147.36, 136.53, 134.66, 132.40, 129.19, 128.88, 120.86, 112.41, 111.12, 63.33, 55.89, 55.73, 36.93. [In some instances, when imine products did not precipitate from solution, the solvents were evaporated and the residue triturated with - or recrystallized from - hexanes, diethyl ether, EtOH, or another suitable solvent].

**Representative Procedure for Pictet-Spengler:** The thus obtained imine (1.67 g, 5.5 mmol) was dissolved in TFA (10 mL) and heated to reflux for 3 h, or until TLC indicated completion. The reaction was cooled, diluted with water and the solution basified by the addition of 1M NaOH. The aqueous solution was extracted (3 x 75 mL EtOAc or CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to give 1.67 g of the title compound as a white solid whose spectroscopic data match those previously reported:<sup>6 1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.30 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.20 (dt, *J* = 11.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (ddd, *J* = 12.5, 8.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 12.3, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.6-2.85 (m, 1H), 2.26 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  147.76, 147.13, 143.25, 133.14, 130.31, 129.16, 128.55, 127.59, 111.45, 110.70, 60.74, 55.88, 55.85, 41.79, 29.14.



1-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>7 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.1-7.5 (m, 5H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 6.25 (s, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.22 (dt, *J* = 12.1, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (ddd, *J* = 12.3, 8.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 8.2, 5.3

Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dt, J = 16.0, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (br s, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  147.61, 147.05, 144.90, 129.87, 128.91, 128.41, 127.68, 127.37, 111.42, 110.96, 61.49, 55.87, 55.86, 41.90, 29.35.



*1-cyclohexyl*-*1*,2,3,4-*tetrahydro*-6,7-*dimethoxyisoquinoline* Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.64 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.26 (ddd, *J* = 12.1, 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (ddd, *J* = 12.1, 9.8, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (ddd, *J* = 15.4, 9.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dt, *J* = 15.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.5-2.0 (m, 6H), 1.0-1.5 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  147.09, 147.06, 130.27, 128.40, 111.71, 109.29, 60.40, 56.10, 55.81, 43.27, 42.50, 30.93, 29.83, 27.09, 26.72, 26.61, 26.38.

#### 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-β-carbolines



Tetrahydro- $\beta$ -carbolines were prepared from tryptamine using the general procedure outlined for the preparation of tetrahydroisoquinolines with the modifications described below.



#### *1-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline*

**Representative Procedure for Pictet-Spengler:** Following imine formation as indicated above, *N*-benzylidene tryptamine (2.0 g, 8.0 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL AcOH, and brought to reflux for 30 min. The reaction was cooled, diluted with water, neutralized with 1M NaOH, and extracted into EtOAc (3 x 75 mL). The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, and then dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to give 1.96 g (7.89 mmol, 98% yield) of the title compound. Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.5-7.6 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.4 (m, 5H), 7.2-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.07-7.17 (m, *J* = 7.1, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (ddd, *J* = 12.5, 5.3, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (ddd, *J* = 12.9, 8.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.7-3.0 (m, 2H), 1.85 (br s, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.85, 135.86, 134.55, 128.85, 128.51, 128.21, 127.43, 121.74, 119.42, 118.26, 110.84, 110.27, 58.20, 42.98, 22.59; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>CIN<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 247.1230, found 247.1224.



## *1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline*

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.5-7.6 (m, 1H), 7.0-7.25 (m, 5H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.33 (dt, *J* = 12.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (ddd, *J* = 12.9, 8.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.7-3.0 (m, 2H), 1.78 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.49, 135.91, 134.90, 134.03, 129.71, 127.44, 121.64, 119.32, 118.21, 114.13, 110.90, 110.08, 57.45, 55.38, 42.83, 22.59; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (M+H): 279.1492, found 279.1482.



*1-(4'-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline* 

Prepared according to the representative procedure with the following modifications: the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74 (s, NH), 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.3-7.4 (m, 2H), 7.0-7.3 (m, 5H, overlaps with CDCl<sub>3</sub> residual peak), 5.14 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (dt, *J* = 12.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (ddd, *J* = 12.8, 8.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75-3.0 (m, 2H), 1.91 (br s, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  140.40, 135.93, 134.01, 133.87, 129.92, 128.98, 127.33, 121.94, 119.54, 118.35, 110.91, 110.45, 57.39, 42.68, 22.50; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>CIN<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 283.0997, found 283.0995.



*1-(2-methylphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline* 

This compound has been previously reported.<sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.11 (br s, NH), 7.62 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.3-7.4 (m, 3H), 7.15- 7.3 (m, 3H, overlaps with CDCl<sub>3</sub> residual peak), 7.06 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (dt, J = 12.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (ddd, J = 12.9, 8.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.8-3.0 (m, 2H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.64 (br s, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  139.59, 137.13, 135.94, 134.79, 131.07, 128.89, 128.04, 127.44, 126.25, 121.62, 119.34, 118.15, 110.93, 110.42, 54.71, 42.73, 22.68, 19.12; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 263.1543, found 263.1533.



## *1-(indol-3-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline*

Synthesized according to the literature method.<sup>10</sup> A suspension of tryptamine (2.0 g, mmol ) and indole-3-carboxaldehyde (1.99 g, mmol) in 5 mL toluene was heated to reflux for 1 h. After this time, the solvents were removed in vacuo, and the viscous residue dissolved in 20 mL CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Trifluoroacetic acid (10 mL) was added, and the reaction was stirred for 24 h. The reaction was then neutralized by the addition of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (aq) and extracted into CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 x 100 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over NaSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and chromatographed to afford a pale yellow solid, mp: decomposed at 170 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.99 (s, 1H), 10.39 (s, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 2.6 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (p, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 3.20 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (ddd, *J* = 12.4, 7.6, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (q, *J* = 7.4, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.6-2.7 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  137.02, 136.67, 136.20, 127.44, 126.84, 124.87, 121.42, 120.66, 119.93, 118.78, 118.48, 117.89, 116.30, 111.85, 111.49, 107.92, 50.16, 42.41, 22.82; Calc for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 288.1496, found 288.1495.

#### Tetrahydroquinazolines



Tetrahydroquinazolines were prepared by condensation of 2-aminobenzylamine with the corresponding aldehyde in MeOH, according to the representative procedure for imine formation, above. Tetrahydroquinazolines exhibit ring-chain tautomerism, resulting in NMR spectra that reflect an equilibrium mixture of species. NMR peak data is given only for the major, ring tautomer unless otherwise indicated.





Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>11</sup> Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 15:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.56 (m, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.3-7.5 (m, 3H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.70, 141.49, 128.78, 128.60, 127.33, 126.63, 126.26, 121.20, 118.22, 115.06, 69.60, 46.45.



#### 2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Ratio of Ring to Chain: 13:1 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>); mp: 119-122 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.4-7.5 (m, 5H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.31 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H, overlaps with broad peak at 4.24), 4.24 (br s, 1H), 4.04 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (br s, 1H), 1.38 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  151.59, 143.86, 138.66, 127.27, 126.27, 126.24, 125.68, 121.31, 118.10, 115.03, 69.44, 46.65, 34.65, 31.38. EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 267.1856, found 267.1844.



2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>11</sup> Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 13:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.26 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.37, 140.15, 134.20, 128.88, 128.12, 127.38, 126.26, 121.28, 118.42, 115.17, 68.86, 46.15.



2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>11</sup> Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.9-7.0 (m, 3H), 6.76 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (s, 1H), 4.30 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (br s, 1H), 4.02 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 1.97 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.71, 143.86, 133.93, 127.78, 127.26, 126.23, 121.26, 118.10, 115.02, 114.05, 69.21, 55.36, 46.60.



2-(4-N,N-dimethylaminophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>11</sup> Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.26 (s, 1H chain tautomer), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H chain tautomer), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H ring tautomer), 7.05-7.15 (m, 1H ring tautomer + 1H chain tautomer), 6.98 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H chain tautomer), 6.8-6.65 (m, 4H ring tautomer + 3H chain tautomer), 6.60 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, ring tautomer), 5.20 (s, 1H ring tautomer), 4.74 (s, 2H chain tautomer), 4.32 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H ring tautomer), 4.19 (s, 1H ring tautomer), 4.04 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H ring tautomer), 3.05 (s, 6H chain tautomer), 3.00 (s, 6H ring tautomer). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.17, 152.13, 150.79, 145.93, 144.10, 129.66, 129.50, 129.15, 128.21, 127.34, 127.19, 126.21, 125.11, 124.24, 121.24, 118.16, 117.87, 115.84, 114.91, 112.55, 111.61, 111.55, 69.40, 63.68, 46.81, 40.65, 40.24 (includes both ring and chain tautomers).



2-(furan-2-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>12</sup> Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 18:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.35-6.5 (m, 2H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 4.40 (s, 1H), 4.18 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.12, 142.89, 142.35, 127.35, 126.23, 121.46, 118.48, 115.35, 110.33, 106.70, 63.78, 45.49.



2-(2'-bromophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Isolated as a viscous pale yellow oil. Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 48:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.64 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.0-3.8 (br s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  143.79, 140.15, 133.30, 133.29, 129.95, 127.90, 127.88, 127.39, 126.37, 123.30, 121.32, 118.42, 115.26, 68.56, 46.24; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 289.0335, found 289.0339.



2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Viscous yellow oil. Ratio of Ring to Chain (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4:1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.3-7.4 (m, 1H), 7.05-7.2 (m, 3H), 6.99 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 2.6,

1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (td, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (s, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.99, 143.68, 143.18, 129.84, 127.33, 126.27, 121.24, 118.92, 118.23, 115.11, 114.29, 111.96, 69.58, 55.34, 46.51. Calc for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (M+H): 241.1336, found 241.1334.



2-cyclohexyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline

Chain tautomer not observed (CDCl<sub>3</sub>); mp: 65-67 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.04 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H, overlaps with peak at 3.98), 3.98 (s, 1H), 1.9-2.0 (m, 1H), 1.7-1.9 (m, 4H), 1.4-1.6 (m, 1H), 1.0-1.4 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.04, 127.15, 126.09, 121.74, 117.74, 114.96, 71.04, 46.74, 42.87, 28.27, 27.99, 26.52, 26.21, 26.18. EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 217.1700, found 217.1699.

#### Indolines

Indoline was obtained from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich), and was used as received without further purification. Methylindolines were prepared by reduction of the corresponding indole using excess NaCNBH<sub>3</sub> in acetic acid, according to literature methods.<sup>13</sup>

1-methylindoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>14</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.1-7.2 (m, 2H), 6.75 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.97 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.79 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.41, 130.37, 127.36, 124.31, 117.88, 107.35, 56.22, 36.37, 28.80.

2-methylindoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>14</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.08 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (ddq, *J* = 8.6, 7.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (br s, NH), 3.15 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.98, 128.91, 127.25, 124.74, 118.54, 109.19, 55.25, 37.79, 22.32.



3-methylindoline

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>13</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.10 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, overlaps with NH), 3.5-3.3 (m, 1H), 3.12 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  151.25, 134.35, 127.30, 123.38, 118.70, 109.52, 55.47, 36.66, 18.66.



*N-toluenesulfonylindoline* 

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>15 1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.7-7.6 (m, 3H), 7.24-7.13 (m, 3H), 7.07 (ddd, *J* = 7.4, 1.5, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.23, 142.22, 134.27, 131.96, 129.85, 127.91, 127.53, 125.30, 123.91, 115.23, 50.15, 28.10, 21.74.

Characterization of Products Acyclic imines



*N-benzylidenebenzylamine*, **4** Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>16 1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.41 (m, 8H), 4.81 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 162.21, 139.56, 136.42, 131.00, 128.83, 128.73, 128.52, 128.22, 127.22, 65.29.



*N-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-4-methoxybenzylamine*, **5** 

Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>16</sup><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.30 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.8-6.9 (m, 4H), 4.73 (s, 2H) 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.90, 161.12, 158.87, 131.92 130.02, 129.39, 114.19, 114.12, 64.62, 55.56, 55.51.

#### **3,4-Dihydroisoquinolines**



*3,4-dihydroisoquinoline,* **2** 

Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>17 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.28 (br s, 1H), 7.2-7.3 (m, 3H, overlaps with CDCl<sub>3</sub> residual peak), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.83, 136.37, 131.30, 128.45, 127.49, 127.44, 127.17, 47.34, 25.06.



## 3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline, 6

Using workup method B. From 194.6 mg substrate, 176.1 mg (91% yield) of the title compound was collected as a viscous oil. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>18</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.21 (br s, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.71 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.69, 151.23, 147.85, 129.90, 121.54, 110.40, 110.38, 56.15, 56.07, 47.35, 24.78; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 192.1020, found 192.1019.



#### 1-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline, 7

Using workup method B. From 269.0 mg of starting material, 260.7 mg (97.5%) of the title compound was obtained as a white solid, mp: 119-121 °C. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>19 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60 (m, 2H), 7.43 (m, 3H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.81 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.72, 150.90, 147.10, 139.24, 132.63, 129.32, 128.80, 128.20, 121.63, 111.56, 110.28, 56.18, 56.07, 47.76, 26.05; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 268.1333, found 268.1327.



1-cyclohexyl-3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline, 8

Using workup method B. From 274.8 mg starting material, 244.3 mg (89.5% yield) was obtained as a colorless oil that eventually solidifies to an off-white solid; mp: 78-80 °C. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature:<sup>20 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.99 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (t, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.6-1.9 (m, 5H), 1.2-1.5 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.15, 150.55, 147.43, 132.09, 121.69, 110.48, 108.68, 56.38, 55.94, 46.96,

42.27, 31.35, 26.62, 26.32, 26.04; EMM (ESI) Calc for  $C_{17}H_{23}NO_2$  (M+H): 274.1802, found 274.1795.



1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-6,7-dimethoxyisoquinoline, 9

Using workup method B. From 304.8 mg starting material, 284.9 mg (94.0% yield) was obtained as a white crystalline solid, mp: 125-127 °C. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>21</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.79 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.72 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.77, 151.09, 147.18, 137.58, 135.39, 132.67, 130.20, 128.45, 121.20, 111.18, 110.35, 56.18, 56.09, 47.69, 25.96; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>CINO<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 302.0943, found 302.0934.

#### **3,4-Dihydro-β-carbolines**



*1-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-β-carboline*, **11** 

Using workup method A or B. From 249.1 mg of starting material, 200.0 mg collected (80.9% yield) as a pale yellow solid, mp: 211-214 °C. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>22 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.23 (s, NH), 7.77 (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.5-7.6 (m, 3H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.01 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.33, 137.67, 136.47, 130.00, 128.90, 127.85, 127.81, 125.63, 124.63, 120.46, 120.06, 117.89, 112.01, 48.98, 19.29; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 247.1230, found 247.1224.



#### 1-(4'-chlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-β-carboline, 12

Using workup method A. From 283.9 mg of starting material, 197.3 mg were collected (70% yield) as a light yellow solid, mp: 220-222 °C. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.08 (br s, NH), 7.65-7.7 (m, 3H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.97 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.31, 136.55, 136.14, 136.04, 129.23, 129.14, 127.45, 125.61, 124.88, 120.64, 120.12, 118.32, 112.03, 48.99, 19.24; EMM (ESI) Calc for  $C_{17}H_{13}ClN_2$  (M+H): 281.0841, found 281.0835.



*1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3,4-dihvdro-β-carboline*, **13** Using workup method A or B. From 277.6 mg of starting material; 241.4 mg collected (87.6% yield) as a pale white solid, mp: 196-197 °C. Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>22 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.18 (br s, NH), 7.70 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H) 7.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H overlaps with CDCl<sub>3</sub> residual peak), 7.18 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H) 7.00 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.95 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 161.10. 158.70, 136.40, 130.24, 129.33, 127.97, 125.68. δ 124.52, 120.42, 120.01, 117.89, 114.22, 111.98, 55.46, 48.81, 19.30; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (M+H): 277.1336, found 277.1326.



## *1-(2-methylphenyl)-3,4-dihydro-β-carboline*, **14**

Using workup method B. From 263.7 mg of starting material, 240.7 mg (91% yield) were collected as an off-white solid, decomposed above 180 °C. This compound has been previously reported, but full spectroscopic data have not been reported.<sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.87 (s, NH), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.35 (m, 4H, overlaps with CDCl<sub>3</sub> residual peak), 7.18 (m, 1H), 4.12 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.45, 136.96, 136.56, 136.23, 130.89, 129.21, 128.63, 128.28, 126.10, 125.67, 120.46, 120.12, 116.80, 111.99 48.90, 19.50, 19.33; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 261.1308, found 261.1303.



Isoeudistomin U, 15

Using workup method B. Bright yellow solid, slow decomposition >200 °C; Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>23,24</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-d<sub>4</sub> + 1 drop TFA-d<sub>1</sub>)  $\delta$  8.30 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.3-7.45 (m, 3H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.29 (m, 2H, overlaps with MeOD-d<sub>4</sub> residual peak); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD-d<sub>4</sub> + 1 drop TFA-d<sub>1</sub>)  $\delta$  157.04, 137.78, 141.44, 136.53, 128.00, 125.22, 124.98, 124.92, 124.41, 124.92, 124.41, 124.35, 122.89, 121.44, 120.97, 119.57, 112.98, 112.84, 106.00, 41.25, 19.26; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 286.1339, found 286.1350.

## Quinazolines



2-phenylquinazoline, 16

Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>26</sup> Using workup method A. From 210.2 mg of starting material, 174.0 mg (84.4% yield) were isolated as a bright yellow flaky solid, mp: 96-100 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.45 (s, 1H), 8.61 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.8-7.9 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.62 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.13, 160.55, 150.83, 138.09, 134.16, 130.66, 128.70, 128.62, 127.32, 127.18, 123.66; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 207.0917, found 207.0921.



2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-quinazoline, 17

Using workup method B. From 270 mg of starting material, 226.8 mg (85.3% yield) were collected as a white crystalline solid, mp: 90-91 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.45 (s, 1H), 8.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.8-7.9 (m, 2H), 7.5-7.6 (m, 3H), 1.39 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.20, 160.48, 153.99, 150.88, 135.37, 134.04, 128.67, 128.40, 127.16, 127.06, 125.68, 123.56, 34.93, 31.33; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 263.1543, found 263.1548.



## 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-quinazoline, 18

Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>26</sup> From 248.1 mg of starting materials, 199.6 mg (81.8% yield) were collected as a fine white crystalline solid; mp: 136-137 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.48 (s, 1H), 8.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94-7.98 (m, 2H), 7.66 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.59, 160.11, 150.75, 136.89, 136.58, 134.32, 129.94, 128.88, 128.66, 127.52, 127.21, 123.68; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 241.0528, found 241.0524.



#### 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-quinazoline, 19

Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>26</sup> From 240 mg of starting material, 151.4 mg (64% yield) as a pale yellow crystalline solid; mp: 92-94 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.45 (s, 1H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.89-7.93 (m, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.88, 160.92, 160.44, 150.89, 134.07, 130.78, 130.25, 128.47, 127.18, 126.83, 123.36, 114.02, 55.44; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (M+H): 237.1023, found 237.1025.



2-(4-(N,N-dimethylamino)-phenyl)-quinazoline, **20** This compound has been previously reported.<sup>25</sup> Bright yellow needles, mp: 136-138.5 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.36 (s, 1H), 8.51 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.8-7.9 (m, 2H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.53, 160.27, 152.20, 151.07, 133.86, 129.93, 128.23, 127.17, 126.14, 125.74, 123.11, 111.79, 40.31; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (M+H): 250.1339, found 250.1334.



2-(Furan-2-yl)quinazoline, 21

Using workup method A. From 200.7 mg of starting materials, 159.0 mg (81% yield) of the title compound was collected as pale orange needles, mp: 128-130 °C. Spectroscopic data match those previously reported.<sup>26 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.37 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.8-7.9 (m, 2H), 7.68 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (td, *J* = 6.9, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.79, 154.16, 152.56, 150.48, 145.40, 134.56, 128.45, 127.32, 123.42, 114.14, 112.37; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (M+H): 197.0710, found 197.0707.



2-(2-bromophenyl)-quinazoline, 22

From 303 mg of starting material, 254.1 mg (85% yield) of the title compound was obtained as a pale yellow viscous oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.51 (s, 1H), 8.11 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.9-8.0 (m, 2H), 7.77 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (dt, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dt, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (dt, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.85, 160.30, 150.31, 140.22, 134.47, 133.75, 131.74, 130.47, 128.66, 128.13, 127.53, 127.21, 123.33, 121.97; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 285.0022, found 285.0013.



2-cyclohexylquinazoline, 23

From 216.3 mg starting material, 161.9 mg (76.3% yield) of the title compound was recovered as a glassy colorless solid, mp: 34-37 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.27 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.7-7.8 (m, 2H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (tt, *J* = 11.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (m, 2H), 1.6-1.8 (m, 5H), 1.2-1.4 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.97, 160.42, 150.44, 133.87, 128.08, 127.07, 126.84, 123.31, 47.99, 31.99, 26.36, 26.07; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (M+H): 213.1387, found 213.1387.



## 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-quinazoline, 24

Spectroscopic data matches those reported in the literature.<sup>27</sup> From 239.3 mg starting material, 169.8 mg (72% yield) of the title compound was obtained as a white crystalline solid, mp: 78-80 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.45 (s, 1H), 8.14 (dt, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (m, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.8-7.85 (m, 2H), 7.51 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H) 7.36 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 2.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.88, 160.48, 160.07, 150.07, 139.56, 134.14, 129.69, 128.72, 127.35, 127.16, 123.70, 121.20, 117.30, 113.06, 55.50; EMM (ESI) Calc for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (M+H): 237.1023, found 237.1032.

## Indoles

The reaction procedure for the oxidation of indoles was identical to that described above, except that 1.0 mol %  $ZnI_2$  and 1.0 mol % PPTS were used. Workup method A was employed in all instances.



## Indole, 25

From 112 µl of indoline, using workup method A, obtained 95.1 mg (81.2% yield) of indole. Spectroscopic data were identical to commercially available material. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.01 (br s, NH), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H),

7.1-7.25 (m, 3H), 6.54 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  135.84, 127.91, 124.26, 122.06, 120.82, 119.90, 111.14, 102.65; EMM (EI) Calc for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N (M+H): 117.0573, found 117.1579.





3-methylindole, 26

From 135.4 mg of 3-methylindoline, using workup method A, obtained 106.6 mg (79.9% yield) of 3-methylindole as a white solid; mp 95-97 °C to red oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73 (m, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  136.36, 128.39, 121.99, 121.79, 119.25, 118.98, 111.74, 111.13, 9.82; EMM (EI) Calc for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N (M+H): 131.0730, found 131.0726. Spectroscopic data were identical to commercially available material.

2-methylindole, 27

From 137.6 mg of the corresponding 2-methylindoline, using workup method A, obtained 124.9 mg as a mixture of product and starting material. This mixture was dissolved in hot hexanes, precipitating out 99.0 mg (73% yield) of title compound as white crystals; mp 58-59°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.65 (br s, NH), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05-7.15 (m, 2H), 6.23 (br s, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  136.07, 135.06, 129.10, 120.96, 119.66, 110.22, 100.43, 13.77; EMM (EI) Calc for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N (M+H): 131.0730, found 131.0726. Spectroscopic data were identical to commercially available material.





# <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectra of Products











































## X Ray Crystallographic Data for Zn(phd)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> complex

A molecular drawing of the Zn complex of phd shown with 50% probability ellipsoids. All H atoms and disordered parts are omitted for clarity.



## **Crystallographic Experimental Section**

#### **Data Collection**

A red crystal with approximate dimensions 0.733 x 0.133 x 0.119 mm<sup>3</sup> was selected under oil under ambient conditions and attached to the tip of a MiTeGen MicroMount©. The crystal was mounted in a stream of cold nitrogen at 100(1) K and centered in the X-ray beam by using a video camera.

The crystal evaluation and data collection were performed on a Bruker Quazar SMART APEXII diffractometer with Mo K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å) radiation and the diffractometer to crystal distance of 4.96 cm.

The initial cell constants were obtained from three series of  $\omega$  scans at different starting angles. Each series consisted of 12 frames collected at intervals of  $0.5^{\circ}$  in a  $6^{\circ}$  range about  $\omega$  with the exposure time of 10 seconds per frame. The reflections were successfully indexed by an automated indexing routine built in the

APEXII program suite. The final cell constants were calculated from a set of 9785 strong reflections from the actual data collection.

The data were collected by using the full sphere data collection routine to survey the reciprocal space to the extent of a full sphere to a resolution of 0.70 Å. A total of 153282 data were harvested by collecting 6 sets of frames with 0.5° scans in  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  with exposure times of 20 sec per frame. These highly redundant datasets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The absorption correction was based on fitting a function to the empirical transmission surface as sampled by multiple equivalent measurements. [1]

## **Structure Solution and Refinement**

The systematic absences in the diffraction data were consistent for the space groups *Pnma* and *Pna2*<sub>1</sub>. The *E*-statistics strongly suggested the centrosymmetric space group *Pnma* that yielded chemically reasonable and computationally stable results of refinement [2-4].

A successful solution by the direct methods provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the *E*-map. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located in an alternating series of least-squares cycles and difference Fourier maps. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement coefficients. All hydrogen atoms were included in the structure factor calculation at idealized positions and were allowed to ride on the neighboring atoms with relative isotropic displacement coefficients.

The asymmetric unit contains one Zn complex as well as a heavily disordered non-coordinated triflate anion and two partially occupied acetonitrile molecules. Ligand N3 of the Zn complex is disordered over two positions (major component: 82.0(10)%). Triflate ligand S1 is also disordered over two positions (major component: 92.8(2)%). Bond distance and thermal parameter restraints and constraints were applied to the disordered species to enable a computationally stable and chemically reasonable refinement.

S53

A significant amount of time was invested in identifying and refining the disordered non-coordinated triflate anion and acetonitrile molecules. Bond length restraints were applied to model the diffuse species but the resulting isotropic displacement coefficients suggested that the species were mobile. In addition, the refinement was computationally unstable. Option SQUEEZE of program PLATON [5] was used to correct the diffraction data for diffuse scattering effects and to identify the species. PLATON calculated the upper limit of volume that can be occupied by the species to be 1828 Å<sup>3</sup>, or 27.3% of the unit cell volume. The program calculated 820 electrons in the unit cell for the diffuse species. This approximately corresponds to one triflate anion (592 electrons/cell) and 1.4 molecules of acetonitrile (246 electrons/cell) in the asymmetric unit. It is very likely that these species are disordered over several positions. Based on these results, the formula for the asymmetric unit is:  $[(C_{12}H_6N_2O_2)_2(O_3SCF_3)(C_2H_3N)Zn]^+; [O_3SCF_3]^-; 1.4$  $(C_2H_3N)$ . Please note that all derived results in the following tables are based on the known contents. No data are given for the diffusely scattering species.

The final least-squares refinement of 551 parameters against 7908 data resulted in residuals *R* (based on  $F^2$  for  $I \ge 2\sigma$ ) and *wR* (based on  $F^2$  for all data) of 0.0365 and 0.0939, respectively.

#### Summary

Crystal data for  $C_{30.8}H_{19.2}N_{6.4}O_{10}F_6S_2Zn$  (*M* =882.41): orthorhombic, space group *Pnma* (no. 62), *a* = 21.111(7) Å, *b* = 22.086(6) Å, *c* = 14.348(6) Å, *V* = 6690(4) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 8, *T* = 100.0 K,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.963 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *Dcalc* = 1.752 g/mm<sup>3</sup>, 153282 reflections measured (3.384 ≤ 2 $\Theta$  ≤ 55.094), 7908 unique ( $R_{int}$  = 0.0451) which were used in all calculations. The final  $R_1$  was 0.0429 (I > 2 $\sigma$ (I)) and  $wR_2$  was 0.1159 (all data).

## References

[1] Bruker-AXS. (2007-2013) APEX2 (Ver. 2013.2-0), SADABS (2012-1), and SAINT+ (Ver. 8.30C) Software Reference Manuals. Bruker-AXS, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

[2] Sheldrick, G. M. (2008) SHELXL. Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

[3] Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.K.; Puschmann, H. "OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program". *J. Appl. Cryst.* (2009) **42**, *339-341*.

- [4] Guzei, I.A. (2013). Internal laboratory computer programs Gn.
- [5] A.L. Spek (1990) Acta Cryst. A46, C34.



A molecular drawing of the Zn complex of phd showing the disordered component. All atoms are drawn with 50% probability ellipsoids. All H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Identification code	Stahl171
Empirical formula	[(C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>6</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (O <sub>3</sub> SCF <sub>3</sub> )(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> N)Zn] <sup>+</sup> ; [O <sub>3</sub> SCF <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> ; 1.4 (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> N)
Formula weight	882.41
Temperature/K	100.0
Crystal system	orthorhombic
Space group	Pnma
a/Å	21.111(7)
b/Å	22.086(6)
c/Å	14.348(6)
α/°	90
β/°	90
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	6690(4)
Z	8
$\rho_{calc} mg/mm^3$	1.752
µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.963
F(000)	3558.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.733 × 0.133 × 0.119
$2\Theta$ range for data collection	3.384 to 55.094°
Index ranges	$-27 \le h \le 27, -28 \le k \le 28, -18 \le l \le 18$
Reflections collected	153282
Independent reflections	7908[R(int) = 0.0451]
Data/restraints/parameters	7908/616/551
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.077
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0365$ , $wR_2 = 0.0898$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0431$ , $wR_2 = 0.0939$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å- $_3$	0.44/-0.50

**Table S1.** Crystal data and structure refinement for Stahl171

#### References

- (1) Wu, J.-Z.; Li, H.; Zhang, J.-G.; Xu, J.-H. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2002, 5, 71.
- (2) Chen, L.; Zhang, L.; Lv, J.; Cheng, J.-P.; Luo, S. *Chem Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 8891.
- (3) Paw, W.; Eisenberg, R., *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 2287.
- (4) Abdel-Magid, A. F.; Carson, K. G.; Harris, B. D.; Maryanoff, C. A.; Shah, R. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3849.
- (5) Lorentz-Petersen, L. L. R.; Jensen, P.; Madsen, R. Synthesis 2009, 4110.
- (6) Gao, M.; Kong, D.; Clearfield, A.; Zheng, Q.-H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 2229.
- (7) Barbero, M.; Bazzi, S.; Cadamuro, S.; Dughera, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 6356.
- (8) Shen, Y.-C.; Chen, C.-Y.; Hsieh, P.-W.; Duh, C.-Y.; Lin, Y.-M.; Ko, C.-L. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2005**, *53*, 32.
- (9) Wawzonek, S.; Nelson, G. E. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 1377.
- (10) Massiot, G.; Nazabadioko, S.; Bliard, C. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1636.
- (11) Sinkkonen, J.; Zelenin, K. N.; Potapov, A.-K. A.; Lagoda, I. V.; Alekseyev, V. V.; Pihlaja, K. *Tetrahedron* 2003, *59*, 1939.
- (12) Vanden Eynde, J. J.; Godin, J. R. M.; Mayence, A.; Maquestiau, A.; Anders, E. *Synthesis* **1993**, 867.
- (13) Gotor-Fernandez, V.; Fernandez-Torres, P.; Gotor, V. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2006**, *17*, 2558.
- (14) Gribble, G. W.; Hoffman, J. H. Synthesis 1977, 1977, 859.
- (15) Raszka, B.; McKee, J.; Zanger, M. Synth. Commun. 2010, 40, 1837.
- (16) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2850.
- (17) Sasamoto, N.; Dubs, C.; Hamashima, Y.; Sodeoka, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14010.

- (18) Miyazaki, M.; Ando, N.; Sugai, K.; Seito, Y.; Fukuoka, H.; Kanemitsu, T.; Nagata, K.; Odanaka, Y.; Nakamura, K. T.; Itoh, T. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, *76*, 534.
- (19) Movassaghi, M.; Hill, M. D. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3485.
- (20) Georgiev, V. S.; Carlson, R. P.; Van Inwegen, R. G.; Khandwala, A. J. Med. *Chem.* **1979**, *22*, 348.
- (21) Awuah, E.; Capretta, A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5627.
- (22) Dong, J.; Shi, X.-X.; Xing, J.; Yan, J.-J. Synth. Commun. 2011, 42, 2806.
- (23) Badre, A.; Boulanger, A.; Abou-Mansour, E.; Banaigs, B.; Combaut, G.; Francisco, C. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1994**, *57*, 528
- (24) Massiot, G.; Nazabadioko, S.; Bliard, C. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1636.
- (25) Herbich, J.; Kapturkiewicz, A.; Nowacki, J.; Golinski, J.; Dbrowski, Z. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *3*, 2438.
- (26) Han, B.; Yang, X.-L.; Wang, C.; Bai, Y.-W.; Pan, T.-C.; Chen, X.; Yu, W. J. Org. *Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 1136.
- (27) Truong, V. L.; Morrow, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 758-760.