

Table S3: MCMC results of the causality between exploration and evaluation

Parameters	Mean	SD	Quantiles			Gelman-Rubin Statistics		
			2.5%	50.0%	97.5%	median (upper C.I.)	Effective sample size	
Evaluation effect model <i>(equation S3)</i>	$\alpha_1$	1.47	0.32	0.86	1.48	2.10	1.01 (1.03)	1000
	$\beta_1$	0.42	0.040	0.34	0.42	0.50	1.00 (1.02)	1241
	$\sigma_3$	1.93	0.20	1.57	1.91	2.38	1.01 (1.03)	1118
	$\Sigma_3$	0.67	0.39	0.04	0.66	0.90	1.00 (1.01)	814
Exploration effect model <i>(equation S4)</i>	$\alpha_2$	0.87	0.39	0.094	0.86	1.67	1.01 (1.02)	614
	$\beta_2$	0.34	0.089	0.17	0.34	0.52	1.00 (1.01)	1167
	$\sigma_4$	2.09	0.25	1.67	2.06	2.60	1.00 (1.01)	995
	$\Sigma_4$	0.98	0.47	0.12	0.96	2.01	1.00 (1.02)	506

The Gelman-Rubin statistic for each parameter was lower than 1.1, which means the MCMC sampling converged.