## DHR3: A Drosophila steroid receptor homolog

(ecdysone-inducible genes/zinc fingers/hormones)

MICHAEL R. KOELLE, WILLIAM A. SEGRAVES\*, AND DAVID S. HOGNESS<sup>†</sup>

Departments of Biochemistry and Developmental Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5427

Contributed by David S. Hogness, April 6, 1992

ABSTRACT In Drosophila the steroid hormone ecdysone triggers a genetic regulatory hierarchy in which ecdysone combines with a receptor protein to form a complex that induces the transcription of a small class of "early" genes, which encode transcription factors that regulate other genes. We previously reported that one of the early genes, E75, encodes members of the steroid receptor superfamily. Using an E75 hybridization probe, we have identified two additional Drosophila genes that encode members of this superfamily. One of these is the ecdysone receptor gene, EcR, as previously reported. In this work, we examine the sequence, genomic organization, and developmental expression of the other gene. DHR3, which, like E75, encodes one of a growing number of "orphan" receptors for which ligands have not yet been identified. The structure of the DHR3 protein is strikingly similar to that of the MHR3 protein (e.g., 97% amino acid identity for the DNA binding domains), another orphan receptor encoded by an ecdysone-inducible early gene of another insect, Manduca sexta. The temporal developmental profile for DHR3 expression closely parallels that for the ecdysone titer and for the ecdysone-inducible E75 and E74 Drosophila early genes. The structural similarity to a Manduca early gene and the expression similarities to Drosophila early genes suggest that the DHR3 gene may also belong to the early gene class.

The most dramatic form of the ecdysone response in Drosophila melanogaster occurs at the end of larval life when a pulse of this steroid hormone triggers metamorphosis to the adult fly. The first operational definition of the genes involved in this response came from analyses of the transcription puffs induced by that pulse in salivary gland chromosomes. These analyses led to a model for an ecdysoneactivated genetic regulatory hierarchy consisting of "early" and "late" genes responsible for the respective temporal classes of puffs and two sorts of transcription factors, each of which regulates both classes of genes by both positive and negative controls (1). The first factor postulated by the model is an ecdysone receptor, which, when complexed with the hormone, induces the early genes and represses the late genes. By contrast, factors of the second kind, which are encoded by the early genes, induce and repress the late and early genes, respectively. These two +/- regulatory duets account for the rapid induction and subsequent regression of the early puffs and the delayed induction of the late puffs.

The past few years have seen a striking increase in our knowledge of the genes controlling ecdysone response, particularly with respect to the early genes. Three of these genes have been cloned and characterized: E74 (2–5), E75 (6, 7), and BR-C (8, 9). The molecular and mutational characterization of these genes is not only consistent with the genetic regulatory hierarchy postulated above but indicates that such a hierarchy may be general to all ecdysone target tissues.

The work reported here derives from the finding that the proteins encoded by the *E75* early gene exhibit sequence similarity to the DNA and ligand binding domains of the receptors for the steroid hormones, thyroid hormone, and retinoic acid and thus belong to the steroid receptor superfamily (refs. 6 and 7; see refs. 10–12 for reviews of this superfamily). The E75 proteins are not ecdysone receptors and the ligand to which they bind has not been identified, placing them in the growing class of "orphan" receptors (12).

In a quest for *Drosophila* genes encoding ecdysone receptors and other members of the steroid receptor superfamily, we have screened a genomic DNA library by hybridization with a probe encoding the E75 DNA binding domain. This paper describes the characterization of *DHR3*, one of two receptor genes isolated in this screen; the other, *EcR*, encodes ecdysone receptors and has been described elsewhere (13).<sup>‡</sup>

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Low-Stringency Screen. Standard techniques and solutions used for manipulating DNA were as described (13). A 530base-pair (bp) EcoRV/Xho I cDNA fragment containing the coding sequences for the E75A DNA binding domain (7) was nick-translated and used to probe Southern blots of Drosophila genomic DNA digested with EcoRI, Xho I, EcoRV, or BamHI. Low-stringency hybridizations were performed by (i) prehybridization of filters in  $5 \times \text{SSPE}$  ( $1 \times \text{SSPE} = 0.18$ M NaCl/10 mM phosphate, pH 7.4/1 mM EDTA)/10× Denhardt's solution/salmon sperm DNA (100  $\mu$ g/ml)/0.1% SDS at 65°C for 2 hr; (ii) hybridization with denatured probe in  $5 \times SSPE/40\%$  formamide/10× Denhardt's solution/ salmon sperm DNA (1 mg/ml)/0.5% SDS for 16 hr at 37°C; and (iii) extensive washing in  $1 \times \text{SSPE}/0.5\%$  SDS at 50°C. High-stringency hybridizations were performed under similar conditions with hybridization at 42°C in the presence of 50% formamide, and washing in  $0.3 \times SSPE/0.25\%$  SDS at 60°C.

Five genome equivalents of a Canton S Drosophila genomic library (14) were screened with the same probe under the same low-stringency conditions. The control probe used on duplicate filters consisted of a mixture of clones Dm4234, Dm4207, and Dm4260 (6). This probe derives from intron sequences adjacent to the exons making up the E75A cDNA probe and detects only E75 clones.

Isolation and Analysis of cDNA and Additional Genomic Clones. Subclones of the *DHR3* genomic clones were used to screen a cDNA library prepared from third-instar tissues treated with ecdysone and cycloheximide (a gift of C. S.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviation: ORF, open reading frame.

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Department of Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>To whom reprint requests should be addressed at: Department of Developmental Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5427.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>The sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession no. M90806).

Thummel, University of Utah). Of the 270,000 primary plaques screened, 20 positive for DHR3 were detected. cDNA clone DHR3-9, which extends farther both 5' and 3' than the other DHR3 cDNA clones, was sequenced as described (13). The cDNA was sequenced on both strands for the 5'-most 2338 bp, which contains the entire open reading frame (ORF), and the remainder of the long 3' untranslated region was sequenced on one strand. Additional genomic clones were obtained by screening the Canton S genomic library with DHR3 cDNA probes. Genomic clones were restriction mapped and probed with labeled DHR3 cDNAs to localize exon-containing fragments on the genomic restriction map. Genomic exons were sequenced entirely, except in the case of the long 3' exon, for which genomic and cDNA clones were restriction digested and electrophoresed in parallel to confirm their colinearity.

In Situ Hybridization to Polytene Chromosomes. In situ hybridization was carried out with biotinylated DNA probes as described (15).

Northern Blot Analysis. The developmental Northern blot shown is the same filter as used in ref. 4 serially rehybridized with randomly primed DHR3 and RP49 probes. The DHR3 probe was the DHR3-9 cDNA. For RP49 (16), an EcoRI/Xho I genomic fragment containing the entire gene was used.

## RESULTS

Identification of Genes Encoding Drosophila Steroid Receptor Homologs. We used the gene for the previously isolated Drosophila steroid receptor homolog E75 (7) as a hybridization probe to search the Drosophila genome for additional members of this receptor family. Because the  $67 \pm 1$  amino acid DNA binding domain is the most highly conserved region of the steroid receptors (10), a 530-bp cDNA probe containing the coding sequences for this region of the E75A protein was chosen for the screen. (E75A is one of the isoforms encoded by E75 via alternative promoters; see ref. 7.) Initially, we probed genomic Southern blots with this DNA to find hybridization conditions under which it would detect a small number of non-E75 bands. Fig. 1 (lanes 1 and 2) shows representative blots. Under the optimized conditions, we saw between one and five bands per restriction digest that could be detected only at the reduced stringency.

To isolate the sequences responsible for these lowstringency bands, we used the E75 probe to screen a Drosophila genomic library under the same low-stringency conditions, counterscreening duplicate filters with E75 intron probes to eliminate phage containing inserts from the E75 gene. Five genome equivalents were screened and 39 non-E75 containing phage were isolated. The 25 most strongly hybridizing clones were divided into six classes on the basis of restriction mapping and cross-hybridization, with each class containing a set of between one and six independent overlapping genomic inserts.

For each class, a restriction fragment containing the region of hybridization to the *E75* probe was localized by Southern blotting. Hybridization of probes derived from these fragments to genomic Southern blots showed that the fragments can account for all of the low-stringency bands detectable by the *E75* probe (Fig. 1, lane 3). This suggests that these cloned restriction fragments contain the six non-*E75* sequences from the *Drosophila* genome that hybridize most strongly with the E75 DNA binding domain probe.

The six restriction fragments were sequenced to determine whether they derive from candidate receptor genes. Although we observed DNA sequence similarities with the *E75* probe for each of these fragments, only two of the fragments showed predicted amino acid sequences with strong similarity to the DNA binding domains of *E75* and other steroid receptor homologs (see ref. 6 for further discussion). We



FIG. 1. Low-stringency Southern blots detect E75-related sequences. Lane 1, a high-stringency Southern blot with the E75 DNA binding domain probe hybridized to Xho I-digested Drosophila DNA. Lane 2, same as lane 1 but probed at low stringency. Additional bands, representing E75-related sequences, are detected at 1.8, 2.1, and ≈12 kilobases (kb). Similar blots were prepared with Drosophila DNA digested with several other enzymes in order to visualize low-stringency bands that might comigrate with highstringency bands in a single digest. Lane 3, high-stringency Southern blot of Xho I-digested Drosophila DNA probed with a Xho I fragment from the EcR class of clones. This probe detects a 1.8-kb fragment that comigrates with one of the low-stringency bands detected by the E75 probe, indicating that this band is due to EcR sequences. Similar blots with probes from the other clones recovered in the lowstringency screen indicate that each of the low-stringency bands detected by the E75 probe can be accounted for by one of these clones.

have shown that one of these two fragments derives from the ecdysone receptor gene EcR (ref. 13; referred to as DHR23 in ref. 6). We have named the other receptor gene DHR3 (Drosophila hormone receptor 3). In situ hybridization of EcR and DHR3 probes to polytene chromosomes mapped these genes to the 42A and 46F positions, respectively, on the right arm of chromosome 2 (data not shown).

DHR3 cDNA Sequence. The DHR3 clones were used to screen a cDNA library prepared from late third-instar tissues. The longest DHR3 cDNA clone isolated, DHR3-9, is 4.2 kb long. This cDNA was sequenced (Fig. 2) and found to contain a 487-codon AUG-initiated ORF that predicts a protein homologous to the members of the steroid receptor superfamily. Two additional in-frame AUG codons are found at positions 6 and 9 of the ORF; none of these AUGs shows an excellent match to the general eukaryotic (17) or Drosophila (18) translation start consensus sequences. Upstream of this long ORF is a leader sequence containing an additional 57-codon AUG-initiated ORF. The short upstream ORF shows poor Drosophila codon usage, while the long ORF has an excellent match to Drosophila codon usage (16). The long ORF is followed by a 2.5-kb A+T-rich untranslated 3' region. The DHR3-9 cDNA does not contain a poly(A) tract at its 3' end.

Comparison of the predicted DHR3 protein sequence to the sequence data base and to individual members of the steroid receptor superfamily shows that the DHR3 protein contains the two conserved domains (underlined in Fig. 2) characteristic of steroid receptor superfamily members (10, 11). The more N-terminal and the more C-terminal of these conserved domains are referred to as the C and E regions, respectively, according to the nomenclature of Krust *et al.* (19). The C region is a 67  $\pm$  1 amino acid sequence that has been shown to function as a Zn finger DNA binding domain in vertebrate receptors (20, 21). The E region is an  $\approx$ 225-amino acid

125	TTGC	AACT	ATTT	CACCI	ATC	AACGO	SCAG	GGC	AACA	ACAT	CAGCI	AACA	GCAC	CGGC	AAAC	GTTT(	GAAA	CGTC	ACCA	AAGC	TTCG	CATT	ICCC/	ACTA	ATAA	AAG TU IT	ATG MET	TAT Tyr	ACG Thr	CAA Gln	CGT Arg
242 6	ATG MET	TTT Phe	GAC Asp	ATG MET	TGG Trp	AGC Ser	AGC Ser	GTC Val	ACT Thr	TCG Ser	AAA Lys	CTG Leu	GAA Glu	GCA Ala	CAC His	GCA Ala	AAC Asn	AAT Asn	CTC Leu	GGT Gly	C <b>AA</b> Gln	AGC Ser	AAC Asn	GTC Val	C <b>AA</b> Gln	TCG Ser	CCG Pro	GCG Ala	GGA Gly	C <b>AA</b> Gln	AAC Asn
335 37	AAC Asn	TCC Ser	AGC Ser	GGT Gly	TCC Ser	ATT	AAA Lys	GCT Ala	CAA Gln	ATT Ile	GAG Glu	ATA Ile	ATT Ile	CCA Pro	TGC Cys	AAA Lys	GTC Val	TGC Cys	GGC Gly	GAC Asp	AAG Lys	TCA Ser	TCC Ser	GGC Gly	GTG Val	CAT His	TAC Tyr	GGA Gly	GTG Val	ATC Ile	ACC Thr
428 68	TGC <u>Cys</u>	GAG Glu	GGC Gly	TGC Cys	AAG Lys	GGA Gly	TTC Phe	TTT Phe	CGA Arg	AGA Arg	TCG Ser	CAA Gln	AGC Ser	TCC Ser	GTG Val	GTC Val	AAC Asn	TAC Tyr	CAG Gln	TGT Cys	CCG Pro	CGC Arg	AAC Asn	AAG Lys	CAA Gln	TGT Cys	GTG Val	GTG Val	GAC Asp	CGT Arg	GTT Val
521 99	AAT <u>Asn</u>	CGC Arg	AAC Asn	CGA Arg	TGT Cys	CAA Gln	TAT Tyr	TGT Cys	AGA Arg	CTG Leu	CAA Gln	AAG Lys	TGC Cys	CTA Leu	AAA Lys	CTG Leu	GGA Gly	ATG MET	AGC Ser	{gtc CGT Arg	tgt• GAT Asp	GCT Ala	ttgc GTA Val	ag} AAG Lys	TTC Phe	GGC Gly	AGG Arg	ATG MET	TCC Ser	AAG Lys	AAG Lys
614	CAG	CGC	GAG	AAG	GTC	GAG	GAC	GAG	GTA	CGC	TTC	CAT	CGG	GCC	CAG	ATG	CGG	GCA	CAA	AGC	GAC	GCG	GCA	ccg	GAT	AGC	TCC	GTA	TAC	GAC	ACA
130 707	Gln	Arg	Glu	Lys	Val	Glu	Asp	Glu	Val	Arg	Phe	His	Arg	Ala {gt	Gln gcag	MET	Arg •act	Ala cag)	Gln	Ser	Asp	Ala	Ala	Pro	Asp	Ser	Ser	Val	Tyr	Asp	Thr
161	Gln	Thr	Pro	Ser	Ser	Ser	Asp	Gln	Leu	His	His	Asn	Asn	Tyr	Asn	Ser	Tyr	Ser	Gly	Gly	Tyr	Ser	Asn	Asn	Glu	Val	Gly	Tyr	Gly	Ser	Pro
192	Tyr	GGA Gly	TAC Tyr	Ser	Ala	Ser	Val	Thr	Pro {gta	Gln aag•	Gln	ACC Thr ctcc	MET ag }	CAG Gln	TAC Tyr (C)	GAC "Asp	ATC Ile	TCG Ser	GCG Ala	GAC Asp	TAC Tyr	GTG Val	GAC Asp	AGC Ser	ACC Thr	ACC Thr	TAC Tyr	GAG Glu	CCG Pro	CGC Arg	AGT Ser
893 223	ACA Thr	ATA Ile	ATC Ile	GAT Asp	CCC Pro	GAA Glu	TTT Phe	ATT Ile	AGT Ser	CAC His	GCG Ala {c	GAT Asp	GGC Gly	GAT Asp	ATA Ile	AAC Asn	GAT Asp (G)	GTG Val	CTG Leu	ATC Ile	AAG Lys	ACG Thr	CTG Leu	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	GCG Ala	CAT His	GCC Ala	AAC Asn	ACA Thr	AAT Asn
986 254	ACC Thr	AAA Lys	CTG Leu	GAA Glu	GCT Ala	GTG Val	CAC His	GAC Asp	ATG MET	TTC Phe	CGA Arg	AAG Lys	CAG Gln	CCG Pro	GAT Asp	GTG Val	TCA Ser	CGC Arg	ATT Ile	CTC Leu	TAC Tyr	TAC Tyr	AAG Lys	AAT Asn	CTG Leu	GGC Gly	CAA Gln	GAG Glu	GAA Glu	CTC Leu	TGG Trp
1079 285	CTG <u>Leu</u>	GAC Asp	TGC Cys	GCT Ala	GAG Glu	AAG Lys	CTT Leu	ACA Thr	CAA Gln	ATG MET	ATA Ile	CAG Gln	AAC Asn	ATA Ile	ATC Ile	GAA Glu	TTT Phe	GCT Ala	AAG Lys	CTC Leu	ATA Ile	CCG Pro	GGA Gly	TTC Phe	ATG MET	CGC Arg	CTG Leu	AGT Ser	CAG Gln	GAC Asp	GAT Asp
1172 347	CAG <u>Gln</u>	ATA Ile	TTA Leu	) CTG Leu	CTG Leu	AAG Lys	ACG Thr	GGC Gly	TCC Ser	TTT Phe	GAG Glu	CTG Leu	GCG Ala	ATT Ile	GTT Val	CGC Arg	ATG MET	TCC Ser	AGA Arg	CTG Leu	CTT Leu	GAT Asp	CTC Leu	TCA Ser	CAG Gln	AAC Asn	GCG Ala	GTT Val	CTC Leu	TAC Tyr	GGC Gly
1265	GAC	GTG	ATG	CTG	ccc	CAG	GAG	GCG	TTC	TAC	ACA	тсс	GAC	TCG	(G) GAA	GAG	ATG	CGT	CTG	GTG	TCG	CGC	АТС	TTC	CAA	ACG	GCC	AAG	TCG	АТА	GCC
378	Asp	Val	MET	Leu	Pro	Gln	Glu	Ala	Phe	Tyr	Thr	Ser	Asp	Ser	Glu	Glu	MET	Arg	Leu	Val	Ser	Arg	Ile_	Phe	Gln	Thr	Ala	Lys	Ser	Ile	Ala
1358 409	GAA <u>Glu</u>	CTC Leu	AAA Lys	CTG Leu	ACT Thr	GAA Glu	ACC Thr	GAA Glu	CTG Leu	GCG Ala	CTG Leu	TAT Tyr	CAG Gln	AGC Ser	TTA Leu	GTG Val	CTG Leu	CTC Leu	TGG Trp	CCA Pro	GAA Glu	CGC Arg	AAT Asn	GGA Gly	GTG Val	CGT Arg	GGT Gly	AAT Asn	ACG Thr	GAA Glu	ATA Ile
1451 440	CAG Gln	AGG Arg	CTT Leu	TTC Phe	AAT Asn	CTG Leu	AGC Ser	ATG MET	AAT Asn	GCG Ala	ATC Ile	CGG Arg	CAG Gln	GAG Glu	CTG Leu	GAA Glu	ACG Thr	AAT Asn	CAT His	GCG Ala	CCG Pro	CTC Leu	AAG Lys	GGC Gly	GAT Asp	GTC Val	ACC Thr	GTG Val	CTG Leu	GAC Asp	ACA Thr
1544	CTG	CTG	220	אממ	ልጥል	ccc	{gta	acgt	····	•ttc	cag} אידיד	TCC	ልምሮ	ጥጥር	C ) C	እጥር	<b>C N N</b>	TCC	CTC	200	220	ምምር	220	ርሞር	CAC	C . C	ccc	እእጥ	CTTC	COM	ጥጥጥ
471	Leu	Leu	Asn	Asn	Ile	Pro	Asn	Phe	Arg	Asp	Ile	Ser	Ile	Leu	His	MET	Glu	Ser	Leu	Ser	Lys	Phe	Lys	Leu	Gln	His	Pro	Asn	Val	Val	Phe
1637	CCG Pro	GCG Ala	CTG Leu	TAC Tyr	AAG Lys	GAG Glu	CTG Leu	TTC Phe	TCG <u>Ser</u>	ATA Ile	GAT Asp	TCG Ser	CAG Gln	CAG Gln	GAC Asp	CTG Leu	ACA Thr	таа -	CAAC	GAGCA	GCAC	CCGI	TCCI	GGAG	GACGA	CCGC	GGAC	GATO	TTGC	CGAG	GAT
1742 1866 1990	GCGGG GCATC AGCGC	CTGCO GCAGO GATGI	CGCCC CAGAC	GATO TACAA	TGTC GAAC GAAC	CTGC TTTA	CGCC TCAT AATA	GGTC GATI	GCGC TAAC	CCCC CTAC	TGCC CATA	GGGG CAAC	CAGCA CAAC	ACC GATO TAAO	AGCGC STGA1 SACT1	TGC1 CCTC	CGAC CGCCA	GACT AGGA GTAGA	GAGO CTCA	GCCC CTTA CCGAA	CAGO AAAA ACCO	ATGI GAAC	GGCA TCTA CGAT	ACAA TCTA TGCA	ATAA1 ATATA ATATA	TATI CATA CAGAGO	TGAG TATA AAGG	TAAA AATT GGCA	САСТ АТАТ ТСАА	GCAC ATGA ACTG	TGC CAG

FIG. 2. Sequence of the DHR3-9 cDNA. The conserved C and E regions are underlined in the deduced protein sequence. In the 5' leader, the upstream ATG codon is underlined. Positions of introns and the splice donor and acceptor sequences are indicated above the cDNA sequence. The 5'-most 2.3 kb of sequence is shown. The remainder of this 4.2-kb cDNA was sequenced on one strand (not shown). Four silent, third-position differences between the cDNA and genomic clones are indicated above the cDNA sequence.

domain that functions as a hormone binding domain in these receptors (22–25). The presence of E-region homology establishes DHR3 as a bona fide member of the steroid receptor superfamily, as opposed to the *Drosophila* knirps (26), knirps-related (27), and egon (28) proteins, which show homology to the C but not the E region. Quantitative consideration of the sequence similarities between the DHR3 protein and other bona fide members of the steroid receptor superfamily is reserved for the *Discussion*.

**DHR3 Genomic Organization.** We have mapped *DHR3* exons as described. The deduced gene structure is shown in Fig. 3, and the splice junctions are indicated on the cDNA sequence in Fig. 2. These splice junctions all conform to the splice donor and acceptor consensus sequences (29). The



FIG. 3. Genomic organization of *DHR3*. The scale is indicated in kb, with an arbitrary 0 point for the map coordinates. A restriction map is indicated above the scale. Exons mapped by comparison of cDNA and genomic clones are shown at the top; solid boxes represent the coding region of the cDNA.

sequenced DHR3 cDNA is derived from nine exons spread over 18 kb, with the ORF beginning in the first exon and ending in the ninth. Because the 5' and 3' ends of the transcripts were not mapped, it should be emphasized that the gene may have additional noncoding exons at its 5' or 3' end. In addition, Northern blots (see below) suggest the existence of multiple *DHR3* transcripts, whose structures relative to that of the sequenced cDNA remain unknown.

The DHR3 and EcR genes identified in our low-stringency screen are unusual among steroid receptor superfamily genes in that the sequences encoding the DNA binding domains are not interrupted by an intron. This would result in increased hybridization of the E75 DNA binding domain cDNA probe with genomic clones of these loci and would favor the isolation of these genes, as opposed to other Drosophila steroid receptor superfamily genes (12).

Developmental Expression of DHR3. We have examined the expression pattern of DHR3 mRNA during development. A DHR3 cDNA probe was hybridized to a Northern blot bearing samples of RNA prepared from populations representing 3-hr intervals during embryonic development and 12-hr intervals thereafter until adulthood. Fig. 4 shows peaks of DHR3 transcription at midembryogenesis (E) during each of the first two larval stages or instars (L1 and L2), at the end of the third larval instar (L3) extending into prepupal (PP) development, and during pupal (P) development. The correspondence between these peaks and the six commonly accepted peaks in the ecdysone titer (mid-E, L1, L2, terminal L3, PP, and P; refs. 30 and 31) suggests that DHR3 transcription may be ecdysone induced. We also note in this respect that the temporal profile of DHR3 expression is similar to that for E74A (4) and E75A (6), two early gene transcription units that are ecdysone inducible. While this similarity is not exact, it is as close as that between the two known early genes.

At least three DHR3 transcripts of approximately 5.5, 7, and 9 kb were detected, of which the 9-kb transcript was observed only during pupal development. This is also reminiscent of the E74 and E75 early genes (3, 7) and of the BR-C early gene (8), where multiple mRNAs result from overlapping transcription units and, in the case of BR-C, from alternative splicing. At present, however, the structural difference between the three DHR3 transcripts is unknown.

## DISCUSSION

We have carried out a screen that has yielded genes for two additional *Drosophila* members of the steroid receptor superfamily, DHR3 and the EcR gene that encodes the ecdysone receptor (13). Like the E75 gene, which was used as a hybridization probe to identify them, these genes encode proteins that exhibit sequence homology to both the DNA binding and hormone binding domains characteristic of members of this family of ligand-regulated transcription factors.

Four other Drosophila genes have been reported that also encode proteins exhibiting sequence homology to both domains (32–35), bringing the current total for the rapidly growing bona fide Drosophila members of this superfamily to seven. With the exception of EcR, these proteins are orphan receptors in that their ligands have not yet been identified. This creates a discrepancy between the number of steroid receptor homologs identified in Drosophila and the number of known hormones that might serve as ligands for them since there are currently only two types of insect hormones that might be expected to function via such receptors: the ecdysteroids and the juvenile hormones (12, 36). Response to the ecdysteroid 20-hydroxyecdysone, which we have referred to here as ecdysone, is mediated by the products of the ecdysone receptor gene EcR (13). We have previously made the argument that the other known Drosophila members of the steroid receptor superfamily are unlikely to function as ecdysone receptors because none of the E-region homologies among the Drosophila group exceeds 24% identity, whereas vertebrate receptors for the same ligand show at least 75% sequence identity in the E region and even receptors with distinct but overlapping ligand specificities exhibit E-region identities above 50% (13).

A more general application of this argument leads to the expectation that the *Drosophila* receptors will bind different ligands. Hence, even if juvenile hormone functions via a receptor that is a member of the steroid receptor superfamily, it would be expected to account for no more than one of the six *Drosophila* orphan receptors. This arithmetic raises the possibility that there may exist more steroid-like hormones in insects than have been discovered by classical physiological methods. Alternatively, the low-level E-region similarity may result from other functions of the domain.

Among the Drosophila group, the DHR3 protein is most homologous to E75A, exhibiting 64% and 24% sequence identities for the C and E regions, and its closest relative among the vertebrate receptors is the human retinoic acid receptor hRAR $\alpha$ , where the respective identities are 65% and 20% (37, 38). However, the receptor most closely related to DHR3 is found in the tobacco hornworm, Manduca sexta (39). This receptor is referred to as Manduca hormone receptor 3 (MHR3) because of this strong similarity. DHR3



FIG. 4. Developmental profile of *DHR3* transcription. RNA prepared at various times during development was fractionated by gel electrophoresis, blotted, and probed with DNA from *DHR3* or *RP49* (16). The *RP49* gene encodes a ribosomal protein and is used as a control for loading of RNA. The hours and stages of development are indicated above the lanes. E, embryos; L1, L2, and L3, the three larval instars; PP, prepupae; P, pupae; A, adults.

and MHR3 exhibit 97% and 68% sequence identities in their putative DNA binding (C region) and ligand binding (E region) domains, making it likely that the two receptors have the same or very similar functions and are activated by the same or very similar ligands.

Interestingly, MHR3 transcription is induced by ecdysone in a primary response, indicating that it is a target of an ecdvsone-receptor complex in Manduca (39). This property, and the likelihood from its primary structure that MHR3 functions as a transcription factor, classifies MHR3 as an early gene in an ecdysone-triggered genetic regulatory hierarchy, analogous to the E74, E75, and BR-C early genes in Drosophila (2-9). The strong structural similarity, and inferred functional similarity, between the DHR3 and MHR3 proteins raises the question of whether DHR3 also functions as an early gene in the ecdysone-triggered Drosophila hierarchies. As indicated earlier, the temporal developmental profile of DHR3 transcription (Fig. 4) suggests that this transcription may be ecdysone induced, although providing no hint as to whether such a response would be primary or secondary. Preliminary results from experiments in which third-instar tissues in organ culture were exposed to ecdysone, or to ecdysone plus the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide, and assayed for DHR3 mRNA, indicated that DHR3 is indeed induced by ecdysone in a primary response (M.R.K., unpublished experiments). The DHR3 gene is located within the ecdysone-inducible transcription puff at chromosomal position 46F, which has characteristics suggesting that control of its expression may combine aspects of both classical early and late gene regulation (40).

We thank the members of the Stanford Biochemistry Department for helpful advice and discussions. This work was supported by a National Science Foundation grant (D.S.H.), a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship (M.R.K.), and a National Institutes of Health Graduate Fellowship (W.A.S.).

- 1. Ashburner, M., Chihara, C., Meltzer, P. & Richards, G. (1974) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 38, 655-662.
- 2. Burtis, K. C. (1985) Ph.D. Thesis (Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA).
- Burtis, K. C., Thummel, C. S., Jones, C. W., Karim, F. D. & Hogness, D. S. (1990) Cell 61, 85-99.
- 4. Thummel, C. S., Burtis, K. C. & Hogness, D. S. (1990) Cell 61, 101–111.
- 5. Urness, L. D. & Thummel, C. S. (1990) Cell 63, 47-61.
- Segraves, W. A. (1988) Ph.D. thesis (Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA).
- 7. Segraves, W. A. & Hogness, D. S. (1990) Genes Dev. 4, 204-219.
- DiBello, P. R., Withers, D. A., Bayer, C. A., Fristrom, J. W. & Guild, G. M. (1991) Genetics 129, 385-397.

- 9. Guay, P. S. & Guild, G. M. (1991) Genetics 129, 169-175.
- 10. Evans, R. M. (1988) Science 240, 889-895.
- 11. Green, S. & Chambon, P. (1988) Trends Genet. 4, 309-314.
- 12. Segraves, W. A. (1991) Cell 67, 225-228.
- 13. Koelle, M. R., Talbot, W. S., Segraves, W. A., Bender, M. T., Cherbas, P. & Hogness, D. S. (1991) Cell 67, 59–77.
- Maniatis, T., Hardison, R. C., Lacy, E., Lauer, J., O'Connell, C., Quon, D., Sim, G. K. & Efstratiadis, A. (1978) Cell 15, 687-701.
- Zuker, C. S., Cowman, A. F. & Rubin, G. M. (1985) Cell 40, 851-858.
- O'Connell, P. O. & Rosbash, M. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 5495-5513.
- 17. Kozak, M. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 857-872.
- 18. Cavener, D. R. (1987) Nucleic Acids Res. 15, 1353-1361.
- Krust, A., Green, S., Argos, P., Kumar, V., Walter, P., Bornert, J. M. & Chambon, P. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 891-897.
- 20. Green, S. & Chambon, P. (1987) Nature (London) 325, 75-78.
- Luisi, B. F., Xu, W. X., Otwinowski, Z., Freedman, L. P., Yamamoto, K. R. & Sigler, P. B. (1991) Nature (London) 352, 497-505.
- Giguere, V., Hollenberg, S. M., Rosenfeld, M. G. & Evans, R. M. (1986) Cell 46, 645-652.
- 23. Kumar, V., Green, S., Staub, A. & Chambon, P. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 2231–2236.
- Rusconi, S. & Yamamoto, K. R. (1987) *EMBO J.* 6, 1309–1315.
  Gronemeyer, H., Turcotte, B., Quirin, S. C., Bocquel, M. T., Mayar, M. E. Kracawaki, Z. Jaltach, J. M. Laraya, T.
- Meyer, M. E., Krozowski, Z., Jeltsch, J. M., Lerouge, T., Garnier, J. M. & Chambon, P. (1987) *EMBO J.* 6, 3985-3994.
   Nauber, U., Pankratz, M. J., Kienlin, A., Seifert, E., Klemm,
- U. & Jackle, H. (1988) Nature (London) 336, 489-492. 27. Oro, A. E., Ong, E. S., Margolis, J. S., Posakony, J. W.
- Olo, A. E., Ong, E. S., Margons, J. S., Fosakohy, J. W., McKeown, M. & Evans, R. M. (1988) Nature (London) 336, 493-496.
- 28. Rothe, M., Nauber, U. & Jackle, H. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 3087-3094.
- 29. Mount, S. M. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 459-472.
- 30. Richards, G. (1981) Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 21, 181-197.
- 31. Handler, A. M. (1982) Dev. Biol. 93, 73-82.
- Mlodzik, M., Hiromi, Y., Weber, U., Goodman, C. S. & Rubin, G. M. (1990) Cell 60, 211-224.
- Oro, A. E., McKeown, M. & Evans, R. M. (1990) Nature (London) 347, 298-301.
- Pignoni, F., Baldarelli, R. M., Steingrimsson, E., Diaz, R. J., Patapoutian, A., Merriam, J. R. & Lengyel, J. A. (1990) Cell 62, 151-163.
- 35. Lavorgna, G., Ueda, H., Clos, J. & Wu, C. (1991) Science 252, 848-851.
- 36. Richards, G. (1981) Biol. Rev. 56, 501-549.
- Petkovich, M., Brand, N. J., Krust, A. & Chambon, P. (1987) Nature (London) 330, 444-450.
- Giguere, V., Ong, E. S., Sequi, P. & Evans, R. M. (1987) Nature (London) 330, 624-629.
- Palli, S. R., Hiruma, K. & Riddiford, L. M. (1992) Dev. Biol. 150, 306-318.
- 40. Walker, V. K. & Ashburner, M. (1981) Cell 26, 269-277.