## Supporting Information: Quantum Efficiency and Bandgap Analysis for Combinatorial Photovoltaics: Sorting Activity of Cu– O Compounds in All-Oxide Device Libraries

Assaf Y. Anderson, Yaniv Bouhadana, Hannah-Noa Barad,

Benjamin Kupfer, Eli Rosh-Hodesh, Hagit Aviv, Yaakov R. Tischler, Sven Rühle and Arie Zaban

sample_name	120626a	120618e
sample_id	609	576
Substrate	glass	TEC7
materials	CuO	TiO2 CuO
layer_id	1077	1177
material	CuO	CuO
sub_layer	1284	1391
Target sample distance (mm)	55	55
beam_scanner (cm)	51	51
repetition_rate (Hz)	8	8
rastering_vel (mm s <sup>-1</sup> )	29	29
target_vel (mm s <sup>-1</sup> )	29	29
rastering_angle_from (deg)	145	145
rastering_angle_to (deg)	180	180
substrat_vel (mm s <sup>-1</sup> )	0	0
substrat_pos (deg)	0	0
Gas	0	0
MKS (sccm)	5	5
gas_pressure (mTorr)	0.00291	0.00301
Temperature (C)	23	23
ramp_rate_inc (deg min <sup>-1</sup> )	1	1
ramp_rate_dec (deg min <sup>-1</sup> )	1	1
post_ann_temp (C)	23	23
post_ann_time (min)	1	1
laser_voltage (kV)	22	22
laser_energy_fluence (mJ)	191	167
laser_power (W)	0.96	0.83
laser_pressure (mBar)	3334	3293
laser_energy_fluence_messured (mJ)	100	100.8
pulses	45000	45000
pulses_cycles	1	1
layer_number	1	2
id	366	383
remarks		TiO2

 Table S1. PLD deposition parameters for absorber on glass and in device.

In order to obtain the  $TiO_2$  monochromatic absorption coefficient, two additional partial libraries were sprayed with  $TiO_2$  on TEC7, under the same routine as that used for this work. The libraries were scanned with the optical scanner and analyzed for absorptance. TEC7 was scanned separately, and the FTO + 2.2 mm glass absorptance was calculated. The  $TiO_2$  absorptance was calculated. The libraries were taken to cross section focused ion beam (FIB), and the FTO and TiO2 layers thickness were measured several times for several locations along a line in the libraries. From the TiO2 absorptance values and the measured thickness, the absorption coefficient was calculated.



Figure S1. In order to obtain the  $Cu_xO$  thickness, profilometer measurements were taken on the PLD deposition shoulder. The thickness profile of the entire library was obtained with eq. Error! Reference source not found., as explained in the  $Cu_xO$  thickness section.



**Figure S2**. Top - Profilomter measurements of the deposited  $Cu_xO$  layer on glass. Bottom – the solution of the deposition profile after fitting eq 2 for each index in the library.

**Table S2**. Fitting parameters for the thickness of the  $Cu_xO$  using eq. 2.

Ny	11.69 mm
Ау	889.57 nm
hy	6.43 mm
Nx	20.36 mm
hx	33.90 mm
Н	55.00 mm



Figure S3. Raman spectra with peak allocation to CuO,  $Cu_4O_3$   $Cu_2O$  and  $TiO_2$  presented with logarithmic non-offset counts scale.



**Figure S4.** (a) Plot of maximum theoretical short circuit photocurrent  $(J_{calc})$  as a function of TiO<sub>2</sub> and Cu-O layer thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber thickness scale. (b) Plot of short circuit photocurrent  $(J_{sc})$  as a function of layer thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber thickness scale. (c) Plot of fitted bandgaps (BG) as a function of layer thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber thickness scale. (d) Plot of calculated Internal Quantum Efficiency (IQE) as a function of layer thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber thicknesses scale. (d) Plot of calculated Internal Quantum Efficiency (IQE) as a function of layer thicknesses, with logarithmic absorber th

t



**Figure S5.** Internal quantum efficiency (IQE), short circuit photocurrent ( $J_{sc}$ ), Open circuit Photovoltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), maximum power (Pmax) and Fill Factor (FF), plotted as a function of absorber fraction in total stack and bandgap. The sizes of the symbols correlate with the absorber thickness.