Online supplement

Title

Interaction between gas cooking and GSTM1 null genotype in bronchial responsiveness:

Results from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey

Corresponding author

André F S Amaral

Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health

National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London

Emmanuel Kaye Building, 1B Manresa Road

London SW3 6LR (UK)

Tel: +44 (0) 207 594 7940

Email: a.amaral@imperial.ac.uk

Authors and affiliations

André F S Amaral^{1,2}, Adaikalavan Ramasamy¹, Francesc Castro-Giner^{3,4}, Cosetta Minelli¹, Simone Accordini⁵, Inga-Cecilie Sørheim⁶, Isabelle Pin^{7,8}, Manolis Kogevinas³, Rain Jõgi⁹, David J Balding¹⁰, Dan Norbäck¹¹, Giuseppe Verlato¹², Mario Olivieri¹³, Nicole Probst-Hensch^{14,15}, Christer Janson^{16,17}, Jan-Paul Zock^{3,18,19}, Joachim Heinrich²⁰, Deborah L Jarvis^{1,2}

¹Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK

²MRC-PHE Centre for Environment & Health, London, UK

³Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), Barcelona, Spain

⁴Molecular and Population Genetics Laboratory, Wellcome Trust Centre for Human

Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁵Unit of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Department of Public Health and Community

Medicine, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

⁶University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

⁷Pédiatrie, CHU de Grenoble, Institut Albert Bonniot, INSERM, Grenoble

⁸Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France

⁹Tartu University Hospital, Lung Clinic, Tartu, Estonia

¹⁰UCL Genetics Institute, University College London, London, UK

¹¹Department of Medical Science, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Uppsala

University, Uppsala, Sweden

¹²Unit of Epidemiology & Medical Statistics, Dept. of Public Health & Community

Medicine, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

¹³Unit of Occupational Medicine, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy

¹⁴Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland

¹⁵University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

¹⁶Department of Medical Sciences, Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Uppsala

University, Uppsala, Sweden

¹⁷Department of Medical Science, Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Uppsala

University, Sweden

¹⁸Municipal Institute of Medical Research (IMIM-Hospital del Mar), Barcelona, Spain

¹⁹CIBER Epidemiologia y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain

 $^{20}\mbox{Helmholtz}$ Zentrum München, German Research Centre for Environmental Health, Institute

of Epidemiology I, Neuherberg, Germany

Table of contents Page
Supplementary methods
Supplementary T1. Characteristics of non-genotyped and genotyped subjects from the
European Community Respiratory Health Survey5
Supplementary T2. Estimates for the association between genetic variants in GSTM1,
GSTT1, and GSTP1 and bronchial responsiveness in the European Community Respiratory
Health Survey II6
Supplementary T3. Estimates for the interaction between gas cooking and GSTM1 on
bronchial responsiveness in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey II, after
adjustment for potential confounders considered a priori to be of relevance
7
Supplementary T4. Estimates for the interaction between gas cooking and genetic variants
in GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 on bronchial responsiveness, by sex, in the European
Community Respiratory Health Survey II8
Supplementary T5. Prevalence of gas cooking, as compared to cooking with electricity, and
GSTM1 null genotype in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey9
Supplementary T6. Characteristics of subjects from the European Community Respiratory
Health Survey not included versus those included in the final analysis
Supplementary T7. Characteristics of genotyped subjects from the European Community
Respiratory Health Survey not included versus those included in the final analysis11

Supplementary methods

Sensitivity analysis

The interaction between gas cooking and *GSTM1* null genotype on BR was estimated for the 'random' and 'enriched' samples separately. Assuming that the association between gas cooking and BR may be dependent on the type of cooking appliance, type of gas, frequency of use, and kitchen ventilation while cooking, a set of analyses were carried out to exclude any potential influence by these variables. Ever smokers were excluded to minimize the potential effect of residual confounding by smoking. Because it has been suggested that *GSTM1* in combination with *NQO1*-rs1800566 may interact with air pollutants,[1] the analysis was repeated adjusting for a variable that pooled the *GSTM1* null genotype with the *NQO1*-rs1800566[CC]. An extra analysis was performed using PD20 as the outcome in a logistic regression model, adjusted for the same potential confounders as in the linear regression models, to examine the interaction of gas cooking with *GSTM1* on BR.

Post hoc analysis

To check for consistency between the baseline (1992-1994, ECRHS I) and follow-up (2000-2002, ECRHS II, when samples for genotyping were collected) of ECRHS, the interaction between gas cooking and each of the three genes was assessed using data collected at the baseline survey (ECRHS I). In a longitudinal analysis to examine change in BR over time, a linear generalized estimating equations model, with exchangeable correlations, was built with data from both surveys.

Reference

1 Minelli C, Wei I, Sagoo G, et al. Interactive effects of antioxidant genes and air pollution on respiratory function and airway disease: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol 2011;**173**:603-20.

Supplementary T1. Characteristics of non-genotyped and genotyped subjects from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey.

	Non-genotyped subjects (N = 3,044)	Genotyped subjects (N = 5,065)	<i>P</i> *
Age (mean, standard deviation)	42.2 (7.1)	42.7 (7.2)	0.002
Sex (%)			
Males	45.9%	47.8%	0.087
Females	54.1%	52.2%	
Smoking status (%) ^a			
Never smoker	45.0%	44.1%	0.456
Ever smoker	55.0%	55.9%	
Smoking pack-years (mean, standard deviation) ^a	9.7 (15.3)	10.5 (17.4)	0.065
Country (%) ^b			
Australia	9.7%	6.7%	< 0.001
Belgium	7.0%	10.0%	
Estonia	3.3%	4.5%	
France	10.1%	10.8%	
Germany	5.0%	8.7%	
Norway	5.3%	8.6%	
Spain	24.2%	23.0%	
Sweden	19.0%	12.7%	
Switzerland	5.5%	7.9%	
UK	10.9%	7.1%	
Cooking fuel (%)			
Electricity	59.1%	60.6%	0.186
Gas	40.9%	39.4%	
Bronchial responsiveness, log-slope (mean, standard deviation)	7.4 (2.2)	7.5 (2.2)	0.279

^{*}P was calculated using Pearson's chi-square test for count data and Student's t-test for continuous data.

^aOne hundred and sixty four non-genotyped and two hundred and eighty four genotyped subjects have missing information on smoking status and pack-years.

^bNorway and Sweden were excluded from the analysis for exclusive cooking with electricity.

Supplementary T2. Estimates for the association between genetic variants in *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, and *GSTP1* and bronchial responsiveness in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey II.

Genetic variant	N	Beta (95% CI)	P
GSTM1 null	2,208	0.003 (-0.19 to 0.19)	0.978
GSTT1 null	2,208	-0.07 (-0.36 to 0.22)	0.644
<i>GSTP1</i> -rs1695	2,444	0.06 (-0.08 to 0.20)	0.424

Supplementary T3. Estimates for the interaction between gas cooking and *GSTM1* on bronchial responsiveness in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey II, after adjustment for potential confounders considered *a priori* to be of relevance.

Gas cooking-GSTM1 interaction adjusted for:	Beta (95% CI)
Unadjusted	-0.75 (-1.16 to -0.33)
Age (years) – sex interaction	-0.77 (-1.19 to -0.36)
Height (cm)	-0.77 (-1.18 to -0.36)
Smoking (pack-years)	-0.73 (-1.15 to -0.32)
Specific IgE titre (cat, house dust mite, Timothy-grass, Cladosporium herbarum) ($< 0.35 \text{ vs} \ge 0.35 \text{ kU/L}$)	-0.70 (-1.10 to -0.29)
Log total IgE (kU/L)	-0.70 (-1.11 to -0.29)
Baseline FEV1	-0.83 (-1.23 to -0.43)
FEV1/FVC	-0.74 (-1.14 to -0.35)
GSTM1 – smoking interaction	-0.76 (-1.17 to -0.34)
GSTT1 and GSTP1-rs1695	-0.68 (-1.16 to -0.20)

Supplementary T4. Estimates for the interaction between gas cooking and genetic variants in *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, and *GSTP1* on bronchial responsiveness, by sex, in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey II.

	Males		Females			
Genetic variant	N	Beta (95% CI)	P	N	Beta (95% CI)	P
GSTM1 null	1,086	-0.79 (-1.63 to 0.06)	0.067	1,122	-0.79 (-1.39 to 0.19)	0.010
GSTT1 null	1,086	0.42 (-0.56 to 1.39)	0.401	1,122	-0.55 (-1.28 to 0.18)	0.138
GSTP1-rs1695	1,208	-0.30 (-0.87 to 0.27)	0.298	1,236	0.34 (-0.27 to 0.94)	0.271

Supplementary T5. Prevalence of gas cooking, as compared to cooking with electricity, and *GSTM1* null genotype in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey.

	Gas cooking		GSTM	11 null
Country	ECRHS I	ECRHS II	ECRHS I	ECRHS II
Australia	83.5%	84.9%	52.3%	50.6%
Belgium	53.9%	-	52.8%	-
Estonia	-	43.5%	-	43.5%
France	71.9%	64.4%	51.9%	51.5%
Germany	35.2%	12.4%	51.4%	53.8%
Spain	84.2%	54.8%	49.1%	49.0%
Switzerland	44.6%	22.1%	49.3%	57.5%
UK	61.8%	53.3%	54.0%	53.3%
Combined	66.5%	50.6%	51.2%	51.2%

Note: After exclusion of subjects with missing data on cooking fuel or not using gas or electric stove (ECRHS I: N = 83; ECRHS II: N = 71), who did not perform the methacholine challenge test (ECRHS I - Estonia: N = 215; ECRHS II - Belgium: N = 503) or had missing data on BHR slope (ECRHS I: N = 742; ECRHS II: N = 675), and those with missing data on at least one of terms in the statistical model (ECRHS I: N = 325; ECRHS II: N = 191). Three hundred and fourteen subjects from ECRHS I and three hundred and thirty eight subjects from ECRHS II have missing data on *GSTM1* genotype.

Supplementary T6. Characteristics of subjects from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey not included versus those included in the final analysis.

	Not included in the analysis (N = 5,901)	Included in the analysis (N = 2,208)	P *
Age (mean, standard deviation)	42.6 (7.1)	42.3 (7.3)	0.066
Sex (%)			
Males	46.3%	49.2%	0.020
Females	53.7%	50.8%	
Smoking status (%) ^a			
Never smoker	46.4%	44.3%	0.102
Ever smoker	53.6%	55.7%	
Smoking pack-years (mean, standard deviation) ^a	10.0 (16.2)	10.8 (17.6)	0.056
Cooking fuel (%) ^b			
Electricity	48.1%	49.5%	0.308
Gas	51.9%	50.5%	
Bronchial responsiveness, log-slope (mean, standard deviation) ^c	7.5 (2.2)	7.4 (2.3)	0.315

^{*}P was calculated using Pearson's chi-square test for count data and Student's t-test for continuous data.

^aThree hundred and eighty two non-included subjects have missing information on smoking status and pack-years.

^bNorway and Sweden were excluded from the analysis for exclusive cooking with electricity, and two hundred and eighty two non-included subjects have missing data on cooking fuel.

^cTwo thousand two hundred and eighty seven non-included subjects either did not perform methacholine test or have missing information on bronchial responsiveness.

Supplementary T7. Characteristics of genotyped subjects from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey not included versus those included in the final analysis.

	Genotyped not included in the analysis (N = 2,857)	Genotyped included in the analysis (N = 2,208)	P *
Age (mean, standard	43.0 (7.2)	42.3 (7.3)	< 0.001
deviation)			
Sex (%)			
Males	46.8%	49.2%	0.087
Females	53.2%	50.8%	
Smoking status (%) ^a			
Never smoker	46.5%	44.3%	0.139
Ever smoker	53.5%	55.7%	
Smoking pack-years (mean, standard deviation) ^a	10.2 (17.2)	10.8 (17.6)	0.271
Cooking fuel (%) ^b			
Electricity	50.6%	49.5%	0.495
Gas	49.4%	50.5%	
GSTM1 ^c			
Present	49.1%	48.8%	0.851
Null	50.9%	51.2%	
Bronchial responsiveness,	7.6 (2.2)	7.4 (2.3)	0.058
log-slope (mean, standard deviation) ^d			

^{*}P was calculated using Pearson's chi-square test for count data and Student's t-test for continuous data.

^aTwo hundred and thirteen non-included subjects have missing information on smoking status and pack-years.

^bNorway and Sweden were excluded from the analysis for exclusive cooking with electricity, and seventy one non-included subjects have missing data on cooking fuel.

^cFive hundred and ninety seven non-included subjects have missing data on *GSTM1* genotype.

^dOne thousand three hundred and eighty two non-included subjects either did not perform methacholine test or have missing information on bronchial responsiveness.