## Design and Synthesis of Systemically Active Metabotropic Glutamate Subtype-2 and -3 (mGlu<sub>2/3</sub>) Receptor Positive Allosteric Modulators (PAMs): Pharmacological Characterization and Assessment in a Rat Model of Cocaine Dependence.

Raveendra-Panickar Dhanya<sup>†,1</sup>, Douglas J. Sheffler<sup>†,1</sup>, Russell Dahl<sup>1</sup>, Melinda Davis<sup>1</sup>, Pooi San Lee<sup>1</sup>, Li Yang<sup>1</sup>, Hilary Highfield Nickols<sup>3,4</sup>, Hyekyung P. Cho<sup>2,4</sup>, Layton H. Smith, Manoranjan S. D'Souza<sup>5</sup>, P. Jeffrey Conn<sup>2,4</sup>, Andre Der-Avakian<sup>5</sup>, Athina Markou<sup>5</sup>, Nicholas D. P. Cosford<sup>\*,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cell Death and Survival Networks Program and Conrad Prebys Center for Chemical Genomics, Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute, 10901 N. Torrey Pines Rd., La Jolla, CA 92037. Departments of <sup>2</sup>Pharmacology, <sup>3</sup>Pathology, and <sup>4</sup>Vanderbilt Center for Neuroscience Drug Discovery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232. <sup>5</sup> Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093.

## Supporting Information

## **Plasma-Protein Binding**

Pipeline Pilot was used to determine an estimate of plasma-protein binding for selected analogues. The details of the QSAR model used for prediction are as follows:

The model was trained on a data set of 260 compounds as described in Dixon and Merz, *J. Med. Chem.*, **44**, 2001, pp. 3795-3809, and an additional 594 compounds from Votano et. al, *J. Med. Chem.*, **49**, 2006, pp. 7169-7181. However, the modeling methodology described in the Dixon et. al. paper was not used; instead, modified Bayesian learning (described in Xia, Maliski, Gallant, and Rogers, *J. Med. Chem.*, **47**, 2004, pp. 4463-4470) was performed.

This component contains a model useful for predicting Plasma-Protein binding, that is, whether

or not a compound is likely to be highly bound ( $\geq 90\%$  bound) to carrier proteins in the blood. The model outputs the value *ADMET\_EXT\_PPB#Prediction*. If true, the compound is predicted to be a binder ( $\geq 90\%$ ). Otherwise, it is predicted to be a weak or non-binder (< 90%).

The outputs in Table S1 defined as follows:

- § NormalizedProbability (PPB): The standard Laplacian-modified Bayesian score.
- § Enrichment (PPB#Enrichment): An estimate of enrichment, that is, the increased likelihood (versus random) of this sample being in the "good" category.
- § EstPGood (PPB#EstPGood): The estimated probability that the sample is in the "good" category, based on an assumed normal distribution of scores within the good and non-good categories.
- § Prediction: A True/False prediction using the best cutoff value estimated from leave-one-out cross-validation. The cutoff is chosen to minimize the average of the false positive rate and false negative rate.

Compound	PPB	PPB#Enrichment	PPB#EstPGood	PPB#Prediction
20	3.830	1.540	0.593	True (≥90%)
36	6.190	1.611	0.621	True (≥ 90%)
44	4.720	1.568	0.604	True (≥90%)
50	4.374	1.557	0.600	True (≥90%)
60	5.996	1.605	0.618	True (≥90%)
65	5.531	1.592	0.613	True (≥90%)
68	4.294	1.554	0.599	True (≥90%)
72	4.219	1.552	0.598	True (≥90%)
73	4.565	1.563	0.602	True (≥90%)
74	4.183	1.551	0.598	True (≥90%)
75	6.292	1.613	0.622	True (≥ 90%)

**Table S1**: Predicted plasma protein binding for selected compounds.

Receptor/Target	Species	Cpd 72 % inhibition <sup>b</sup>
Adrenergic $\alpha_{1A}$	Human	-10.5
Adrenergic $\alpha_{1B}$	Human	-17.1
Adrenergic $\alpha_{1D}$	Human	-3.6
Adrenergic $\alpha_{2A}$	Human	-7.7
Adrenergic $\alpha_{2B}$	Human	11.5
Adrenergic $\alpha_{2C}$	Human	0.6
Adrenergic $\beta_1$	Human	-7.3
Adrenergic $\beta_2$	Human	0.7
Adrenergic $\beta_3$	Human	24.8
Benzodiazepine (brain, [ <sup>3</sup> H]Flunitrazepam)	Rat	-11.2
Dopamine D <sub>1</sub>	Human	1.9
Dopamine D <sub>2</sub>	Human	1.0
Dopamine D <sub>3</sub>	Human	-28.2
Dopamine $D_4$	Human	-2.9
Dopamine D <sub>5</sub>	Human	-0.9
GABA <sub>A</sub> (brain, [ <sup>3</sup> H]Muscimol)	Rat	11.0
Histamine H <sub>1</sub>	Human	0.2
Histamine H <sub>2</sub>	Human	(> 10 µM)
Histamine H <sub>3</sub>	Guinea pig	-5.2
Histamine H <sub>4</sub>	Human	(> 10 µM)
Muscarinic M <sub>1</sub>	Human	-6.6
Muscarinic M <sub>2</sub>	Human	-1.0
Muscarinic M <sub>3</sub>	Human	8.3
Muscarinic M <sub>4</sub>	Human	-0.9
Muscarinic M <sub>5</sub>	Human	-3.8
Opiate $\delta$ (OP1, DOP)	Human	13.7
Opiate κ (OP2, KOP)	Human	31.2
Opiate µ (OP3, MOP)	Human	11.1
Peripheral benzodiazepine receptor ([ <sup>3</sup> H]PK11195)	Rat	1.0
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>1A</sub>	Human	-10.2
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>1B</sub>	Human	16.2
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>1D</sub>	Human	60.7 (> 10 µM)
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>1E</sub>	Human	-5.2
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>2A</sub>	Human	-0.6
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>2B</sub>	Human	7.9
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>2C</sub>	Human	20.2
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>3</sub>	Human	-15.3
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>5A</sub>	Human	4.5
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>6</sub>	Human	-1.1
Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) 5-HT <sub>7</sub>	Human	1.8
Sigma $\sigma_1$	Rat	-11.3
Sigma $\sigma_2$	Rat	6.6
Transporter, Dopamine (DAT)	Human	-7.7
Transporter, Norepinephrine (NET)	Human	-4.8
Transporter, Serotonin (5-Hydroxytryptamine) (SERT)	Human	0.3

Table S2. Off-target profiling data for compound 72.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Compound **72** was tested for displacement of radioligand binding activity at 10  $\mu$ M. Assays were performed by the NIMH Psychoactive Drug Screening Program (UNC Chapel Hill) unless otherwise noted. <sup>b</sup>Inhibition at 10  $\mu$ M as a percentage of displacement of the respective radioligand at each target. IC<sub>50</sub> values where applicable are shown in parentheses.