Supplemental Materials. The following four tables are supplemental materials that the authors request be made available online.

Table 5
Results of Hierarchical Regressions Testing Predictive Utility of Behavioral Economic Metrics of Alcohol
Value for Alcohol Use and Related Problems for Men

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	t(df)
<b>Total Drinks</b>						
Step 1				.11		
Age	.32	.83	.04			t(94) = .38
Ethnicity	11.30	3.31	.33			t(94) = 3.42**
Step 2				.38	.27	
Intensity	.1.03	.16	.53			t(93) = 6.31**
Step 2				.14	.03	
Breakpoint	.55	.29	.19			t(93) = 1.89
Step 2				.28	.17	
$O_{max}$	.52	.11	.42			t(93) = 4.63**
Step 2				.11	.00	,
$P_{max}$	17	.67	03			t(92) =25
Step 2				.24	.13	,
Elasticity	-1410.46	354.37	38			t(90) = -3.98**
Step 2				.26	.14	
RR	33.39	8.04	.39			t(90) = 4.15**
Step 2			,	.18	.10	() ()
RDEA	19.94	6.18	.32	.10	.10	t(87) = 3.23**
Step 2	27.7	0.10		.41	.30	(67) 6.26
Intensity	.83	.18	.43		.50	t(92) = 4.53**
O <sub>max</sub>	.26	.12	.21			t(92) = 1.33 t(92) = 2.22*
Step 2	.20	.12	.21	.36	.27	$\iota(\Im 2)=2.22$
Elasticity	-1209.69	350.95	34	.50	.27	t(80) = -3.46**
RR	26.75	8.37	.30			t(80) = 3.40 t(80) = 3.20**
RDEA	7.25	6.24	.12			t(80) = 3.20 t(80) = 1.16
Step 2	7.25	0.21	.12	.43	.31	$\iota(00) = 1.10$
Amplitude	3.58	.52	.58	.43	.51	t(86) = 6.85**
Step 2	3.30	.32	.50	.13	.02	t(00) = 0.03
Persistence	1.08	.73	.15	.13	.02	t(89) = 1.49
Total Problems	1.00	.13	.13	.32		l(0) = 1.47
Step 1				.32		
Age	1.38	.44	.27			t(93) = 3.12**
Ethnicity Ethnicity	3.24	1.86	.16			t(93) = 3.12 t(93) = 1.74
•	.25		.10			t(93) = 1.74 $t(93) = 4.61**$
Drinks	.43	.06	.42	22	01	$\iota(33) = 4.01$
Step 2	10	12	00	.32	.01	4(02) - 82
Intensity	10	.12	09	22	01	t(92) =82
Step 2	21	16	1.1	.33	.01	4(02) 1.20
Breakpoint	.21	.16	.11	40	00	t(92) = 1.29
Step 2	24	07	22	.40	.08	(00) 2.50**
$O_{max}$	.24	.07	.33	22	0.1	t(92) = 3.59**
Step 2	45	25	10	.32	.01	(01) 1.24
$P_{max}$	.47	.35	.12			t(91) = 1.34

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	t(df)
Step 2				.33	.03	
Elasticity	-425.28	215.60	19			t(89) = -1.97*
Step 2				.35	.01	
RR	5.54	4.93	.11			t(89) = 1.12
Step 2				.34	.00	
RDEA	06	3.66	00			t(86) =02
Step 2				.40	.10	
$O_{\max}$	.26	.08	.35			t(88) = 3.13**
Elasticity	-15.65	243.85	01			t(88) =06
Step 2				.35	.02	
Amplitude	.67	.41	.18			t(85) = 1.61
Step 2				.33	.04	
Persistence	.85	.38	.20			t(88) = 2.22*

\* $p \le .05$ , \*\*  $p \le .01$ ; RR = Reinforcement ratio; RDEA = Relative discretionary expenditures towards alcohol. For clarity, only step one of a single regression is included. Although values were slightly different, in each regression for typical drinks only ethnicity was a significant predictor, and for problems, age and typical drinks per week were significant predictors.

Table 6
Results of Hierarchical Regressions Testing Predictive Utility of Behavioral Economic Metrics of Alcohol
Value for Alcohol Use and Related Problems for Women

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	$t(\mathbf{df})$
<b>Total Drinks</b>						
Step 1				.17		
Age	.38	.33	.10			t(105) = 1.17
Ethnicity	6.39	1.42	.40			t(105) = 4.50**
Step 2				.30	.13	,
Intensity	.47	.11	.37			t(105) = 4.39**
Step 2				.24	.06	,
Breakpoint	.39	.13	.26			t(105) = 2.98**
Step 2				.38	.21	,
$^{1}O_{\max}$	.37	.06	.47			t(105) = 5.94**
Step 2				.17	.01	
$P_{max}$	.24	.27	.08			t(104) = .86
Step 2				.25	.08	((()))
Elasticity	-362.00	113.37	28			t(103) = -3.20**
Step 2				.30	.12	(101)
RR	16.88	4.05	.35			t(101) = 4.17**
Step 2	10.00			.19	.02	(101)
RDEA	6.75	1.51	.41	.17	.02	t(99) = 4.49**
Step 2	0.75	1.51		.40	.23	$\nu(33) = 1.13$
Intensity	.23	.12	.18	.40	.23	t(102) = 1.98*
Breakpoint	12	.16	08			t(102) = 1.56 t(102) =75
O <sub>max</sub>	.33	.09	.42			t(102) = 3.63**
Step 2	.55	.07	.72	.34	.17	l(102) = 3.03
Elasticity	-226.02	120.18	18	.54	.17	t(90) = -1.88
RR	14.79	4.44	.31			t(90) = 3.33**
RDEA	2.06	2.88	.07			t(90) = 3.33 t(90) = .72
Step 2	2.00	2.00	.07	.36	.20	i(90) = .72
Amplitude	1.84	.34	.46	.50	.20	t(94) = 5.38**
Step 2	1.04	.54	.+0	.21	.04	l(74) = 3.36
Persistence	.75	.35	.19	.21	.04	t(103) = 2.12*
Total Problems	.73	.55	.19	.16		l(103) = 2.12
Step 1				.10		
Age	.34	.32	.10			t(104) = 1.08
_			.10			t(104) = 1.08 $t(104) = 1.09$
Ethnicity Drinks	1.63	1.50				
Step 2	.31	.09	.33	.18	.02	t(104) = 3.31**
Intensity	10	12	16	.10	.02	t(102) = 1.60
•	.19	.12	.16	20	02	t(103) = 1.60
Step 2	22	1.4	1.5	.20	.02	4(104) = 1.60
Breakpoint Stan 2	.22	.14	.15	10	02	t(104) = 1.60
Step 2	10	00	1.0	.19	.02	4(104) 1.50
$O_{max}$	.12	.08	.16	26	06	t(104) = 1.50
Step 2	70	25	24	.26	.06	(102) 2.02**
$P_{max}$	.70	.25	.24			t(103) = 2.83**

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	t(df)
Step 2				.22	.02	
Elasticity	-208.16	116.08	17			t(102) = -1.79
Step 2				.24	.06	
RR	12.71	4.36	.28			t(100) = 2.92**
Step 2				.17	.00	
RDEA	41	2.98	01			t(98) =14
Step 2				.31	.11	
$P_{max}$	.50	.27	.17			t(97) = 1.87
RR	11.30	4.53	.25			t(97) = 2.50**
Step 2				.22	.02	
Amplitude	.60	.41	.16			t(93) = 1.46
Step 2				.23	.03	
Persistence	.72	.34	.19			t(102) = 2.11*

 $*p \le .05$ ,  $**p \le .01$ ; RR = Reinforcement ratio; RDEA = Relative discretionary expenditures towards alcohol. For clarity, only step one of a single regression for typical drinks and alcohol-related problems is included. Although values were slightly different, in each regression for typical drinks on ethnicity was a significant predictor and for alcohol-related problems only typical drinks per week was a significant predictor.

Table 7
Results of Hierarchical Regressions Testing Predictive Utility Beyond Established Risk Factors of Behavioral Economic Metrics of Alcohol Value for Alcohol Use and Related Problems for Men

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R}^2$	t(df)
<b>Total Problems</b>						
Step 1				.32		
Age	1.38	.45	.27			t(92) = 3.10
Ethnicity	3.27	1.88	.16			t(92) = 1.74
Drinks	.25	.06	.42			t(92) = 4.56**
Step 2				.36	.04	
PB	15	.06	21			t(91) = -2.41*
Step 3				.37	.01	
Intensity	15	.13	13			t(90) = -1.20
Step 3				.37	.01	
Breakpoint	.18	.16	.10			t(90) = 1.13
Step 3				.44	.08	
$O_{max}$	.24	.07	32			t(90) = 3.58**
Step 3				.36	.01	
$P_{max}$	.47	.35	.12			t(89) = 1.36
Step 3				.36	.02	,
Elasticity	-363.10	217.29	16			t(87) = -1.67
Step 3				.41	.02	. ,
RR	7.85	4.84	.15			t(87) = 1.62
Step 3				.40	.00	,
RDEA	.15	3.83	.00			t(84) = .04
Step 3				.40	.02	,
Amplitude	.75	.41	.20			t(83) = 1.81
Step 3				.37	.04	
Persistence	.88	.38	.21			t(86) = 2.34*
Step 2				.32	.00	
Sensation seeking	.00	.27	.00			t(92) =01
Step 2				.38	.09	(, =,
Depression	.43	.12	.31			t(82) = 3.46**
Step 3				.42	.04	(0=)
Intensity	30	.13	26			t(81) = -2.39*
Step 3			0	.39	.01	(01) 2.09
Breakpoint	.18	.16	.10	,	.01	t(81) = 1.09
Step 3	.10	.10	.10	.38	.06	$\iota(01) = 1.05$
O <sub>max</sub>	.21	.07	.29	.50	.00	t(81) = 2.98**
Step 3	.21	.07	.27	.39	.02	l(01) = 2.50
P <sub>max</sub>	.54	.35	.14	.57	.02	t(79) = 1.56
Step 3	.54	.55	.17	.39	.02	l(7) = 1.50
Elasticity	-315.84	216.83	15	.57	.02	t(79) = -1.46
Step 3	-313.04	210.03	1 <i>J</i>	.41	.01	ι(17) — -1. <del>1</del> Ο
RR	5.02	5.28	.09	.71	.01	t(78) = .95
Step 3	3.02	5.20	.09	.41	.01	ι(10) – ./3
RDEA	-1.17	3.91	03	.+1	.01	t(76) =30
NDEA	-1.1/	3.71	03			ι(10) – <b>-</b> .30

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	t(df)
Step 3				.39	.00	
Amplitude	.19	.44	.05			t(75) = .42
Step 3				.39	.03	
Persistence	.78	.38	.19			t(78) = 2.04*

\* $p \le .05$ , \*\* $p \le .01$ ; PB = Protective Behaviors; RR = Reinforcement ratio; RDEA = Relative discretionary expenditures towards alcohol. For clarity, only step one and step two of a single regression for alcohol-related problems is included. Although values were slightly different, in each regression typical drinks per week was a significant predictor. Similarly, for each regression protective behaviors and depression symptoms were significant predictors (sensation seeking was not).

Table 8
Results of Hierarchical Regressions Testing Predictive Utility Beyond Established Risk Factors of Behavioral Economic Metrics of Alcohol Value for Alcohol Use and Related Problems for Women

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	t(df)
<b>Total Problems</b>						
Step 1				.16		
Age	.34	.32	.10			t(104) = 1.08
Ethnicity	1.63	1.50	.11			t(104) = 1.09
Drinks	.32	.09	.33			t(104) = 3.31**
Step 2				.22	.06	
PB	16	.06	25			t(103) = -2.80*
Step 3				.23	.01	
Intensity	.14	.12	.11			t(102) = -1.13
Step 3				.24	.01	
Breakpoint	.13	.14	.09			t(103) = .95
Step 3				.24	.00	
$O_{\max}$	.06	.08	.08			t(103) = .73
Step 3				.31	.03	
$P_{max}$	.54	.25	.19			t(102) = 2.15*
Step 3				.28	.01	
Elasticity	-122.32	116.44	10			t(101) = -1.05
Step 3				.28	.05	
RR	10.83	4.34	.24			t(99) = 2.49**
Step 3				.21	.00	,
RDEA	24	2.91	01			t(84) =08
Step 3				.27	.01	,
Amplitude	.49	.40	.13			t(92) = 1.23
Step 3				.29	.02	,
Persistence	.52	.34	.14			t(101) = 1.56
Step 2				.16	.00	,
Sensation seeking	11	.24	04			t(103) =47
Step 2				.19	.04	,
Depression	.20	.09	.21			t(99) = 2.25*
Step 3				.21	.02	,
Intensity	.17	.12	.14			t(98) = 1.35
Step 3				.23	.02	. ,
Breakpoint	.23	.14	.16			t(99) = 1.73
Step 3				.22	.02	,
$O_{max}$	.13	.08	.18			t(99) = 2.98**
Step 3				.28	.05	
$P_{max}$	.67	.25	.23			t(98) = 1.56
Step 3				.26	.04	
Elasticity	-257.75	116.91	21			t(97) = -2.21*
Step 3				.25	.05	
RR	11.40	4.53	.25		<del>.</del>	t(95) = 2.52**
Step 3		<del>-</del>		.19	.00	- ( /
RDEA	-1.75	3.04	06		•	t(95) =58

Variable	В	SEB	β	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta \mathbf{R^2}$	t(df)
Step 3				.22	.01	
Amplitude	.46	.42	.12			t(89) = 1.08
Step 3				.26	.03	
Persistence	.68	.35	.18			t(97) = 1.98*

\* $p \le .05$ , \*\* $p \le .01$ ; PB = Protective Behaviors; RR = Reinforcement ratio; RDEA = Relative discretionary expenditures towards alcohol. For clarity, only step one and step two of a single regression for alcohol-related problems is included. Although values were slightly different, in each regression typical drinks per week was a significant predictor. Similarly, for each regression protective behaviors and depression symptoms were significant predictors (sensation seeking was not).