

Appendix 2.

Review I: Relationships between Health Literacy and Values Clarity/Decision Confidence

Authors, Year, Study Design, Sample Size	Population and Setting, Health Literacy or Education Level	Variables Used in Multivariate Analysis	Outcome Measure & Results By Health Literacy Skill Level	Differences in Results Between Health Literacy Skill Levels
Hawley et al., 2008 [1] Cross-sectional N = 877	Breast cancer patients from Los Angeles SEER registry making a decision about surgical treatment. Subjective health literacy questions adapted from Chew: Lowest tertile -- 12%	Race/ethnicity Age Education Marital status	Decision Regret Not reported by literacy level	Decision Regret (low vs. high health literacy): Odds ratio 3.5 (1.8 to 7.1) Decision Regret (moderate vs. high health literacy): Odds ratio 2.1 (1.4 to 3.1)
Martin, 2008 [2] Cross-sectional N = 628	Patients from 5 community rheumatology clinics making a decision about rheumatoid arthritis treatment. Objective numeracy (4 questions) from DMARD Knowledge profile: Not reported	None	Decision Confidence measured by sub-index of COMRADE instrument Not reported by numeracy level	Decision Confidence: Pearson correlation 0.07; <i>p</i> not significant
Sudore, 2010 [3] Cross-sectional N = 205	Multiethnic general medicine outpatients at a county hospital	Age Gender Education	% participants reporting Decision Uncertainty on single question:	Adjusted odds ratio of reporting decision uncertainty (inadequate/marginal versus adequate): 2.11 (1.03 to 4.33)

	making a decision about life support	Health Status	
	Functional health literacy on S-TOHFLA: Inadequate: 22% Marginal: 18% Adequate: 60%	Note: religiosity, trust, and prior experience not associated or included	Adequate health literacy: 34% Marginal health literacy: 62% Inadequate health literacy: 60%
Torres, 2009 [4] Cross-sectional N = 106	106 women recruited from a family clinic and making decisions about hormone replacement therapy. Functional health literacy on S-TOFHFLA: Inadequate: 46% Marginal: 18% Adequate: 36%	None	Mean Decision Confidence by 11-item decision self-efficacy scale Decision Confidence: Pearson correlation 0.70; p < 0.01

Abbreviations Used:

COMRADE: The Combined Outcome Measure for Risk Communication and Treatment Decision-Making Effectiveness

DMARD: Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs

SEER: Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results

S-TOFHFLA: Short-Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults

References

1. Hawley ST, Janz NK, Hamilton A, Griggs JJ, Alderman AK, Mujahid M, Katz SJ: **Latina patient perspectives about informed treatment decision making for breast cancer.** *Patient Educ Couns* 2008, **73**:363-370.

2. Martin RW, Head AJ, Rene J, Swartz TJ, Fiechtner JJ, McIntosh BA, Holmes-Rovner M: **Patient decision-making related to antirheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis: the importance of patient trust of physician.** *J Rheumatol* 2008, **35**:618-624.
3. Sudore RL, Schillinger D, Knight SJ, Fried TR: **Uncertainty about advance care planning treatment preferences among diverse older adults.** *J Health Commun* 2010, **159**(Suppl 2):159-171.
4. Torres RY, Marks R: **Relationships among health literacy, knowledge about hormone therapy, self-efficacy, and decision-making among postmenopausal health.** *J Health Commun* 2009, **14**:43-55.