#### **Supplementary Information**

Excessive TGF $\beta$  signaling is a common mechanism in Osteogenesis Imperfecta

Ingo Grafe, Tao Yang, Stefanie Alexander, Erica Homan, Caressa Lietman, Ming Ming Jiang, Terry Bertin, Elda Munivez, Yuqing Chen, Brian Dawson, Yoshihiro Ishikawa, Mary Ann Weis, T. Kuber Sampath, Catherine Ambrose, David Eyre, Hans Peter Bächinger, Brendan Lee

Correspondence to Brendan Lee: <u>blee@bcm.edu</u>



Supplementary Figure 1. Weight curves showing weight of WT, control *Crtap*<sup>-/-</sup> mice and 1D11-treated *Crtap*<sup>-/-</sup> mice during the study period. N=8 per group.

а



Supplementary Figure 2. No effect of TGF $\beta$  inhibition on the abnormal type I collagen post-translational modification in Crtap -/- mice. (a) Tandem mass spectra of extracted type I collagen from tibia of WT, control Crtap -/-, and 1D11- treated Crtap -/- mice (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). (b) Status of collagen residue Pro986 alpha 1(I) 3-hydroxylation in bone samples of bone samples of WT, control Crtap -/- and 1D11- treated Crtap -/- mice assessed by tandem mass spectra analyses. Mean of percentage of 3-hydroxylated residues (±SD) is shown, n=5 per group. (c). Hydroxylysyl pyridinoline (HP), lysyl pyridinoline crosslinks (LP) levels and HP/LP ratio of bone type I collagen of WT, control Crtap -/- and 1D11- treated Crtap -/- mice. Results are given as means±SDs, n=4 mice per group, \*P<0.05 for Crtap -/- vs. WT. NS, not significant.

b



**Supplementary Figure 3**. Serum bone-turnover markers osteocalcin (OCN) and C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of bone collagen (CTX) at start (a. 8 weeks of age) and end of the treatment study (b. 16 weeks of age). (a) Results are given as means $\pm$ SDs, n=8 for WT, n=14 for Crtap -/- mice. (b) Results are given as means $\pm$ SDs, n=8 for WT, n=7 per Crtap -/- group. \*P<0.05 for Crtap -/- vs. WT, #P<0.05 for Crtap -/- 1D11 vs. Crtap -/- control. NS, not significant.

WT

Crtap<sup>-/-</sup>

control



Supplementary Figure 4. Immunostaining for decorin in the distal femur metaphysis of WT and  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice is shown in 20X (**a**–**c**) and 40X magnification (**d**–**f**). Control femurs were incubated in secondary antibody only. (n=3 per genotype; scale bars=100µm (**a**–**c**), 50µm (**d**–**f**).

WT

Crtap-/-

control



Supplementary Figure 5. Immunostaining for TGF $\beta$ 1 in the distal femur metaphysis of WT and *Crtap*<sup>-/-</sup> mice is shown in 20X (**a**-**c**) and 40X magnification (**d**-**f**). Control femurs were incubated in secondary antibody only (n=3 per genotype; scale bars=100µm (**a**-**c**), 50µm (**d**-**f**)).

WT

# Col1a2<sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup>

control



**Supplementary Figure 6.** Immunostaining for TGF $\beta$ 1 in the distal femur metaphysis of WT and *Colla2<sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup>* mice is shown in 20X (**a**–**c**) and 40X magnification (**d**–**f**). Control femurs were incubated in secondary antibody only. (n=3 per genotype; scale bars=100µm (**a**–**c**), 50µm (**d**–**f**).

#### **Supplementary Table 1**

	BV/TV	Tb.N	Tb.Th	Tb.Sp	BMD BV
	(%)	(1/mm)	(µm)	(mm)	(mg HA/ccm)
Wild type	31.173	4.845	63.988	0.145	703.189
SD	6.543	0.583	9.482	0.034	19.014
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	8.354	2.130	38.888	0.450	677.416
SD	2.045	0.409	2.931	0.118	16.138
<i>Crtap<sup>≁</sup></i> 1D11	27.953	4.290	64.650	0.171	746.862
SD	5.645	0.435	7.407	0.030	26.564
ANOVA <i>P</i> value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001*	<0.001 <sup>+</sup>	<0.001
Pairwise <i>P</i> values					
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.05	0.023
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	n.s.	0.031	n.s.	n.s.	<0.001
<i>Crtap⁻<sup>/-</sup></i> control vs. <i>Crtap⁻<sup>/-</sup></i> 1D11	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.05	<0.001

**Supplementary Table 1.** MicroCT analyses of vertebral body L4 of WT, control  $Crtap^{-/-}$  and 1D11 treated  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). Means±SDs are shown for bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), trabecular number (Tb.N), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp), and bone mineral density of bone volume (BMD BV); n=8 per group, + indicates Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks where the equal variance test failed. n.s.=not statistically significant.

#### **Supplementary Table 2**

	BV/TV	Tb.N	Tb.Th	Tb.Sp	BMD BV
	(%)	(1/mm)	(µm)	(mm)	(mg HA/ccm)
Wild type	10.698	2.808	37.413	0.341	733.864
SD	3.558	0.684	5.071	0.108	25.997
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	2.873	0.861	32.863	1.209	727.151
SD	1.082	0.237	3.428	0.345	28.765
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	12.343	2.953	40.663	0.323	756.539
SD	4.523	0.767	5.842	0.121	36.913
ANOVA <i>P</i> value	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.015	<0.001	0.162
Pairwise <i>P</i> values					
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	<0.05	<0.05	n.s.	<0.001	
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	
<i>Crtap⁻</i> <sup>/-</sup> control vs. <i>Crtap⁻</i> /- 1D11	<0.05	<0.05	0,004	<0.001	

**Supplementary Table 2.** MicroCT analyses of trabecular bone in proximal femurs for WT, control  $Crtap^{-/-}$ , and 1D11- treated  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). Means±SDs are shown for bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), trabecular number (Tb.N), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) and bone mineral density of bone volume (BMD BV); n=8 per group. + indicates Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks where the equal variance test failed. n.s.=not statistically significant.

#### Supplementary Table 3

	Cortical thickness	BMD BV	Diameter a.p.	CSA	CSMI m.I.	CSMI a.p.
	(mm)	(mg HA/ccm)	(mm)	(mm²)	(mm⁴)	(mm <sup>4</sup> )
Wild type	0.242	1084.726	1.239	0.905	0.134	0.221
SD	0.014	28.375	0.063	0.079	0.023	0.042
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	0.203	1084.885	1.142	0.731	0.101	0.162
SD	0.020	34.256	0.065	0.082	0.021	0.034
<i>Crtap</i> <sup>≁</sup> 1D11	0.221	1096.127	1.186	0.808	0.111	0.186
SD	0.026	39.754	0.080	0.118	0.028	0.038
ANOVA <i>P</i> value	0.003	0.753	0.039	0.005	0.032	0.021
Pairwise <i>P</i> values						
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap</i> <sup>-/-</sup> control	<0.001		0.012	0.001	0.011	0.006
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap</i> <sup>-/-</sup> 1D11	n.s.		n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Crtap <sup>-/-</sup> control vs. Crtap <sup>-/-</sup> 1D11	n.s.		n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

**Supplementary Table 3.** MicroCT analysis of cortical bone at the femur midshaft for WT, control  $Crtap^{-/-}$ , and 1D11-treated  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). Means±SDs are shown for cortical thickness, bone mineral density of bone volume (BMD BV), anterior-posterior (a.p.) diameter, cross-sectional area (CSA), and cross-sectional moments of inertia (CSMI) for medio-lateral (m.l.) and anterior-posterior (a.p.) axis; n=8 per group. n.s.=not statistically significant.

	Maximum Ioad	Stiffness	Energy to failure	Ultimate strength	Toughness to failure	Elastic modulus	Total displacement	Elastic displacement	Post-yield displacement
	(N)	(N/mm)	(N*mm)	(MPa)	(MPa)	(GPa)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)
Wild type	22.831	230.578	7.846	154.704	11.912	6.960	0.444	0.068	0.376
SD	2.860	37.053	3.985	7.478	5.695	0.731	0.207	0.014	0.203
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	12.943	151.689	1.228	114.496	2.208	6.663	0.127	0.079	0.048
SD	2.402	27.384	0.913	18.240	1.677	1.154	0.057	0.006	0.058
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	18.818	200.804	1.991	145.633	3.408	7.248	0.156	0.073	0.083
SD	2.337	15.644	0.834	19.074	1.851	0.271	0.055	0.013	0.055
	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0 659	0.015	0.260	0.010
ANOVA P value	<0.001	0.009	0.009	0.004	0.009	0.008	0.015	0.360	0.012
Pairwise <i>P</i> values									
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control	<0.001	0.003	0.005	0.001	0.005		0.009		0.007
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	n.s.	n.s.	0.017	n.s.	0.017		0.023		0.019
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	0.015	n.s.	n.s.	0.016	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.

**Supplementary Table 4.** Results of biomechanical testing of femurs from WT, control  $Crtap^{-/-}$  and 1D11 treated  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice by 3-point bending (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). N=6 for WT, n=4 for control  $Crtap^{-/-}$  and n=3 for 1D11 treated  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice. Results are shown as means±SDs. n.s.=not statistically significant.

	<b>BV/TV</b> (%)	<b>Tb.N</b> (1/mm)	<b>Tb.Th</b> (μm)	<b>Tb.Sp</b> (μm)	<b>N.Oc/BS</b> (1/mm)	Oc.S/BS (%)	<b>N.Ob/BS</b> (1/mm)	<b>Ob.S/BS</b> (%)	<b>N.Ot/B.Ar</b> (1/mm2)
Wild type	11 956	4 003	29 554	226 736	3 226	15 051	18 142	20 827	549 002
SD	3.067	0.656	3.536	50.077	0.812	3.089	2.368	2.754	83.665
<i>Crtap<sup>≁</sup></i> control	3.817	1.909	19.502	587.008	4.768	19.885	24.487	26.888	735.561
SD	1.656	0.692	2.560	289.835	0.914	3.407	5.421	6.983	72.617
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	10.203	3.411	30.182	277.886	1.772	6.829	8.638	9.748	565.044
SD	3.097	0.878	6.982	69.118	0.673	2.235	2.855	4.718	51.014
ANOVA <i>P</i> value	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<b>0.003</b> ⁺	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Pairwise <i>P</i> values									
Wild type vs. Crtap <sup>-/-</sup> control	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.05	0.005	0.012	0.011	n.s.	<0.001
Wild type vs. <i>Crtap</i> <sup>-/-</sup> 1D11	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.007	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	n.s.
<i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> control vs. <i>Crtap<sup>-/-</sup></i> 1D11	<0.001	0.003	0.001	<0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

**Supplementary Table 5.** Histomorphometry analyses of L4 vertebral bodies of WT, control  $Crtap^{-/-}$ , and 1D11- treated  $Crtap^{-/-}$  mice (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). Means±SDs are shown for bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), trabecular number (Tb.N), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp), number of osteoclasts/bone surface (N.Oc/BS), osteoclast surface/bone surface (Oc.S/BS), number of osteoblasts/bone surface (N.Ob/BS), osteoclast surface/bone area (N.Ot/B.Ar); n=6 per group. + indicates Kruskal-Wallis one way ANOVA on ranks where equal variance test failed. n.s.=not statistically significant.

	<b>BV/TV</b> (%)	<b>Tb.N</b> (1/mm)	<b>Tb.Th</b> (μm)	<b>Tb.Sp</b> (mm)	BMD BV (mg HA/ccm)
Wild type	24.708	3.939	62.533	0.193	716.669
SD	3.282	0.330	3.497	0.025	7.626
Col1α2 <sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup> control	10.608	2.071	51.067	0.435	731.436
SD	1.487	0.195	2.999	0.047	11.792
<i>Col1α2<sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup></i> 1D11	29.715	4.244	69.983	0.166	754.115
SD	1.984	0.199	2.329	0.013	7.844
ANOVA P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Pairwise <i>P</i> values					
Wild type vs. Col1a2 <sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup> control	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.015
Wild type vs. <i>Col1α2<sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup></i> 1D11 <i>Col1α2<sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup></i> control vs. <i>Col1α2<sup>tm1.1Mcbr</sup></i> 1D11	0.002 <0.001	n.s. <0.001	<0.001 <0.001	n.s. <0.001	<0.001 <0.001

**Supplementary Table 6.** MicroCT analyses of vertebral body L4 of WT, control  $Colla2^{tm1.1Mcbr}$  and 1D11 treated  $Colla2^{tm1.1Mcbr}$  mice (16 week old mice, after treatment for 8 weeks). Means±SDs are shown for bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), trabecular number (Tb.N), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp), and bone mineral density of bone volume (BMD BV); n=6 per group, n.s.=not statistically significant.