Study or Subgroup	Male Events	Male Total	Female Events	Female Total	Weight	Odds Ratio Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 Western						
Ilie M 2012	3	41	2	24	1.5%	0.87 [0.13, 5.60]
Just P 2012	0	7	4	13	0.6%	0.14 [0.01, 3.05]
Rodig S 2009	11	138	9	220	5.6%	2.03 [0.82, 5.03]
Wallander M 2012	11	21	5	24	2.9%	4.18 [1.13, 15.42]
Subtotal (95% CI)		**207**		**281**	**10.5%**	**1.70 [0.64, 4.50]**
Total events	25		20			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.38; Chi² = 4.92, df = 3 (P = 0.18); I² = 39%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.06 (P = 0.29)						
1.2.2 Asian						
Fukui T 2012	10	357	18	363	7.1%	0.55 [0.25, 1.21]
Inamura K 2009	5	134	6	119	3.3%	0.73 [0.22, 2.46]
Jokoji R 2010	4	130	4	124	2.5%	0.95 [0.23, 3.89]
Koh Y 2011	26	132	19	89	9.4%	0.90 [0.47, 1.76]
Li Yuan 2013	19	215	25	357	10.3%	1.29 [0.69, 2.40]
Paik J 2012	11	206	16	189	7.0%	0.61 [0.28, 1.35]
Sakai Y 2013	5	175	4	146	2.8%	1.04 [0.28, 3.96]
Seo J 2012	2	91	6	109	1.9%	0.39 [0.08, 1.96]
Takamochi K 2013 (V)	4	83	4	75	2.5%	0.90 [0.22, 3.73]
To K 2013	11	202	11	171	6.1%	0.84 [0.35, 1.98]
Wang R 2012	15	292	21	341	9.0%	0.83 [0.42, 1.63]
Wu S 2012	19	62	20	54	7.4%	0.75 [0.35, 1.63]
Xia N 2013	5	51	6	59	3.2%	0.96 [0.27, 3.35]
Subtotal (95% CI)		**2130**		**2196**	**72.5%**	**0.83 [0.65, 1.06]**
Total events	136		160			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 4.76, df = 12 (P = 0.97); I² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.50 (P = 0.13)						
1.2.3 Mixed						
Paik P 2012	22	230	22	445	10.5%	2.03 [1.10, 3.76]
Yang P 2012	8	75	26	225	6.4%	0.91 [0.39, 2.12]
Subtotal (95% CI)		**305**		**670**	**16.9%**	**1.44 [0.66, 3.13]**
Total events	30		48			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.18; Chi² = 2.28, df = 1 (P = 0.13); I² = 56%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.92 (P = 0.36)						
Total (95% CI)		**2642**		**3147**	**100.0%**	**1.00 [0.79, 1.25]**
Total events	191		228			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.03; Chi² = 20.73, df = 18 (P = 0.29); I² = 13%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.04 (P = 0.97)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 3.45, df = 2 (P = 0.18), I² = 42.1%