## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

	Patient Grouping				p-Value
Referent Control		LVH	DHF		
MMP-1, ng/mL	$0.78 \pm 0.06$	$0.89 \pm 0.07$	$0.94 \pm 0.17$	1.1	0.325
	0.55[0.26,1.05]	0.72[0.37,1.22]	0.67[0.38,1.29]		
MMP-2, ng/mL	$339.7 \pm 9.3$	$326.4 \pm 11.8$	$421.4 \pm 21.6^{*\dagger}$	9.6	< 0.001
	328.1[216.4,436.4]	342.2[244.6,421.1]	406.4[312.6,506.2]		
MMP-3, ng/mL	$10.08 \pm 0.39$	$9.33 \pm 0.42$	$11.47 \pm 0.74^{\dagger}$	3.0	0.049
	8.63[6.39,12.11]	8.65[6.01,12.14]	10.79[7.0,14.5]		
MMP-7, ng/mL	$1.65 \pm 0.08$	$1.84 \pm 0.10$	$2.14 \pm 0.18^{*}$	4.2	0.016
	1.29[0.86, 2.10]	1.65[0.97,2.65]	1.2[1.12,2.94]		
MMP-8, ng/mL	$2.63 \pm 0.22$	$3.29 \pm 0.32$	$1.79 \pm 0.18^{\dagger}$	4.4	0.014
	1.11[0.61,2.82]	1.52[0.89,3.30]	1.28[0.89,2.19]		
MMP-9, ng/mL	$95.0 \pm 3.8$	$126.7 \pm 7.2^*$	$123.8 \pm 8.6^*$	10.7	< 0.001
	83.1[53.0,123.3]	104.1[71.2,164.9]	104.1[73.8,161.0]		
TIMP-1, ng/mL	$72.2 \pm 1.4$	$82.5 \pm 2.1^*$	$90.3 \pm 3.4^*$	18.5	< 0.001
	67.8[54.4,88.2]	82.7[64.8,102.6]	84.6[68.5,107.5]		
TIMP-2, ng/mL	$78.6 \pm 0.9$	$83.6 \pm 1.1^*$	$85.3 \pm 1.6^*$	8.9	< 0.001
	79.0[68.46,88.78]	84.62[75.0,93.3]	85.0[77.8,93.3]		
TIMP-3, ng/mL	$7.5 \pm 0.5$	$9.2 \pm 0.8$	$6.6 \pm 0.9$	2.8	0.063
	4.18[1.95,11.69]	5.81[2.29,11.09]	3.66[2.16,7.56]		
TIMP-4, ng/mL	$1.47 \pm 0.04$	$1.46 \pm 0.05$	$1.85 \pm 0.09^{*\dagger}$	8.9	< 0.001
	1.33[1.09,1.77]	1.42[1.09,1.89]	1.75[1.28,2.33]		
PINP, ng/mL	37.1 ± 1.3	$34.5 \pm 1.9$	$39.4 \pm 4.0$	1.1	0.327
	32.8[22.6,47.0]	30.2[21.0,42.7]	30.9[22.5,45.7]		
PIIINP, ng/mL	$7.2 \pm 0.1$	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$9.1 \pm 0.4^{*\dagger}$	18.4	< 0.001
	7.12[5.92,8.18]	7.59[6.45,9.31]	8.40[6.75,10.67]		
CITP, ng/mL	$3.01 \pm 0.12$	$3.56 \pm 0.17$	$3.93 \pm 0.42^{*}$	5.0	0.007
	2.90[2.00,3.86]	3.22[2.04,4.71]	3.10[1.83,5.18]		
Cardiotrophin-1, ng/mL10 <sup>-3</sup>	51.1 ± 6.9	$43.3 \pm 6.8$	22.8 ± 5.7	2.4	0.096
-	12.09[6.59,40.00]	13.98[5.65,40.00]	10.0[02.92,23.92]		
sRAGE, ng/mL	$3.46 \pm 0.17$	$3.15 \pm 0.25$	$2.97 \pm 0.27$	1.2	0.315
	2.54[1.76,4.18]	2.07[1.50,3.57]	2.25[1.61,3.72]		
Osteopontin, ng/mL	$76.2 \pm 1.9$	$86.5 \pm 4.7^*$	$92.6 \pm 5.5^*$	5.1	0.007
	73.7[58.8,94.6]	79.9[61.6,102.2]	91.9[66.4,118.9]		
NT-proBNP, pg/m	87.4 ± 6.4	$109.4 \pm 12.4$	$214.2 \pm 33.6^{*\dagger}$	17.7	< 0.001
	55.6[29.0,111.8]	75.6[33.8,153.1]	133.1[51.3,302.5]		
Sample Size, n	241	144	61		

# <u>Table A</u>: Myocardial Matrix Biomarker Profiles in Referent Control Subjects, Subjects with Left Ventricular Hypertrophy, and Subjects with Diastolic Heart Failure

Abbreviations:

The table presents means  $\pm$  standard errors on the first line, and medians  $\pm$  inter quartile range on the second line. ANOVA F-tests and p-values are reported for  $\log_{10}$  biomarker values and post hoc pairwise statistical comparisons were performed.

LVH = patients with left ventricular hypertrophy but no heart failure, DHF = patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic heart failure, MMP = matrix metalloproteinase, TIMP = tissue inhibitor of MMP, NT-proBNP = n-terminal propeptides of brain naturetic peptide, sRAGE = soluble receptor for advanced glycation endproduct, PINP = n-terminal collagen I propeptide, PIIINP = n-terminal collagen III propeptide, CITP = collagen I telopeptide.

\* = p<0.05 compared to Referent Control † = p<0.05 compared to LVH

	Biomarker	Beta	Wald T-statistic	p-value
LVH	MMP-7	0.153	3.01	0.080
	MMP-9	0.006	12.04	< 0.001
	TIMP-1	0.018	13.01	< 0.001
	NT-proBNP	0.002	3.37	0.070
	PIIINP	0.113	4.39	0.040
DHF	MMP-2	0.002	3.98	0.050
	MMP-8	-0.247	7.81	0.005
	PIIINP	0.150	3.50	0.002
	TIMP-4	0.818	9.43	0.060

<u>Table B</u>: Effect size (beta), Wald T statistics, and p-value for adjusted models obtained by setting forward variable selection entry criteria at 0.10.

Abbreviations:

LVH = patients with left ventricular hypertrophy, DHF = patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic heart failure, MMP = matrix metalloproteinase, TIMP = tissue inhibitor of MMP, NT-proBNP = n-terminal propeptides of brain naturetic peptide, PIIINP = n-terminal collagen III propeptide.

Table C: Multivariate, Multi-Biomarker Analysis for LVH and DHF Detection

LVH						
Algorithm	1 factor	2 factor	3 factor	4 factor	5 factor	NT-pro BNP
AUC	0.65[0.60,0.70]	0.68[0.63,0.73]	0.69[0.64,0.74]	0.69[0.64,0.74]	0.70[0.65,0.75]	0.57[0.52,0.63]
[95%CI]						
CV AUC	0.65[0.60,0.71]	0.68[0.62,0.74]	0.69[0.63,0.74]	0.68[0.62,0.74]	0.68[0.64,	0.58[0.51,0.64]
					0.76]	
TIMP-1	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MMP-9		Х	Х	Х	Х	
PIIINP			XX	Х	Х	
NT-				Х	Х	
proBNP						
TIMP-2					X	

#### DHF

Algorithm	1 factor	2 factor	3 factor	4 factor	NT-pro BNP
AUC	0.68[0.60, 0.76]	0.70[0.62, 0.78]	0.76[0.68, 0.82]	0.77[0.70, 0.84]	0.65[0.56, 0.74]
CV AUC	0.68[0.58, 0.78]	0.69[0.59, 0.79]	0.74[0.64, 0.82]	0.75 [0.67, 0.83]	0.65[0.54, 0.75]
MMP-2	Х	Х	Х	Х	
TIMP-4		Х	Х	Х	
MMP-8			Х	Х	
PIIINP				Х	

Abbreviations:

LVH = patients with left ventricular hypertrophy, DHF = patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic heart failure, MMP = matrix metalloproteinase, TIMP = tissue inhibitor of MMP, NT-proBNP = n-terminal properties of brain naturetic peptide, PIIINP = n-terminal collagen III properties, AUC = area under the curve (with 95% confidence intervals), CV = cross validated



#### **Supplement Figure A**

#### **Figure Legend for Supplemental Figure A:**

**Panel A:** Receiver operator curve analysis for plasma NT-proBNP in left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). Observed area under the curve (AUC) for LVH using clinical covariates plus NT-proBNP = 0.75 [0.71, 0.80].

**Panel B:** Cross-validated analysis for NT-proBNP in LVH. The ROC curves generated from a 30 random simulated simulations of a 5-fold split of the data are presented. The cross validated ROC curves are similar in shape to those obtained in Panel A.



#### **Supplement Figure B**

### **Figure Legend for Supplemental Figure B:**

**Panel A:** Receiver operator curve analysis for NT-proBNP in diastolic heart failure (DHF). Observed area under the curve (AUC) for DHF using clinical covariates plus NT-proBNP = 0.71 [0.63, 0.79].

**Panel B:** The ROC curves generated from 30 random simulated simulations of a 5-fold split of the data are presented. The cross-validated ROC curves are similar in shape to those obtained in Panel A.