

Reference	Sample	Comorbidity (patient population)	Type of Analysis	Imaging Methods	Key Findings
PTSD					
Bing et al. ³² 2013	20 PTSD 20 HC	MDD (n = 3)	linear regression analysis comparing cortical thickness to ALFF	3 T	1. ALFF values ↑ in left mPFC, left ACC & right cerebellum in PTSD vs HC 2. positive correlation between ALFF values in mPFC & CAPS scores 3. cortical thickness ↓ in left mPFC, left IFG, left ACC & right STG in PTSD vs HC 4. negativ correlation between cortical thickness within mPFC & CAPS scores as well as in ACC & CAPS scores
Bluhm et al. ³³ 2009	17 PTSD 15 HC	current MDD (n=6) current dysthymia (n=1) current depression disorder NOS (n=1) current PD (n=8) current agoraphobia (n=3) current GAD (n=2) past alcohol dependence (n=5) past alcohol abuse (n=2) past substance dependence (n=3) past substance abuse (n=3) past MDD (n=3) past PD (n=2) past bulimia nervosa (n=2)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	4 T	1. CON ↑ in PCC/precunes seed and: left mPFC, ACC, bilateral parietal cortex, bilateral ITG & right thalamus within HC 2. CON ↑ in PCC/precuneus seed and: PCC, left thalamus within PTSD 3. CON ↑ in PCC/precuneus seed and: right mPFC, bilateral parietal cortex & MTG, right parahippocampal gyrus & hippocampus, right insula and amygdala in HC vs PTSD 4. CON with PCC pos correlated with DES scores in right STG and right IFG
Lanius et al. ³⁴ 2010	11 acute trauma survivors	MDD (n=2)** PD (n=1)** PTSD (n=2)**	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	4 T	1. CON ↑ in PCC/precuneus seed and: left MFG, right parahippocampal gyrus, left ITG & MFG, right MTG in trauma exposed subjects 2. CON in PCC/precuneus seed pos correlated with CAPS in bilateral periangular anterior cingulate gyrus at time of scan 3. CON in PCC/precuneus seed with right amygdala at 5-6 week post traumatic event pos correlated with CAPS at 12 weeks post traumatic event

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders? Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(6):294–300.

Rabinak et al. ³⁵ 2011	15 PTSD 17 combat controls	current MDD (n=2) current alcohol abuse (n=2) past MDD (n=1) past alcohol abuse (n=4) past opioid abuse (n=1) past alcohol dependence (n=1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in right amygdala seed and right insula in PTSD vs CEC
Sripada et al. ³⁷ 2012	15 PTSD 15 combat controls 15 HC	current MDD (n=7) current PD (n=1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ within SN in PTSD 2. CON ↑ between SN and DMN in PTSD 3. CON ↓ within DMN in PTSD
Sripada et al. ³⁶ 2012	15 PTSD 14 combat controls	current MDD (n=7) current PD (n=1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in right amygdala seed and right insula in PTSD vs CC 2. CON ↓ in right amygdala seed and dACC in PTSD vs CC 3. CON ↑ in right amygdala seed and: left hippocampus & left OFG in CC vs PTSD 4. CON ↑ in left amygdala seed and right insula in PTSD vs CC 5. CON ↓ in left amygdala seed and right rostral ACC in PTSD vs CC 6. no CON ↑ in left amygdala seed for CC vs PTSD
Qin et al. ³⁸ 2012	22 PTSD 19 trauma controls	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↓ within right lingual & middle temporal gyri & felt posterior cingulate cortex in PTSD 2. neg. correlation between PCC connectivity & CAPS scores
Yin et al. ⁴¹ 2011	54 PTSD 72 trauma controls	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in left thalamus seed and: right MFG in CC vs PTSD 2. CON ↑ in left thalamus seed and: left IFG & MFG, right precuneus in PTSD vs CC 3. CON ↑ in right thalamus seed and: left ACC, right MFG in CC vs PTSD 4. CON ↑ in right thalamus seed and: left IFG & MFG & IPL, right IFG in PTSD vs CC
Yin et al. ⁴²	54 PTSD	not otherwise specified	low-frequency	3 T	1. ALFF ↓ in right insula, visual cortex, cerebellum

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders? *Can J Psychiatry*. 2014;59(6):294–300.

2011	72 trauma controls		fluctuation (ALFF) analysis		in PTSD vs CC 2. ALFF ↑ right medial frontal gyrus, middle frontal gyrus in PTSD vs CC 3. pos correlation between ALFF values in right medial frontal gyrus and CAPS
Yin et al. ³⁹ 2012	54 PTSD 72 trauma controls	not otherwise specified	regional homogeneity map analysis	3 T	1. ReHo ↑ in left inferior parietal lobule & in right SFG in PTSD vs CC 2. ReHo ↑ in right MTG & in right lingual gyrus in CC vs PTSD
Zhou et al. ⁴⁰ 2012	15 PTSD	not otherwise specified	pearson's analysis to derive correlations between PCC connectivity & CAPS scores	3 T	1. CON in PCC seed neg correlated with CAPS in left STG and right hippocampal gyrus/amygdala in PTSD 2. CON of PCC & right amygdala; PCC & left amygdala; left & right amygdala neg correlated with CAPS in PTSD at time of diagnosis

GAD

Etkin et al. ⁴³ 2009	16 GAD 17 GAD-controls 31 HC	MDD (n=4) social anxiety disorder (n=5) PD (n=2) dysthymia (n=2) OCD (n=1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in basolateral amygdala with higher-order sensory and medial prefrontal cortices in HC 2. CON ↑ between centromedial amygdalae and cerebellum, thalamus, and midbrain in HC 3. higher corr between BAL & CMA in GAD vs HC 4. CON ↓ of BLA or CMA to all of their normal targets in GAD 5. CON ↑ of BLA or CMA to all of other subregions' targets in GAD 6. CON ↑ in frontoparietal CEN in GAD (e.g. Amygdala & dlPFC, vlPFC, dmPFC) 7. CON ↓ in SN in GAD (e.g. Amygdala & Insula) 8. gray matter volume ↑ in right CMA in GAD
------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	--	-----	---

SAD

Ding et al. ⁴⁵ 2011	17 SAD 19 HC	not otherwise specified	Temporal correlation of 90 paired brain sub-regions	3 T	1. pos CON ↓ within frontal lobe, e.g. between right median PFD and right IFC in SAD vs HC 2. neg CON ↓ between frontal and occipital lobe, e.g. right median PFC & left calcarine fissure, left superior occipital cortex & left cuneus region
-----------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------	---	-----	--

					in SAD vs HC 3. neg CON ↓ between frontal & occipital lobe pos correlated with severity of SAD
Hahn et al. ⁴⁴ 2011	10 SAD 27 HC	SAD + PD (n=2) PD (n=1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1.CON ↓ in left amygdala seed and: left media OFC, left PCC/precuneus in SAD vs HC 2. CON ↓ in left medial OFC & bilateral ACC in SAD vs HC 3. ACC clusters neg associated with STAI-S scores
Liao et al. ⁴⁶ 2010	22 SAD 21 HC	not otherwise specified	Granger causality analysis of (ROI) based functional connectivity analysis data	3T	a1. CON ↑ <i>from left amygdala</i> to MFG, temporal cortex, somato-motor & visual cortex & cerebellum in SAD vs HC a2. CON ↓ <i>from left amygdala</i> to left SFG, right MTG & bilateral postcentral gyri in SAD vs HC a3. CON ↑ <i>from the right amygdala</i> to mOFG, temporal, occipital and limbic cortex in SAD vs HC a4. CON ↓ <i>from right amygdala</i> to right SFG, Hippocampus, regions in parietal lobe & cerebellum in SAD vs HC b1. CON ↑ <i>to left amygdala</i> and DMN regions (precuneus, middle cingulate gyrus), visual cortex, striatal cortex in SAD vs HC b2. CON ↓ <i>to left amygdala</i> and SFG & bilateral ITG in SAD vs HC b3. CON ↑ <i>to right amygdala</i> and DMN regions (precuneus, middle & superior FG), visual cortex, striatal cortex in SAD vs HC b4. CON ↓ <i>to right amygdala</i> and frontal cortex, ITG, few regions in parietal lobe & subcortical cortices in SAD vs HC c1. CON ↑ in right amygdala with left mOFG pos correlated with avoidance factor of LSAS in SAD group c2. CON ↓ in bilateral amygdala and bilateral ITG neg correlated with avoidance factor of LSAS in SAD group
Liao et al. ⁵¹ 2010	20 SAD 20 HC	not otherwise specified	ICA	3 T	1. CON ↓ in somato-motor network (SMN), visual network (VN) in SAD vs HC

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders? Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(6):294–300.

					2. CON ↑ in self-referential network (SRN) in SAD vs HC 3. alterations in dorsal attention network (DAN), CEN, DMN and control network (CN) in SAD vs HC 4. pos correlation between LSAS values and: right orbital IFG (DAN), left medial SFG (DMN), right ACC (CN) 5. neg correlation between LSAS and: left superior parietal gyrus (VN)
Liao et al. ⁴⁷ 2011	18 SAD 18 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in right posterior ITG and left inferior occipital gyrus in SAD vs HC 2. CON degree in left IOG pos correlated with total & avoidance scores in the LSAS 3. CON ↑ in left PHG and left MTG in SAD vs HC 4. CON degree in left MTG pos correlated with fear factor of LSAS in SAD group only 5. CON ↑ in right MPFG and DMN regions in both groups 6. CON ↓ in regions involving CEN and DAN in both groups
Pannekoek et al. ⁴⁸ 2012	12 SAD 12 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in right amygdala seed and: left MTG, left supramarginal gyrus & left lateral occipital cortex in SAD vs HC 2. CON ↑ in bilateral dACC seed and: left precuneus, left lateral occipital cortex in SAD vs HC 3. no group differences in PCC/precuneus seed CON
Prater et al. ⁵⁰ 2013	20 SAD 17 HC	specific phobia (n = 3) GAD (n = 1) PD (n = 1) OCD (n = 1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↓ between amygdala & rostral ACC in SAD
Qiu et al. ⁴⁹ 2011	20 SAD 20 HC	not otherwise specified	regional homogeneity analy	3 T	1. ReHo ↓ in left MPFC, right DLPFC, IPG, ACC & FG and bilateral AG in SAD vs HC 2. ReHo ↑ in left MOG and right PUT in SAD vs HC 3. ReHo in left putamen, left MPFC and bilateral DLPF neg correlated with LSAS total in SAD

4. ReHo in left MOG, bilateral IPG and left CUN pos correlated with LSAS total in SAD

OCD						
Harrison et al. ⁵³ 2009	21 OCD 21 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	1.5 T	1. CON ↑ in (left) dorsal caudate seed and right ventral caudate/nucleus accumbens in OCD vs HC 2. strength of CON between the ventral caudate/nucleus accumbens to anterior orbitofrontal cortex predicted overall OCD symptom severity	
Hou et al. ⁵⁴ 2012	23 OCD 23 HC	not otherwise specified	amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) analysis	3 T	1. ALFF ↑ e.g. in bilateral OFC, ACC in OCD vs HC 2. ALFF ↓ e.g. in bilateral cerebellum & parietal cortex in OCD vs HC 3. positive correlation between ALFF values in bilateral OFC and OCD symptom severity	
Jang et al. ⁵⁵ 2010	22 OCD 22 HC	OC personality disorder (n = 2) schizotypal personality disorder (n = 1) tic disorder (n = 1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	1.5 T	1. CON ↑ in PCC seed and: right ACC, MFG, putamen in HC vs OCD 2. higher anxiety levels in OCD were correlated with less CON between PCC seed & right putamen 3. cleaning dimension scores pos correlated with s right MFG and cuneus within PCC-FC map in OCD 4. cleaning dimension scores neg correlated with left PCC and right superior orbital gyrus 5. obsession/checking scores corr with CON ↓ in bilateral MFG and right superior medial gyrus	
Kang et al. ⁵⁶ 2013	18 OCD 18 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ between caudate nucleus & middle CC & precentral gyrus in OCD	
Li et al. ⁵⁷ 2012	20 OCD 20 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ between right anterior PFC with right insula & middle CC in OCD	
Stern et al. ⁵⁸ 2012	30 OCD 32 HC	lifetime MDD (n = 14) MDD NOS (n = 4) PD (n = 2) PD NOS (n = 1)	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ in fronto-parietal & DM network in OCD vs HC, e.g. between left insula seed and: PCC, right parahippocampus, left posterior regions of inferior parietal lobe, left DMPFC	

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders? *Can J Psychiatry*. 2014;59(6):294–300.

specific phobia (n = 5)
eating disorder NOS (n = 2)
anorexia nervosa (n = 3)
trichotillomania (n = 1)
chronic motor tic disorder (n = 1)
bipolar disorder NOS (n = 1)

2. CON ↓ in DMN regions, e.g. between aMFG seed and adjacent region of aMFC, DMPFC in OCD vs HC
3. CON ↑ between FPN & outside DMN in OCD vs HC; e.g. between right insula seed and right thalamus, left DMPFC

Zhang et al. ⁵⁹ 2011	18 OCD 16 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis & graph-theoretical approach	3 T	1. CON ↓ posterior temporal regions in OCD vs HC 2. CON ↑ in cingulate, precuneus, thalamus, cerebellum in OCD vs HC 3. higher local clustering in control network in OCD vs HC (= disbalanced)
------------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------	---	-----	---

PD

Pannekoek et al. ⁶¹ 2013	11 PD 11 HC	not otherwise specified	(ROI) - based functional connectivity analysis	3 T	1. CON ↑ between amygdala & bilateral precuneus in PD 2. altered SN CON in PD
--	----------------	-------------------------	--	-----	--

SP

no investigations

HC = healthy controls; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; MDD = major depression disorder; PD = panic disorder; GAD = generalized anxiety disorder;
OCD = obsessive-compulsive disorder; SP = specific phobia; NOS = not otherwise specified; CON = connectivity; SN = Salience Network; DMN = Default Mode Network;
CEN = Central Executive Network; ** 5-6 weeks post trauma

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders?
Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(6):294–300.

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders?
Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(6):294–300.

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders?
Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(6):294–300.

Peterson A, Thome J, Frewen P, et al. Resting-state neuroimaging studies: a new way of identifying differences and similarities among the anxiety disorders?
Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(6):294–300.