# nature neuroscience

Corresponding Author:	Warren M. Grill	# Main Figures:	5
Manuscript Number:	NN-T45722A	# Supplementary Figures:	5
Manuscript Type:	Technical Report	# Supplementary Tables:	0
		# Supplementary Videos:	0

### Reporting Checklist for Nature Neuroscience

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. For more information, please read Reporting Life Sciences Research.

Please note that in the event of publication, it is mandatory that authors include all relevant methodological and statistical information in the manuscript.

#### ▶ Statistics reporting, by figure

- Please specify the following information for each panel reporting quantitative data, and where each item is reported (section, e.g. Results, & paragraph number).
- Each figure legend should ideally contain an exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, where n is an exact number and not a range, a clear definition of how n is defined (for example x cells from x slices from x animals from x litters, collected over x days), a description of the statistical test used, the results of the tests, any descriptive statistics and clearly defined error bars if applicable.
- · For any experiments using custom statistics, please indicate the test used and stats obtained for each experiment.
- Each figure legend should include a statement of how many times the experiment shown was replicated in the lab; the details of sample collection should be sufficiently clear so that the replicability of the experiment is obvious to the reader.
- For experiments reported in the text but not in the figures, please use the paragraph number instead of the figure number.

Note: Mean and standard deviation are not appropriate on small samples, and plotting independent data points is usually more informative. When technical replicates are reported, error and significance measures reflect the experimental variability and not the variability of the biological process; it is misleading not to state this clearly.

		TEST USED n		DESCRIPTIVE STATS (AVERAGE, VARIANCE)		P VALUE		DEGREES OF FREEDOM & F/t/z/R/ETC VALUE				
	FIGURE NUMBER	WHICH TEST?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	DEFINED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	REPORTED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #
example	1a	one-way ANOVA	Fig. legend	9, 9, 10, 15	mice from at least 3 litters/group	Methods para 8	error bars are mean +/- SEM	Fig. legend	p = 0.044	Fig. legend	F(3, 36) = 2.97	Fig. legend
example	results, para 6	unpaired t- test	Results para 6	15	slices from 10 mice	Results para 6	error bars are mean +/- SEM	Results para 6	p = 0.0006	Results para 6	t(28) = 2.808	Results para 6
+	4b	Kruskal- Wallis Test	Results para 15	12	Trials of TMS in each condition	Results para 15	Averages	Result s para 15	p = .0004	Results para 15	H=18.48, df=3	Results para 15

		TEST USED n		DESCRIPTIVE STATS (AVERAGE, VARIANCE)  P VALUE		JE	DEGREES OF FREEDOM & F/t/z/R/ETC VALUE					
	FIGURE NUMBER	WHICH TEST?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	DEFINED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH#	REPORTED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH#	EXACT VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #
+	4b	Mann- Whitney U Test	Results para 15	12	Trials of TMS in each condition	Results para 15	NA	Result s para 15	p = .003 p = .0027 p = .0002	Results para 15	Respectively, U = 9, z = 3.61 U = 19.5, z = 3 U = 8, z = 3.67	Results para 15
+	5b	Kruskal- Wallis Test	Results para 16	53	Total blocks of data: At high intensity (90% level), 6 blocks each for active and sham; at low intensity (10 + 50% levels), 19 blocks for active and 22 blocks for sham.	Results para 16	Bars show mean +/- s.e.m.	Result s para 16	p = .0032	Results para 16	H=13.8, df=3	Results para 16
+ -	5b	Mann- Whitney U Test	Results para 16	53	Total blocks of data: At high intensity (90% level), 6 blocks each for active and sham; at low intensity (10 + 50% levels), 19 blocks for active and 22 blocks for sham.	Results para 16	NA	Result s para 16	Significant comparisons: p = .0031 p = .0203 Nonsignificant comparisons: p = .418 p = .254	Results para 16	Respectively, U = 104, z = 2.96 U = 3, z = 2.32 U = 253, z = 1.14 U = 81, z = 0.81	Results para 16

#### ▶ Representative figures

1.	Are any representative images shown (including Western blots and
	immunohistochemistry/staining) in the paper?

If so, what figure(s)?

2. For each representative image, is there a clear statement of how many times this experiment was successfully repeated and a discussion of any limitations in repeatability?

If so, where is this reported (section, paragraph #)?

NA		

NA

#### ▶ Statistics and general methods

1. Is there a justification of the sample size?

If so, how was it justified?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

Even if no sample size calculation was performed, authors should report why the sample size is adequate to measure their effect size.

Justification of sample size calculation was not performed because for the proof-of-principle level of analysis needed for this Technical Report, it sufficed to show statistical data on a single neuron as an example (Fig. 4b) and on an ensemble of similar neurons as a summary population result (Fig. 5b). For the single neuron example (Fig. 4b), all raw data are shown and it is clear that the effect is strong enough to be captured in 12 trials of data per condition. For the ensemble result (Fig. 5b), the effect is similarly large and collecting more data from the single site tested was not possible.

Yes. All tests are discussed thoroughly in the main text (Results

	Where (se	ection, paragraph #)?	paragraphs 15 and 16), in the figure legends for Fig. 4b and 5b, and in the new Statistical Analyses section (Online Methods, p. 33).
		If there is a section summarizing the statistical methods in the methods, is the statistical test for each experiment clearly defined?	Yes. We included a Statistical Analyses section in the Online Methods (p. 33). It clearly defines the statistical tests for study. Exactly how each test is applied to each experiment is described in the Results on pages 15-16.
		Do the data meet the assumptions of the specific statistical test you chose (e.g. normality for a parametric test)?	We used only non-parametric tests.
		Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	
	C.	Is there any estimate of variance within each group of data?	No
		Is the variance similar between groups that are being statistically compared?	
		Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	
	d.	Are tests specified as one- or two-sided?	Two-sided
			(
	e.	Are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?	Yes
3.	Are criter	ia for excluding data points reported?	NA
	Was this o	criterion established prior to data collection?	
	Where is	this described (section, paragraph #)?	
4.		e method of randomization used to assign subjects (or to the experimental groups and to collect and process data.	NA
	If no rand	lomization was used, state so.	
	Where do	pes this appear (section, paragraph #)?	
5.		ment of the extent to which investigator knew the group a during the experiment and in assessing outcome included?	NA
	If no blind	ding was done, state so.	
	Where (se	ection, paragraph #)?	
6.	-	iments in live vertebrates, is a statement of compliance with idelines/regulations included?	Yes, the study involved the use of two rhesus monkeys, one male and one female. A statement of compliance with ethical guidelines/
	Where (se	ection, paragraph #)?	regulations was included. All of this information is in the Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.
7.	Is the spe	cies of the animals used reported?	Yes. The species, Macaca mulatta, is reported in the Abstract (p. 2)
		ection, paragraph #)?	and on in the Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.

2. Are statistical tests justified as appropriate for every figure?

8.	Is the strain of the animals (including background strains of KO/transgenic animals used) reported?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
9.	Is the sex of the animals/subjects used reported?	Yes; Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
10.	Is the age of the animals/subjects reported?	Yes; Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
11.	For animals housed in a vivarium, is the light/dark cycle reported?	Yes; Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
12.	For animals housed in a vivarium, is the housing group (i.e. number of animals per cage) reported?	Yes; Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
13.	For behavioral experiments, is the time of day reported (e.g. light or dark cycle)?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
14.	Is the previous history of the animals/subjects (e.g. prior drug administration, surgery, behavioral testing) reported?	Yes; Online Methods, on page 31, first full paragraph.
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	a. If multiple behavioral tests were conducted in the same group of animals, is this reported?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
15.	If any animals/subjects were excluded from analysis, is this reported?	None were excluded from analysis
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	a. How were the criteria for exclusion defined?	NA
	Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	
	b. Specify reasons for any discrepancy between the number of animals at the beginning and end of the study.	NA
	Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	

## ▶ Reagents

or how it can be obtained.

<ol> <li>Have antibodies been validated for use in the system under study (assay and species)?</li> </ol>	NA				
a. Is antibody catalog number given?	NA				
Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?					
b. Where were the validation data reported (citation, supplementary information, Antibodypedia)?	NA				
Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?					
<ol> <li>If cell lines were used to reflect the properties of a particular tissue or disease state, is their source identified?</li> </ol>	NA				
Where (section, paragraph #)?					
Were they recently authenticated?	NA				
Where is this information reported (section, paragraph #)?					
Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for:  a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences b. Macromolecular structures c. Crystallographic data for small molecules d. Microarray data  Deposition is strongly recommended for many other datasets for which struavailable here. We encourage the provision of other source data in supplementary data  1. Are accession codes for deposit dates provided?					
Where (section, paragraph #)?					
► Computer code/software  Any custom algorithm/software that is central to the methods must be supplied by the authors in a usable and readable form for readers at the time of publication. However, referees may ask for this information at any time during the review process.					
,	O				
Identify all custom software or scripts that were required to conduct the study and where in the procedures each was used.	NA				
Is computer source code/software provided with the paper or deposited in a public repository? Indicate in what form this is provided	NA				

## ▶ Human subjects

1.	Which IRB approved the protocol?	No human subjects
	Where is this stated (section, paragraph #)?	
2	Is demographic information on all subjects provided?	NA
۷.		IVA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
2		NIA.
3.	Is the number of human subjects, their age and sex clearly defined?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
4	And the find of the modern beautiful and the state of the second of the	NIA.
4.	Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria (if any) clearly specified?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
5.	How well were the groups matched?	NA
	Where is this information described (section, paragraph #)?	
6.	Is a statement included confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
7	From the street of continue of the street of	NA.
/.	For publication of patient photos, is a statement included confirming that consent to publish was obtained?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	where (section, paragraph n).	
⊾ f	MRI studies	
	With Studies	
	papers reporting functional imaging (fMRI) results please ensure that thormation is clearly provided in the methods:	ese minimal reporting guidelines are met and that all this
1.	Were any subjects scanned but then rejected for the analysis after the	NA
	data was collected?	
	a. If yes, is the number rejected and reasons for rejection	NA
	described?	INA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	where (section, paragraph #7:	
2.	Is the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/	NA
	or subjects specified?	
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
3.	Is the length of each trial and interval between trials specified?	NA

4.	Is a blocked, event-related, or mixed design being used? If applicable, please specify the block length or how the event-related or mixed design was optimized.	NA
5.	Is the task design clearly described?	NA
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
6.	How was behavioral performance measured?	NA
7.	Is an ANOVA or factorial design being used?	NA
8.	For data acquisition, is a whole brain scan used?  If not, state area of acquisition.	NA
	a. How was this region determined?	NA
9.	Is the field strength (in Tesla) of the MRI system stated?	NA
	<ul> <li>a. Is the pulse sequence type (gradient/spin echo, EPI/spiral) stated?</li> </ul>	NA
	b. Are the field-of-view, matrix size, slice thickness, and TE/TR/ flip angle clearly stated?	NA
10.	Are the software and specific parameters (model/functions, smoothing kernel size if applicable, etc.) used for data processing and pre-processing clearly stated?	NA
11.	Is the coordinate space for the anatomical/functional imaging data clearly defined as subject/native space or standardized stereotaxic space, e.g., original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152, etc? Where (section, paragraph #)?	NA
12.	If there was data normalization/standardization to a specific space template, are the type of transformation (linear vs. nonlinear) used and image types being transformed clearly described? Where (section, paragraph #)?	NA
13.	How were anatomical locations determined, e.g., via an automated labeling algorithm (AAL), standardized coordinate database (Talairach daemon), probabilistic atlases, etc.?	NA
14.	Were any additional regressors (behavioral covariates, motion etc) used?	NA
15.	Is the contrast construction clearly defined?	NA
16.	Is a mixed/random effects or fixed inference used?	NA

a. If fixed effects inference used, is this justified?	NA
17. Were repeated measures used (multiple measurements per subject)?	NA
a. If so, are the method to account for within subject correlation and the assumptions made about variance clearly stated?	NA
18. If the threshold used for inference and visualization in figures varies, is this clearly stated?	NA
19. Are statistical inferences corrected for multiple comparisons?	NA
a. If not, is this labeled as uncorrected?	NA
20. Are the results based on an ROI (region of interest) analysis?	NA
a. If so, is the rationale clearly described?	NA
b. How were the ROI's defined (functional vs anatomical localization)?	NA
21. Is there correction for multiple comparisons within each voxel?	NA
22. For cluster-wise significance, is the cluster-defining threshold and the corrected significance level defined?	NA
• Additional comments	
Additional Comments	NA