Original research paper

Can a genotype for lactase persistence be used as a tool to assess the relationship between renal cell carcinoma and milk drinking? Pitfalls in the application of Mendelian randomization.

Nic Timpson¹, Paul Brennan², Valérie Gaborieau², Lee Moore³, David Zaridze⁴, Vsevolod Matveev⁴, Neonilia Szeszenia-Dabrowska⁵, Jolanta Lissowska⁶, Eleonora Fabianova⁷, Dana Mates⁸, Vladimir Bencko⁹, Lenka Foretova¹⁰, Vladimir Janout¹¹, Wong-Ho Chow³, Nathaniel Rothman³, Paolo Boffetta², Roger Harbord¹², George Davey Smith¹

¹The MRC Centre for Causal Analysis in Translational Epidemiology (CAiTE), University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Bristol, BS8 2BN.

²International Agency for Research on Cancer, 50 Cours Albert Thomas, 69372 Lyon CEDEX 08, France.

³National Cancer Institute (NCI), Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, MD 20892 Bethesda, MD, USA.

⁴Russian N.N. Blokhin Cancer Research Centre, Department of Epidemiology and Prevention, Moscow, Russia.

⁵Institute of Occupational Medicine, Department of Epidemiology, 90950 Lodz, Poland. ⁶Maria Sklodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, 02-781 Warsaw, Poland.

⁷Regional Authority of Public Health, 97401 Banska Bystrica, Slovakia.

⁸Institute of Public Health, 050463 Bucharest, Romania.

⁹Charles University, First Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, 12800 Prague 2, Czech Republic.

¹⁰Mazaryk Memorial Cancer Institute, Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, 65653 Brno, Czech Republic.

¹¹Palacky University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Preventive Medicine, CZ-77515 Olomouc, Czech Republic.

¹²Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, UK.

Corresponding author:

Dr Nicholas Timpson MRC Centre for Causal Analysis in Translational Epidemiology, Bristol University, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK. n.j.timpson@bris.ac.uk Tel: +44 117 9287352 Fax: +44 117 928 7236

Running Head: Lactase persistence, renal carcinoma and milk consumption.

Keywords: Mendelian randomization, lactase persistence, renal carcinoma

Acknowledgements:

RMH is supported in part by a grant G0601625 from the Medical Research Council. The MRC CAiTE Centre is supported by the MRC, grant reference G0600705.

Abstract

Background

Increased risk of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) with milk consumption has been reported from observational studies including that involved here. Whether this represents causal association or whether it is the result of confounding or bias is unclear. We aimed to assess the potential for genetic variation in lactase persistence to be used as a tool for the interrogation of these relationships.

Methods

Using a large, hospital based case control study, we use a combination of observational genetic and phenotypic data to determine whether the MCM6 -13910 C/T(rs4988235) variant may be used as an non-confounded and unbiased marker for milk consumption.

Results

Consumption of milk during adulthood was associated with increased risk of RCC (OR=1.35 95% CI 1.03, 1.76 p=0.03). Among controls, consumption of milk was associated with the lactase persistence genotype at rs4988235 (2.39[1.81, 3.15], p=6.9*10" ¹⁰), however the same genotype was not associated with RCC (OR=1.01 95% CI 0.83, 1.22 p=0.9). In controls, milk consumption was associated with confounding factors including smoking, and educational attainment, while the lactase persistence genotype at rs4988235 genotype showed negligible association with confounding factors.

Nicholas Timpson 27/1/10 10:54 Deleted: an Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:47 Deleted: kidney Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:48

Conclusions

The absence of an association between the MCM6 genotype and RCC suggests that observational associations between milk consumption and RCC may be due to confounding or bias. However, if the association between genotype and behavioral exposure is weak, then the power of this test may be low. The nature of intermediate risk factor instrumentation is an important consideration in the undertaking and interpretation of this type of causal analysis experiment.

Deleted: while the *MCM6* genotype showed

2

Nicholas Timpson 27/1/10 10:53 Deleted: An i Nicholas Timpson 27/1/10 10:53 Deleted: a

Nicholas Ti n 27/1/10 10:50 Deleted:

negligible association with confounding factors.

Deleted: the rs4988235

Introduction

Consumption of milk has been reported to be a potential risk factor for renal cell carcinoma (RCC)<u>(1)</u>. The causality of this association is difficult to assess in the absence of randomized control trials as milk consumption is likely to be associated with other dietary and lifestyle factors that may themselves be associated with RCC. Other study designs (prospective studies and population based case/control studies<u>(2, 3)</u>, can contribute to the assessment of milk drinking as a risk factor for RCC, however these are subject to the known limitations of observational epidemiology <u>(4, 5)</u> and where done. have not always yielded corroboratory results<u>(6)</u>.

A potential solution to this problem of confounding is Mendelian randomization (MR). 8), MR relies on the use of genetic markers associated with modifiable exposures of interest (in this case milk drinking) as non-confounded and unbiased markers of exposure (**Figure 1**). Assuming the genetic marker is not related to confounding features and is associated with the outcome only through its association with the exposure, then identifying an association between genotype and outcome will test the <u>hypothesis of a</u> true non-confounded association between exposure and outcome(8),

At the level of the population, wide-spread habitual milk drinking is thought largely to reflect the ability to hydrolyze lactose, the principal carbohydrate in milk($\underline{0}$), This ability is lost after weaning in nearly all mammals and for most human populations and this loss is associated with lactose intolerance. While most human populations have high prevalence of lactose intolerance, Northern Europeans tend to have high proportions of lactose tolerance(10), The latter reflects the persistence of the enzyme lactase into adulthood and

Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 19:35
Deleted: (1)
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:17
Deleted: potential
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 15:07
Deleted: (2)
Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 15:07
Deleted: (ref EPIC & NURSES))
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:07
Deleted: could
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:06
Deleted: (including matched population
case/control studies and randomized control
trials) are often unfeasible
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:06
Deleted: and indeed do not guarantee avoidance of
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 19:35
Deleted: (2, 3)(2, 3)
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:21
Deleted: (4, 5)
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:22
Deleted: hypotheses
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:19
Deleted: (3)
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:19
Deleted: (6)

Unknown Field Code Changed Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:19 Deleted: (7)

is thought to be derived from selective pressures brought about by the domestication of livestock, generating strong patterns of advantage for this ability(11, 12).

The population distribution of lactase persistence has been well traced, and a genetic variant associated with lactase persistence has been identified (13), This association is derived from an extended region of linkage disequilibrium (LD) on chromosome 2q21 which contains the associated variant ~14 kb upstream of the lactase coding region (LCT) in the *MCM6* gene. Whilst two variants are recognized as associated with lactase persistence, the extended LD in this region places the most correlated allele(14, 15), on a common haplotypic background which captures nearly all variation in this region and denotes the select emergence of this variant type. There is evidence for the association of the *MCM6* -13910 C/T (henceforth termed rs4988235) variant with lactase persistence and at a population level there is a strong association between prevalence of lactase persistence and consumption of milk. At an individual level, however, work looking at the association between physically assessed lactose tolerance and milk drinking has shown this relationship to be relatively weak(16-27),

We have previously reported an association between milk consumption and RCC in a multicentre case-control study conducted in Russia, Czech Republic, Romania and Poland (28), In this current analysis we investigate the relationship between *MCM6* variation and actual milk consumption in efforts to clarify the potential for this variation to be used as a proxy measure for this risk factor for RCC. We intend to then use this proxy measure as an instrument to assess the causal nature of the association between milk consumption and RCC risk. Given a confirmed relationship between genetically prescribed lactase persistence and milk consumption, we aim to assess the association between RCC risk and the same genetic variation acting as a proxy measure for milk

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:19 Deleted: (8, 9) Unknown Field Code Changed

1	Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:19	
	Deleted: (10)	
	Unknown	
	Field Code Changed	

Unknown Field Code Changed Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:30 Deleted: (11)(11)

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:31
Deleted: (despite assertions to the contrary(12)(12))
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:33
Deleted: the association of
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:34
Deleted: lactase persistence with milk consumption is
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:34
Deleted: weaker and has been less consistently demonstrated
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:23
Deleted: (16-27)(13-25)
Unknown
Field Code Changed
Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:21
Deleted: (28)(26)



consumption. Assuming that assessment of milk consumption in this way will not suffer the same limitations seen in conventional observational analyses, results from this analysis provide evidence for the presence of causal a relationship between milk consumption and RCC risk. We hope also to comment on the feasibility of using *MCM6* variation as a marker of milk consumption and through this make more general comments as to the importance of the genetic proxy/risk factor relationship in the application of MR.

Materials and methods

The population

Between August 1999 and January 2003, we conducted a hospital-based case-control study of RCC in Russia (Moscow); Romania (Bucharest); Poland (Lodz); and the Czech Republic (Prague, Olomouc, Ceske Budejovice, and Brno). A total of 1,097 newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed RCC cases (ICD-0-2 codes C64) between the ages of 20 and 79 years were recruited. Trained medical staff reviewed medical records to extract relevant diagnostic information, including date and method of diagnosis, histologic type, tumour location, stage and grade.

Eligible controls were patients admitted to the same hospital as cases for conditions unrelated to smoking or genitourinary disorders (except for benign prostatic hyperplasia) who were frequency-matched on age to cases. No single disease made up more than 20% of the control group. Both cases and controls had to be residents of the study areas for at least one year at the time of recruitment. The response rate among eligible subjects who

were requested to participate ranged from 90.0% to 98.6% for cases and from 90.3% to 96.1% for controls.

All study subjects and their physicians provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating centers.

Standardized lifestyle and food frequency questionnaires were piloted in all centers prior to use and interviews were conducted in-person by trained personnel to elicit information on demographic characteristics, education, exposure to tobacco smoke, alcohol consumption, dietary practices, anthropometry, medical history, family history, and occupational history.

Milk drinking and food consumption

The dietary component of the questionnaire comprised 23 food items, with frequency of consumption (and score) assessed for each item (never [0], <once per month [1], <once per week [2], 1-2 times per week [3], 3-5 times per week [4], and daily [5]). The questionnaire was repeated for two different time periods: 1) the year prior to interview, and 2) prior to political and market changes in 1989 (1991 in Russia). These scores were united into the groupings 0 versus 1+2+3+4+5 in order to yield a dichotomous assessment of adult milk consumption which represented never versus ever consumption patterns. One subject had to be excluded from milk analysis due to missing values. Information on lactose-free milk consumption was not available.

Genotyping

After DNA extraction, genotyping for rs4988235 was performed by the 5' nuclease assay (TaqMan). DNA from cases and controls were blinded and randomized on PCR plates to avoid any potential bias and duplicate genotyping performed for a random 10% of the

total series for genotyping quality control. Genotyping call rates were similar for cases

and controls being > 95% for both the cases and controls that remained in our analysis.

Analyses

From these samples, 953 cases and 2396 controls were available with observational data, whilst for genetic analyses, 915 cases and 2346 controls were available with genotypes.

To test for a potential relationship between milk consumption and variation at *MCM6*, we performed logistic regression of the dominant model coded genotypes at rs4988235 (i.e. CC versus CT/TT, non-persistence versus any carriage of lactase persistence alleles). and categorized milk drinking status. Analyses were performed both with and without the covariates sex, alcohol consumption (ever/never), smoking (ever/never), the categorical variable educational attainment (low/medium/high) and the continuous variable age. To test for potential relationships between milk consumption/genotype and <u>RCC including potential confounders, we performed logistic regression of case/control</u> <u>status including the same potentially confounding features.</u>

For analyses across all studies, individual study estimates were combined by metaanalysis. In this case, point estimates and standard errors derived from logistic regression were meta-analyzed using a random effects model using the "metan" user-written command in Stata(29), With meta-analyzed results, both *p*-values for heterogeneity and an l^2 statistic representing the variance attributable to between study differences were simultaneously calculated.

All statistics were performed using Stata version 10 (StataCorp LP, 2007).

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:04 Deleted:

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 17:21

Deleted: To test for a potential relationship between milk consumption and the MCM6 variant, we performed logistic regression of the dominant model coded genotypes at rs4988235 (i.e. CC versus CT/TT, non-persistence versus any carriage of lactase persistence alleles) and categorized milk drinking status. To test for a potential relationship between milk consumption and the RCC and potential confounders, we performed logistic regression of case/control status and other variables on the same categorized milk drinking status. These analyses were adjusted for the binary variables sex, alcohol consumption (ever/never), smoking (ever/never), the categorical variable educational attainment (low/medium/high) and the continuous variable age.

Deleted: (27) Unknown Field Code Changed

Results

Descriptive statistics and milk drinking profiles for all study participants are included in **Table 1** (characteristics for individuals without genetic data, n=~155 overall, did not vary substantively for descriptive characteristics). Minor allele frequencies for rs4988235 *within controls* were observed to be 0.28 in Romania, 0.40 in Poland, 0.35 in Russia and 0.46 in the Czech Republic. The minor allele for all populations was the "T" (persistence) allele at rs4988235, consistent with that in southern and eastern Europe, but opposite to that observed in regions further north and west (the "C" allele was found at a frequency of 0.26 in the UK(<u>17</u>)). No <u>strong</u> evidence for departure of recorded genotype frequencies from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was found (p>0.05) except nominally in Romania (p=0.02). Whilst country specific MAF estimates are different, they do reflect intermediate frequencies of the order anticipated within Eastern European populations (**Supplementary Table S1**).

Differences were observed in the consumption patterns of milk in differing allele groups. In controls, genotype was seen to be associated with milk drinking OR 2.39 [1.81, 3.15], $p=6.9*10^{-10}$. In all countries, a higher proportion of individuals reported never having consumed milk within those carrying the reported lactase non-persistent CC genotype at rs4988235 (**Table 2**). Tests of heterogeneity showed there to be no consistent evidence of difference in the association between lactase persistence genotype and milk drinking between countries (**Table 2**). Romania was the only country not to demonstrate association between genotype and milk drinking tendency.

There was an elevated risk of RCC among those consuming milk as opposed to never Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 15:32 Deleted: As we have already reported consumers (OR=1.35, 95% CI 1.03, 1.76 p=0.03). This was largely driven by the strong,

observed, relationship between milk consumption and cancer risk in the Czech Republic (OR=1.68, 95% CI 1.13, 2.49 p=0.01) where the frequency of the lactase persistence driving allele and adherence to it was the greatest (**Table 3**).

Despite observed differences between the risk of RCC with differing milk consumption patterns and between lactase persistent genotype and milk consumption patterns, no substantial differences were observed between rs4988235 genotype and the risk of RCC either in analyses by country or in the sample as a whole (overall odds of RCC by genotypic group OR=1.01, 95% CI 0.83, 1.22 p=0.9) (**Table 4**).

Analysis of variables which could potentially have confounded results between milk consumption and the risk of RCC <u>yielded</u> evidence for association between educational attainment (p=0.001) and milk consumption <u>in all countries</u>(**Table 5**). There was nominal, although not systematic, representation of this relationship and others within results for country specific data. The strongest of <u>these</u> was for the Czech Republic_ where, milk consumption was associated with educational attainment and smoking (p=0.02 and 0.007 respectively).

In contrast, analysis between rs4988235 genotype and the same potentially confounding factors did not generally yield evidence of association. However, there was nominal evidence for association of genotype with education (p=0.03, **Table 5**), which was largely lost after country specific analysis.

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:38 Deleted: showed

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:38
Deleted: (and others)
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:40
Deleted: , t
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:40
Deleted: which
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:39
Deleted: where
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:39
Deleted: found to be
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:39
Deleted: again

Discussion

We aimed to analyze the relationship between milk consumption and RCC by employing a MR framework in order to avoid confounding and bias that may be influencing observational reports of a link between milk consumption and the risk of RCC. In this large, case control study from 4 central and eastern European countries which have intermediate frequencies for rs4988235, we found that whilst there was evidence for an association between milk consumption and RCC, the use of a non-confounded, proxy marker of milk consumption (i.e. a genetic marker associated with milk consumption levels) did not support this finding.

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:58 Deleted: unadjusted

Our study was designed to assess the relationships between milk drinking and RCC and to bring to attention practical issues encountered in the application of Mendelian randomization. Importantly, despite its size, our study had low power to detect or reject a possible causal association between genotype and cancer. This was due to the relatively weak relationship between the genotype and milk consumption (an often ignored characteristic in the examination of lactase persistence genotypes) and the modest observational association between milk consumption and RCC: a study with approximately 37000 cases and 37000 controls would be needed to achieve 80% power under the same framework (see **Supplementary material** for method of calculation). Part of this impairment of power is likely to be due to a large number of risk exposed control participants (those who carried the lactase non-persistent genotype yet reported drinking milk) and this illustrates the importance of correlation between genotype and risk factor of interest in MR experiments.

A feature of these data was the apparent lack of association between lactase nonpersistence associated genotypes and milk avoidance in Romania. Romania was the only country in this work *not* to show a robust relationship between variation at rs4988235 and milk drinking behavior. We have no prior reason to expect different biological properties within this population and this finding may indicate one of two likely scenarios. Firstly and most likely, it may be that the combination of relative small subsample size and errors in the reporting of milk drinking that are presenting as a lack of observed association. Alternatively, cultural pressures may be acting to force a departure from the milk drinking behavior one would expect given the presence of this variation. This is a phenomenon that has been used to explain situations elsewhere where populations contain only rare lactase non-persistence(17), however this mechanism could be in operation within populations of intermediate allele frequency for this variant.

A further observation of interest within this analysis was the nominal association between the lactase persistence genotype and educational patterning across Europe. <u>Relationships between genetic variation and confounding features such as this can</u> <u>indicate an impairment in their use as instrumental variables through the re-introduction</u> <u>of environmental confounding(7)</u>. In this case it is unlikely that the observed trend has <u>great impact on the overall interpretation of the lack of association between *MCM6* genotype and RCC risk, however it is of interest in light of the use of rs4988235 as a population specific marker. When looking at the descriptive properties of educational attainment (**Table 1**), there is a suggestion for both difference between countries and the possibility of a gradient across Europe (west/east for this factor as opposed to the accepted east/west for lactase persistence(11)). With the expected gradient in lactase persistence allele frequencies by geography (previously observed) being opposite to that suggested for educational achievement, it becomes less surprising that some level of</u> Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Deleted: (30)(14)

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:01 Deleted: Whilst this Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:01 Deleted: seems Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:01 Deleted: to Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:02 Deleted: this is

Unknown Field Code Changed Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:19 Deleted: (8)

association is suggested between *MCM6* variation and this factor. However, relating to this study where there is no reliable association between *MCM6* genotypes and RCC risk, this observation may not be of critical importance.

Important aspects raised by this work are sample size and what in this case may be loosely termed the "penetrance" of genetic effect. In this study of over 900 cases of RCC, it is possible to assess direct associations between risk exposure and outcome with reasonable accuracy. However, it is has not been possible to achieve this for genetic proxy markers for exposure (i.e. genetic markers predicting milk consumption) due to poor correlation between genotype and exposure. Although we do observe a lack of association between milk consumption related genotypes and RCC risk, the ability of this to comment directly on the causality of putative observational associations between milk consumption and RCC risk, is limited.

Based on evidence from the associations between genotype and both milk drinking and cancer risk, work presented here may justify caution with respect to the interpretation of associations between milk consumption and cancer risk. However, whilst the translation of *MCM6* variation to lactase persistence may yield true physiological relationships, these appear not to strongly influence actual milk drinking patterns in the populations assessed and this impairs the accuracy of our reassessment of milk as a risk factor for RCC. Importantly, this work provides practical guidance for the employment of MR methods for the dissection of more complex, binary traits. An important lesson from this analysis is that in order to achieve suitable power to allow formal analysis of such an MR framework, clear effects, robust instruments and large sample sizes are required.

		Deleted:	[[1]]
		Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 1	
		Formatted	[2]
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
References:	•//	Formatted	[3]
1. WCRF. Food, nutrition, physical activity and the prevention of cancer: a	\square	Unknown	
global perspective. Research AIfC, editor. Washington DC 2007.	_/	Field Code Changed	[4]
	4	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
2. Feskanich D, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA. Milk, dietary calcium,		Formatted	[5]
and bone fractures in women: a 12-year prospective study. Am J Public Health 1997		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
<u>Jun;87:992-7.</u>		Formatted	[6]
	4	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1 Formatted	
3. Riboli E, Kaaks R. The EPIC Project: rationale and study design. European			[7]
Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. International Journal of		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1 Formatted	
<u>Epidemiology 1997;26 Suppl 1:S6-14.</u>		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	6:34
	*	Formatted	([9]
4. Davey Smith G. Reflections on the limitations to epidemiology. Journal of		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
Clinical Epidemiology 2001;54:325-31.	_ //	Formatted	[10]
	*	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
5. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian Randomisation': can genetic	///	Formatted	[11]
epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease?		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
International Jounal of Epidemiology 2003;32:1-22.	<u> </u>	Formatted	[12]
6 Loo IE Hunter DI Spiegelman D. Adami IIO Demotoin I. van den Deserde		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
6. Lee JE, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Adami HO, Bernstein L, van den Brandt PA, et al. Intakes of coffee, tea, milk, soda and juice and renal cell cancer in a pooled	<u> </u>	Formatted	[13]
analysis of 13 prospective studies. International Journal of Cancer 2007;121:2246-53.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	6:34
analysis of 15 prospective studies. International journal of Cancer 2007,121,2240-55.		Formatted	[14]
7. Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JAC, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G.	1 ///	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
Mendelian randomization: Using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in	n \	Formatted	[[15]]
epidemiology. Statistics in Medicine 2008;27:1133-63.	- // //	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
	•	Formatted	[16]
8. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. Mendelian Randomisation: prospects, potentials		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1 Formatted	
and limitations. International Jounal of Epidemiology 2004;33:30-42.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	[[17]] 6:34
	•	Formatted	[18]
9. Villako K, Maaroos H. Clinical picture of hypolactasia and lactose		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
intolerance. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1994;202:36-54.		Formatted	[19]
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
10. Swallow DM. Genetics of lactase persistence and lactose intolerance. Annual		Formatted	[20]
<u>Review of Genetics 2003;37:197-219.</u>		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
		Formatted	[21]
11. Bersaglieri T, Sabeti PC, Patterson N, Vanderploeg T, Schaffner SF, Drake		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	6:34
JA, et al. Genetic signatures of strong recent positive selection at the lactase gene.		Formatted	[[22]]
American Journal of Human Genetics 2004;74:1111-20.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
12. Tishkoff SA, Reed FA, Ranciaro A, Voight BF, Babbitt CC, Silverman JS, et		Formatted	[[23]]
al. Convergent adaptation of human lactase persistence in Africa and Europe. Nature		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1 Formatted	
Genetics 2007;39(1):31-40.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	[[24]]
<u>Ochelies 2007, 57(1):51-40.</u>		Formatted	[25]
13. Enattah NS, Sahi T, Savilahti E, Terwilliger JD, Peltonen L, Jarvela I.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
Identification of a variant associated with adult-type hypolactasia. Nature Genetics		Formatted	[[26]]
2002;30:233-7.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
	-	Formatted	[27]
14. Poulter M, Hollox E, Harvey CB, Mulcare C, Peuhkuri K, Kajander K, et al.		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	6:34
The causal element for the lactase persistence/non-persistence polymorphism is		Formatted	[28]
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
		Formatted	[29]
	13	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1 Formatted	
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	([30]) 6:34
		Formatted	
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	([31]) 6:34
		Formatted	[32]
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
		Formatted	[33]
		Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 1	
		Formatted	[[34]]

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34

[... [35]]

... [36]

	Formatted	[37]
	Nicholas Timpson 25/	
	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
located in a 1Mb region of linkage disequilibrium in Europeans. Annals of Human Genetics 2003;67:298-311.	Nicholas Timpson 25/	
15. Stearns SCE, Koella JCE. Evolution in Health and Disease. 2 ed. Oxford: OUP; 2008.	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	([40]) 1/10 16:34 ([41])
······································	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
<u>16.</u> Harma M, Alhava E. Is lactose malabsorption a risk factor in fractures of the elderly? Annales Chirurgiae et Gynaecologiae 1988;77:180-3.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
17. Davey Smith G, Lawlor DA, Timpson N, Baban J, Keissling M, Day IN, et al.	Nicholas Timpson 25/	1/10 16:34
Lactase persistence related genetic variant: population substructure and health outcomes European Journal of Human Genetics 2009;17:357-67.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	([44]) 1/10 16:34 ([45])
18. Corazza GR, Benati G, Di Sario A, Tarozzi C, Strocchi A, Passeri M, et al.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
Lactose intolerance and bone mass in postmenopausal Italian women. British Journal of Nutrition 1995;73;479-87.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
19. Stephenson LS, Latham MC. Lactose intolerance and milk consumption: the relation of tolerance to symptoms. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
<u>1974;27;296-303.</u>	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	1/10 16:34 [49]
20. Obermayer-Pietsch BM, Gugatschka M, Reitter S, Plank W, Strele A, Walter	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	1/10 16:34 [50]
D, et al. Adult-type hypolactasia and calcium availability: decreased calcium intake or impaired calcium absorption? Osteoporos Int 2007 Apr	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
Apr;18(4):445-51.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	1/10 16:34 [52]
21. Mainguet P, Faille I, Destrebecq L, Devogelaer JP, Nagant de Deuxchaisnes C. Lactose intolerance, calcium intake, and osteopenia. Lancet 1991;338;1156-7.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	[53]
22. Rasinpera H, Savilahti E, Enattah NS, Kuokkanen M, Totterman N, Lindahl H, et al. A genetic test which can be used to diagnose adult-type hypolactasia in	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	[54]
children. Gut 2004;53 <u>;1571-6.</u>	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	[55]
23. Newcomer AD, Hodgson SF, McGill DB, Thomas PJ. Lactase deficiency: prevalence in osteoporosis. Annals of Internal Medicine 1978;89;218-20.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/	[56]
24. Horowitz M, Wishart J, Mundy L, Nordin BE. Lactose and calcium absorption in postmenopausal osteoporosis. Archives of Internal Medicine 1987;147:534-6.	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
25. Obermayer-Pietsch BM, Bonelli CM, Walter DE, Kuhn RJ, Fahrleitner-	Nicholas Timpson 25/	
Pammer A, Berghold A, et al. Genetic predisposition for adult lactose intolerance and relation to diet, bone density, and bone fractures. J Bone Miner Res 2004;19:42-7.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	([59]) 1/10 16:34 ([60])
26. Newcomer AD, Thomas PJ, McGill DB, Hofmann AF. Lactase deficiency: a	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	1/10 16:34 <u>[61]</u>)
common genetic trait of the American Indian. Gastroenterology 1977;72;234-7.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	[62]
27. Savaiano DA, Boushey CJ, McCabe GP, Savaiano DA, Boushey CJ, McCabe GP. Lactose intolerance symptoms assessed by meta-analysis: a grain of truth that leads to exaggeration. Journal of Nutrition 2006;136:1107-13.	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/	[63]
	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/2	[64]
14	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/	[65]
14	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/	[66]
	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/	[67] 1/10 16:34
	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/	
	Formatted Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
	Nicholas Timpson 25/ Formatted	
	Nicholas Timpson 25/	〔 <u>… [72]</u> 1/10 16:34

28. Hsu CC, Chow W-H, Boffetta P, Moore L, Zaridze D, Moukeria A, et al. Dietary risk factors for kidney cancer in Eastern and Central Europe. American Journal of Epidemiology 2007;166;62-70.

29. Harris R. metan: fixed- and random-effects meta-analysis. Stata Journal 2008:8:3-28.

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted: Font:Times, Do not check spelling or grammar

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted: Font:Times, Do not check spelling or grammar

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted: Font:Times, Do not check

spelling or grammar

Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 16:34 Formatted: Line spacing: single



Conflicts: None

Figure 1. Mendelian randomization framework for the analysis of RCC risk by milk consumption.

Figure 1 caption:

In this framework, the observational association between milk drinking and RCC is scrutinized by the use of genetic variation that is related to the exposure of interest (milk drinking) and potentially to the outcome of risk (RCC), but not to other possibly confounding factors. As such, genotype may act here as an "instrument" for the reassessment of the originally tentative observational finding.

Table 1.Characteristics of the control participants in each of the 4 countries.

Country	Variable	Cases	Controls n
	Case/control status	953	2,396
	(%)	(28.5)	(71.5)
		(28.5) 59.4	59.5
	Mean age		
	(95%CI)	(58.8, 60.1)	(59.1, 59.9)
	Sex	564	1,715
	(% men)	(59.2)	(71.6)
all	Education	293	601
an	(% high vs rest)	(30.9)	(25-2)
	Alcohol drinking	94	203
	(% never)	(9.9)	(8.5)
	Tobacco smoking	447	834
	(% never vs rest)	(47.1)	(34.8)
	Milk consumption	841	2,030
	(% ever)	(88.3)	(84.7)
		90	178
	Case/control status		
	(%)	(33.6)	(66.4)
	Mean age	59.5	57.5
	(95%CI)	(57.2, 61.8)	(55.8, 59.3)
	Sex	60	115
	(% men)	(66.7)	(64.6)
Romania	Education	26	30
Romania	(% high vs rest)	(28.9)	(16.9)
	Alcohol drinking	9	23
	(% never)	(10.0)	(12.9)
	Tobacco smoking	34	82
	(% never vs rest)	(37.8)	(46.1)
	Milk consumption	88	174
	(% ever)	(97.8)	(97.8)
		81	
	Case/control status		805
	(%)	(9.1)	(90.9)
	Mean age	59.9	59.7
	(95%CI)	(57.8, 62.0)	(59.1, 60.4)
	Sex	49	549
	(% men)	(60.5)	(68.2)
Datand	Education	22	183
Poland	(% high vs rest)	(27.2)	(22.8)
	Alcohol drinking	7	56
	(% never)	(8.6)	(7.0)
	Tobacco smoking	30	228
	(% never vs rest)	(37.0)	(28.3)
	Milk consumption	69	690
	*	(85.2)	
	(% ever)		(85.7)
	Case/control status	288	797
	(%)	(26.5)	(73.5)
	Mean age	58.5	59.2
	(95%CI)	(57.2, 59.7)	(58.5, 59.9)
	Sex	148	643
	(% men)	(51.4)	(80.7)
D .	Education	135	215
Russia	(% high vs rest)	(46.9)	(27.0)
		27	43
	Alcohol drinking		
	(% never)	(9.4)	(5.4)
	Tobacco smoking	160	263
	(% never vs rest)	(55.6)	(33.0)
	Milk consumption	239	648

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:55
Deleted: 4
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:55
Deleted: 58
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:55
Deleted: 15
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:55
Deleted: 47
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:55
Deleted: 2
Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 17:55
Deleted: 2

(Milk consumption is defined from the categories: never [0], $\leq 0.5.3$ (01.3) (01.3) (01.3) (01.5)

Table 1(cont').

Characteristics of the control participants in each of the 4 countries.

Country	Variable	Cases n	Controls N
	Case/control status	494	616
	(%)	(44.5)	(55.5)
	Mean age	59.9	60.1
	(95%CI)	(59.0, 60.8)	(59.3, 60.9)
	Sex	307	408
	(% men)	(62.2)	(66.2)
C.Republic	Education	110	173
C.Republic	(% high vs rest)	(22.5)	(28.2)
	Alcohol drinking	51	81
	(% never)	(10.4)	(13.2)
	Tobacco smoking	223	261
	(% never vs rest)	(45.4)	(42.4)
	Milk consumption	445	518
	(% ever)	(90.1)	(84.1)

Table 2. Observed relationship between milk consumption in controls and variation at rs4988235 in Eastern European populations.

		Non-p	ersistent	Pers	istent				
			CC CT+TT					OR_{adj}	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{adj}}$
		N	%	Ν	%				
Romania	Ever	93	97.9	75	97.4		0.75	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 17:09	
	Never	2	2.1	2	2.6	0.8	0.75 (0.10, 5.97)	0. Deleted: Romania	
Poland	Ever	75	70.1	113	83.1		2.50	Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 17:09	
	Never	32	29.9	23	16.9	0.02	2.58 (1.31, 5.11)	0.0 Deleted: Poland \dots	
<u>Russia</u>	Ever	231	78.0	445	89.9			Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 17:09	
	Never	65	22.0	50	10.1	< 0.0001	2.50 (1.60, 3.88)	<0.0 Deleted: Russia	
Czech Rep.	Ever	244	75.3	396	85.7			Nicholas Timpson 25/1/10 17:09	
	Never	80	24.7	66	14.3	0.0002	2.38 (1.55, 3.63)	<0.0 Deleted: Czech Rep	
All countries		Μ	leta-analysis ((n=1992)		1	2.39 (1.81, 3.15)	6.9e-10	
Γ	He	terogeneity			I2=0%		P _{het} =	0.7	

 Interlogeneity
 1²=0%
 P

 Numbers and proportion of *controls* by genotype and milk drinking category. Punadj represents an unadjusted chi-square test, whilst OR_{adj} and padj represent a logistic regression analysis for the odds of being in the persistence group by milk drinking status adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking and drinking.

Table 3.

Observed relationship between milk drinking and renal cell carcinoma group in Eastern European populations.

	Ca	ses	Controls		OR (95%CI)	р
	Ever	Never	Ever	Never	(ever vs never)	
Romania	88	2	174	4	1.57	0.7
Komama	(97.8)	(2.2)	(97.8)	(2.2)	(0.17, 14.73)	0.7
Poland	69	12	690	115	0.96	0.9
Polaliu	oland (85.2) (14.8)	(14.8)	(85.7)	(14.3)	(0.50, 1.87)	0.9
Russia	239	48	648	149	1.18	0.5
Russia	(83.3)	(16.7)	(81.3)	(18.7)	(0.75, 1.84)	0.5
Creah Ban	445	49	518	98	1.68	0.01
Czech Rep.	(90.1)	(9.9)	(84.1)	(15.9)	(1.13, 2.49)	0.01
		Meta-an	a almaia		1.35	0.03
All countries		meta-ai	11/11/515		0.05	
	Hetero	geneity	I2=	=0%	Phet=0.5	

Numbers and proportion of individuals by RCC status and milk drinking category. P represents logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking and drinking.

Table 4.

Observed relationship between renal cell carcinoma risk and rs4988235 genotype group in Eastern European populations.

	Cases		Co	ntrols	OR (95%CI)	р
	CC	CT+TT	CC	CT+TT	(CT+TT vs CC)	
Romania	51	35	95	77	0.86	0.6
Komama	(59.3)	(40.7)	(55.2)	(44.8)	(0.48, 1.53)	0.0
Poland	30	51	296	495	1.08	0.8
rolaliu	(37.0)	(63.0)	(37.4)	(62.6)	(0.67, 1.76)	0.0
Russia	121	164	324	462	0.87	0.4
Kussia	(42.5)	(57.5)	(41.2)	(58.8)	(0.63, 1.23)	0.4
Czech Rep.	126	337	176	421	1.15	0.4
Czech Kep.	(27.2)	(72.8)	(29.5)	(70.5)	(0.85, 1.55)	0.4
		Meta-an	alveia		1.01	0.9
All countries		wieta-an	alysis		(0.83, 1.22)	0.9
	Heterog	geneity	I ² =	:0%	Phe	t=0.6

Numbers and proportion of individuals by RCC status and lactase persistence genotype. P represents logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking and drinking.

Table 5.

Observed relationship between milk consumption and genotype in Eastern European countries and potentially confounding factors to the relationship between milk consumption and renal cell carcinoma risk.

6	37 . 1 1	OR			OR		
Country	Variable	Milk Consumption (95% CI)	n	р	Genotype (95% CI)	n	р
Romania	Education	0.89 (0.15, 5.42)	178	0.9	1.49 (0.86, 2.59)	172	0.2
	Alcohol drinking	0.46 (0.05, 4.60)	170	0.5	0.40 (0.15, 1.08)	164	0.07
	Tobacco smoking	0.87 (0.29, 2.62)	178	0.8	1.012 (0.73, 1.42)	172	0.9
Poland	Education	1.02 (0.64, 1.61)	802	0.9	1.40 (1.00, 1.95)	788	0.05
	Alcohol drinking	0.46 (0.24, 0.88)	682	0.02	0.78 (0.45, 1.36)	670	0.3
	Tobacco smoking	1.00 (0.79, 1.28)	805	0.9	1.18 (0.99, 1.41)	791	0.06
Russia	Education	1.55 (1.12, 2.14)	797	0.008	1.04 (0.81, 1.35)	786	0.7
	Alcohol drinking	0.50 (0.25, 1.00)	650	0.05	0.95 (0.51, 1.77)	640	0.9
	Tobacco smoking	1.01 (0.82, 1.23)	797	0.95	0.95 (0.81, 1.11)	786	0.5
C.Republic	Education	1.57 (1.09, 2.27)	613	0.02	1.17 (0.87, 1.57)	594	0.3
	Alcohol drinking	2.08 (0.97, 4.49)	533	0.06	0.80 (0.48, 1.33)	515	0.4
	Tobacco smoking	0.70 (0.54, 0.91)	615	0.007	0.89 (0.72, 1.09)	596	0.3
All	Education	1.41 (1.14, 1.75)	-	0.001	1.19 (1.01, 1.40)	-	0.03
	Alcohol drinking	0.73 (0.32, 1.66)	-	0.4	0.77 (0.57, 1.05)	-	0.1
	Tobacco smoking	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)	-	0.3	1.01 (0.88, 1.15)	-	0.9

Odds ratios presented from logistic regression of <u>lactase persistence genotype categories (rs4988235</u> <u>CCvsCT/TI) or binary milk consumption status on confounding factors. Education is represented by the</u> <u>categorical variable low/med/high attainment; Alcohol drinking by the binary variable ever/never</u> <u>consumed and Tobacco smoking by the vinary variable ever/never consumed.</u> Results displayed above are restricted to control subjects and are unadjusted. Results for all countries are derived from meta-analyses of estimates by country.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 19:02

Deleted: lactase persistence genotype categories (rs4988235 CCvsCT/TT) on potential

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 18:43

Deleted:

Nicholas Timpson 19/1/10 19:02

Deleted: