# **Supplemental Material**

NilD CRISPR RNA contributes to *Xenorhabdus nematophila* colonization of symbiotic host nematodes

Jeff L. Veesenmeyer, Aaron W. Andersen, Xiaojun Lu, Elizabeth A. Hussa, Kristen E. Murfin, John M. Chaston, Adler R. Dillman, Karen M. Wassarman, Paul W. Sternberg, and Heidi Goodrich-Blair



## Supplemental Table 1. Regions of genome differences between XnSc 081 and XnSc 800



Supplemental Table 2. CRISPR loci of *X. nematophila* (HGB800) designated alphabetically according

to their chromosomal position.



Supplemental Table 3: Colonization analysis of HGB315 ( $niID6$ ::Tn5) carrying SR2 deletion constructs<sup>a</sup>



a. Each construct was tested 3 independent times and colonization data represent average cfu/IJ ± standard error.

ATGTTTCCCGTTAATACGG GAAGAAAGATAAAGAATTGG TATTTATCCCCGTACTTACG TATACCTACAGTGCTTTACC TCAACGAAAAACAAAGAAGC ACAAGGAAATTCAAAGTAGCC CTACCATTTTTTCAGCCAAT

AAAGGGCCCTCTACCATTTTTTCAGC

#### **PCR Amplification and Sequencing**



### **Primer Extension and Northerns**

#### **Mutant Construction**

dNilD Up 3' ApaI AAAGGGCCCTACTATTCGT

#### **Vector Construction**

AAAGGTACCCTAGATATGCAAACTTC ATTTCCCCGCCGGATTAATATGCCAAAACCT CGTACTTACGGGGAACACATCATTGCCTGAACA CCATAGCTCCTTTAAATTTCCTTGATTATAACTCCATGTTCCCCG CGGGGAACATGGAGTTATAATCAAGGAAATTTAAAGGAGCTATGG 27F AGAGTTTGATCATGGCTCAG 1492R TACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT AAP1 CCGTACTTACGGGGAACATGG AAP2 GGAGTTATAAACAAGGAAATTC dNilD Up 5' Sall AAAGTCGACTGTCGCCCAATGCG dNilD Dwn 5' ApaI AAAGGGCCCGAATCCGTTCTATTC AAAGAGCTCAATTCCAACCTGACTCCG Kan 5' ApaI AAAGGGCCCCCCACGTTGTGTCTCAAAATCT CTG Kan 3' ApaI AAAGGGCCCTTAGAAAAACTCATGGAGCATCAAATG ATATATACTAGTCCATGGCTACTTTGAATTTCCTTG Cas3DownRev\_XbaI ATATATTCTAGACGGATTCCACCGATAGGGTG Kan-Clean Rev\_EcoRV\_NEW ATATATGATATCTTAGAAAAACTCATCGAGCATC AAATG Kan-FullFwd NheI\_NEW \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ATATATGCTAGCCCACGTTGTGTCTCAAAATCTCTG casEUpF\_SpeI ATATATACTAGTCTTTACCGCCGTGGACGAT ATATATTCTAGAATAAAGGTTTACCCGTGTGCAGA casEDownF\_EcoRV ATATATGATATCGATTCAGGCAAACAGCGGC casEUpR2\_NheI ATATATGCTAGCGCAAGGTGACTTTAGACAG ATACA NilD SDM set 1F (bases 2-3) CGGGAATAAACCATGGCCACCTTGAATTTC CTTGTT NilD SDM set 1R (bases 2-3) AACAAGGAAATTCAAGGTGGCCATGGTTTAT TCCCG NilD SDM set 2F (bases 4-5) GAATAAACCATGGCCACCTTAAACTTCCTTG TTTATAAC NilD SDM set 2R (bases 4-5) GTTATAAACAAGGAAGTTTAAGGTGGCCAT GGTTTATTC NilD SDM set 3F (bases 6-7) CCATGGCCACCTTAAACTTTCTCGTTTATA ACTCCATG NilD SDM set 3R (bases 6-7) CATGGAGTTATAAACGAGAAAGTTTAAGGT GGCCATGG NilD SDM set 4F (bases 8-9) GCCACCTTAAACTTTCTCGTGTACAACTCC ATGTTCCCC NilD SDM set 4R (bases 8-9) GGGGAACATGGAGTTGTACACGAGAAAGTT TAAGGTGGC NilD SDM set 5F (bases 10-11) CTTAAACTTTCTCGTGTACAATTCTATGTTCCC CGTAAGTAC NilD SDM set 5R (bases 10-11) GTACTTACGGGGAACATAGAATTGTACACGAGA AAGTTTAAG CasE 5' XbaI AAATCTAGACCGATGTATCTGTCTAAAGTCACC AAAGATATCCCATTACAGCGCCCTTATCAG

### TOPO2.1mini\_ Fwd\_NcoI ATATATCCATGGCGATGCCTGC TOPO2.1mini\_ Rev\_NcoI ATATATCCATGGTCCATTCGCCATTCAGGC pECM20\_Xb\_F GGGCCCGGATCAGATCTCGTTGTGTCTCA pECM20\_Xb\_F GGGCCCCNNNNGGTACCGTGTCGACCTGCAGATGGAGA pECMXb\_insert\_F GGGCCCAGACGACATTGGCTGACTTGA pECMXb\_insert\_R GGTACCAAACCTAAATCACAAAAAGCACA pECMXb\_seq\_F AGGCCGGATAAAACTTGTGC pECMXb\_seq\_R TGGGACAACTCCAGTGAAGAG ATTGTTGATCGTGAGAAGTCG pECMXb\_integration\_R CTCCAATAAAGCGAATCCAG







### **Supplemental Figure 2**

**A)** NilD RNA expression under various conditions. Protection assays were performed with RNA isolated from wild-type HGB081 cells cultured on solid (lanes 1-3) or liquid (lanes 4-6) medium. RNA was isolated from cells growing on LA for 1 (lane 1) or 8 (lane 2) days or on solid defined medium (DM) for 1 day (lane 3). Cells were grown in liquid LB at 20˚C (lane 4) or 30˚C (lane 5) or in LB supplemented with 2,2-dipyridyl (DP) at 30˚C (lane 6). A representative experiment is shown; similar results were obtained in 2 independent experiments. Little NilD RNA-protected fragment was observed on LA plates after 1 d of incubation, with substantially more at 8 d, suggesting NilD RNA is elevated in nutrient-limited or aged cells. Indeed, NilD RNA was more abundant in X. nematophila incubated 1 d on a solid defined medium (see experimental procedures) than in 1 d LA plates (compare lanes 1 and 3), further suggesting that NilD RNA abundance is affected by nutrient availability. The elevated levels of NilD RNA do not appear to be the result of slow growth, since higher levels were not observed in cells grown at 20˚C relative to cells grown at the optimal X. nematophila growth temperature (30˚C). Instead, increased NilD RNA levels may be triggered by iron limitation since higher levels were detected after growth in LB supplemented with 2, 2-dipyridil (an Fe(II) chelator) than in LB alone (compare lanes 5 and 6).

**B)** NilD RNA expression during growth with iron chelators. Protection assays were performed with RNA isolated from wild-type HGB081 cells cultured in LB + 2,2-dipyridyl (lane 1, dp, -), LB + 2,2-dipyridyl + Fe2SO3 (lane 2, dp, +), LB + deferoxamine (Lane 3, df, -), or LB + deferoxamine + Fe2SO3 (lane 4, df, +). A representative experiment is shown; similar results were obtained in 2 independent experiments. RNA (i.e. protected fragment) levels similar to that found in LB grown cultures (data not shown) were observed in X. nematophila cells grown in the presence of iron chelators specific for Fe(II) or Fe(III) without exogenously added iron (Average ± SD, n=2, radioactivity relative to growth in LB: Deferoxamine, 1.19 ± 0.14; 2,2dipyridyl, 0.94 ± 2.0). This result is in contrast to those obtained and shown in Fig. S2A, in which RNA levels were higher in the presence of chelator than in its absence. However, levels of iron in LB media preparations were not controlled, and it is likely that the LB-grown cells shown in Fig. S2B had already begun to experience iron limitation when they were harvested. Indeed, when Fe2SO3 was included in addition to the iron chelators the level of protected fragment was lower than that during growth in LB alone (Average ± SD, n=2, radioactivity relative to growth in LB: Deferoxamine + Fe2SO3, 0.54 ± 0.06; 2,2-dipyridyl + Fe2SO3, 0.59 ± 0.03). No protected fragments were detected in samples of RNA isolated from HGB315 nilD6::Tn5 cells grown under each of these conditions (data not shown).

Within each panel, all samples were run on a single gel, but for A, irrelevant lanes were removed by manipulation in Adobe Photoshop after visualization was enhanced through contrast.



D.

3271521-ATTCTTTAATAGGG\*AGGGGGTAAATAAAAAGGTTTT\*TCTG-3271560 4088780-ATTCTTTAATAGGGCAGGGG\*TAGATAAAAAGGTTTT\*TCTG-4088741 1870884-ATTCTTTAATAGGG\*AGGGG\*TAGATAAAAATGTTTTCTCTG-1870845

**Supplemental Figure 3 (A)** Genomic context of the single nucleotide variant (SNV), C-3271541-T, that distinguishes the *nilD6*::Tn*5* strain background from the XnSc 081 parent background. The SNV occurs within a 1147-bp sequence (black rectangle) that is repeated in two other regions of the genome, one at full length (**B)** and the other truncated by 208-nt (**C)**. Predicted open reading frames (box arrows) are labeled with their XNC1 ORF designation and predicted putative function. The boxes representing predicted P4 primases encoded at each locus are yellow whereas additional predicted functional categories are indicated by the following color scheme: Maroon (Rhs-family protein); Light red (potential Rhs-related proteins); Green (phage-related); Brown (metabolic); Blue (transposon and IS elements); Gray (hypothetical ORFs). A 2-kb scale bar for A-C is shown on the lower right. **(D)** Alignment of 40-nt of the repeat region surrounding the SNV (red underlined nucleotide). Within the 40-nt, sequence differences among the three repeats are highlighted as blue text and asterisks mark indels.