SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

for

EPR, ENDOR, and Electronic Structure Studies of the Jahn-Teller Distortion in an FeV Nitride.

George E. Cutsail III,† Benjamin W. Stein,§ Deepak Subedi,‡ Jeremy M. Smith,*‡,# Martin L. Kirk,*§ Brian M. Hoffman*‡

> † Department of Chemistry Northwestern University 2145 Sheridan Road Evanston, IL 60208

‡ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry MSC 3C, New Mexico State University Las Cruces, NM 88003

> # Current address: Department of Chemistry Indiana University 800 E. Kirkwood Avenue Bloomington, IN 47405

§ Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology The University of New Mexico MSC03 2060 1 University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001

Decomposition of Tobs for 15N Nitride

Decompositions have been carried out for the observed $15N$ dipolar coupling tensor:

$$
T_{obs} = [+4.9, -6.7, +1.8] MHz
$$
 S1

This tensor contains both non-local contributions from through-space dipolar interactions between the ¹⁵N and electron spin on iron, and local contributions from *p*-orbital spin density.

$$
\mathbf{T}_{loc} = \mathbf{T}_{loc}^z + \mathbf{T}_{loc}^{x,y} \tag{S2}
$$

The through-space interaction gives an axial tensor with its primary contribution along the preferred axis, T^z ,

$$
\mathbf{T}_{non-loc} = [+2a, -a, -a] \; ; \; a = \frac{\rho_{\rm Fe} g_e \beta_e g_n \beta_n}{r^3} = -2.2 \; \text{MHz}
$$
 S3

Use of the crystallographically determined Fe-nitride distance, $r = 1.506$ Å, and the typical value of $\rho_{\text{Fe}} \sim +0.9$ yields, $a = -2.2 \text{ MHz } (g_n \leq 0 \text{ for }^{15} \text{N})$. With this value, subtraction of $\mathbf{T}_{non-loc}$ from \mathbf{T}_{obs} arrives at the local contributions

$$
T_{loc} = T_{obs} - T_{non-loc}
$$

\n
$$
T_{loc} = [+8.5, -9.3, +0.4] MHz
$$
 S4

The local interaction **T***loc* can be further uniquely decomposed into two axial contributions, one with the preferred axis along the Fe-N bond, \mathbf{T}^z_{loc} , and one with it orthogonal to the bond

$$
T_{loc} = T_{loc}^{z} + T_{loc}^{y}
$$

= [+6.4, -3.2, -3.2] + [+2.9, -5.8, +2.9] MHz

The local dipolar contributions to **T***obs* may also be written in terms of contributions spin densities in the three individual 2p orbitals, ρ_i .

$$
T_z = +2T_0 \rho_z - T_0 \rho_y - T_0 \rho_x = +9.3 \text{ MHz}
$$

\n
$$
T_y = -T_0 \rho_y + 2T_0 \rho_y - T_0 \rho_x = -8.9 \text{ MHz}
$$

\n
$$
T_x = -T_0 \rho_z - T_0 \rho_y + 2T_0 \rho_x = -0.4 \text{ MHz}
$$

\nSo

where T_0 is the uniaxial hyperfine parameter for a unpaired p electron, $T_0(^{15}N) = -78$ MHz. $\frac{1}{1}$ These three equations are linearly dependent and in general cannot be solved for the three individual spin densities, only for differences between spin densities (failure to satisfy Cramer's rule). However, because T_{loc} can be uniquely decomposed into only two local dipolar contributions, T_z and T_y , each proportional to the spin density in the corresponding 2p orbital of nitride, the third contributor must be negligible, \mathbf{T}_x , ~0, along with the spin density in the 2px orbital. The resulting 2p spin densities on the ¹⁵N nitride are: $\rho_x \sim 0$, $\rho_y = -0.04$, $\rho_z = -0.08$. These local dipolar contributions can be viewed as arising from spin density transferred to an idealized N³⁻ via electron donation from filled orbitals on N to partially occupied $d\delta(xy, x^2-y^2)$ orbitals on Fe^V and/or polarization of the filled 2*p* orbitals on N. The negative signs of the spin densities imply that spin polarization dominates.

As noted by an insightful reviewer, in the general case where all three 2*p* orbitals have non-zero spin densities, one can solve for individual spin densities by introducing an additional semi-empirical equation, which assumes that the isotropic coupling obeys a McConnell relationship:

$$
a_{iso} = Q_0(\rho_x + \rho_y + \rho_z) = +7.7 \text{ MHz}
$$

where Q_0 is the spin polarization constant $(-67 \text{ MHz})^2$

57Fe Quadrupole Splitting

The ⁵⁷Fe quadrupole splitting parameter (ΔE_q) has been previously determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy².³ The quadrupole splitting derives from the interaction between the nuclear electric quadrupole moment and the electric field gradient (EFG) that originates from the asymmetric electronic charge distribution due to the ligand field. Thus, the quadrupolar splitting provides important electronic structure information regarding the charge asymmetry about the Fe nucleus. Calculations of the quadrupole splitting were made using ORCA⁴ and yield $\Delta E_q = 4.21$ mm/s (PBE0) or 5.12 mm/s (CASSCF). This is in excellent agreement with the experimental value³ of 4.78 mm/s, and provides a confirmation of the e_a^3 electronic configuration with Fe valence orbital electron density localized in the *xy* plane.

Analysis of 11B Quadrupole Interaction

The small value of the experimentally derived quadrupole coupling parameter, $e^2qQ = 2P_1 \approx 0.5$, compared to the intrinsic coupling constant for a single ¹¹B electron in a 2p orbital, $|e^2qQ_0| \approx -5.3$ $MHz₂⁵$ and the axial character of the tensor, can be analyzed in terms of a trigonally symmetric $sp³$ hybridized boron equivalently bonded to three nitrogens, as well as to one carbon, an approach similar to that used in discussing the $\frac{14}{18}$ coupling of trialkyl amines (Eqn. 9).

$$
\left|\frac{e^2 qQ}{e^2 qQ_0}\right| = \left|-\frac{3\cos\alpha}{1-3\cos\alpha}\left[2-(C+N)\right]\right| \approx \frac{1}{2}\left[2-(C+N)\right] \approx 0.1
$$
 S8

Here, *C* and N_{σ} are respectively the occupancies of boron sp^3 orbitals involved in bonding to the carbon and the average of the occupancies of the three nitrogens; $\alpha = 109.5^{\circ}$ is the idealized internal angle between the principle directions of $sp³$ hybridized orbitals. The small value for the experimental coupling indicate that with $C + N \sim 2$, namely that all four of the boron *sp*³ orbitals are involved in single bonds, Thus, whereas the small hyperfine coupling to $\rm{^{11}B}$ indicates that it interacts minimally with the Fe^V ion, the small and axial quadrupole coupling of $¹¹B$ shows</sup> effective C_{3v} symmetry at this atom, in agreement with the crystal structure results

13C ENDOR Spectroscopy

The small coupling suggests that the signal does not come from carbons associated with the Fe-C bonds, as these would be anticipated to have a larger *A*; they presumably have greater linewidths, and thus are not nobserved in natural abundance.

Figure S1. Q-band 2D field-frequency Mims pulse detected 13C ENDOR. *Simulation parameters:* $g=[2.30, 1.98, 1.98]$; $A=[0.9, 0.9, 0.9]$ MHz, coaxial with g; ENDOR line width, 0.5 MHz; EPR line width, 500 MHz. *Conditions:* Microwave freq, 35.04 GHz; π pulse length = 50 ns; τ = 500 ns; repetition rate, 20 ms; t_{rf} = 30 µs; RF randomly hopped.

14N ENDOR of Tripodal Ligand

The six nitrogens in the ligand, two in each carbene, can have different coupling tensors and have differing orientations relative to **g**, and the poor resolution resulting from the overlap of these signals makes it imossible to determine the coupling parameters for individual nitrogens. However, a representative average hyperfine coupling has been obtained by treating, the six nitrogens as a single nitrogen with resolved features governed both by hyperfine and quadrupole interactions. The 2D pattern of ^{14}N spectra from the tripodal ligand can be satisfactorily described in this fashion with the following interaction parameters: $A = [2.0, 3.3, 3.3]$ MHz and **P** = [-1.8, 0.9, 0.9] MHz (**Figure S2**).

Figure S2. Q-band 2D field-frequency soft Davies pulse detected ¹⁴N ENDOR. *Simulation parameters*: **g** = [2.30, 1.98, 1.98]; A = [2.0, 3.3, 3.3] MHz; **P** = [-1.8, 0.9, 0.9] MHz; **A** and **P** coaxial with **g**; ENDOR line width 0.5 MHz; EPR line width, 500MHz. *Conditions*: Microwave freq, 34.968 GHz; π pulse length = 200 ns; τ = 600 ns; repetition rate, 20 ms; T_{rf} = 30 µs; RF randomly hopped.

(1) Weil, J. A.; Bolton, J. R.; Wertz, J. E. *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance: Elementary Theory and Practical Applications*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc: New York, 1994.

(2) Cohen, A. H.; Hoffman, B. M. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1974**, *78*, 1313. Scepaniak, J. J.; Vogel, C. S.; Khusniyarov, M. M.; Heinemann, F. W.; Meyer, K.; Smith, J. M. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 1049.

(4) Sinnecker, S.; Slep, L. D.; Bill, E.; Neese, F. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 2245.

(5) Lucken, E. A. C. *Nuclear Quadrupole Coupling Constants*; Academic Press: New York, 1969.