In Vivo Orientation of Single Myosin Lever Arms in Zebrafish Skeletal Muscle

Xiaojing Sun,¹ Stephen C. Ekker,¹ Eric A. Shelden,² Naoko Takubo,¹ Yihua Wang,¹ and Thomas P. Burghardt^{1,3,*} ¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic Rochester, Rochester, Minnesota; ²School of Molecular Biosciences, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington; and ³Department of Physiology and Biomedical Engineering, Mayo Clinic Rochester, Rochester, Minnesota

ABSTRACT Cardiac and skeletal myosin assembled in the muscle lattice power contraction by transducing ATP free energy into the mechanical work of moving actin. Myosin catalytic/lever-arm domains comprise the transduction/mechanical coupling machinery that move actin by lever-arm rotation. In vivo, myosin is crowded and constrained by the fiber lattice as side chains are mutated and otherwise modified under normal, diseased, or aging conditions that collectively define the native myosin environment. Single-myosin detection uniquely defines bottom-up characterization of myosin functionality. The marriage of in vivo and single-myosin detection to study zebrafish embryo models of human muscle disease is a multiscaled technology that allows one-to-one registration of a selected myosin molecular alteration with muscle filament-sarcomere-cell-fiber-tissue-organ- and organism level phenotypes. In vivo single-myosin lever-arm orientation was observed at superresolution using a photoactivatable-green-fluorescent-protein (PAGFP)-tagged myosin light chain expressed in zebrafish skeletal muscle. By simultaneous observation of multiphoton excitation fluorescence emission and second harmonic generation from myosin, we demonstrated tag specificity for the lever arm. Single-molecule detection used highly inclined parallel beam illumination and was verified by quantized photoactivation and photobleaching. Single-molecule emission patterns from relaxed muscle in vivo provided extensive superresolved dipole orientation constraints that were modeled using docking scenarios generated for the myosin (S1) and GFP crystal structures. The dipole orientation data provided sufficient constraints to estimate S1/GFP coordination. The S1/GFP coordination in vivo is rigid and the lever-arm orientation distribution is well-ordered in relaxed muscle. For comparison, single myosins in relaxed permeabilized porcine papillary muscle fibers indicated slightly differently oriented lever arms and rigid S1/ GFP coordination. Lever arms in both muscles indicated one preferred spherical polar orientation and widely distributed azimuthal orientations relative to the fiber symmetry axis. Cardiac myosin is more radially displaced from the fiber axis. Probe rigidity implies the PAGFP tag reliably indicates cross-bridge orientation in situ and in vivo.

INTRODUCTION

Skeletal and cardiac muscle myosins are cellular movers tasked with transducing ATP free energy into the mechanical work produced by muscle contraction. Myosin is comprised of a motor domain containing ATP and actinbinding sites; a lever arm that undergoes rotation, impelling bound actin; and a tail forming the thick filament with other myosins. The lever arm converts torque generated in the motor into linear displacement known as step size and undergoes shear strain due to the resisting load. Bound myosin essential and regulatory light chains (ELCs and RLCs, respectively) stabilize the lever arm $(1-3)$ and adapt its mechanical coupling of motor torque to resisting load, referred to henceforth as mechanical coupling. Hereditary skeletal myopathies linked to myosin lead to muscle weakness [\(4](#page-10-0)) or affect myosin isoforms expressed during development, leading to arthrogryposis syndromes ([5\)](#page-10-0). Inheritable cardiomyopathies (ICs) are more frequently linked to myosin mutations than to any other sarcomeric

Editor: Bernhard Brenner.

2014 by the Biophysical Society

protein. IC mutations are located throughout the myosin molecule, affecting many protein functional characteristics. Some disease-linked mutations and other normal posttranslational modifications affect the myosin mover specifically in its ability to adapt mechanical coupling [\(6](#page-10-0)). Our longterm goal is to discover how the myosin mover adapts mechanical coupling in response to changing demands on muscle power output, the effects of disease, or effects of aging.

We tagged the C terminus of human ventricular RLC (gene MYL2) with green fluorescent protein (GFP), then exchanged the RLC-GFP chimer into permeabilized skeletal ([7\)](#page-10-0) or cardiac papillary muscle fibers ([8\)](#page-10-0). In both cases, full recovery of isometric contraction from extensively and specifically exchanged myosin cross bridges in the fibers implied that the GFP did not deter muscle contraction. The photoactivatable GFP variant (PAGFP)-tagged RLC (RLC-PAGFP) could be individually activated in the exchanged papillary muscle fibers isolating single myosin cross bridges in situ. Single cross-bridge lever-arm orientation was measured at superresolution from fibers in rigor, relaxation, and active isometric conditions. Single-molecule

Submitted April 22, 2014, and accepted for publication July 30, 2014. *Correspondence: burghardt@mayo.edu

orientation was also measured for the exchanged RLC-PAGFP modified by single IC-linked mutations to the RLC [\(6](#page-10-0)). The exchanged mutant RLC lowered lever-arm stiffness and impaired lever-arm mechanical coupling. The in situ single-molecule experimentation quantitated the effect of myosin light chain mutation position on mechanical coupling indicating light-chain control points that are natural therapy targets. Our interim goal is to adapt the relevant single-molecule technology to in vivo experimentation in zebrafish embryos. We have accomplished this goal with the research described here.

The zebrafish is a newer model organism for human cardiovascular disease research with a sequenced genome indicating zebrafish homologs for most protein-coding human genes ([9\)](#page-10-0). Forward genetic screening identified fish mutants that cause cardiac defects, which provided fresh insight into causes of inheritable human cardiac disease including dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) [\(10](#page-10-0)) and cardiac valve defects ([11\)](#page-10-0). The zebrafish has been used increasingly to model human cardiac disease in reverse genetic approaches due to zebrafish/human disease phenotype similarities ([12\)](#page-10-0) and their applicability to high-throughput screening methods ([13\)](#page-11-0). Zebrafish embryos are suited to muscle research because they develop externally, skeletal and cardiac muscle comprises a large fraction of their body volume, and they begin to move very soon after gastrulation ([14\)](#page-11-0). A muscle-specific promoter directs gene expression in the musculature for the temporal and spatial pattern desired. The unc45b promoter is a small and muscle-specific promoter that drives robust gene expression throughout the zebrafish musculature ([15\)](#page-11-0). Transient injection of the target gene was carried out using established techniques for Tol2 transposon-based transgenesis ([16\)](#page-11-0).

The zebrafish embryo is transparent to visible and infrared light, allowing unprecedented access for three-dimensional imaging using wide-field fluorescence microscopy with sheet [\(17](#page-11-0)) or highly inclined thin (HILO) illumination ([18\)](#page-11-0). These methods utilize a narrow illumination depth to lower fluorescence background excited by scattering in the inhomogeneous specimen. HILO was implemented using the total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) illuminator, but with the laser beam incident on the coverslip/specimen interface near, but below, the critical angle, causing highly inclined transmission of the parallel light beam into the specimen.

Plasmids containing the human RLC-GFP construct and muscle promoter injected into zebrafish embryos at the single-cell stage expressed in a mosaic pattern in skeletal trunk muscle. Microscopic observation at 3–5 days postfertilization (dpf) showed expression in skeletal muscle localized to myosin in the A-band in a striated pattern, indicating specific incorporation with the myosin heavy chain (MHC). Single-cell embryos were identically injected with plasmid containing human RLC-PAGFP. Sparse photoactivation of the PAGFP-tagged myosin cross bridges produced images of the skeletal muscle with bright points of emitted light over a low-fluorescence-level background in the striated pattern of the A-band. Image sequences reflecting the time dependence of the PAGFP intensity identified single-molecule events with quantized activation over background intensity or quantized photobleaching back to background from the points of light appearing in the image over time.

Single-molecule intensity patterns from the PAGFPtagged zebrafish embryo skeletal muscle myosins in relaxation convert to emission dipole-moment coordinates (β', α') ,
as described (19), β' and α' are spherical polar and azi-as described [\(19](#page-11-0)). β' and α' are spherical polar and azimuthal angles in muscle fiber coordinates where the z axis is the fiber symmetry axis. The in vivo fish data indicate a more orientationally confined myosin lever arm than the in situ cardiac myosin lever arm, revealing the significance of its in vivo context, differences in thick-filament structure for skeletal versus cardiac myosin, or both. Multiple (β', α')
coordinates, each corresponding to a different single molecoordinates, each corresponding to a different single molecule, constrain the S1/PAGFP docking coordination. We develop the methodology and describe results of to our knowledge the first experimental structural determination of this docked complex. We now express our findings as myosin lever-arm conformations in the muscle lattice.

Throughout this manuscript, myosin atomic structure is that of human β -cardiac myosin subfragment 1 (β S1) from the homology modeling (20) (20) of its sequence using the chicken skeletal myosin S1 crystal structure PDB 2mys ([21\)](#page-11-0). The myosin lever-arm orientation is defined by the symmetry axis of its α -helix at the RLC binding site.

METHODS

Methods are summarized in three main sections, Biological samples, Microscopy, and S1/GFP docking. All sections rely on a large inventory of work referenced in the literature. The section on docking describes to our knowledge a new approach developed for this application. The section on microscopy develops the orientation superresolution method. It is an adaptation of spatial superresolution to the specifics of our application. The first section, on the preparation of biological samples, summarizes previously published work.

Biological samples

Live PAGFP tagged zebrafish embryo and permeabilized porcine papillary muscle fiber preparations are described in the [Supporting Material.](#page-10-0) All experiments were conducted on relaxed muscle at room temperature $(20-22^{\circ}C).$

Microscopy

Microfluidic chamber for TIRF, HILO, and second harmonic generation microscope measurements

All microfluidic chambers were constructed from polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) for use in either inverted or upright microscope configurations using the toner-transfer method for pattern transfer to brass substrate [\(22](#page-11-0)), and as described previously [\(6](#page-10-0)).

Porcine permeabilized fiber bundles with exchanged RLC-PAGFP were placed on a specially designed channel and observed with TIRF microscopy on an inverted microscope, as described previously [\(6](#page-10-0)).

Embryos were confined in an aqueous buffer droplet then placed into a $200 \mu m$ deep, 3 mm wide, and 10 mm long microfluidic channel with the channel side up. The device with the embryo was inverted, then placed on top of a No. 0 glass coverslip, forming a water tight seal with the glass, as shown in Fig. 1, A and B. The top view (Fig. 1 B) is through the actual device. Inlet/outlet holes through the PDMS allowed solution to be exchanged as needed using a 20 μ L pipette. The embryo was constrained by the size of the channel to lie flat, although tail-flipping movement was observed. Embryos lived for hours under continuous observation. Fluorescence or reflected second harmonic generation (SHG) was observed via an inverted wide-field microscope under HILO illumination through the glass coverslip or an upright scanning microscope through the PDMS, respectively. Individual zebrafish embryos were imaged with HILO rather than TIRF, because the fish trunk muscle is beyond the ~100 nm penetration depth of the evanescent field. The HILO beam from an oil immersion, $100 \times$, 1.3 NA objective is visualized in Fig. 1 C. The PDMS cube doped with rhodamine B creates a cavity on top of the coverslip. The beam leaves the glass coverslip at the bottom and propagates through an aqueous solution containing fluorescein that imitates the fish medium in a fish-confinement microfluidic

channel. The emergent beam angle of 74° results from an incident angle of $~57.7^{\circ}$.

Microscopes

The single-molecule TIRF microscope setup using photoactivatable probes with permeabilized porcine papillary fibers is identical to that described previously ([6,8,19\)](#page-10-0).

Observation of SHG uses a multiphoton excitation (MPE) scanning upright microscope (Olympus FV1000 and BX61, Center Valley, PA) and a $25\times$, 1.05 NA water immersion objective. The objective has 2 mm of working distance to image the zebrafish embryo through 1 mm of PDMS just as described [\(6](#page-10-0)). SHG from striated muscle originates from thick-filament myosin rod domains, but not from S1 ([23\)](#page-11-0). Incident light at 800 nm produces ~400 nm SHG that we detect as back-scattered light.

Observation of single molecules under HILO illumination in a wide-field microscope (IX71, Olympus) uses a $100 \times$, 1.3 NA oil immersion objective. The objective has a working distance of 200 μ m, permitting in-focus observation of the embryo anywhere in the microfluidic channel. Fig. 2 shows the HILO excitation pathway. The figure shows incident (i), reflected (r), and transmitted (t) beams in the L_x/L_y plane and the s- or p-polarization for the transmitted beam relative to the apparent symmetry axis of the muscle fiber. Incident light has $\sigma \sim 58^\circ$ and a refracted beam transmitted at $\sim 74^\circ$
from L. Not shown is an aperture in the exciting beam pathway conjugate from L_y . Not shown is an aperture in the exciting-beam pathway conjugate to the objective image plane. The conjugate aperture restricts illuminated region size on the sample.

FIGURE 1 The PDMS microfluidic on a glass coverslip forming the zebrafish embryo confinement chamber. (A) Schematic side view of the chamber showing its aqueous, PDMS, and glass components and depth. (B) The actual device and confined embryo in top view as it would be imaged by the 2-P upright microscope objective. Inlet and outlet ports are for solution exchange. (C) A PDMS cube creates a cavity filled with an aqueous solution containing fluorescein, imitating the fish medium in a microfluidic channel. The HILO beam is created by an oil immersion objective $(100 \times, 1.3 \text{ NA},$ and 200 μ m working distance) and emerges into the aqueous side of the interface at $\sim 74^\circ$.

FIGURE 2 The excitation pathway schematic for HILO illumination. Incident (i), reflected (r), and transmitted (t) beams in blue have s- or p-polarization (\hat{e}_s or \hat{e}_p) for the transmitted beam. They are parallel or perpendicular to the apparent symmetry axis of the in vivo skeletal muscle fiber. Lab fixed coordinates are of two types, TIRF coordinates (θ, ϕ) relative to (L_x, L_y, L_z) and fiber coordinates (β', α') relative to (L_x, L_y, L_z) , depicting
the orientation of the (photoactivated) emission dipole \hat{u} . Symbol σ is the orientation of the (photoactivated) emission dipole, $\hat{\mu}_e$. Symbol σ is the incidence angle of the exciting beam. To see this figure in color, go online.

The objective collects fluorescence from the muscle that is focused by the tube lens into a real image on the EMCCD camera (C9100-13, Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Images are acquired over time at a frame rate of 0.5–1 Hz, depending on the sample. GFP tag rotational mobility is static compared to the 3 ns chromophore lifetime, since the rotational relaxation time of the 50 kDa GFP moiety is ~30 ns and longer for the S1/GFP complex [\(24](#page-11-0)). Static averaging blurs the intensity spatial pattern, contributing to its degradation together with that of extraneous background light and other noise, all of which are quantitated in the error estimate for (β', α') coordi-
nates discussed below nates discussed below.

Photoactivation and photoselection

The total probe molecules, N, convert from unphotoactivated (N_B) to photoactivated (N_A) species by irreversible isomerization, $N_B \rightarrow N_A$, where $N = N_B + N_A$. For a single molecule, the probability of its photoactivation, qp,s, is

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p},\mathrm{s}} = 1 - \exp\Big[-\kappa \big(\widehat{\mu}_a[B] \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{p},\mathrm{s}}\big)^2\Big] \tag{1}
$$

where κ is a constant proportional to the activating light pulse duration and dependent on other factors excluding probe dipole orientation, $\hat{\mu}_a$ [B] is the absorption dipole moment for the unphotoactivated species (B species with wavelength band centered near 405 nm), and $\hat{e}_{p,s}$ is the photoactivating (pump) light electric-field polarization vector for p- or s-polarized light. In all experiments, p- or s-polarization is perpendicular or parallel, respectively, to the fiber axis, and κ is small to ensure that a sparse population of probes is photoactivated and to achieve the most selective orientation distribution of photoactivated probes. Orientation of $\hat{\mu}_a[A]$, the absorption dipole moment of an activated single molecule, sets the absolute intensity of the emission pattern but does not influence measurement of the orientation of $\hat{\mu}_e[A]$, the emission dipole moment of the activated single molecule. The $\hat{\mu}_{e}[A]$ orientation measurement is done by pattern recognition.

Pattern recognition of single-molecule emission

The single-molecule image is a three-dimensional pattern that devolves into six basis patterns dependent on spatial coordinates and with coefficients that depend algebraically on dipole orientation. Spherical polar coordinates (θ, ϕ) describe dipole orientation relative to lab coordinates {L_x,L_y,L_z} and are convenient for microscope optics with the z axis normal to the coverslip and pointing into the aqueous medium containing the muscle ([Fig. 2\)](#page-2-0). Fluorescence intensity on the camera is given by

$$
F = F_b + \frac{F_0}{1 + h \cos^2 \theta} \left(\sin^2 \theta \left[\cos^2 \phi I_{x^2} + \sin^2 \phi I_{y^2} \right] + \cos \phi \sin \phi I_{xy} \right) + \cos^2 \theta I_{z^2} + \sin \theta \cos \theta \left[\cos \phi I_{xz} + \sin \phi I_{yz} \right] \right),
$$
\n(2)

where F_b is the background, F_0 the single-molecule intensity amplitude, h the Hellen factor correcting for the effect of the glass/aqueous interface on the emission pattern (25) , and $I_{i,j}$ the normalized intensity patterns containing all the spatial dependence ([19\)](#page-11-0). Given the basis patterns, we can invert an observed image to deduce their coefficients using maximum likelihood fitting for Poisson or Gaussian distributed data. The coefficients define a 1:2 correspondence between pattern and dipole-moment orientations, because a particular dipole and its spatial inversion give the same emission pattern $(8,19)$. In practice, we found that combining the six basis patterns in Eq. 2 into three, such that

$$
F = F_b + \frac{1}{1 + h \cos^2 \theta} \left(\sin^2 \theta \, b_2 + \cos^2 \theta \, b_3 + \sin \theta \cos \theta \, b_4 \right),\tag{3}
$$

where b_i contains the ϕ and spatial dependencies, provides more reliable inversion for ϕ values on a grid with $0 \le \phi \le \pi$. The $\pi < \phi < 2\pi$ domain is redundant due to the inversion symmetry. The maximum-likelihood method estimated θ at each ϕ value with the best overall (θ,ϕ) choice giving the best overall fit. The intensity data were better described by Gaussian than by Poisson statistics.

We represent probe dipole-moment orientation with (θ, ϕ) and (β', α')
ordinates β' and α' are the spherical polar and azimuthal angles in labcoordinates. β' and α' are the spherical polar and azimuthal angles in labframe coordinates $\{L_{x}, L_{y}, L_{z}\}\$, where the z' axis is parallel to the fiber symmetry axis, the $x[']$ axis is in the plane of the coverslip, and the $y[']$ axis is normal to the coverslip plane and points into the aqueous medium [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-2-0). The relationship between microscope and muscle fiber (lab-frame) coordinates is a rotation about L_{x} . Dipole inversion symmetry implies that (β', α') and $(\pi - \beta', \alpha' + \pi)$ are equivalent and that, hence, the entire solution
set of dipole orientations can be shown on the domain defined by $0 \le \beta' \le$ set of dipole orientations can be shown on the domain defined by $0 \le \beta' \le$ π and $0 \leq \alpha' \leq \pi$.

Orientation superresolution

Gaussian distributed data in the maximum likelihood estimation for error imply that χ^2 -minimization gives the best-fit parameter. Hence, we estimate error in the average fitted θ ($\overline{\theta}$) using the method of Bobroff ([26\)](#page-11-0). Variation in χ^2 near its minimum is given by

$$
\chi^2(\overline{\theta}) - \chi^2(\overline{\theta}_{\rm m}) = \Delta(\overline{\theta}) \approx (\overline{\theta} - \overline{\theta}_{\rm m})^2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}^2} \left[\frac{\partial F_{i,j}}{\partial \overline{\theta}} \right]_{\overline{\theta} = \overline{\theta}_{\rm m}},\tag{4}
$$

where pixel address (i,j) is the fluorescence spatial dependence at focus, $\chi^2(\overline{\theta}_{\rm m})$ the minimum χ^2 , and $\sigma_{i,j}^2$ the photon count variance. Variance $\sigma_{i,j}^2$ includes camera noise and counting noise from background plus dipole emission photons and is estimated from the data. The Hellen factor in F (Eq. 3) is negligible for the HILO configuration, because the interface is in the far field of the dipole. Then,

$$
\frac{\partial F_{i,j}}{\partial \theta} \approx 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta (b_2[i,j] - b_3[i,j])
$$
\n
$$
+ (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) b_4[i,j].
$$
\n(5)

The quantity $\sum_{i,j} (1/\sigma_{i,j}^2) [\partial F_{i,j}/\partial \overline{\theta}]_{\overline{\theta}=\overline{\theta}_m}^2$ is also estimated from data, using Eq. 5 for intensity above background. The one standard deviation (1*5*) level Eq. 5 for intensity above background. The one standard deviation (1σ) level has $\Delta = 2.3$ ([27\)](#page-11-0) for a two-parameter (θ and ϕ) fit, giving

$$
\left(\overline{\theta} - \overline{\theta}_{m}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2.3}{\sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial F_{i,j}}{\partial \overline{\theta}}\right]_{\overline{\theta} = \overline{\theta}_{m}}^{2}}}
$$
\n(6)

A similar expression was constructed for ϕ . We obtained error of 1–8^o
(excluding one outlier) for $\overline{\theta}$ estimates and 12.36^o for $\overline{\phi}$ estimates. The (excluding one outlier) for θ estimates and 12–36° for ϕ estimates. The signal/poise ratio S/N for the in vivo and in situ data collected from muscle signal/noise ratio, S/N, for the in vivo and in situ data collected from muscle in relaxation is 3–12.

S1/GFP docking

Estimating S1/GFP coordination

The objective is to dock GFP with myosin in a manner consistent with constraints imposed by crystal structures of GFP and myosin and with GFP dipole orientation constraints imposed by single-molecule data from GFP-tagged skeletal myosin in zebrafish embryos and cardiac myosin in porcine papillary fibers. The first step is to relate the relevant coordinate frames in which the GFP dipole, the GFP crystal structure, and the myosin crystal structure are described. [Fig. S1](#page-10-0) in the [Supporting](#page-10-0) [Material](#page-10-0) is a diagram of the relevant coordinate frames, where Greek symbols are used to represent angles and Roman characters to represent direction cosines, vectors, or coordinate axes, except for absorption and

emission dipoles, $\hat{\mu}_a$ [B] and $\hat{\mu}_e$ [A], which keep their traditional Greek symbol nomenclature.

The β S1 structure, designated 2bys, is a homology model [\(6](#page-10-0)) derived from the skeletal myosin crystal structure 2mys [\(21](#page-11-0)). It has a crystal frame with axes $\{a_m, b_m, c_m\}$ used for the myosin coordinates. An analogous crystal frame, $\{a_g, b_g, c_g\}$, is used for the GFP coordinates from 1emb [\(28](#page-11-0)). Coordinates $\{a_m, b_m, c_m\}$ are related to the lever-arm helix dipole molecular frame, $\{r_{\rm m}, s_{\rm m}, t_{\rm m}\}$, using rotation matrix MY, where MY \cdot { $a_{\rm m}$, b_m, c_m } = { r_m, s_m, t_m }, giving

$$
MY = \begin{pmatrix} x_a & x_b & x_c \\ y_a & y_b & y_c \\ z_a & z_b & z_c \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (7)

for unit vector $\hat{t}_m = \{z_a, z_b, z_c\}$, the helix dipole moment with direction cosines z_a , z_b , and z_c . Unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_m = \{x_a, x_b, x_c\}$ is perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_m$ and in the plane containing \hat{t}_m and the RLC C terminus, and $\hat{s}_m = \{y_a, y_b, y_c\}$ is related to \hat{t}_m and \hat{t}_m by $\hat{t}_m \times \hat{r}_m = \hat{s}_m$. The helix dipole moment points along the myosin lever-arm α -helix symmetry axis computed by averaging CA atom positions for residues 808–827, where RLC binds. This is the relevant myosin fixed frame for the docked GFP, because the tag is linked to the RLC C terminus by an 11-residue tether (GGGGGGVPVEK).

Coordinates $\{a_g, b_g, c_g\}$ are related to the GFP chromophore molecular frame, $\{r_g, s_g, t_g\}$, using rotation matrix MG, where MG \cdot $\{a_g, b_g, c_g\} = \{r_g,$ s_g, t_g } is given by

$$
MG = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z_b}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} & -\frac{z_a}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} & 0\\ \frac{z_a z_c}{\varepsilon} & \frac{z_b z_c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} & -\sqrt{\varepsilon}\\ z_a & z_b & z_c \end{pmatrix},\tag{8}
$$

where $\varepsilon = 1 - z_c^2$ and unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_g = \{z_a, z_b, z_c\}$ is normal to the chromo-
phore plane and computed from the chromophore atomic coordinates with phore plane and computed from the chromophore atomic coordinates with direction cosines z_a , z_b , and z_c . Unit vector $\hat{r}_g = \frac{z_b}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \frac{-z_a}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, 0$, 0 is at the intersection of the chromophore and ab planes, and the absorption dipole, $\hat{\mu}_a$, lies in the rs plane at an angle ζ from \hat{r}_g , as shown in [Fig. S1.](#page-10-0) Geometrical constructions and data describing the GFP dipole are from Boxer et al. ([29,30\)](#page-11-0). We detect the emission dipole, $\hat{\mu}_e$, defined relative to another chromophore fixed frame where the emission dipole lies along the u axis and $\hat{\mu}_a$ is in the uw plane at an angle η from the w axis. The rs and uw planes are coincident. Rotation matrix ME, relating the two chromophore fixed coordinates $\{u,v,w\} = ME \cdot \{r_g,s_g,t_g\},\$ is given by

$$
\text{ME} = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos[\zeta + \eta] & -\sin[\zeta + \eta] & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\\ -\sin[\zeta + \eta] & \cos[\zeta + \eta] & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}
$$

for the angles defined in [Fig. S1.](#page-10-0) Angles (ζ,η) were measured previously. Angle η was estimated to be 40° using polarized absorption spectra from
single WT GER crystals reported in Posell and Boxer (30), Angle ζ was single WT GFP crystals reported in Rosell and Boxer (30) (30) . Angle ζ was estimated to be ~13° using fluorescence polarization anisotropy from immobilized and randomly oriented RLC-PAFGP in solution [\(31](#page-11-0)). Using our constructions, we obtained the chromophore absorption dipole moment, $\hat{m}_B = 0.72\hat{i} + 0.28\hat{j} - 0.64\hat{k}$, and the line normal to the chromophore, $\hat{n} = 0.461i - 0.876j + 0.143k$, in the crystal frame of 1emb, which differ only slightly from the values of Rosell and Boxer [\(30](#page-11-0)), probably due to our unique selection of the atoms in the chromophore to define the molecular frame.

Quantities discussed so far are known from the referenced experimental work. Unknown Euler angles (γ,ρ,ω) relate chromophore fixed coordinates, $\{u, v, w\}$, to the helix dipole molecular frame, $\{r_m, s_m, t_m\}$, by $\{u,v,w\} = \mathrm{Eu}(\gamma,\rho,\omega)$ \cdot $\{r_\mathrm{m},\mathrm{s}_\mathrm{m},t_\mathrm{m}\}$ for

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{Eu}(\phi,\theta,\omega) &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos[\omega] & \sin[\omega] & 0 \\ -\sin[\omega] & \cos[\omega] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} \cos[\rho] & 0 & -\sin[\rho] \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin[\rho] & 0 & \cos[\rho] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos[\gamma] & \sin[\gamma] & 0 \\ -\sin[\gamma] & \cos[\gamma] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned}
$$
\n(10)

Summarizing the above relationships, we rotate the crystal coordinates of the GFP to the crystal coordinates of the myosin using

$$
MYT \cdot EuT(\gamma, \rho, \omega) \cdot ME(\zeta, \eta) \cdot MG \cdot \{a_g, b_g, c_g\} = \{a_m, b_m, c_m\}.
$$
 (11)

We determine (γ,ρ,ω) by combining constraints from the single-molecule experimental data with docking simulations using ZDOCK [\(32](#page-11-0)), as described in the next two sections.

Interpreting single-molecule measurements

Photoactivation of single absorption dipoles uses a linearly polarized electric field with polarization direction along unit vector $\hat{\epsilon}_{p,s}$ for p- or s-polarized light. Vectors expressed in the laboratory frame ${L_x, L_y, L_z}$ are defined in [Fig. 2](#page-2-0). Field polarization and absorption/emission dipoles in the laboratory frame are

$$
\hat{e}_p = \frac{2 n_2 \cos \sigma}{n_1 \cos \sigma + n_2 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin \sigma\right)^2}}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left\{-\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin \sigma\right)^2}, n_2 \sin \sigma, 0\right\}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{e}_s = \left\{0, 0, \frac{2 n_2 \cos \sigma}{n_2 \cos \sigma + n_1 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin \sigma\right)^2}}\right\}
$$
\n(12b)

$$
\widehat{\mu}_{a}[B] = Eu^{T}(\alpha, \beta, 0) \cdot Eu^{T}(\gamma, \rho, \omega) \cdot \{\sin \zeta, 0, \cos \zeta\} \quad (12c)
$$

$$
\widehat{\mu}_a[B] = \mathrm{Eu}^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha', \beta', \gamma') \cdot \{\sin \zeta, 0, \cos \zeta\} \tag{12d}
$$

$$
\widehat{\mu}_{e}[A] = \mathrm{Eu}^{T}(\alpha, \beta, 0) \cdot \mathrm{Eu}^{T}(\gamma, \rho, 0) \cdot \{0, 0, 1\} \tag{12e}
$$

$$
\widehat{\mu}_{e}[A] = Eu^{T}(\alpha', \beta', 0) \cdot \{0, 0, 1\},\tag{12f}
$$

where n_1 and n_2 are the refractive indices of water and glass, σ the incidence angle of the HILO beam, Eu^T the transpose of the Euler matrix defined in Eq. 10, (α,β) the spherical polar coordinates of the helix dipole in the lab frame, (α', β') the spherical polar coordinates for $\hat{\mu}_e[A]$ in the lab frame
measured with single molecule crientation superresolution. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}$ measured with single-molecule orientation superresolution, $\{a_L, b_L, c_L\}$ the lab coordinates of the myosin crystal structure, and $\hat{\mu}_n[B]$ the absorption dipole related to the lab frame by coordinates $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ in a Euler rotation.
Fulgr angles $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ characterize the orientation of the PAGER mojety as Euler angles $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ characterize the orientation of the PAGFP moiety as
it resides on the cross bridge lever arm. Coordinates (α', β') , defining the it resides on the cross-bridge lever arm. Coordinates (α', β') , defining the emission dipole moment differ for each cross bridge at a different enatial emission dipole moment, differ for each cross bridge at a different spatial position in the muscle. The third angle, γ' , defines PAGFP protein

orientation relative to the dipole-moment vector and has special significance because it is constant when the PAGFP moiety is rigidly fixed to the cross bridge.

Myosin dimers in the skeletal muscle fiber form crowns with threefold symmetry about the fiber axis in the α -degree of freedom. Crowns repeat in approximate helical symmetry every 429 Å along the fibers axis. Opposed half-sarcomeres have complementary β -degrees of freedom. The α -degree of freedom for single heads will vary over the L_z-dimension of the fiber, because myosin crowns maintain quasihelical symmetry, whereas the β -degree of freedom will not vary over spatial dimensions except for the complementarity of opposed half-sarcomeres. The p-polarization will selectively choose heads with the absorption dipole of PAGFP-tagged myosin aligned with the L_{γ} -axis. The s-polarization is selective for dipole alignment with the L_{z} -axis. We expect the data to reflect a narrow range of β or $\pi - \beta$ values indicating the orientation of the lever arms in opposed half-sarcomeres relative to the L_{z} -axis and a wide variation of α values because of their variability in the spatial z-dimension.

Equations 12c–12f correspond to six nonlinear equations that relate the unknown Euler angles (γ,ρ,ω) linking protein and dipole coordinates, protein helix dipole coordinates (α, β), and absorption dipole coordinate γ' to the known emission dipole coordinates (α', β') . The six equations reduce
to four constraints, because the absorption and emission dipole moments to four constraints, because the absorption and emission dipole moments are normalized. Only three equations are independent. We solved the equations for (α, β) and γ' as a function of emission dipole coordinates (α', β')
and Fular angles (α', α') . In proctice, it is easier to solve the polynomial and Euler angles (γ,ρ,ω) . In practice, it is easier to solve the polynomial equations for six parameters $(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, \cos \beta, \sin \beta, \cos \gamma',$ and $\sin \gamma')$ sub-
iect to the additional requirements $\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha = 1$, $\cos^2 \beta + \sin^2 \beta = 1$. ject to the additional requirements $\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha = 1$, $\cos^2 \beta + \sin^2 \beta = 1$,
and $\cos^2 \alpha' + \sin^2 \alpha' = 1$. Solutions for (α, β) computed over a multidimenand $\cos^2 \gamma' + \sin^2 \gamma' = 1$. Solutions for (α, β) computed over a multidimen-
signal grid of values for (α, α) with $\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi$ of $\leq \pi$ and $\pi \leq \pi$ sional grid of values for (γ,ρ,ω) with $-\pi \le \gamma < \pi, 0 \le \rho \le \pi$, and $-\pi \le \pi$ $\omega < \pi$ at 10° resolution were ranked for agreement with experimental data
(i.e., comparing observed and simulated emission dipole moments. \hat{u} [A] (i.e., comparing observed and simulated emission dipole moments, $\hat{\mu}_e[A],$ and the expectation that β values fall into narrow ranges near β_k or π – β_k , for $\{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_9\} = \{0, 10, 20, ..., 90\}^\circ$. The latter fulfills the known preference for lever arm distributions in β to vary over a restricted known preference for lever-arm distributions in β to vary over a restricted but unknown range of values. No requirement on α reflects the expectation that their values vary widely. Ranked agreement is weighted by the photoactivation probability appropriate for p- or s-polarization (Eq. 1) and by the reciprocal of data-point variance estimated from Eq. 6. Data-point variance is discussed below (see Results).

We find that the fish skeletal and porcine cardiac lever arms have an unmistakable preference for selected intervals in β_k but that this preference is satisfied equally well by many combinations of the (γ,ρ,ω) parameters. The grid points that best satisfy constraints identified (γ,ρ,ω) triplets specifying receptor/ligand complexes from the 2bys.pdb/1emb.pdb structures using Eq. 11. These complexes are not docked but have the appropriate myosin-to-GFP or -PAGFP orientation. The S1/PAGFP orientations are compared to those from the docked complexes generated by ZDOCK (see below) to identify a set of candidate complexes.

ZDOCK-derived complexes

ZDOCK 3.0.2 software ([32\)](#page-11-0) was downloaded from [http://zlab.umassmed.](http://zlab.umassmed.edu/zdockconv3d/) [edu/zdockconv3d/](http://zlab.umassmed.edu/zdockconv3d/) and installed on the Mayo Research Computing Facility (RCF) cluster according to the installation instructions. We used 2bys.pdb as the receptor for the GFP ligand 1emb.pdb. Docking was conducted under two conditions according to which only RLC or only RLC and ELC but no heavy chain in 2bys.pdb (conditions 1 or 2) were allowed to dock with GFP. ZDOCK produced 2000 candidate complexes for each condition. The best 1000 complexes from each condition were screened in a Mathematica program, prman.nb. On the first pass over the 2000 total structures, complexes with an RLC C terminus $>$ 30 Å from the GFP N terminus were rejected, eliminating all but 13 or 1 structure(s) for conditions 1 or 2. These 14 structures were compared to the S1/GFP coordination in complexes derived from the single emission dipole data. Only three S1/GFP coordinations align between the two data sets.

Final refinement

For final refinement of the three docked structures, the S1/GFP coordination was interpolated within the grid used for angles (γ, ρ, ω) with simultaneous maximization of agreement with emission dipole data, expectations for β , and agreement with ZDOCK-derived complexes. Final refinement does not involve alteration of the ZDOCK-derived complexes. Zebrafish skeletal and porcine papillary data were refined separately.

RESULTS

Single-molecule emission from tagged skeletal and cardiac myosin in relaxed muscle

Fig. 3 overlays simultaneously collected two-photon (2-P) images from 810 nm infrared light exciting fluorescence at \sim 500 nm (green) and SHG at 405 nm (blue) in an RLC-GFP-tagged live embryo confined to the microfluidic shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-2-0) Shown are a wide view of the trunk skeletal muscles in the tail (left) and a close-up of two single fibers (right). SHG originates exclusively from the myosin in the A-band [\(23](#page-11-0)) and the fluorescence from the RLC-GFP. The M-line separates the opposing myosin dimers in the thick filament. The I-band contains the actin thin filament. M-lines are separated by \sim 2.1 μ m. The single fiber image demonstrates that the fluorescence and SHG colocalize, implying that the tag labels the myosin lever arm, as demonstrated previously in rabbit skeletal and porcine cardiac permeabilized muscle fibers [\(7,8\)](#page-10-0).

[Fig. 4](#page-6-0) compares RLC-PAGFP- (upper) to RLC-GFPtagged (lower) embryos under HILO illumination with 488 nm excitation. The M-line, A-band, and I-band are identified. The HILO beam propagating through the sample at 74° to the microscope optical axis has an \sim 4 μ m illumina-
tion denth. Narrower denths, down to \approx 1 μ m, are achievable tion depth. Narrower depths, down to \sim 1 μ m, are achievable using the 100×1.49 NA objective or reducing the beam

FIGURE 3 Simultaneous RLC-GFP fluorescence and myosin SHG in vivo by 2-P excitation. Infrared light at 810 nm excites fluorescence at \sim 500 nm (green) and SHG at 405 nm (blue) in the wide view of the trunk skeletal muscles in the tail (left) and in the close-up of two individual fibers (right). The I-band, with actin but no myosin, and the M-line, without myosin cross bridges, are dark in comparison to the A-band, which contains both myosin cross bridges and actin. The A-band shows both GFP fluorescence and SHG signals indicating colocalization of RLC-GFP with the cross bridge. Sarcomere length is $\sim 2.1 \mu m$.

FIGURE 4 In vivo images of RLC-PAGFP- (upper) to RLC-GFPtagged myosin (lower) from zebrafish embryos in relaxation under HILO illumination.

diameter using the conjugate aperture (see Microscopes in the Methods section), though the latter technique gives a smaller illuminated field. The RLC-PAGFP-tagged sample is sparsely photoactivated, with several instances of photoactivated probes emitting brightly over a background of weakly fluorescing, unphotoactivated RLC-PAGFP in the striated pattern of the A-band. Images are 1 s exposures. We identified single-molecule events by their quantized intensity change due to photoactivation or photobleaching from the points of light appearing in the image over time. [Fig. S2](#page-10-0) shows two examples of single-molecule events by the quantized activation over background intensity followed by quantized photobleaching back to background.

Single-molecule intensity patterns from zebrafish embryo skeletal muscle myosins in relaxation were fitted using the pattern recognition algorithm and subjected to orientation superresolution analysis ([19,26](#page-11-0)). The fitted image pattern measures the emission dipole moment in TIRF coordinates (θ, ϕ) for the spherical polar, θ , and azimuthal, ϕ , angles in the lab coordinates defined in [Fig. 2](#page-2-0) with the z axis perpendicular to the coverslip and pointing into the aqueous medium containing the fish or cardiac fiber. [Fig. S3](#page-10-0) shows the scatter plots with error bars for p- and s-polarization photoactivation. Orientation superresolution has a mean error of 3° for θ and 25° for ϕ . [Fig. S3](#page-10-0) also shows the scatter
plots and error hars in fiber coordinates (β' α') for the spherplots and error bars in fiber coordinates (β', α') for the spher-
ical polar β' and azimuthal α' angles defined in Fig. 2 with ical polar, β' , and azimuthal, α' , angles defined in [Fig. 2](#page-2-0) with the z axis parallel to the fiber symmetry axis. It is derived the z axis parallel to the fiber symmetry axis. It is derived from the TIRF coordinate plots by rotation.

Fig. 5 compares relaxed zebrafish skeletal and porcine cardiac muscle data. The shape of the scatter plot depends chiefly on the unknown parent distribution of all PAGFPs

FIGURE 5 Zebrafish skeletal and porcine cardiac muscle data from relaxed fibers. (A) Comparison of zebrafish skeletal myosin data for pand s-polarized photoactivation. (B) Comparison of data for p-polarized photoactivation porcine cardiac myosin and zebrafish skeletal myosin.

in the zebrafish muscle. p- or s-polarized light photoactivated PAGFP in the zebrafish muscle and created subpopulations of photoactivated probes from the parent distribution. s-polarization along the fiber symmetry axis creates a dipole subpopulation better confined to the center of the (β', α') scatter plot than is the p-polarized photoacti-
vated subpopulation p-polarization perpendicular to the vated subpopulation. p-polarization perpendicular to the fiber axis creates the more heterogeneous PAGFP subpopulation. The scatter plots show (β', α') codependency informa-
tion, which indicates that extremes in the domain. 0 and tion, which indicates that extremes in the domain, 0 and 180° for either α' or β' , and in the central region where $(\beta' \alpha') \approx (90.90)$ are unpopulated. These extremes are $(\beta', \alpha') \approx (90, 90)$, are unpopulated. These extremes are
excluded by steric/crowding forces originating from the excluded by steric/crowding forces originating from the thick filament, but not from strong interaction with actin, because the muscle is relaxed. Steric/crowding forces are highly significant and not replicated in vitro (8) (8) . The scatter plots also show that in vivo fish myosin lever arms are more orientationally confined than the in situ porcine myosin lever arms, indicating the significance of the in vivo context, differences between skeletal and cardiac myosin cross bridges, species-specific myosin differences, or a combination of these factors (Fig. 5 B). The single fish myosins occupy a tight distribution of angles in narrow bands off the central depleted zone.

S1/GFP coordination in skeletal and cardiac myosin

The three Euler angles (γ,ρ,ω) linking GFP and S1 crystal frames were examined for agreement with the single-molecule data in [Fig. 5](#page-6-0) by satisfying Eqs. 12c–12f and the constraint that lever-arm helix β values fall into a narrow domain or complementary $(\pi - \beta)$ domain for each single myosin detected. Data points in [Fig. 5](#page-6-0) are weighted by the reciprocal of their variance. Variance for each (β', α') point
was computed using the square of the length of the error was computed using the square of the length of the error bar in [Fig. S3](#page-10-0). Independently, the spatial relationship of GFP and S1 was estimated with ZDOCK ([32\)](#page-11-0) using the additional constraint that the myosin-bound RLC C terminus and GFP N-terminus locate to within 30 Å of each other to accommodate the 11-residue span linking them. With the former procedure, we obtained a list of 2000 triplets (γ, ρ, ω) ordered by decreasing satisfaction of constraints, and with the latter procedure, we obtained 14 S1/GFP complexes from the better half of 4000 structures generated in ZDOCK. Intersection of these independently obtained S1/GFP coordinations produced three S1/GFP complexes that were subjected to additional refinement.

The three candidate S1/GFP docked complexes orient the symmetry axis of the GFP β -barrel roughly perpendicular to the lever-arm α -helix where RLC binds (MHC residues 808–827) and with the side of the GFP β -barrel contacting the RLC. Final refinement identified structures 725 and 506 from the condition 1 subset as the best for skeletal and cardiac myosin. They are represented in stereo in

Fig. 6. These structures reproduce the (β', α') dependence
of the single-molecule emission dipole data for the skeletal of the single-molecule emission dipole data for the skeletal or cardiac myosins, as shown in [Fig. 7.](#page-8-0) In the Fig. 6 structures, the MHCs are blue, with a black section in the lever-arm α -helix where the RLC binds. The helix axis of the black section is related to the lab frame by coordinates (β,α) , also referred to as lever-arm coordinates. In Fig. 6, the RLC is red, GFP is green, and their linker is white. The ELC is shown in silver. Structures 725 and 506 have practically identical 3-D structures and perspectives in the figure, except for their GFP moiety. The GFP moiety is

FIGURE 6 Stereo representations of S1/GFP. The myosin sequence shows the MHC (blue or black), the RLC (red), the GFP (green), and the ELC (silver). The 11-residue sequence linking the RLC C terminus to the GFP N terminus is white. The black section of the MHC where RLC binds to the lever arm defines an α -helix symmetry axis with spherical polar coordinates (β , α) defined relative to the lab coordinates (L_x , L_y , L_z) in [Fig. 2.](#page-2-0) (A) Structure 725 is the best S1/GFP representation of fish skeletal myosin. (B) Structure 506 is the best S1/GFP representation of porcine cardiac myosin.

FIGURE 7 Scatter plots for single emission-dipole and lever-arm orientation coordinates, (β', α') or (β, α) , respectively, from skeletal (A and B) and cardiac
muscle (C) in relaxation. Blue and red symbols represent poo muscle (C) in relaxation. Blue and red symbols represent p-polarized (e_p) and s-polarized (e_s) photoactivating light polarization. Open squares (lever-arm) are calculated from the emission dipole moments of photoactivated probes with orientation coordinates (β', α') (*open triangles*). The fitted points (solid blue and
red squares (u, fit) were derived from structures 725 (ske red squares (μ_{em} fit)) were derived from structures 725 (skeletal) and 506 (cardiac) in [Fig. 6.](#page-7-0) (D) Stacked histogram for the absorption dipole coordinate, γ' ,
defined in Eq. 12d. The histogram specifies one of the defined in Eq. 12d. The histogram specifies one of the Euler angles relating the emission molecular frame ({u,v,w} in [Fig. S1\)](#page-10-0) to the lab frame ({L_x,L_y,L_z} in [Fig. 2\)](#page-2-0). The narrow-profile histogram indicates that PAGFP is rigidly immobilized on the cross bridge in both skeletal and cardiac muscle. The skeletal histogram has events from both p- and s-polarization (blue and red, respectively) photoactivated dipoles. The cardiac histogram has just the p-polarization photoactivated dipoles (green).

differently docked in the two structures, principally by an \sim 10° rotation about the lever-arm α -helix symmetry axis.

Lever-arm orientation in skeletal and cardiac muscle

Fig. 7, A–C, shows single lever-arm orientation coordinates (β,α) (blue and red open squares) determined from the emission dipole moments of photoactivated probes with orienta-

tion coordinates (β', α') (open triangles and solid blue and
red squares) using the 725 (skeletal) or 506 (cardiac) strucred squares) using the 725 (skeletal) or 506 (cardiac) structures in [Fig. 6.](#page-7-0) Lever-arm orientation refers to the lever-arm α -helix at the RLC binding site shown in black. Inversion symmetry data scatter plots are shown for each instance (points (β', α') and $(\pi - \beta', \pi + \alpha')$ are equivalent), because
the accompanying lever-arm distribution is not symmetrical the accompanying lever-arm distribution is not symmetrical for inversion of the probe dipole moments. The two protein distributions in the $0 \le \alpha < \pi$ and $\pi \le \alpha < 2\pi$ domains are dependent information, since the points from one domain can be calculated from the points in the other, albeit they are different representations of the information. Fish skeletal muscle data for p- and s-polarization (\hat{e}_p and \hat{e}_s) [\(Fig. 7](#page-8-0), A and B, respectively) reflect the same parent distribution of lever arms but with individual selections weighted by photoselection. Lever arms in opposed half-sarcomeres fall mostly in the $\beta \approx 0 \sim 10^{\circ}$ or $180 - (0 \sim 10)^{\circ}$ domains
(Fig. 7, onen symbols). The distribution in α is spread out ([Fig. 7,](#page-8-0) *open symbols*). The distribution in α is spread out over 80° and centered on $\alpha \approx 90^\circ$. Error analysis (Fig. 83) indicates larger uncertainty for α' compared to ([Fig. S3](#page-10-0)) indicates larger uncertainty for α' compared to β , particularly for the s-polarized photoactivated probes.
We expect α' and α to be widely distributed in our single-
molecule measurements based on our understanding of β' , particularly for the s-polarized photoactivated probes. molecule measurements based on our understanding of fish fiber structure ([33\)](#page-11-0). Porcine cardiac lever arms under p-polarization photoactivation (Fig. $7\,C$) are more widely distributed in β and are predominantly in the $\beta \approx 10{\sim}20^{\circ}$
or $180 - (10{\sim}20^{\circ})$ domains or $180 - (10{\sim}20)^{\circ}$ domains.
Fig. 7 D indicates the prob-

[Fig. 7](#page-8-0) D indicates the probe absorption dipole coordinate, γ , for skeletal and cardiac muscle. Angle γ is not directly
observed or superresolved but is computed for each (β', α')
pair. Its error is estimated from the standard deviation of γ' , for skeletal and cardiac muscle. Angle γ' is not directly pair. Its error is estimated from the standard deviation of values computed from the data points in [Fig. 7](#page-8-0), A–C, for skeletal muscle with p- or s-polarization photoactivation (blue or red, respectively) or for cardiac muscle with p-polarization photoactivation (green). A computed value has the best overlap of the observed (β', α') distribution in space
with the most likely distribution estimated from the probawith the most likely distribution estimated from the probability for photoactivation (computed from the square of the projection of $\hat{\mu}_s[B]$ onto \hat{e}_p or \hat{e}_s for p- or s-polarized photoactivation).Values are stacked in the histogram, since all points fall into one 5° wide bin near $\gamma' \approx 0$. The narrow
distribution in γ' values strongly indicates S1/PAGEP rigiddistribution in γ' values strongly indicates S1/PAGFP rigidity in both skeletal and cardiac muscle.

DISCUSSION

In vivo, the skeletal or cardiac myosin cross bridge is crowded into the muscle fiber lattice and its side chains subjected to the dynamic environment inside the muscle cell. Single myosin detection in vivo provides a unique opportunity to characterize elementary myosin function with the motor in its native state.

Earlier single-molecule work on skeletal and cardiac muscle used permeabilized muscle fibers and the RLC-PAGFP exchanged onto the cross bridge. The construct efficiently exchanged in situ and did not measurably deter muscle functionality ([6,8,19,31](#page-10-0)). Single myosins sample individual orientation states of the system and distinguish features of myosin lever-arm rotation that are lost in an ensemble average due to fiber lattice symmetry and asynchronous myosin head movement ([34,35\)](#page-11-0). Notably, the approach distinguished substates of the active isometric myosin lever arm ([8\)](#page-10-0) and detected their redistribution in the active fiber after introduction of the disease-implicated mutants at positions M20, E134, and G162 to the exchanged RLC-PAGFP [\(6](#page-10-0)). Disease-implicated mutants spanned the RLC structure and indicated position-dependent change to lever-arm stiffness culminating in loss of motor work production efficiency. These results aligned the mutant sidechain position with lever-arm stiffness change, defining a correspondence that can be exploited to exercise control over transduction/mechanical coupling and ultimately over myosin power output.

We have successfully adapted the imaging technology to the in vivo zebrafish embryo model system where RLC-PAGFP is expressed in zebrafish skeletal muscle. Imaging was aided by a microfluidic designed to spatially confine the embryo and permit its illumination with either infrared light for 2-P excitation of fluorescence or SHG, or, thin HILO illumination for 1-P excitation. RLC-PAGFP specificity in vivo was verified by its exact colocalization with myosin [\(Fig. 3](#page-5-0)). Single-molecule detection was verified by quantized photoactivation or photobleaching of the PAGFP tag in the skeletal muscle in vivo [\(Fig. S2](#page-10-0)).

Single emission dipole moment spatial pattern quantitation at superresolution measured the dipole TIRF spherical polar coordinates (θ , ϕ). [Fig. S3](#page-10-0) indicates the spread for θ and ϕ -values from the zebrafish skeletal muscle. These values transform to the fiber coordinates indicated with a mapping where the left or right side of the TIRF scatter plot maps to the right or left side, respectively, of the fiber scatter plot. The data summary in [Fig. 5,](#page-6-0) including in situ data from porcine papillary fibers, indicate that in vivo fish myosin is more orientationally confined than in situ porcine myosin, due either to differences between the in situ and in vivo contexts, differences between skeletal and cardiac myosin cross bridges, species-specific myosin differences, or a combination of these factors.

We estimated (PA)GFP moiety coordination with the myosin lever-arm in RLC-(PA)GFP-tagged myosin. The method chooses the best agreement between simulated S1/GFP complexes generated using ZDOCK [\(32](#page-11-0)) and experimental data measuring (β', α') in [Fig. 7](#page-8-0) converted to $S1/GFP$ coordination trials using the crystal structures of S1/GFP coordination trials using the crystal structures of S1 [\(21](#page-11-0)) and GFP ([28\)](#page-11-0), chromophore dipole orientation in the GFP crystal structure (30) (30) , and the expected relationship between myosin in opposite half-sarcomeres in the muscle fibers. This process selected several S1/GFP coordinations simultaneously satisfying dipole-moment orientation data and docking constraints. Final refinement favored the S1/GFP docking indicated in [Fig. 6.](#page-7-0) Given the S1/GFP coordination, single-molecule detection of the GFP tag orientation is expressible in terms of the myosin lever-arm conformation.

[Fig. 7,](#page-8-0) A and B, indicates the (β,α) codependency for the zebrafish lever-arm distribution in relaxation and for photoactivation by p- or s-polarization. [Fig. 7](#page-8-0) C indicates analogous quantities for the cardiac lever arms. Lever-arm conformation refers to its helical axis at the RLC ([Fig. 6](#page-7-0), black segment). Comparing [Fig. 7,](#page-8-0) A and B, with [Fig. 7](#page-8-0) C, it can be seen that cardiac cross bridges are somewhat more disordered and have a mean β angle 3.1 \pm 1.6° larger
than that for the fish skeletal cross bridges. This implies than that for the fish skeletal cross bridges. This implies that the cardiac cross bridges extend farther away from the thick-filament axis. The rigidity of the S1/PAGFP interaction is indicated in [Fig. 7](#page-8-0) D. It confirms that the RLC-PAGFP is a reliable indicator of cross-bridge orientation in skeletal and cardiac muscle.

We did not explicitly model lever-arm β -dependence to include two or more unique orientations, but our approach does not exclude this possibility. If the relaxed myosin had a competing contribution from a second orientation at $\beta > 20^{\circ}$, it would appear in [Fig. 7](#page-8-0) in one or all of of the scat-
ter plots (Fig. 7, A–C). The same concept is true for a ter plots [\(Fig. 7](#page-8-0), A–C). The same concept is true for a unique α -dependence, which would appear as a subset of lever arms separated from other lever arms in the scatter plot. Multiple preferred orientations are not apparent in the scatter plots. Lever arms in both muscles indicated one preferred spherical polar orientation and widely distributed azimuthal orientations relative to the fiber symmetry axis. Aside from the larger radial displacement of the cardiac cross bridges from the thick filament, skeletal and cardiac myosin cross bridges are similarly disposed in the intact fiber. Other, more complex scenarios are not ruled out by the data. For instance, two conformations for cross bridges in a myosin dimer are indicated by blocked and free S1s in electron microscopy (EM) reconstructions of smoothmuscle heavy meromyosin ([36\)](#page-11-0) and cardiac thick filaments ([37,38](#page-11-0)). This appears to be a general myosin regulation mechanism ([39\)](#page-11-0) probably related to the superrelaxed state identified in skeletal and cardiac muscle ([40,41](#page-11-0)). It is possible that the PAGFP at its binding site on the lever arm sterically prevents the intramolecular interaction, since the EM reconstructions indicate that this is a crowded region on the thick filament, but this hypothetical steric inhibition does not affect maximum isometric contraction in permeabilized skeletal or cardiac muscle fibers (7,8). Our observations are in vivo, a condition very different from that used for the EM reconstruction, and they are limited to ~ 50 single myosin heads in the live skeletal muscle and in situ cardiac muscle. More experience with this system is needed to understand the full implications of in vivo conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

In vivo imaging of zebrafish embryos allows unprecedented access to skeletal myosin structure and dynamics by using fluorescence from lever-arm-bound GFP-tagged RLC expressed in the muscle and label-free SHG from myosin. In vivo single RLC-PAGFP orientation superresolution defines a bottom-up quantitative characterization of myosin in its native environment. We devised a method to ascertain the S1/GFP coordination from the single myosin measurements, then converted our observations to direct implications for myosin lever-arm orientation. Experiments performed in vivo on skeletal and in situ on cardiac muscle fibers in relaxation show that the cardiac cross bridges are slightly more disordered and apparently distributed at larger radial distance from the thick filament compared to skeletal cross bridges. The data also shows that the S1/GFP coordination is rigid for both species. The rigidity of the interaction confirms that RLC-PAGFP reliably indicates cross-bridge orientation in skeletal and cardiac muscle.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Three figures and Supporting Methods are available at [http://www.biophysj.](http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495(14)00802-9) [org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495\(14\)00802-9](http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495(14)00802-9).

We thank Katalin Ajtai for critiquing the manuscript and Kevin Buchs from the Mayo Research Computing Facility for installing ZDOCK and for assistance with running the software.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01AR049277 and R01HL095572 (T.P.B.), National Science Foundation award IOS-0818993 (E.A.S.), and the Mayo Foundation.

SUPPORTING CITATIONS

References ([42–45\)](#page-11-0) appear in the Supporting Material.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lowey, S., G. S. Waller, and K. M. Trybus. 1993. Function of skeletal muscle myosin heavy and light chain isoforms by an in vitro motility assay. J. Biol. Chem. 268:20414–20418.
- 2. Sherwood, J. J., G. S. Waller, ., S. Lowey. 2004. A point mutation in the regulatory light chain reduces the step size of skeletal muscle myosin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 101:10973–10978.
- 3. Pant, K., J. Watt, ., J. R. Moore. 2009. Removal of the cardiac myosin regulatory light chain increases isometric force production. FASEB J. 23:3571–3580.
- 4. Oldfors, A. 2007. Hereditary myosin myopathies. Neuromuscul. Disord. 17:355–367.
- 5. Toydemir, R. M., A. Rutherford, ..., M. J. Bamshad. 2006. Mutations in embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) cause Freeman-Sheldon syndrome and Sheldon-Hall syndrome. Nat. Genet. 38:561-565.
- 6. Burghardt, T. P., and L. A. Sikkink. 2013. Regulatory light chain mutants linked to heart disease modify the cardiac myosin lever arm. Biochemistry. 52:1249–1259.
- 7. Burghardt, T. P., K. Ajtai, ..., Y. Zheng. 2007. GFP-tagged regulatory light chain monitors single myosin lever-arm orientation in a muscle fiber. Biophys. J. 93:2226–2239.
- 8. Burghardt, T. P., M. P. Josephson, and K. Ajtai. 2011. Single myosin cross-bridge orientation in cardiac papillary muscle detects lever-arm shear strain in transduction. Biochemistry. 50:7809–7821.
- 9. Howe, K., M. D. Clark, ..., D. L. Stemple. 2013. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature. 496:498–503, (Errata in Nature. 505:248).
- 10. Xu, X., S. E. Meiler, ..., M. C. Fishman. 2002. Cardiomyopathy in zebrafish due to mutation in an alternatively spliced exon of titin. Nat. Genet. 30:205–209.
- 11. Hurlstone, A. F. L., A.-P. G. Haramis, ..., H. Clevers. 2003. The Wnt/β -catenin pathway regulates cardiac valve formation. Nature. 425:633–637.
- 12. Bakkers, J. 2011. Zebrafish as a model to study cardiac development and human cardiac disease. Cardiovasc. Res. 91:279–288.
- 13. Burns, C. G., D. J. Milan, ..., M. C. Fishman. 2005. High-throughput assay for small molecules that modulate zebrafish embryonic heart rate. Nat. Chem. Biol. 1:263–264.
- 14. Bassett, D. I., and P. D. Currie. 2003. The zebrafish as a model for muscular dystrophy and congenital myopathy. Hum. Mol. Genet. 12:R265–R270.
- 15. Berger, J., and P. D. Currie. 2013. 503unc, a small and muscle-specific zebrafish promoter. Genesis. 51:443–447.
- 16. Fisher, S., E. A. Grice, ..., A. S. McCallion. 2006. Evaluating the biological relevance of putative enhancers using Tol2 transposon-mediated transgenesis in zebrafish. Nat. Protoc. 1:1297–1305.
- 17. Planchon, T. A., L. Gao, ., E. Betzig. 2011. Rapid three-dimensional isotropic imaging of living cells using Bessel beam plane illumination. Nat. Methods. 8:417–423.
- 18. Tokunaga, M., N. Imamoto, and K. Sakata-Sogawa. 2008. Highly inclined thin illumination enables clear single-molecule imaging in cells. Nat. Methods. 5:159–161.
- 19. Burghardt, T. P. 2011. Single molecule fluorescence image patterns linked to dipole orientation and axial position: application to myosin cross-bridges in muscle fibers. PLoS ONE. 6:e16772.
- 20. Martí-Renom, M. A., A. C. Stuart, ..., A. Sali. 2000. Comparative protein structure modeling of genes and genomes. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 29:291–325.
- 21. Rayment, I., W. R. Rypniewski, ..., H. M. Holden. 1993. Three-dimensional structure of myosin subfragment-1: a molecular motor. Science. 261:50–58.
- 22. Easley, C. J., R. K. Benninger, ..., D. W. Piston. 2009. Rapid and inexpensive fabrication of polymeric microfluidic devices via toner transfer masking. Lab Chip. 9:1119–1127.
- 23. Plotnikov, S. V., A. C. Millard, ..., W. A. Mohler. 2006. Characterization of the myosin-based source for second-harmonic generation from muscle sarcomeres. Biophys. J. 90:693–703.
- 24. Swaminathan, R., C. P. Hoang, and A. S. Verkman. 1997. Photobleaching recovery and anisotropy decay of green fluorescent protein GFP-S65T in solution and cells: cytoplasmic viscosity probed by green fluorescent protein translational and rotational diffusion. Biophys. J. 72:1900–1907.
- 25. Hellen, E. H., and D. Axelrod. 1987. Fluorescence emission at dielectric and metal-film interfaces. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 4:337–350.
- 26. Bobroff, N. 1986. Position measurement with a resolution and noiselimited instrument. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 57:1152–1157.
- 27. Lampton, M., B. Margon, and S. Bowyer. 1976. Parameter estimation in x-ray astronomy. Astrophys. J. 208:177–190.
- 28. Brejc, K., T. K. Sixma, ., S. J. Remington. 1997. Structural basis for dual excitation and photoisomerization of the Aequorea victoria green fluorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 94:2306–2311.
- 29. Boxer, S. G., A. Kuki, ., N. H. Xuong. 1982. Oriented properties of the chlorophylls: electronic absorption spectroscopy of orthorhombic pyrochlorophyllide a-apomyoglobin single crystals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 79:1121–1125.
- 30. Rosell, F. I., and S. G. Boxer. 2003. Polarized absorption spectra of green fluorescent protein single crystals: transition dipole moment directions. Biochemistry. 42:177–183.
- 31. Burghardt, T. P., J. Li, and K. Ajtai. 2009. Single myosin lever arm orientation in a muscle fiber detected with photoactivatable GFP. Biochemistry. 48:754–765.
- 32. Pierce, B. G., Y. Hourai, and Z. Weng. 2011. Accelerating protein docking in ZDOCK using an advanced 3D convolution library. PLoS ONE. 6:e24657.
- 33. Al-Khayat, H. A., and J. M. Squire. 2006. Refined structure of bony fish muscle myosin filaments from low-angle x-ray diffraction data. J. Struct. Biol. 155:218–229.
- 34. Burghardt, T. P., and K. Ajtai. 2010. Single-molecule fluorescence characterization in native environment. Biophys. Rev. 2:159–167.
- 35. Borejdo, J., D. Szczesna-Cordary, ..., I. Gryczynski. 2012. Single molecule detection approach to muscle study kinetics of a single cross-bridge during contraction of muscle. In Spectroscopic Methods of Analysis. W. M. Bujalowski, editor. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp. 311–334.
- 36. Wendt, T., D. Taylor, ., K. Taylor. 2001. Three-dimensional image reconstruction of dephosphorylated smooth muscle heavy meromyosin reveals asymmetry in the interaction between myosin heads and placement of subfragment 2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 98:4361–4366.
- 37. González-Solá, M., H. A. Al-Khayat, ..., R. W. Kensler. 2014. Zebrafish cardiac muscle thick filaments: isolation technique and threedimensional structure. Biophys. J. 106:1671–1680.
- 38. Al-Khayat, H. A., R. W. Kensler, ., E. P. Morris. 2013. Atomic model of the human cardiac muscle myosin filament. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 110:318–323.
- 39. Jung, H. S., S. Komatsu, ., R. Craig. 2008. Head-head and head-tail interaction: a general mechanism for switching off myosin II activity in cells. Mol. Biol. Cell. 19:3234–3242.
- 40. Hooijman, P., M. A. Stewart, and R. Cooke. 2011. A new state of cardiac myosin with very slow ATP turnover: a potential cardioprotective mechanism in the heart. Biophys. J. 100:1969–1976.
- 41. Stewart, M. A., K. Franks-Skiba, ..., R. Cooke. 2010. Myosin ATP turnover rate is a mechanism involved in thermogenesis in resting skeletal muscle fibers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 107:430–435.
- 42. Kwan, K. M., E. Fujimoto, ., C.-B. Chien. 2007. The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. Dev. Dyn. 236:3088–3099.
- 43. Higashijima, S., H. Okamoto, ..., G. Eguchi. 1997. High-frequency generation of transgenic zebrafish which reliably express GFP in whole muscles or the whole body by using promoters of zebrafish origin. Dev. Biol. 192:289–299.
- 44. Hirata, H., L. Saint-Amant, ..., J. Y. Kuwada. 2004. accordion, a zebrafish behavioral mutant, has a muscle relaxation defect due to a mutation in the ATPase Ca^{2+} pump SERCA1. Development. 131:5457–5468.
- 45. Brenner, B., M. Schoenberg, ..., E. Eisenberg. 1982. Evidence for cross-bridge attachment in relaxed muscle at low ionic strength. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 79:7288–7291.

Supporting Material

In Vivo Orientation of Single Myosin Lever-Arms in Zebrafish Skeletal Muscle

Xiaojing Sun¹, Stephen C. Ekker¹, Eric A. Shelden², Naoko Takubo¹, Yihua Wang¹, and Thomas P. Burghardt 1,3

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology ³Department of Physiology and Biomedical Engineering Mayo Clinic Rochester Rochester, MN

²School of Molecular Biosciences Washington State University Pullman, WA

METHODS

A. Biological Samples

Preparation of tagged zebrafish embryos. RLC–(PA)GFP was amplified by PCR from PET-3d-RLC-(PA)GFP [\(1-3\)](#page-17-0) with the primers: 5' GAA CTC GAG ATG GCA CCT AAG AAA GCA AAG' 3' and 5' CCG AGATCT CTA TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC CAT G 3'. The PCR product was cloned into the pTol2-zmlc-EGFP plasmid containing a zebrafish myosin light chain (zmlc) promoter after removing EGFP by XhoI and BglII digestion.

To drive RLC-(PA)GFP expression in skeletal muscle we replaced the zmlc promoter with the unc45b promoter, a small and muscle-specific zebrafish promoter [\(4\)](#page-17-1). A genomic fragment of the unc45b encompassing position -600 to +3 relative to the translation start site $(+1)$ was amplified from zebrafish genomic DNA by PCR with the primer 5' GTTCCCGGGCCATTATCGTCTCCCTCCAGC 3' and 5' GAACTCGAGCATTGAAGTCAATTCAGCTTC 3' and inserted into the pTol2-zmlc-RLC- (PA)GFP vector after removal of the zmlc promoter by XmaI and XhoI digestion. Transposase mRNA was obtained by using mMESSAGE mMACHINE High yield Capped RNA Transcription Kit (Ambion) from a template plasmid-pT3Ts-Tol2.

We coinjected transposase mRNA and plasmid containing RLC-GFP or RLC-PAGFP into the cytoplasm of one-cell-stage embryos [\(5,](#page-17-2) [6\)](#page-17-3). DNA solution (3-5 μL), including 150-250 ng/µL of the plasmid, 200 ng/µL transposase mRNA, 0.1M KCl, and 0.05% phenol red, was backloaded into a needle using a microloader pipette then air-pressure-injected into the embryo with its chorion intact. Usually ~300-500 embryos were injected. At 2-3 dpf, we screened embryos for green fluorescence excited by 488 or 405 nm (GFP or PAGFP) transmitted light using a low power objective (10X). Selected embryos had their chorion removed before further experimentation.

Embryos were generated by natural pair-wise mating in zebrafish mating boxes. Embryos were maintained in petri dishes in zebrafish water (60X: 300mM NaCl, 10mM KCl, 20mM CaCl₂ and 20mm MgSO₄) at 28 °C. At 21-25 somites stage, the embryos were treated with 0.5mM 1-phenyl 2-thiourea (PTU) (Sigma) to inhibit melanogenesis. Zebrafish were staged in accordance with standard staging series [\(7\)](#page-17-4). The embryos were normally anesthetized during observation in the microscope with 168 mg/l tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222, Western chemical Inc). Zebrafish were handled in accordance with IACUC guidelines in an approved protocol (A47113).

Preparation of tagged porcine papillary muscle fibers. Exchanged RLC-PAGFP replaces 40- 60% of the native RLC in permeabilized porcine papillary muscle fibers and supports a native contractility level as described previously [\(3,](#page-17-5) [8\)](#page-17-6). Single molecule data was measured from the papillary fibers in relaxation. Relaxing buffer consisted of 29.3 mM KPropionate (KPr), 6.6 mM $MgCl₂$, 0.17 mM CaCl₂, 7 mM EGTA, 6 mM ATP, 20 mM phosphocreatine (PCr), 20 mM imidazole (pH 7), 15 U/mL Cr kinase, 1 mM DTT, and 1 µg/mL leupeptin. At the 150 mM ionic strength conditions used there is negligible interaction with actin and the cross-bridge is mainly associated with the myosin (thick) filament [\(9\)](#page-17-7).

Figure S1 The chromophore and lever-arm helix moieties aligned by the S1/GFP coordination calculation. Color coded coordinates designate crystal axes for GFP in 1emb [\(10\)](#page-17-8) and S1 in 2mys [\(11\)](#page-17-9) in blue, emission dipole coordinates with emission dipole on the w-axis and absorption dipole in the uw-plane plane (green), and molecular coordinates fixed to particular atoms (N3 and CZ) in the GFP chromophore or the helix dipole moment in the lever-arm (red). Symbol ζ defines the angle between unphotoactivated absorption $\hat{\mu}_a$ (designated $\hat{\mu}_a[B]$ in the text) and photoactivated emission dipole $\hat{\mu}_e$ (designated $\hat{\mu}_e[A]$ in the text) depicted in both the GFP chromophore and the myosin lever-arm. Euler angles (γ, ρ, ω) relate emission $\{u, v, w\}$ and leverarm molecular $\{r_m, s_m, t_m\}$ frames.

Figure S2 shows two quantized photoactivation over background and photobleaching to background events from RLC-PAGFP tagged myosin in vivo identifying single molecule events. Shown are two instances of the integrated intensity vs time for 11x11 pixel arrays containing a photoactivated chromophore.

Figure S3 shows zebrafish in vivo super-resolution scatter plots and errors for p- or spolarization photoactivated (\hat{e}_p or \hat{e}_s) RLC-PAGFP dipole moments in TIRF coordinates (θ , ϕ) or Fiber coordinates (β',α'). Coordinates are defined in **Figure 2**. Errors in TIRF coordinates are computed using eq. 6. Errors in Fiber coordinate are derived from TIRF coordinates using the rotation relating coordinate frames. Orientation super-resolution has mean error of 3 deg for θ and 25 deg for φ from the data in **Figure 5**. Dipole inversion symmetry implies a redundant patterns for $180 < \phi \le 360$ or $180 < \alpha \le 360$.

Supporting References

- 1. Burghardt, T. P., K. Ajtai, D. K. Chan, M. F. Halstead, J. Li, and Y. Zheng. 2007. GFP Tagged Regulatory Light Chain Monitors Single Myosin Lever-Arm Orientation in a Muscle Fiber. Biophys. J. 93:2226-2239.
- 2. Burghardt, T. P., J. Li, and K. Ajtai. 2009. Single Myosin Lever-Arm Orientation in a Muscle Fiber Detected with Photoactivatable GFP. Biochemistry 48:754-765.
- 3. Burghardt, T. P., M. P. Josephson, and K. Ajtai. 2011. Single myosin cross-bridge orientation in cardiac papillary muscle detects lever-arm shear strain in transduction. Biochemistry 50:7809-7821.
- 4. Berger, J., and P. D. Currie. 2013. 503unc, a small and muscle-specific zebrafish promoter. Genesis 51:443-447.
- 5. Kwan, K. M., E. Fujimoto, C. Grabher, B. D. Mangum, M. E. Hardy, D. S. Campbell, J. M. Parant, H. J. Yost, J. P. Kanki, and C.-B. Chien. 2007. The Tol2kit: A multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. Dev. Dyn. 236:3088-3099.
- 6. Higashijima, S.-i., H. Okamoto, N. Ueno, Y. Hotta, and G. Eguchi. 1997. High-Frequency Generation of Transgenic Zebrafish Which Reliably Express GFP in Whole Muscles or the Whole Body by Using Promoters of Zebrafish Origin. Dev. Biol. 192:289- 299.
- 7. Hirata, H., L. Saint-Amant, J. Waterbury, W. Cui, W. Zhou, Q. Li, D. Goldman, M. Granato, and J. Y. Kuwada. 2004. accordion, a zebrafish behavioral mutant, has a muscle relaxation defect due to a mutation in the ATPase Ca2+ pump SERCA1. Development 131:5457-5468.
- 8. Burghardt, T. P., and L. A. Sikkink. 2013. Regulatory Light Chain Mutants Linked to Heart Disease Modify the Cardiac Myosin Lever-Arm. Biochemistry 52:1249-1259.
- 9. Brenner, B., M. Schoenberg, J. M. Chalovich, L. E. Greene, and E. Eisenberg. 1982. Evidence for cross-bridge attachment in relaxed muscle at low ionic strength. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:7288-7291.
- 10. Brejc, K., T. K. Sixma, P. A. Kitts, S. R. Kain, R. Y. Tsien, M. Ormo, and S. J. Remington. 1997. Structural basis for dual excitation and photoisomerization of the Aequorea victoria green fluorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94:2306-2311.
- 11. Rayment, I., W. R. Rypniewski, K. Schmidt-Base, R. Smith, D. R. Tomchick, M. M. Benning, D. A. Winkelmann, G. Wesenberg, and H. M. Holden. 1993. Threedimensional structure of myosin subfragment-1: A molecular motor. Science 261:50-58.