

Figure S1. ChIP to detect acetyl-lysine residues at a (CAG)₁₅₅ **repeat tract.** Related to Figure 2. Cells were arrested in G1 with α-factor and time points taken after release into fresh media. qPCR used primers 0.4 and 0.6 kb upstream of the CAG repeat. (A) H4K16ac % Input ($2^{-\Delta Ct}$; no normalization) was measured at the CAG repeat (blue), a no-tract control (red), and at the ACT1 locus (green) as an internal control. (B) Cells used for ChIP were surveyed for cell cycle stage by morphology. Cells were arrested in G1 with α-factor and time points taken after release into fresh media. Cells were analyzed after crosslinking with formaldehyde and visualized under a light microscope. The bars represent the average of three CAG₁₅₅ experiments. (C) Histone H3 levels were measured at the CAG repeat (blue) and a no-tract control (red); fold enrichment was calculated by normalization to an ACT1 control. (D) H4K5ac was measured at the CAG repeat (blue) and a no-tract control (red); fold enrichment was calculated by normalization to an ACT1 control. Data for A, C, D are represented as mean +/- SEM.



Figure S2 H4K16 acetylation at a stalled replication fork. Related to Figure 2. Cells were arrested in G1 with alpha factor and released into 0.2M HU and time points were taken as indicated. (A) H4K16ac ChIP was quantified by qPCR using primers at ARS305 and 1.5 kb, 2.5 kb, or 4.5 kb from the origin and fold enrichment over an ASI1 amplicon was used for normalization (Papamichos-Chronakis & Peterson, 2008). (B) A positive control shows enrichment for RPA 1.5 kb and 2.5 kb from the origin, indicating that the fork was efficiently stalled under these conditions. Fold enrichment over the ASI1 locus +/- SEM is shown.

Table S1 (CAG)85 Stability Assay Data

		Expansions			Contractions				
	Total			Fold	p-value			Fold	p-value
Wildtype strains	reactions	#	%	over wt	to wt	#	%	over wt	to wt
Wildtype CFY801	299	4	1.3			33	11.0		
H3/H4-wildtype CFY2501	156	3	1.9	1.4	1	27	17.3	1.6	1
ESA1-KAN wildtype CFY2048ª	130	1	0.8	0.6	1	14	10.8	1.0	1
Single mutants									
esa1-1851 ^b	125	10	8.0	6.0	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	25	20.0	1.8	0.05
esal-L357H ^b	104	2	19	1.4	0.65	17	16.4	1.0	0.17
$vna2\Lambda$	102	8	7.8	59	2 7 x 10 ⁻³	14	13.7	1.0	0.48
hat1A	102	6	5.8	43	0.02	19	18.3	1.2	0.06
acn5A	113	3	2.7	2.0	0.02	7	62	0.6	0.00
sas3Ac	154	4	2.6	2.0	0.45	23	14.9	14	0.23
sas2A	179	3	17	13	1	17	95	0.9	0.65
sir2A	187	7	37	2.8	0.12	12	6.4	0.5	0.00
hst1A	105	, 1	1.0	0.7	1	10	95	0.0	0.65
hos2A	103	1	1.0	0.7	1	7	67	0.5	0.06
set 3A	101	1	1.0	0.7	1	, 13	12.6	11	0.72
sum1A	103	0	0	0.7	0.58	10	9.6	0.9	0.85
rnd3A	218	2	0.9	07	1	12	5.5	0.5	0.03
1 pubb	210	2	0.7	0.7	I	14	5.5	0.5	0.05
HAT and HDAC double and triple mu		itants							
esa1-1851 sas2∆ ^b	104	6	5.8	4.3	0.02	21	20.2	1.8	0.03
hst1∆hos2∆	101	5	5.0	3.7	4.9 x 10 ⁻²	19	18.8	1.7	0.06
$hst1\Delta sir2\Delta$	104	3	2.9	2.2	0.38	7	6.7	0.6	0.25
hos2∆rpd3∆	174	4	2.3	1.7	0.47	13	7.5	0.7	0.26
hos2∆sir2∆	102	9	8.8	6.6	9.3 x 10 ⁻⁴	13	12.8	1.2	0.7
hst1∆hos2∆sir2∆	205	19	9.3	6.9	9.9 x 10 ⁻⁴	53	25.9	2.3	3.8 x 10 ⁻⁴
Hda1 and Sae2 mutant	\$								
hda1A	98	6	61	4.6	0.02	13	133	12	0.6
hda1Ahos2Arnd3A	102	7	6.9	5.1	7.5×10^{-3}	11	10.8	1.0	1
sae2A	156	9	5.8	43	0.01	28	18.0	1.0	0.04
hda1Asae2A	155	10	65	4.8	6.01	37	23.9	2.2	5 4 x 10 ⁻⁴
11441254622	100	10	010	110	017 11 10	07	2017		011110
Histone mutants									
H4-K12R ^d	100	8	8.0	6.0	0.03	26	26.0	2.4	0.11
$H4-K16R^{d}$	102	8	7.8	5.9	0.03	26	25.5	2.3	0.12
H4-K160d	204	11	54	4.0	0.03	40	19.6	1.8	0.68
H4-K5 8R ^d	104	5	4.8	3.6	0.27	17	16.3	1.0	0.86
H4-K5.8.12Rd	102	10	9.8	73	7.1 x10-3	21	20.6	1.9	0.52
$H4-K58120^{d,e}$	102	6	55	2.9	0.17	27	24.8	1.2	0.16
H4-K5 8 12 16Rd	102	10	9.5	73	7 1 x 10-3	29	28.4	2.6	0.04
H3/H4 WT rad5/d	96	6	63	4.7	0.09	25	26.0	2.7	0.11
$H4-K12R$ rad $5\Lambda d$	153	2	1 3	1.7	1	40	26.0	2.7	0.07
H4-K16R rad 5Ad	151	2	2.0	1.5	1	15	99	0.9	0.07
<i>H3-N∆</i> ^d	104	3	2.9	2.2	0.79	10	9.6	0.9	0.10

		Expansions			Contractions				
	Total		Fold p-value					p-value to	
	reactions	#	%	over wt	to wt	#	%	over wt	wt
<i>Н2А-N</i> Д ^f	93	2	2.2	1.6	1	25	26.9	2.4	0.03
$htz1\Delta$	103	2	1.9	1.5	0.65	24	23.3	2.1	3.1 x 10 ⁻³
HATs and HDACs and r	epair mutant	S							
lif1∆	96	4	4.2	3.1	0.10	16	16.7	1.5	0.16
lif1∆ esa1-1851	102	9	8.8	6.6	9.3 x 10 ⁻⁴	20	19.6	1.8	0.04
lif1∆hst1∆hos2∆sir2∆	104	11	10.6	7.9	1.2 x 10-4	15	14.4	1.3	0.38
rad52∆	199	4	2	1.5	1	45	22.6	2.1	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁴
rad52∆ esa1-1851 b	92	1	1.1	0.8	1	4	4.4	0.4	0.07
rad52∆hat1∆	92	0	0	0	0.58	16	17.4	1.6	0.15
$rad52\Delta hst1\Delta hos2\Delta$	156	3	1.9	1.4	0.7	17	10.9	1.0	1
$rad52\Delta hst1\Delta hos2\Delta sir2\Delta$	205	8	3.9	2.9	0.08	21	10.2	0.9	0.88
rad5∆	170	7	4.1	3.1	0.11	31	18.2	1.7	0.04
rad5∆ esa1-1851	204	4	2.0	1.5	0.72	31	15.2	1.4	0.18
$rad5\Delta hst1\Delta hos2\Delta sir2\Delta$	229	9	3.9	2.9	0.09	39	17.0	1.5	0.05
rad57∆	146	7	4.8	3.6	0.05	24	16.4	1.5	0.13
rad57∆ esa1-1851	167	7	4.2	3.1	0.06	20	12.0	1.1	0.76
$rad57\Delta hst1\Delta hos2\Delta sir2\Delta$	205	8	3.9	2.9	0.07	30	14.6	1.3	0.27
Chromatin remodeling									
rsc1∆	100	6	6.0	4.5	0.02	9	9.0	0.8	0.71
rsc1∆ esa1-1851	163	17	10.4	7.8	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁵	31	19.0	1.7	0.02
rsc1∆rad5∆	148	4	2.7	2.0	0.45	22	14.9	1.4	0.28
rsc2∆	104	5	4.8	3.6	0.05	22	21.2	1.9	0.01
rsc2∆ esa1-1851	179	15	8.4	6.3	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁴	24	13.4	1.2	0.47
$rsc2\Delta hst1\Delta hos2\Delta sir2\Delta$	199	11	5.5	4.1	0.01	28	14.1	1.3	0.33
rsc2∆rad5∆	151	4	2.6	2.0	0.45	25	16.6	1.5	0.10
bdf1∆	202	8	4.0	3.0	0.04	53	26.2	2.4	1.9 x 10 ⁻⁵
bdf1∆ esa1-1851	103	7	6.8	5.1	7.9 x 10 ⁻³	12	11.6	1.1	0.86
$bdf1\Delta hst1\Delta hos2\Delta sir2\Delta$	99	7	7.1	5.3	6.6 x 10 ⁻³	14	14.1	1.3	0.47
snf2∆	96	1	1.5	1.1	1	10	10.4	0.9	1
snf2∆ esa1-1851	104	9	8.7	6.5	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	18	17.3	1.6	0.12
snf2∆hst1∆hos2∆sir2∆	103	10	9.7	7.3	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁴	18	17.5	1.6	0.12
swr1Δ	93	2	2.2	1.6	0.63	15	16.3	1.5	0.21

All instability values statistically analyzed by Fisher's exact test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

^a Instability was measured in a wildtype strain in which the KANMX6 cassette was integrated 150 bp 5' to the ESA1 stop codon to evaluate if integration of KANMX6 induced instability. These results indicate that KANMX6 downstream of ESA1 does not increase repeat instability. Therefore, instability in the *esa1* mutant allele strains can be attributed to the mutant allele.

^b Compared to ESA1-wildtype (CFY2048).

^cData provided by M Koch.

^d Compared to H3/H4 wildtype plasmid system, endogenous H3 and H4 copies deleted (CFY2051).

^eH4K5,8,12Q plasmid provided by L Pillus (Torres-Machorro & Pillus, 2014).

^fCompared to H2A/H2B wildtype plasmid system, endogenous H2A and H2B copies deleted (unpublished).

Strain	Wildtype	esa1-1851	esa1-1851 CAG ₁₅₅	hat1∆	$hst1\Delta hos2\Delta$
Mean Rate (x 10 ⁻⁶) ^a	17.11	15.55	13.43	9.59*	7.27*
SEM	2.45	2.26	2.25	1.23	2.08
No. replicates	(13)	(6)	(4)	(6)	(6)
	H3/H4 WT	H4-K12R	H4-K16R		
Mean Rate (x 10 ⁻⁶) ^a	34.67	38.3	22.4		
SEM	4.47	2.57	1.97		
No. replicates	(3)	(3)	(3)		

Table S2 (CAG)₈₅ Fragility Assay Data

*p<0.05 to wt, Student's t-test ^aMean rate of Leu⁺FOA^R mutants (x 10⁻⁶)

Strain	Wildtype	rad57∆	rad5∆	esa1-1851	yng2∆	$hst1\Delta hos2\Delta sir2\Delta$	rsc1∆	rsc2∆	bdf1∆	
Mean Rate ^a (x 10 ⁻⁵)	7.48	0.10**	0.70*	1.66**	1.62**	10.31	3.37*	2.97*	1.74*	
SEM	1.06	0.02	0.16	0.21	0.53	1.60	0.37	0.73	0.71	
No. replicates	(5)	(3)	(3)	(5)	(3)	(6)	(3)	(3)	(3)	
Strain	Wildtype HU	Wildtype MMS	esa1-1 + M	1851 MS	<i>rsc1∆</i> + MMS	<i>rsc2∆</i> + MMS	<i>rad5∆</i> + MMS	<i>bdf1∆</i> + MMS		
Mean Rate ^a (x 10 ⁻⁵)	6.32	15.59**	0.27	7**	13.77**	3.47	4.23	5	.83	
SEM	1.72	1.40	0.0	5	1.85	0.21	0.50	1	.15	
No. replicates	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)		(3)	
Strain Mean Bate ^b	H3/H4 WT	H4-K12F	R H4K	16R						
(x 10 ⁻⁵)	11.47	3.89	2.2	24*						
SEM	0.10	2.38	0.5	57						
No. replicates	(4)	(3)	(3	3)						

*p<0.05 to wt, **p<0.01 to wt, Student's t-test ^aSCR Mean rate of Trp+Ade+ recombinants (x 10⁻⁵) ^bSCR Mean rate of Ura+Ade+ recombinants (x 10⁻⁵)

Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Yeast strains and plasmids. Plasmids containing H4K12R, H4K16R, and H4K16Q were made by cloning a SpeI/SalI fragment from pQQ18H4K12R, pQQ18H4K16R, and pQQ18H4K16Q plasmids (Blackwell et al., 2007) into pRS314 digested by SpeI/Sall. Plasmids were introduced into *HHT2ΔHHF2Δ* BY4705, CAG85 cells under Trp selection and correct point mutations were confirmed by sequencing. *HHT1* and HHF2 were then deleted. The H4K5,8R, H4K5,8,12R, H4K5,8,12,16R (Dion et al, 2005), and H3-NA (Mann and Grunstein, 1992) *MET15*-marked plasmids were obtained from OJ Rando, JS Thompson, and M Grunstein. Yeast mutants were obtained by plasmid shuffle into CFY2051, using FAA counter selection to select for loss of the *TRP1*-marked plasmid containing wild-type copies of the H3/H4 genes. Trp⁻ cells were verified to be Met⁺ indicating gain of the point mutant plasmid. The H4K5.8.120 plasmid contains a *TRP1* marker (Torres-Machorro and Pillus, 2014): this strain was obtained by plasmid shuffle with the H3K5,8,12R mutant strain (plasmid contains *MET15*), selecting for Trp^+ and verifying Met⁻. The H2A-N Δ plasmid, pJH161 (H2A- Δ 5-21; marked with *HIS3*), was obtained from the Winston lab (Hirschhorn et al., 1995) and shuffled into the FY406 strain which has both wildtype copies of H2A and H2B deleted, then selecting for loss of the URA3-marked plasmid containing the wild-type H2A/H2B genes. YAC CF1, (CAG)₈₅ was then introduced by a kar cross and CAG repeat tract length was confirmed by PCR.

Supplemental References

- Brachmann, C. B., Davies, A., Cost, G. J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., & Boeke, J. D. (1998). Designer deletion strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C: A useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. *Yeast*, 14(2), 115-132.
- Blackwell, J. S., Jr, Wilkinson, S. T., Mosammaparast, N., & Pemberton, L. F. (2007). Mutational analysis of H3 and H4 N termini reveals distinct roles in nuclear import. J. Biol. Chem., 282(28), 20142-20150.
- Dion, M. F., Altschuler, S. J., Wu, L. F., & Rando, O. J. (2005). Genomic characterization reveals a simple histone H4 acetylation code. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 102*(15), 5501-5506.
- Fung, C. W., Mozlin, A. M., Symington, L. S. (2009). Suppression of the double-strandbreak-repair defect of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae rad57* mutant. *Genetics*, 181(4), 1195-206.
- Hirschhorn, J. N., Bortvin, A. L., Ricupero-Hovasse, S. L., & Winston, F. (1995). A new class of histone H2A mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* causes specific transcriptional defects *in vivo*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, *15*(4), 1999-2009.
- Mann, R. K., & Grunstein, M. (1992). Histone H3 N-terminal mutations allow hyperactivation of the yeast GAL1 gene *in vivo*. *EMBO J., 11*(9), 3297-3306.

- Papamichos-Chronakis, M., & Peterson, C. L. (2008). The Ino80 chromatinremodeling enzyme regulates replisome function and stability. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, *15*(4), 338-345.
- Torres-Machorro, A. L., & Pillus, L. (2014). Bypassing the requirement for an essential MYST acetyltransferase. *Genetics*, doi:genetics.114.165894 [pii]
- Yang, J. H., & Freudenreich, C. H. (2010). The Rtt109 histone acetyltransferase facilitates error-free replication to prevent CAG/CTG repeat contractions. *DNA Repair*, 9(4), 414-420.