#### **Supplementary Figure Legends**

**Fig. S1. PQBP1 and WBP11 sequence comparisons**. **A.** Alignment of PQBP1 protein sequences of human (*Homo sapiens*, *Hs*), mouse (*Mus musculus*, Mm), *Xenopus laevis* (*Xl*) homeologs a and b, zebrafish (*Danio rerio*, *Dr*) and Starlet sea anemone (*Nematostella vectensis*, *Nv*). Shading indicates homology (black 80–100%, grey 60–80%). WW: WW domain, PRD: polar amino acid rich domain, NLS: nuclear localization signal, CTD: C-terminal conserved domain. The additional polar amino acid-rich tail highly conserved among species is marked by wavy line. **B.** Alignment of WBP11 protein sequences of human (*Hs*), mouse (*Mm*), *Xenopus laevis* (*Xl*) homeologs a and b, and *zebrafish* (*Dr*). Shading indicates homology (black 80–100%, grey 60–80%).

**Fig. S2**. **Expression profile of** *pqbp1* **and** *wbp11* **mRNA during embryogenesis**. Total RNA extracted from indicated developmental stages of *X*. *laevis* was used for qPCR analysis. The results were normalized to *ornithine decarboxylase* (ODC) levels and plotted relative to the level measured in the stage 35 embryos (set as 1.0).

**Fig. S3. Expression of** *pqbp1* **and** *wbp11* **in neurula stage embryos**. Whole mount *in situ* hybridization with indicated probes on embryos that were longitudinally sectioned (A) or cross-sectioned (B) stage 18 embryos. S: sense probe of *pqbp1*. Both *pqbp1* and *wbp11* transcripts are localized to ventro-lateral neural tube cells (B).

**Fig. S4**. **Over-expression of PQBP1 inhibits normal specification and movement of dorsal mesoderm**. Embryos at the end of gastrulation, stage 13, that had been dorsally injected at the 2-cell stage with 1 ng *pqbp1* mRNA, were analyzed by *in situ* hybridization with *brachyury (bra)* and *chordin (chd)* probes.

**Fig. S5.** Partial rescue of neural folding defects in PQBP1 morphants by *pqbp1* mRNA. A. PQ MO1 (40 ng) was dorsally injected with either 2 ng *b-galactosidase (b-gal)* or *pqbp1* (PQ) mRNA. B. Fraction of embryos displaying specific phenotypes: closed, partially closed or open neural folds (NF).

**Fig. S6.** *Xenopus* **WBP11 interacts with PQBP1**. **A.** Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of PQBP1 and WBP11 from COS1 cells transfected with HA-tagged PQBP1 (HA-PQ) and myc-tagged WBP11 (myc-WB). Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP) with an anti-myc antibody, followed by immunoblotting with an anti-HA antibody to detect PQBP1 bound to WBP11, or vice versa. TCL: total

cell lysate. **B**. Co-immunostaining of myc-tagged WBP11 (myc-WB) and HA-tagged PQBP1 (HA-PQ) expressed in COS1 cells. Nuclei were stained with DAPI.

Fig. S7. Validation of *pqbp1* knockdown effects on *fgf4* and *cdx4* expression in *X. laevis* and *X. tropicalis* embryos. Results were analyzed and plotted as described in Fig. 5. A-C. Expression of *fgf4* and *cdx4* was analyzed by qPCR. A. Either of the PQBP1 MOs (at 50 ng) reduced the expression of *fgf4* and *cdx4* in *X. laevis* morphant embryos, \*P < 0.05 or \*\*P < 0.01, with comparisons to control embryos (CT). B. Reduced *fgf4* and *cdx4* expression in embryos injected with 30 ng *pqbp1* MOb at the 4-cell stage into the VMZ was rescued by co-injection of MOb-resistant PQBP1 mRNA (0.4 ng or 2 ng) but not control *b-gal* mRNA (-); \*P < 0.05 or \*\*P < 0.01, with comparisons to MO-only injected embryos (-). C. Analysis of PQBP1 knockdown in *X. tropicalis* embryos. *X. tropicalis* PQBP1 MO (20 ng) was bilaterally injected into embryos at the 2-cell stage, and phenotypes of stage-matched wild type (WT) embryo (left panel) and MO-injected embryos (middle panel) are shown. Expression of *fgf4* and *cdx4* were evaluated in WT and *pqbp1* morphant *X. tropicalis* at the gastrula stage (right panel), as described in Fig. 5; \*P < 0.05 or \*\*P<0.01, with comparisons to control embryos (CT).

**Fig. S8. Expression profile of different FGF receptors in Xenopus embryos. A.** Total RNA was extracted from early neurula stage embryos, followed by Lightcycler qPCR (27 cycles) with each receptor-specific primer set, and amplification products were visualized by gel electrophoresis. **B.** Expression profile of FGF receptors in morphant gastrula stage embryos by qPCR. Samples were prepared and analyzed as per Fig. 5. Relative *fgfr* expression levels were calculated from the "crossing point" (CP) of qPCR cycle numbers for each primer set, using a common standard curve generated from *fgfr1IIIb* control embryo cDNA dilution series, and normalized to levels of *odc* transcripts. Bar graphs indicate the relative expression level of receptor transcripts in embryos injected with 150 ng control (CT), 100 ng *pqbp1* (PQ), 50 ng *wbp11* (BP), and combined *pqbp1* and *wbp11* (PQ+BP) MOs. Mean values for triplicate biological experiments are plotted; bars indicate standard error. Note, although expression levels of *fgfr1IIIc* and *fgfr3IIIb* transcripts appear nearly zero, they were detected in gelbased and qPCR.

### Table S1. PCR Primers

Gene target		Primer sequence
odc	U	GCCATTGTGAAGACTCTCTCC
	D	TTCGGGTGATTCCTTGCCAC
wnt8	U	AGATGACGGCATTCCAGA
	D	TCTCCCGATATCTCAGGA
fgf4	U	CTTTCTTTCCAGAGAAACGACACCG
	D	AACTCACGACTCCAACTTCCACTG
fgf4 5'UTR	U	ACCTCCTCTGGGAGCTAAGCAGT
	D	TGGAAAGAAAGCGGCAGGCACT
cdx4	U	TCTCCTCATCCATCTGGGACTG
	D	AGTTCTGTCTTCCGCCTGATAGTG
fgf8	U	ATCACCTCCATCCTGGGCTATC
	D	TGCGAACTCTGCTTCCAAACG
brachyury	U	TTCTGAAGGTGAGCATGTCG
	D	GTTTGACTTTGCTAAAAGAGACAGG
siamois	U	CTGTCCTACAAGAGACTCTG
	D	TGTTGACTGCAGACTGTTGA
sizzled	U	CACACAAGACAGTCTTGGAAGCTTTC
	D	CACCAGCAATAACATACACTGTGGG
mix.2	U	TGCAAGCCATCATTATTCTAGC
	D	AGGAACCTCTGCCTCGAGACAT
apod (veg T)	U	TGGATTAGTTTAGGAACA
	D	CGGATCTTACACTGAGGA
sox2	U	GATCAGTATGTACCTACCTGG
	D	AGTGGAGAGCCACAGTTTGTC
chordin	U	AACTGCCAGGACTGGATGGT
	D	GGCAGGATTTAGAGTTGCTTC
noggin	U	AGTTGCAGATGTGGCTCT
	D	AGTCCAAGAGTCTGAGCA
goosecoid	U	TTCACCGATGAACAACTGGA

	D	TTCCACTTTTGGGCATTTTC
trop odc	U	ACAAGCTGTCTCAGATGCAC
	D	GCTCAGCAATGATGGTCACT
trop fgf4	U	GCAACGTGGGCATCGGGTTTCA
	D	TCCATACAGCTTCCCCTTTGCATTC
trop cdx4	U	AGCTGCCAACTCACCCAGCGAT
	D	TCCAGCTCCAGCCTCTGATGGT

### Table S2. PCR Primers for FGFR

Gene target		Primer sequence
fgfr1 ex7	U	TGGCCTCGGATGGCTTCCCGTAT
fgfr1 ex8a	D	CGCAGACTGATTGGCCTCACCA
fgfr1 ex8b	U	TGGAGTTAGCGGCCAAGCAGGT
fgfr2 ex7	U	CCACATCCGCTGGGTGCGTTA
fgfr2 ex8a	D	TGCGTCCGCTTCGGTCACATT
fgfr2 ex8b	D	CCAGCATCCTCAAAAGAAACATTCCTG
fgfr2 ex8a	U	TCCAGTGCTGAAGTGCTGAAACTG
fgfr2 ex8b	U	ACATTCTGCCTGGTTGACGGT
fgfr2 ex9	D	TCTTCTTGGCTCCTTGCCGC
fgfr3 ex7	U	TGGCAGCAAGTACGGCCCAGA
fgfr3 ex8a	D	TGTCCTTCATGGGTCTCGGTCACA
fgfr3 ex8b	D	ACCGTCAGCCAAGCAGTGTGA
fgfr4 ex7	U	TGGAAGCCATTTTGGCCCTGATGA
fgfr4 ex9	D	TCTTGACTCTGCTGGCTCGGCT



В





Fig. S3





Α В WT n=25 n=25 n=30 100% 80% closed NF 60% partial PQ MO1 + ß-gal 40% open 20% 0% WT PQ RNA -PQ MO1 + PQ + PQ MO











С



XtPQBP1 MO



Fig. S8

