## Supporting Information **pH and Amphiphilic Structure Direct Supramolecular Behavior in Biofunctional Assemblies**

Tyson J. Moyer,‡ Joel A. Finbloom,‡ Feng Chen, Daniel J. Toft, Vincent L. Cryns,\* Samuel I. Stupp\*

## **Supporting Figures**



**Figure 1S.** Circular dichroism (CD) of PAs **1** and **2**. A pH-dependent decrease in CD signal was observed for both PAs **1** and **2**.



**Figure 2S.** Titration studies of PAs 1 (circles), 2 (squares), and Acet-H<sub>6</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> (triangles). Both PAs showed buffering capacities between pH 5.5 and 6.5, confirming the pH range observed for morphological changes in the PA assembly. For comparison, the  $H_6$  peptide without an alkyl tail showed a lower buffering capacity relative to the assembled peptides.



**Figure 3S.** Critical aggregation concentration (CAC) studies of PAs **1** and **2**. While both PAs showed more dramatic aggregation at pH 7.5 than pH 6.0, no significant shift in  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  was observed at pH 6.0 for PA **2**.



**Figure 4S**. SAXS of reverse histidine-based β-sheet PA,  $K_2H_6K(C_{12})$ , and reverse PA with a βsheet region previous used previously,  $K_2A_6K(C_{12})$ , at pH 7.5. Reverse H<sub>6</sub> PA assembled into spherical micelles, while the reverse  $A_6$  PA assembled into nanofibers.



**Figure 5S**. SAXS of  $K_2A_6K(C_{12})$  shows that  $A_6$ -based PAs do not show the same pH-dependent behavior found in the  $H_6$ -based PAs, and form cylinders at both acidic pH and physiological pH.



**Figure 6S**. (A) The chemical structure of Nova PA analogue to PA **2** shows a PA with the hydrophobic tail at the c-terminus, but without the side group of amide to disrupt hydrogen bonding. Myristic acid was used instead of dodecanoic acid because the carbon linker is shorter than the lysine side-chain. (B) At pH 7.5, this PA forms fibrous nanostructures by cryo-TEM. (C) At pH 6.0, nanostructures were not observed. For synthesis of this PA, a universal novatag resin was used. The mmt group was first deprotected off resin using 2% TFA, 5% TIPS, 93% DCM, and followed by a peptide coupling with myristic acid. Standard Fmoc-peptide synthesis was used for the remainder of the peptide, which was purified by HPLC.



**Figure 7S**. MDA-MB 231 breast cancer cell viability at earlier passage (A) and later passage (B) shows the effect of passage number on nanostructure cytotoxicity.



**Figure 8S.** (A) Chemical structure of the  $OEG-K<sub>2</sub>A<sub>6</sub>K(C<sub>12</sub>)$  PA used for in vivo experiments. Cryo-TEM of  $K_2A_6K(C_{12})$  PA at pH 7.5 PBS (B) and pH 6.0 PBS (C) show one-dimensional structures in both cases.



**Figure 9S**. Biodistribution of PAs **1** and **2** at 12 h and 24 h. Significant decreases in fluorescence of the liver for PA **1** and of the kidneys for PA 2 were observed.



**Figure 10S**. Fluorescence and H&E of tumor histology. Increased levels of fluorescence by AlexaFluor 680 were observed for PA 1 (A) after 12 hours. PA 2 (B) and OEG-K<sub>2</sub>A<sub>6</sub>K(C<sub>12</sub>) (C) did not show any fluorescence at the same timepoint. Representative H&E stains from different sections of the same tumors are shown to the right.



**Figure 11S**. LC-MS characterization. (A) HPLC trace of PA **1** using a gradient from 5% to 95% MeCN over 30 minutes. (B) HPLC trace of PA **2** using the same gradient. (C) ESI mass spec of PA **1** of the major peak shown in (A). (D) ESI mass spec of PA **2** from the major peak shown in (B).