Supplementary Information for "Binding Structures of tri-N-acetyl- β -glucosamine in Hen Egg White Lysozyme using Molecular Dynamics with a Polarizable Force Field"

Yang Zhong and Sandeep Patel^{*}

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, USA

 $^{^{*}\}mbox{Corresponding author. E-mail: sapatel@udel.edu}$

List of Tables

| Ι | Electrostatic Parameters in the polarizable CHEQ Force Fields (Part I) $\ \ldots \ \ldots$ | 3 |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| II | Electrostatic Parameters in the polarizable CHEQ Force Fields (Part II) | 4 |
| III | Nonbond Parameters for the CHEQ and PHLB Force Fields | 5 |
| IV | Small Molecule GB Energies Using C22 and CHEQ Charges | 6 |
| V | Fitting Constants for Entropy Extrapolation. The numbers in the brackets represent | |
| | asymptotic standard errors upon fitting | 7 |

| Atom Name | Atom Type | χ^a | $(\eta_i^\circ)^a/2$ |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| C1 | CTS | 310 | 98 |
| H1 | HAS | 295 | 251 |
| 01 | OHS | 515 | 154 |
| HO1 | HOS | 88 | 259 |
| C2 | CTS | 310 | 98 |
| H2 | HAS | 268 | 251 |
| C5 | CTS | 290 | 98 |
| H5 | HAS | 268 | 251 |
| O5 | OES | 500 | 154 |
| NT | NG1 | 557 | 149 |
| HNT | HG | 99 | 297 |
| C7 | CG | 216 | 123 |
| 07 | OG | 626 | 190 |
| C8 | CT3G | 470 | 120 |
| H81 | HA3G | 271 | 251 |
| H82 | HA3G | 271 | 251 |
| H83 | HA3G | 271 | 251 |
| | | | |

^{*a*} Electronegativity χ and atomic hardness η are in units of $\frac{kcal/mol}{e}$ and $\frac{kcal/mol}{e^2}$, respectively. The values for η correspond identically to J_{ii} in the text discussion.

TABLE I:

| Atom Name | Atom Type | χ^a | $(\eta_i^\circ)^a/2$ |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| C3 | CTS | 310 | 98 |
| H3 | HAS | 268 | 251 |
| O3 | OHS | 550 | 154 |
| HO3 | HOS | 86 | 259 |
| C4 | CTS | 380 | 98 |
| H4 | HAS | 268 | 251 |
| O4 | OHS | 550 | 154 |
| HO4 | HOS | 86 | 259 |
| C6 | CTS | 320 | 104 |
| H61 | HAS | 305 | 251 |
| H62 | HAS | 305 | 251 |
| O6 | OHS | 540 | 154 |
| HO6 | HOS | 98 | 259 |
| | | | |

^{*a*} Electronegativity χ and atomic hardness η are in units of $\frac{kcal/mol}{e}$ and $\frac{kcal/mol}{e^2}$, respectively. The values for η correspond identically to J_{ii} in the text discussion.

TABLE II:

| Atom Type | ϵ (CHEQ) | $R_{min}/2$ (CHEQ) | ϵ (PHLB) | $R_{min}/2$ (PHLB) |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Unit | $(\rm kcal/mol)$ | (Å) | $(\rm kcal/mol)$ | (Å) |
| | | | | |
| CTS | 0.020 | 2.275 | 0.020 | 2.275 |
| HAS | 0.082 | 1.320 | 0.020 | 1.320 |
| OHS | 0.170 | 1.630 | 0.152 | 1.770 |
| HOS | 0.016 | 0.125 | 0.046 | 0.225 |
| OES | 0.070 | 1.803 | 0.152 | 1.770 |
| NG1 | 0.120 | 1.880 | 0.200 | 1.850 |
| HG | 0.016 | 0.125 | 0.046 | 0.225 |
| CG | 0.160 | 2.225 | 0.110 | 2.000 |
| OG | 0.115 | 1.925 | 0.120 | 1.700 |
| CT3G | 0.073 | 2.020 | 0.080 | 2.060 |
| HA3G | 0.023 | 1.320 | 0.022 | 1.320 |
| ON2 | 0.070 | 1.803 | 0.152 | 1.770 |
| | | | | |

TABLE III:

| Molecule | C22 | CHEQ |
|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | | |
| Methanol | -7.05 | -9.93 |
| Ethanol | -7.09 | -9.58 |
| Propanol | -7.12 | -8.48 |
| Butanol | -7.05 | -9.56 |
| Acetamide | -10.81 | -21.46 |
| N-Methylacetamide | -9.39 | -21.07 |
| Formamide | -13.11 | -24.65 |
| N-Methylformamide | -13.42 | -21.24 |
| Methanethiol | -2.01 | -6.82 |
| Ethanethiol | -1.97 | -4.67 |
| Methylamine | -5.43 | -6.20 |
| Ethylamine | -3.89 | -3.23 |
| Propylamine | -4.58 | -4.90 |
| Butane | -1.19 | -0.41 |

TABLE IV:

| System | Model | $S_{\infty}(\text{kcal/mol/K})$ | $A \times 10^{-4}$ | n |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | | | | |
| $(NAG)_3$ | C22 | 80.01(0.19) | 3.43(4.16) | 1.30(0.18) |
| | CHEQ | 75.63(0.45) | 3.43(14.85) | 1.25(0.59) |
| $\operatorname{Protein}_{WT}$ | C22 | 1978.67(2.91) | 9.71(0.28) | 0.68(0.00) |
| | CHEQ | 1868.48(34.61) | 2.36(0.29) | 0.48(0.02) |
| $\operatorname{Protein}_{W62Y}$ | C22 | 2030.23(16.84) | 8.73(1.37) | 0.66(0.03) |
| | CHEQ | 1828.14(51.22) | 3.01(0.64) | 0.53(0.04) |
| $\operatorname{Complex}_{WT}^{ABC}$ | C22 | 2156.22(7.03) | 6.19(0.39) | 0.59(0.01) |
| | CHEQ | 1885.26(40) | 2.94(0.08) | 0.51(0.04) |
| $\operatorname{Complex}_{WT}^{BCD}$ | C22 | 2122.13(10.88) | 5.15(0.57) | 0.57(0.02) |
| | CHEQ | 2036.60(70.33) | 0.89(0.22) | 0.33(0.04) |
| $\operatorname{Complex}_{W62Y}^{ABC}$ | C22 | 2060.18(9.62) | 9.68(0.88) | 0.67(0.01) |
| | CHEQ | 1897.44(17.73) | 4.07(0.39) | 0.56(0.02) |
| | | | | |

TABLE V:

List of Figures

| 1 | Entropy evolution with simulated number of structures. TS is in the unit of kcal/mol. | 9 |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | Evolution of HEWL backbone RMSD for Helix, Sheet and Turn Structures Based | |
| | on The Fixed-charge Force Field. | 10 |
| 3 | Evolution of HEWL backbone RMSD for Helix, Sheet and Turn Structures Based | |
| | on The CHEQ Force Field. | 11 |
| 4 | Evolution of the RMSDs for ligand residues at different sites of ABC structure | |
| | obtained from simulations based on fixed charge model and polarizable model. $\ .$. | 12 |
| 5 | Evolution of the RMSDs for ligand residues at different sites of BCD structure | |
| | obtained from simulations based on fixed charge model and polarizable model. $\ .$. | 13 |
| 6 | Electrostatic solvation free energies ΔG_{GB} for complex, protein and ligand of 15000 | |
| | wildtype structures. | 14 |
| 7 | Nonbond solvation free energies ΔG_{SASA} for complex, protein and ligand of 15000 | |
| | wildtype structures. | 15 |
| 8 | Distributions of binding free energy ΔG_{bind} , interaction energy ΔE_{MM} and solvation | |
| | free energy ΔG_{solv} for wildtype structures in different RMSD regions | 16 |



FIG. 1:



FIG. 2:



FIG. 3:



FIG. 4:



FIG. 5:



FIG. 6:



FIG. 7:



FIG. 8: