### **Overview**



The official journal of the Society for Translational Oncology

### First Published Online October 3, 2014

### DOI: 10.1634/theoncologist.2014-0159

**Title:** A Phase I/II Study of XELIRI Plus Bevacizumab as Second-Line Chemotherapy for Japanese Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (BIX Study)

**Authors:** Yasuo Hamamoto,<sup>a</sup> Tatsuro Yamaguchi,<sup>b</sup> Tomohiro Nishina,<sup>c</sup> Kentaro Yamazaki,<sup>d</sup> Takashi Ura,<sup>e</sup> Takako Nakajima,<sup>f</sup> Ayumu Goto,<sup>g</sup> Ken Shimada,<sup>h</sup> Norisuke Nakayama,<sup>i</sup> Junichi Sakamoto,<sup>j</sup> Satoshi Morita,<sup>k</sup> Yasuhide Yamada<sup>l</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Keio Cancer Center, School of Medicine, Keio University, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>b</sup>Department of Surgery, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Disease Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>c</sup>Department of Gastrointestinal Medical Oncology, National Hospital Organization Shikoku Cancer Center, Ehime, Japan; <sup>d</sup>Division of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Shizuoka Cancer Center, Shizuoka, Japan; <sup>e</sup>Department of Clinical Oncology, Aichi Cancer Center Central Hospital, Nagoya, Japan; <sup>f</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, St. Marianna University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan; <sup>g</sup>Department of Clinical Oncology, Yokohama City University Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan; <sup>h</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Showa University Northern Yokohama Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan; <sup>i</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Kanagawa Cancer Center Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan; <sup>j</sup>Director, Tokai Central Hospital, Gifu, Japan; <sup>k</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>l</sup>Gastrointestinal Oncology Division, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

#### ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: UMIN000003482

Sponsor(s): Epidemiological and Clinical Research Information Network: ECRIN (Kyoto, Japan)

Principal Investigator: Yasuhide Yamada

#### IRB Approved: Yes

#### Disclosures

Tatsuro Yamaguchi: Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (H); Tomohiro Nishina: Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd. (H); Kentaro Yamazaki: Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd. (H); Takako Nakajima: Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., (RF, H); Ayumu Goto: Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (H); Yasuhide Yamada: Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd. (H); Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (RF); Satoshi Morita: Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (H). The other authors indicated no financial relationships.

(C/A) Consulting/advisory relationship; (RF) Research funding; (E) Employment; (ET) Expert testimony; (H) Honoraria received; (OI) Ownership interests; (IP) Intellectual property rights/inventor/patent holder; (SAB) Scientific advisory board

## **Author Summary: Abstract and Brief Discussion**

#### Background

Capecitabine is used mainly with oxaliplatin to treat metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) [1, 2]. Results from capecitabine plus irinotecan (XELIRI) with or without bevacizumab (BV) have been reported in Europe but not in Japan [3–10]. Consequently, the safety and efficacy of XELIRI plus BV in Japanese patients with mCRC were assessed in a single-arm phase II study.

#### Methods

Eligible patients had had prior chemotherapy containing BV for mCRC and wild-type or heterozygous UGT1A1. Therapy in each 21-day treatment cycle consisted of capecitabine (800 mg/m<sup>2</sup> twice daily on days 1–15), irinotecan (200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1), and BV (7.5 mg/kg on day 1). The primary endpoint was dose-limiting toxicity in phase I and progression-free survival (PFS) in phase II.

#### Results

A total of 34 patients (6 in phase I, 28 in phase II) were enrolled from May 2010 to June 2011. Baseline characteristics included a median age of 60 years (range: 22–74 years) for 24 men and 10 women (Table 2). No dose-limiting toxicities appeared in phase I. Median PFS was 240 days (95% confidence interval: 179–311 days). Overall response rate was 18.1%, and the disease-control rate was 90.9%. The incidence of adverse events frequently associated with irinotecan and capecitabine were neutropenia (any grade, 55.9%; grade 3 or 4, 11.8%), diarrhea (any grade, 50%; grade 3 or 4, 5.9%), and hand-foot syndrome (any grade, 61.8%; grade 3 or 4, 5.9%) (Table 4).

#### Conclusion

Our results suggest that XELIRI plus BV is well tolerated and effective as a second-line treatment for mCRC in Japanese patients. This regimen could be especially appropriate for patients resistant to oxaliplatin-based regimens.

#### Discussion

In this prospective trial for Japanese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, capecitabine plus irinotecan (XELIRI) plus bevacizumab as a second-line regimen achieved longer progression-free survival (240 days) and a higher overall response rate (18.1%) than other reported regimens, with an acceptable tolerability profile (Table 3). Unlike FOLFIRI, XELIRI doses do not require a long infusion process or an infuser pump, providing a great advantage to patients. The key finding in this study was that XELIRI plus bevacizumab demonstrated promising results beyond progression in Japanese patients.

## **Trial Information**

Disease	Colorectal cancer
Stage of disease / treatment	Metastatic / Advanced
Prior Therapy	1 prior regimen
Type of study - 1	Phase II
Type of study - 2	Single arm
Primary Endpoint	Progression-free survival
Secondary Endpoint	Overall response rate
Secondary Endpoint	Overall survival
Additional Details of Endpoints or Study Design	Phase I part: the primary endpoint was estimated dose-limiting toxicity in the first cycle. Another secondary endpoint was adverse events.
Investigator's Analysis	Active and should be pursued further

## **Drug Information**

Drug 1 Generic/Working name	Capecitabine
Trade name	XELODA
Company name	Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).
Drug type	Other
Drug class	Antimetabolite
Dose	1,600 mg/m <sup>2</sup>
Route	Oral (p.o.)
Schedule of Administration	2 divided administrations daily on days 1–14 of each 21-day cycle
Drug 2 Generic/Working name	Irinotecan
Trade name	Campto/Topotecin
Company name	Yakult Honsha/Daiichi Sankyo Company
Drug type	Other
Drug class	Topoisomerase I
Dose	200 mg/m <sup>2</sup>

Route	IV
Schedule of Administration	On day 1 in each 21-day cycle
Drug 3 Generic/Working name	Bevacizumab
Trade name	Avastin
Company name	Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).
Drug type	Antibody
Drug class	Angiogenesis - VEGF
Dose	7.5 mg/kg
Route	IV
Schedule of Administration	On day 1 in each 21-day cycle

# **Patient Characteristics**

Number of patients, male Number of patients, female Stage Age Number of prior systemic therapies Performance Status:	24 10 Stage IV/Recurrent Median (range): 60 (22–74) Median (range): 1 ECOG 0 – 22 1 – 12 2 – 0
	3 — 0 unknown — 0
Other	Not Collected
Cancer Types or Histologic Subtypes	Colorectal cancer, adenocarcinoma 34

# Primary Assessment Method

# Experimental Arm: Colorectal Cancer, Adenocarcinoma

Number of patients screened	34
Number of patients enrolled	34
Number of patients evaluable for toxicity	34
Number of patients evaluated for efficacy	34
Evaluation method	Other
Response assessment CR	0%
Response assessment PR	18.1%
Response assessment SD	72.7%
Response assessment PD	9%
Response assessment other	0%
(Median) duration assessments PFS	240 days, Cl: 179-311
(Median) duration assessments OS	665 days, CI: 487-NA



Waterfall plot. Waterfall plot of best response.

Experimental Arm:	Total Patient Population
Evaluation method:	Other
Secondary Assessment Method	
Experimental Arm:	Colorectal Cancer, Adenocarcinoma

Adverse events							
Name	*NC/NA	1	2	3	4	5	All grades
Leukocytes (total WBC)	41%	23%	35%	0%	0%	0%	58%
Neutrophils/granulocytes (ANC/AGC)	44%	8%	35%	11%	0%	0%	55%
Hemoglobin	29%	50%	17%	2%	0%	0%	70%
Platelets	52%	41%	5%	0%	0%	0%	47%
Diarrhea	50%	14%	29%	5%	0%	0%	50%
Anorexia	47%	32%	14%	5%	0%	0%	52%
Nausea	47%	32%	11%	8%	0%	0%	52%
Vomiting	70%	20%	5%	2%	0%	0%	29%
Fatigue (asthenia, lethargy, malaise)	61%	11%	23%	2%	0%	0%	38%
Mucositis/stomatitis (clinical exam)	82%	11%	5%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Dizziness	94%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%
Neuropathy: sensory	70%	17%	8%	2%	0%	0%	29%
Hair loss/alopecia (scalp or body)	67%	17%	14%	0%	0%	0%	32%
Rash: hand-foot skin reaction	38%	44%	11%	5%	0%	0%	61%
Renal/genitourinary, other	52%	20%	23%	2%	0%	0%	47%
Hypertension	67%	5%	17%	8%	0%	0%	32%

\*No Change From Baseline/No Adverse Event

Adverse events during phase I/II treatment; no serious adverse events were reported.

Abbreviations: AGC, absolute granulocyte count; ANC, absolute neutrophil count; WBC, white blood cells.

## Assessment, Analysis, and Discussion

Completion Pharmacokinetics / Pharmacodynamics Investigator's Assessment Study completed Not collected Active and should be pursued further

### Discussion

This study was conducted as a second-line treatment with bevacizumab beyond progression. Key eligibility comprised disease progression during or after first-line chemotherapy, including oxaliplatin-based regimens with bevacizumab, and a wild type or \*6 or \*28 heterozygous genotype for UGT1A1.

Although grade 3 diarrhea was observed in only 5% of patients in our study (Table 1), it was noted that the response rate (18.1%) and progression-free survival (PFS; 8 months) were better than historical second-line data (Figs. 1, 2, 3) [11–13]. In oxaliplatin-refractory second-line treatments without molecular-targeting agents, PFS was  $\sim$ 2.5–4 months with response rates <5%. Compared with second-line trials without bevacizumab, our marked prolongation of PFS could be related to the addition of bevacizumab beyond progression [14–16]. The potential effect of racial differences on tolerability for capecitabine in the east Asian population should be also considered [17]. These advantages would be vital for beyond-progression strategies.

The XELIRI regimen requires only 1 visit per 3-week cycle for a 2- or 3-hour infusion, which may provide a marked advantage over the FOLFIRI regimen in terms of convenience for both patients and clinical staff. XELIRI plus bevacizumab should be considered as a possible standard second-line treatment for Japanese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. A phase III trial randomizing XELIRI plus bevacizumab versus FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab began in October 2013.

#### References

- 1. Cassidy J, Clarke S, Díaz-Rubio E et al. Randomized phase III study of capecitabine plus oxaliplatin compared with fluorouracil/folinic acid plus oxaliplatin as first-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:2006–2012.
- 2. Rothenberg ML, Cox JV, Butts C et al. Capecitabine plus oxaliplatin (XELOX) versus 5-fluorouracil/folinic acid plus oxaliplatin (FOLFOX-4) as second-line therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: A randomized phase III noninferiority study. Ann Oncol 2008;19:1720–1726.
- Patt YZ, Lee FC, Liebmann JE et al. Capecitabine plus 3-weekly irinotecan (XELIRI regimen) as first-line chemotherapy for metastatic colorectal cancer: Phase II trial results. Am J Clin Oncol 2007;30:350–357.
- 4. Park SH, Bang SM, Cho EK et al. First-line chemotherapy with irinotecan plus capecitabine for advanced colorectal cancer. Oncology 2004;66: 353–357.
- 5. Rea DW, Nortier JW, Ten Bokkel Huinink WW et al. A phase I/II and pharmacokinetic study of irinotecan in combination with capecitabine as first-line therapy for advanced colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol 2005;16:1123–1132.
- 6. Borner MM, Bernhard J, Dietrich D et al. A randomized phase II trial of capecitabine and two different schedules of irinotecan in first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: Efficacy, quality-of-life and toxicity. Ann Oncol 2005;16:282–288.
- 7. Bajetta E, Di Bartolomeo M, Mariani L et al. Randomized multicenter phase II trial of two different schedules of irinotecan combined with capecitabine as first-line treatment in metastatic colorectal carcinoma. Cancer 2004;100:279–287.
- 8. Fuchs CS, Marshall J, Mitchell E et al. Randomized, controlled trial of irinotecan plus infusional, bolus, or oral fluoropyrimidines in first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: Results from the BICC-C study. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:4779–4786.
- 9. Schmiegel W, Reinacher-Schick A, Arnold D et al. Capecitabine/irinotecan or capecitabine/oxaliplatin in combination with bevacizumab is effective and safe as first-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer: A randomized phase II study of the AIO colorectal study group. Ann Oncol 2013;24:1580–1587.
- 10. Ducreux M, Adenis A, Pignon JP et al. Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab-based combination regimens in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer: Final results from a randomised phase II study of bevacizumab plus 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin plus irinotecan versus bevacizumab plus capecitabine plus irinotecan (FNCLCC ACCORD 13/0503 study). Eur J Cancer 2013;49:1236–1245.
- 11. Sobrero AF, Maurel J, Fehrenbacher L et al. EPIC: Phase III trial of cetuximab plus irinotecan after fluoropyrimidine and oxaliplatin failure in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:2311–2319.
- 12. Muro K, Boku N, Shimada Y et al. Irinotecan plus S-1 (IRIS) versus fluorouracil and folinic acid plus irinotecan (FOLFIRI) as second-line chemotherapy for metastatic colorectal cancer: A randomised phase 2/3 non-inferiority study (FIRIS study). Lancet Oncol 2010;11:853–860.
- Van Cutsem E, Tabernero J, Lakomy R et al. Addition of aflibercept to fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan improves survival in a phase III randomized trial in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer previously treated with an oxaliplatin-based regimen. J Clin Oncol 2012;30: 3499–3506.
- 14. Giantonio BJ, Catalano PJ, Meropol NJ et al. Bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin (FOLFOX4) for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: Results from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study E3200. J Clin Oncol 2007;25: 1539–1544.
- 15. Grothey A, Sugrue MM, Purdie DM et al. Bevacizumab beyond first progression is associated with prolonged overall survival in metastatic colorectal cancer: Results from a large observational cohort study (BRITE). J Clin Oncol 2008;26:5326–5334.
- 16. Bennouna J, Sastre J, Arnold D et al. Continuation of bevacizumab after first progression in metastatic colorectal cancer (ML18147): A randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2013;14:29–37.
- 17. Haller DG, Cassidy J, Clarke SJ et al. Potential regional differences for the tolerability profiles of fluoropyrimidines. J Clin Oncol 2008;26: 2118–2123.

## **Figures and Tables**



Figure 1. Waterfall plot.



Figure 2. Progression-free survival. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PFS, progression-free survival.



Figure 3. Survival. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; NA, not available; OS, overall survival.

 Table 1.
 Adverse events

Name	*NC/NA	1	2	3	4	5	All grades
Leukocytes (total WBC)	41%	23%	35%	0%	0%	0%	58%
Neutrophils/granulocytes (ANC/AGC)	44%	8%	35%	11%	0%	0%	55%
Hemoglobin	29%	50%	17%	2%	0%	0%	70%
Platelets	52%	41%	5%	0%	0%	0%	47%
Diarrhea	50%	14%	29%	5%	0%	0%	50%
Anorexia	47%	32%	14%	5%	0%	0%	52%
Nausea	47%	32%	11%	8%	0%	0%	52%
Vomiting	70%	20%	5%	2%	0%	0%	29%
Fatigue (asthenia, lethargy, malaise)	61%	11%	23%	2%	0%	0%	38%
Mucositis/stomatitis (clinical exam)	82%	11%	5%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Dizziness	94%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%
Neuropathy: sensory	70%	17%	8%	2%	0%	0%	29%
Hair loss/alopecia (scalp or body)	67%	17%	14%	0%	0%	0%	32%
Rash: hand-foot skin reaction	38%	44%	11%	5%	0%	0%	61%
Renal/genitourinary, other	52%	20%	23%	2%	0%	0%	47%
Hypertension	67%	5%	17%	8%	0%	0%	32%

\*No Change From Baseline/No Adverse Event Adverse events during phase I/II treatment; no serious adverse events were reported. Abbreviations: AGC, absolute granulocyte count; ANC, absolute neutrophil count; WBC, white blood cells.

Table 2. Baseline patient characteristics

Characteristics	Phase I ( <i>n</i> = 6)	Phase I/II ( $n = 34$ )
Age, years, median (range)	64 (57–72)	60 (22–74)
Sex		
Male	4	24
Female	2	10
Primary tumor site		
Colon	4	21
Rectum	2	13
ECOG PS		
0	4	22
1	2	12
UGTIA1		
Wild type	3	21
*6 Heterozygous	3	7
*28 Heterozygous	0	6
Resection of primary tumor, yes	5	32
Metastatic site		
Liver	3	20
Lung	4	22
Lymph nodes	2	7
Peritoneum	0	4
Other	2	5
Initial treatments		
Regimen		
FOLFOX4 plus bevacizumab	0	1
mFOLFOX6 plus bevacizumab	2	15
XELOX plus bevacizumab	2	10
Other <sup>a</sup>	2	8

Treatment duration, days, median (range)	183 (118–360)	238 (69–1621)
Best overall response		
Complete response	0	1
Partial response	1	17
Stable disease	4	12
Progressive disease	0	2
Not evaluable	1	2
Reasons for termination		
Progression	6	33
Surgery	0	1

<sup>a</sup>Six patients received S-1 plus oxaliplatin plus bevacizumab; 2 patients

received S-1/LV plus oxaliplatin plus bevacizumab.

Abbreviations: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; mFOLFOX6, modified FOLFOX6 regimen.

Relative dose intensity	Irinotecan	Capecitabine	Bevacizumab <sup>a</sup>
Mean (95% confidence interval)	91.30 (66.1–116.6)	89.40 (58.5–120.4)	96.30 (77.3–115.3)
Median (range)	100 (47.9–100)	100 (30.0–100)	100 (50.0–100)
Full dose, n/n	20/34	20/34	33/34

<sup>a</sup>Bevacizumab: fixed dose (7.5 mg/kg).

Data shown are percentages unless otherwise specified.

Table 4.	Adverse	events	during	phase	I/II	treatment
----------	---------	--------	--------	-------	------	-----------

	Grad	e, CTCAE versi				
Adverse event	1	2	3	4	All (%)	Grade ≥3 (%)
Leucopenia	8	12	0	0	58.8	0
Neutropenia	3	12	4	0	55.9	11.8
Anemia	17	6	1	0	70.6	2.9
Thrombocytopenia	14	2	0	0	47.1	0
Diarrhea	5	10	2	0	50	5.9
Anorexia	11	5	2	0	52.9	5.9
Nausea	11	4	3	0	52.9	8.8
Vomiting	7	2	1	0	29.4	2.9
Fatigue	4	8	1	0	38.2	2.9
Stomatitis	4	2	0	0	17.6	0
Dizziness	0	0	2	0	5.9	5.9
Neuropathy	6	3	1	0	29.4	2.9
Alopecia	6	5	0	0	32.4	0
Hand-foot syndrome	15	4	2	_	61.8	5.9
Proteinuria	7	8	1	0	47.1	2.9
Hypertension	2	6	3	0	32.4	8.8
Bleeding	2	1	0	0	8.8	0

Median number of cycles: 9 (range: 1–21). No serious adverse events were observed. Abbreviations: —, no data; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.

Click here to access other published clinical trials.